

Gravitational quasinormal modes for Kerr Anti-de Sitter black holes

M. Giammatteo and Ian G. Moss
School of Mathematics and Statistics,
University of Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 7RU U.K.
(Dated: December 2004)

We investigate the quasinormal modes for gravitational perturbations of rotating black holes in four dimensional Anti-de Sitter (AdS) spacetime. The study of the quasinormal frequencies related to these modes is relevant to the AdS/CFT correspondence. Although results have been obtained for Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordstrom AdS black holes, quasinormal frequencies of Kerr-AdS black holes are computed for the first time. We solve the Teukolsky equations in AdS spacetime, providing a second order and a Pade approximation for the angular eigenvalues associated to the Teukolsky angular equation. The transformation theory and the Regge-Wheeler-Zerilli equations for Kerr-AdS are obtained.

PACS numbers: 04.70.-s

I. INTRODUCTION

The holographic principle in field theory states that, in the presence of gravity, physics in a region of space-time can be encoded on a lower-dimensional surface. The Maldacena *AdS/CFT* conjecture [3] provides explicit examples for some of these holographic encodings. For example, IIB string theory on the background $AdS_5 \times S^5$ or M -theory on the background $AdS_4 \times S^7$ are claimed to be mathematically equivalent (dual) to certain quantum field theories on the boundary at infinity of the relevant *AdS* space. The isometry groups of the *AdS* spaces become conformal groups acting on the boundary quantum theories. In the case of $AdS_5 \times S^5$, the quantum field theory is $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills gauge theory with gauge group $U(N)$ and coupling constant g_{YM} . This particular Yang-Mills theory is also a conformal field theory (*CFT*).

More specifically, if one introduces a generating function for correlation functions of operators O_j in the Yang-Mills theory, then the *AdS/CFT* correspondence states that [4, 5],

$$\left\langle e^{-\int \phi_j^{(0)} O_j(x) d^D x} \right\rangle_{CFT} = \mathcal{Z}_{string}[\phi_k]. \quad (1.1)$$

In this equation, on the left-hand side, we have the generating function with operators integrated against arbitrary density functions, $\phi_j^{(0)}$, on the boundary of *AdS*. The boundary has dimension $D = 4, 3$ according to the version of the correspondence we consider. The function $\mathcal{Z}_{string}[\phi_k]$ is the string partition function with string coupling g_s , evaluated in the *AdS* background. The fields, ϕ_k , satisfy the boundary conditions of approaching $\phi_j^{(0)}$ at infinity.

A limit can be taken to reduce the string theory to its supergravity limit. This may be done by a combination of taking the string tension to infinity ($\alpha' \rightarrow 0$) and sending $g_s N \rightarrow \infty$. A second limit is obtained by making the approximation ($g_s \rightarrow 0$) of the supergravity partition function to $\exp(-S)$, where the supergravity action $S[\phi_k]$ is the action of the classical supergravity solution that satisfies the boundary conditions mentioned above. Although the strongest form of the correspondence is the string theory expression, in practice it is much easier to work with supergravity actions, and it is for this reason that most results have been obtained in this context. On the quantum field theory side of the correspondence, this approximation amounts to taking both N and $g_{YM}^2 N$ large. The classical supergravity action should holographically capture the large- N limit of strongly coupled quantum Yang-Mills theory.

A quite large amount of work has been produced in the last few years on the *AdS/CFT* conjecture and an extensive introduction can be found in [6, 7]. In the *AdS/CFT* correspondence, the study of *AdS* black holes has a direct interpretation in terms of the dual conformal field theory on its boundary. The duality predicts that the retarded *CFT* correlation functions are in one to one correspondence with Green's functions on Anti-de Sitter space with appropriate boundary conditions [8, 9, 10, 11]. Furthermore, as mentioned in [12], it is assumed that a large static black hole in *AdS* space corresponds to a thermal state in the *CFT* on the boundary. Perturbing the black hole with a scalar field, for instance, is equivalent to perturbing this thermal state. The perturbed system is expected, at late times, to approach equilibrium exponentially with a characteristic time-scale. This time-scale is inversely proportional to the imaginary part of the poles of the correlators of the perturbation operator. It seems that these relaxation time-scales are quite complicated to calculate in the *CFT*, therefore their computation is conveniently replaced by the evaluation of the quasinormal frequencies in the *AdS* bulk space.

In [13] and [14], the authors went beyond the scalar perturbation treated in [12] and they considered electromagnetic and gravitational perturbations. In one of the most recent works on the subject, Berti and Kokkotas [15] confirm

and extend previous results on scalar, electromagnetic and gravitational perturbations of static *AdS* black holes and analyse, for the first time, Reissner-Nordstrom Anti-de Sitter black holes and calculate their quasinormal frequencies. Naturally, perturbations associated with other kinds of fields than the scalars treated in [12] will decay at different rates given by their quasinormal mode frequencies.

Quasinormal modes have recently become relevant to quantum gravity for a different reason. This arises from Hod's proposal to describe quantum properties of black holes from their classical oscillation spectrum [16]. The quest for a consistent quantization of black holes was initiated many years ago by Bekenstein [17, 18] who, based on semi-classical arguments, conjectured that the horizon area of a (non extremal) black hole should have a discrete eigenvalue spectrum. The eigenvalues of this spectrum were expected to be uniformly spaced. Hod noted that the real parts of the asymptotic quasinormal frequencies of a static black hole of mass M , as computed by Nollert [19], can be written as $\omega_R = T \ln 3$, where T is the black hole Hawking's temperature. He then exploited Bohr's correspondence principle, asserting that 'transition frequencies at large quantum numbers should equal classical oscillation frequencies', to conjecture that variations in the black hole mass due to quantum processes should be given by $\Delta M = \hbar \omega_R$. Finally, using the first law of black hole thermodynamics and the equivalence between entropy and the surface area, he deduced the equal spacing in the area spectrum of a static hole.

Hod's conjecture has brought further motivations in the field of black hole physics and has provoked several attempts to evaluate highly damped quasinormal frequencies of Kerr black holes. It is, in fact, straightforward to ask whether this conjecture is valid for more general black holes than the static ones; if asymptotic frequencies depend in these more general cases on black hole charge and/or angular momentum; if the presence of a cosmological constant should imply a modification of the proposal. At the moment these ideas of universality in Hod's proposal have not been confirmed [20].

A brief outline of this paper is the following. In section II we review some of the main features of *AdS* spacetime and its static and rotating black hole solutions. In section III we solve the radial Teukolsky equation. Then, we concentrate on the angular equation and propose both a second order and a Pade approximation of the associated eigenvalues. In section IV we introduce transformation theory and obtain the Regge-Wheeler equation. These results are exploited for the explicit determination of the quasinormal frequencies and some considerations on their asymptotic spacing. All the numerical results are reported in section V. We conclude by a final discussion of the results we have obtained and with some ideas for future work. In the appendix we give the explicit recurrence relation for the series solution of the radial Teukolsky equation.

II. ADS SPACETIME AND ITS ROTATING BLACK HOLE SOLUTIONS

Rotating black holes in four dimensions with a cosmological constant were first constructed by Carter [28] and Demianski [29]. In the asymptotically de Sitter case, the black hole solutions have cosmological horizons. Thermal equilibrium is only possible when the black hole horizon has the same temperature as the de Sitter horizon [23]. The asymptotically Anti-de Sitter case has no cosmological horizons and the black hole, even if it is rotating, can be in equilibrium with radiation out to the conformal boundary at infinity [24, 25, 26].

Anti-de Sitter space and the associated stationary black hole solutions can be described by a metric with radial component g_{rr} of the form

$$\frac{r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta}{\Delta_r}. \quad (2.1)$$

The polynomial $\Delta_r(r)$ vanishes at the event horizon, which we shall denote by r_+ . The event horizon is also a Killing horizon, that is it is a surface on which a Killing field ξ^a become null. The surface gravity κ is defined in terms of ξ^a by,

$$\nabla^a (\xi^b \xi_b) = -2\kappa \xi^a. \quad (2.2)$$

For a stationary and axisymmetric black hole with timelike and axial killing fields ∂_t and ∂_ϕ , the killing vector field ξ^a is of the form

$$\xi = \partial_t + \Omega_H \partial_\phi, \quad (2.3)$$

The constant Ω_H is the angular velocity of the horizon.

An explicit expression for the surface gravity of the event horizon is given by [22],

$$\kappa = \frac{\Delta'(r_+)}{2(a^2 + r_+^2)}. \quad (2.4)$$

The Hawking temperature of the black hole is related to the surface gravity through the famous result,

$$T = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi}. \quad (2.5)$$

The version of the *AdS/CFT* conjecture which concerns us here is the correspondence between *M*–theory on $AdS_4 \times S^7$ and supersymmetric conformal Yang-Mills field theory on the conformal boundary at infinity. Crucial to the *AdS/CFT* conjecture is the fact that the *AdS* space and its related black hole solutions are conformal to a rotating version of the Einstein static Universe at infinity. The angular velocity of the space at infinity is denoted by Ω . The combination (T, Ω) form a set of thermodynamic variables [24, 25, 26].

For Kerr-AdS spacetime with cosmological constant Λ ,

$$\Delta_r = (a^2 + r^2)(1 - \frac{1}{3}\Lambda r^2) - 2Mr \quad (2.6)$$

In Fig. 1, we show the conformal compactification for the covering space of Kerr-*AdS* spacetime. The conformal boundary is the boundary of region I.




FIG. 1: The Penrose diagram of a Kerr black hole in Anti-de Sitter space-time is shown. *IH* and *H* are the inner and event horizon of the black hole. Regions *I*, bound by vertical segments ($r = \infty$), represent the exterior space. Regions *II* are the regions between the inner ($r = r_-$) and the event horizon of the black hole. Regions *III* correspond to $r < r_-$. The bold vertical segments represent the ring singularity at $r = 0$ in the equatorial plane.

The black hole event horizon is the largest real root of $\Delta_r = 0$,

$$(r^2 + a^2)(1 + \alpha^2 r^2) - 2Mr = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

where $\alpha^2 = -\Lambda/3$ is the inverse of the Anti-de Sitter radius. From Eq. 2.7 and the definition of the surface gravity 2.4, we derive an expression for the temperature T ,

$$T = \frac{3\alpha^2 r_+^4 + (1 + \alpha^2 a^2)r_+^2 - a^2}{4\pi r_+(r_+^2 + a^2)}. \quad (2.8)$$

The rotation of the asymptotic space is given by [24, 25, 26]

$$\Omega = \frac{a(1 + \alpha^2 r_+^2)}{a^2 + r_+^2} \quad (2.9)$$

Fig. 2 shows contours of constant κ in the $a\alpha$ and $M\alpha$ parameter space. The $\kappa = 0$ solutions are extremal black holes and above this line in the diagram the solutions become naked singularities. Fixing the value of the angular parameter a and κ we have unique masses and therefore unique solutions for $a\alpha \geq 0.1$, but up to 3 different solutions for $a\alpha < 0.1$.




FIG. 2: Isotherms related to Kerr-AdS black holes are plotted in the $a\alpha$ and $M\alpha$ parameter space.

The transformation from the metric parameters M and a to the thermodynamic variables becomes singular where the Jacobian

$$\frac{\partial(T, \Omega)}{\partial(r_+, a)} = \frac{r_+^2(3\alpha^2 r_+^2 - 1) - a^2(1 + \alpha^2 r_+^2)}{4\pi(r_+^2 + a^2)^2} \quad (2.10)$$

vanishes. Vanishing of the Jacobian also corresponds to the divergence of the heat capacity at constant Ω . This critical line is plotted in Fig. 3. Another important feature of the parameter space is set by the condition $\alpha\Omega < 1$. If $\alpha\Omega > 1$, then the killing field ξ becomes non-timelike beyond a certain radius and the black hole radiation can not remain in thermal equilibrium. This allows us to divide the parameter space into the four regions A, B, C, D . Region A is the region with no event horizons. Regions B and C both have negative specific heat. Region D is the region of positive specific heat and $\alpha\Omega < 1$.

III. QUASINORMAL FREQUENCIES OF KERR ADS BLACK HOLES

The full Kerr AdS metric can be found in [23], for instance, and it can be expressed as

$$ds^2 = -\frac{\Delta_r}{(r^2 + \mu^2)}\omega_1^2 + \frac{\Delta_\mu}{(r^2 + \mu^2)}\omega_2^2 + \frac{r^2 + \mu^2}{\Delta_r}dr^2 + \frac{r^2 + \mu^2}{\Delta_\mu}d\mu^2 \quad (3.1)$$

where r, θ and ϕ are spherical coordinates, with $\mu = a \cos \theta$. The forms ω_1 and ω_2 are

$$\omega_1 = dt - a\chi^{-2} \sin^2 \theta d\phi, \quad \omega_2 = dt - a^{-1}\chi^{-2}(r^2 + a^2) d\phi \quad (3.2)$$

and the metric functions are given by

$$\Delta_r = (a^2 + r^2)\left(1 - \frac{1}{3}\Lambda r^2\right) - 2Mr, \quad (3.3)$$




FIG. 3: This figure of the $a\alpha$ and $M\alpha$ parameter space shows various stability limits, $\alpha\Omega = 1$ and the critical line where the thermal capacity C_Ω diverges. The parameter space is divided into regions: *A* with only naked singularities; *B* where $\alpha\Omega > 1$ and $C_\Omega < 0$; *C* where $\alpha\Omega < 1$ and $C_\Omega < 0$ and *D* where $\alpha\Omega < 1$ and $C_\Omega > 0$.

$$\Delta_\mu = (a^2 - \mu^2)\left(1 + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda\mu^2\right), \quad (3.4)$$

$$\chi^2 = 1 + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda a^2. \quad (3.5)$$

We shall make use later of the radial tortoise coordinate, defined by

$$\frac{d}{dr^*} = \frac{\Delta_r}{(a^2 + r^2)} \frac{d}{dr}. \quad (3.6)$$

Using the the definition of surface gravity given in Eq. 2.4, applied to each of the roots of $\Delta_r = 0$ (two of them complex), we can express r^* in the form

$$r^* = \frac{1}{2\kappa_+} \ln \alpha(r - r_+) + \frac{1}{2\kappa_-} \ln \alpha(r - r_-) + \frac{1}{2\kappa_3} \ln \alpha(r - r_3) + \frac{1}{2\bar{\kappa}_3} \ln \alpha(r - \bar{r}_3), \quad (3.7)$$

Note that $r^* \in (-\infty, 0)$, with $r^* \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ as a consequence of the identity $\kappa_+^{-1} + \kappa_-^{-1} + \kappa_3^{-1} + \bar{\kappa}_3^{-1} = 0$. (In the ordinary Kerr solution, $r_* \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$).

The generalisation of Teukolsky's equations and their separation for asymptotically de-Sitter and Anti de-Sitter spacetimes, was first derived by Chambers and Moss [30]. They looked for separable solutions for the Weyl scalar of the form

$$\Psi_0 = e^{+i\omega t} e^{im\phi} R(r) S_l(\theta), \quad (3.8)$$

where $S_l(\theta)$ can be found in [31], and l is the angular mode number characteristic of the spherical harmonics. (In [30], the time coordinate was scaled differently and the frequency was a factor of χ^2 larger than the frequency which we are using here.) In what follows we will drop the index l when we talk about the angular function S_l .

Following [30], we introduce new radial and angular derivatives

$$D_n = \partial_r + \frac{iK_r}{\Delta_r} + \frac{n\Delta'_r}{\Delta_r}, \quad D_n^\dagger = \partial_r - \frac{iK_r}{\Delta_r} + \frac{n\Delta'_r}{\Delta_r}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$L_n = \partial_\mu + \frac{K_\mu}{\Delta_\mu} + \frac{n\Delta'_\mu}{\Delta_\mu}, \quad L_n^\dagger = \partial_\mu - \frac{K_\mu}{\Delta_\mu} + \frac{n\Delta'_\mu}{\Delta_\mu}, \quad (3.10)$$

with K_r and K_μ defined as

$$K_r = \chi^2 am + (a^2 + r^2)\omega, \quad (3.11)$$

$$K_\mu = \chi^2 am + (a^2 - \mu^2)\omega. \quad (3.12)$$

The angular and radial functions $S(\theta)$ and $R(r)$, satisfy the two second order differential equations

$$(L_{-1}\Delta_\mu L_1^\dagger + 6\omega\mu - 2\Lambda\mu^2)S = -\lambda S \quad (3.13)$$

$$(D_{-1}\Delta_r D_1^\dagger - 6i\omega r - 2\Lambda r^2)R = \lambda R \quad (3.14)$$

where λ is a separatin constant. In the non-rotating case, $\lambda = (l-1)(l+2)$ for $l = 2, 3, \dots$

A. Radial equation

Let us start from the radial differential equation 3.14, which can be re-written as

$$\left\{ \left(\partial_r + \frac{iK_r}{\Delta_r} - \frac{\Delta'_r}{\Delta_r} + \frac{1}{r} \right) \frac{\Delta_r}{r^2} \left(\partial_r - \frac{iK_r}{\Delta_r} + \frac{\Delta'_r}{\Delta_r} - \frac{1}{r} \right) + \frac{-6i\omega}{r} - 2\Lambda - \frac{\lambda}{r^2} \right\} R = 0. \quad (3.15)$$

This equation has four regular singular points at the four roots of Δ_r ; one at the black hole outer horizon, r_+ , which is the largest root of Δ_r , one at the black hole inner horizon, r_- , and other two complex conjugate roots, r_3 and \bar{r}_3 , which are unphysical roots. This allows us to write Δ_r as

$$\Delta_r = \alpha (r - r_+) (r - r_-) (r - r_3) (r - \bar{r}_3). \quad (3.16)$$

where $\alpha^2 = -\Lambda/3$ is the inverse of the Anti-de Sitter radius. Next, we set $x = r^{-1}$, so that we can write

$$\Delta_r = px^{-4}, \quad (3.17)$$

with

$$p = \alpha^2 (x - x_1) (x - x_2) (x - x_3) (x - \bar{x}_3). \quad (3.18)$$

Note that x_1, x_2, x_3, \bar{x}_3 are just the inverse of the roots of Δ_r in terms of the new variable x .

Using the same coordinate inversion we can rewrite K_r as

$$K_r = -x^{-2}q, \quad (3.19)$$

with

$$q = -\chi^2 amx^2 + (1 + a^2x^2)\omega. \quad (3.20)$$

It is also useful, for future reference, to re-write p as

$$p = \alpha + (1 + a^2\alpha^2)x^2 - 2Mx^3 + a^2x^4, \quad (3.21)$$

In the new variable x the radial equation becomes

$$\left\{ \left(\partial_x + \frac{iq}{p} - \frac{p'}{p} + \frac{3}{x} \right) p \left(\partial_x - \frac{iq}{p} + \frac{p'}{p} - \frac{3}{x} \right) - \frac{6i\omega}{x} - \frac{2\Lambda}{x^2} - \lambda \right\} R = 0. \quad (3.22)$$

Rescale R as

$$R = xp^{-1}Y \quad (3.23)$$

and insert it into equation 3.22. After dividing everything by x^2 , we obtain

$$\left(-\partial_x - \frac{iq}{p} - \frac{4}{x} + \frac{p'}{p} \right) \left(\frac{3}{x^2}Y - \frac{1}{x}Y' + \frac{iq}{xp}Y \right) - \frac{6i\omega}{x^2p}Y - \frac{2\Lambda}{x^3p}Y - \frac{\lambda}{xp}Y = 0. \quad (3.24)$$

Rescale Y as

$$Y = e^{+i\omega r^*} Z, \quad (3.25)$$

where

$$Z(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \frac{(x - x_1)^n}{(-x_1)^n}. \quad (3.26)$$

By writing the radial solution in this way we achieve the result of factoring out the behaviour of this function at the black hole event horizon $r = r_+$ ($r_* = -\infty$). Substitute Y and its derivatives into 3.24. We finally get an equation in the function Z that reads

$$Z'' + \left(\frac{2iq}{p} - \frac{p'}{p} \right) Z' + \left(\frac{6i\omega}{xp} - \frac{6}{x^2} - \frac{2\Lambda}{x^2 p} - \frac{\lambda}{p} - \frac{3}{x} \left(\frac{2iq}{p} - \frac{p'}{p} \right) \right) Z = 0. \quad (3.27)$$

The determination of the recurrence relation for the radial series solution Z can be found in appendix A of this paper.

B. Angular equation

The solution to the radial equation is strictly bound up with the determination of the eigenvalues $\lambda(a, \alpha, m, \omega)$ of the angular equation, which appear explicitly in the coefficients of the recurrence relation associated with the series solution Z of the radial differential equation. We could attempt to solve for both ω and λ simultaneously, but this would be a time-consuming procedure. Instead, we shall attempt to determine λ before we find ω . To this purpose, we first propose a second order Taylor approximation of λ for small $a\omega$. We then improve this with the new numerical technique, already used in the context of Kerr black holes [32], based on a Pade approximating function for the separation constant.

1. Second order approximation for λ

We proceed in analogy with Sasaki [33], who used a technique based on perturbation theory to evaluate the separation constant for Kerr black holes in asymptotically flat spacetime. Our final result agrees with Sasaki's result when we assume the cosmological constant to be equal zero.

We shall only consider $m = 0$. Let us start by rewriting the angular equation in the form

$$\left\{ \left(\partial_\mu + \frac{K_\mu}{\Delta_\mu} - \frac{\Delta'_\mu}{\Delta_\mu} \right) \Delta_\mu \left(\partial_\mu - \frac{K_\mu}{\Delta_\mu} + \frac{\Delta'_\mu}{\Delta_\mu} \right) + 6\omega\mu - 2\Lambda\mu^2 + \lambda \right\} S = 0. \quad (3.28)$$

Since $m = 0$, this implies for K_μ the expression

$$K_\mu = a^2 \omega \sin^2 \theta. \quad (3.29)$$

The equation can also be written as

$$HS = -\lambda S \quad (3.30)$$

with

$$H = \Delta \partial_\mu^2 + \Delta' \partial_\mu - K'_\mu + \Delta'' - \frac{K_\mu^2}{\Delta} + \frac{2k\Delta'}{\Delta} - \frac{\Delta'^2}{\Delta} + 6\omega\mu - 2\Lambda\mu^2. \quad (3.31)$$

First of all, it is straightforward to verify that when $\Lambda = 0$ and $a = 0$ we get from H the expression we expect for static black holes in asymptotically flat spacetime, as can be verified from [31].

We then introduce the new variable $u = \cos \theta$, such that $\mu = au$. This gives

$$H = \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \partial_u^2 + \frac{\Delta'}{a} \partial_u - K'_\mu + \Delta'' - \frac{K_\mu^2}{\Delta} + \frac{2k\Delta'}{\Delta} - \frac{\Delta'^2}{\Delta} + 6\omega au - 2\Lambda a^2 u^2. \quad (3.32)$$

Next, we expand H in powers of $a\omega$,

$$H = H_0 + a\omega H_1 + a^2\omega^2 H_2 + O(a^3\omega^3), \quad (3.33)$$

where,

$$H_0 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\theta^2} + \frac{\cos\theta}{\sin\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + 2 - \frac{4}{\sin^2\theta} \quad (3.34)$$

$$H_1 = -4\cos\theta \quad (3.35)$$

$$H_2 = \frac{1}{3}\frac{\Lambda}{\omega^2} (u^2 - u^4) \partial_u^2 + \frac{2}{3}\frac{\Lambda}{\omega^2} \left(u - \frac{2}{3}u^3 \right) \partial_u + \left\{ -6\Lambda\frac{u^2}{\omega^2} + \frac{2}{3}\frac{\Lambda}{\omega^2} - (1 - u^2) + \frac{(\frac{8}{3}\Lambda u^2 - 4\Lambda u^4)}{\omega(1 - u^2)} \right\} \quad (3.36)$$

We also expand S and λ in powers of $a\omega$ as

$$S = S_0 + a\omega S_1 + a^2\omega^2 S_2 + O(a^3\omega^3), \quad (3.37)$$

$$\lambda = \lambda_0 + a\omega\lambda_1 + a^2\omega^2\lambda_2 + O(a^3\omega^3), \quad (3.38)$$

with $\lambda_0 = (l-1)(l+2)$.

Now, if we plug 3.33, 3.37 and 3.38 into Eq. 3.30, we have at the second order

$$(H_0 + a\omega H_1 + a^2\omega^2 H_2)(S_0 + a\omega S_1 + a^2\omega^2 S_2) = -(\lambda_0 + a\omega\lambda_1 + a^2\omega^2\lambda_2)(S_0 + a\omega S_1 + a^2\omega^2 S_2). \quad (3.39)$$

Collecting the terms of the same order we obtain,

$$(H_0 + \lambda_0)S_0^l = 0 \quad (3.40)$$

$$(H_0 + \lambda_0)S_1^l = -(H_1 + \lambda_1)S_0^l, \quad (3.41)$$

$$(H_0 + \lambda_0)S_2^l = -(H_1 + \lambda_1)S_1^l - (\lambda_2 + H_2)S_0^l. \quad (3.42)$$

The functions S^l are the spheroidal harmonics of spin weight $s = -2$, for $m = 0$.

We will be interested in the explicit expressions of the functions S_0^l , which can be represented using Legendre Polynomials $P_l(\cos\theta)$, as

$$S_0^l(\theta) = P_{l,\theta,\theta} - P_{l,\theta} \cot\theta. \quad (3.43)$$

We should mention that at the moment the spherical harmonics are not normalised. It is convenient to normalise at least those we will be using. The normalised spherical harmonics are

$$\hat{S}_0^2 = \sqrt{\frac{5}{48}} S_0^2 \quad (3.44)$$

$$\hat{S}_0^3 = \sqrt{\frac{7}{240}} S_0^3 \quad (3.45)$$

$$\hat{S}_0^4 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{80}} S_0^4. \quad (3.46)$$

Since our purpose is to evaluate λ up to the second order, let us start with the calculation of λ_1 in Eq. 3.38.

The first order correction to λ is obtained by multiplying equation 3.41 by S_0^l from the left hand side and integrating it over θ . This gives

$$\lambda_1 = - \int_0^\pi \hat{S}_0^l H_1 \hat{S}_0^l \sin\theta d\theta = 4 \int_0^\pi (\hat{S}_0^l)^2 \cos\theta \sin\theta d\theta, \quad (3.47)$$

but, if $m = 0$, this integral is always zero for any value of l as can, indeed, be verified in [33].

Consequently,

$$\lambda_1 = 0, \quad \forall l, \quad m = 0. \quad (3.48)$$

To obtain \hat{S}_1^l , we set

$$\hat{S}_1^l = \sum_{l'} c_l^{l'} \hat{S}_0^{l'} \quad m = 0. \quad (3.49)$$

We insert this expression into equation 3.41, multiply it by $\hat{S}_0^{l'}$ and integrate over θ . What we get is,

$$c_l^{l'} (\lambda_0 - \lambda_0') \int_0^\pi (\hat{S}_0^{l'})^2 \sin \theta d\theta = -4 \int_0^\pi d(\cos \theta) \hat{S}_0^{l'} \cos \theta \hat{S}_0^l. \quad (3.50)$$

The coefficients of the series on the left hand side, $c_l^{l'}$, can be derived from 3.50 and written as

$$c_l^{l'} = \frac{4}{(l' - 1)(l' + 2) - (l - 1)(l + 2)} \int_0^\pi d(\cos \theta) \hat{S}_0^{l'} \cos \theta \hat{S}_0^l \quad (3.51)$$

Hence $c_l^{l'}$ is generally non zero only for $l' = l \pm 1$.

The second order correction, λ_2 , is obtained by multiplying equation 3.42 by \hat{S}_0^l from the left hand side and integrating it over θ . We find,

$$\lambda_2 = -4 \int_0^\pi d(\cos \theta) \hat{S}_0^l \cos \theta \hat{S}_1^l + \int_0^\pi \hat{S}_0^l (H_2 \hat{S}_0^l) d(\cos \theta). \quad (3.52)$$

But

$$\int_0^\pi d(\cos \theta) \hat{S}_0^l \cos \theta \hat{S}_1^l = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l'} (c_l^{l'})^2 [(l' - 1)(l' + 2) - (l - 1)(l + 2)] \quad (3.53)$$

and the sum is non zero only for $l' = l \pm 1$. It follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2 = & - (c_l^{l+1})^2 [l(l+3) - (l-1)(l+2)] \\ & - (c_l^{l-1})^2 [(l-2)(l+1) - (l-1)(l+2)] + \int_0^\pi \hat{S}_0^l H_2 \hat{S}_0^l d(\cos \theta). \end{aligned} \quad (3.54)$$

If we, finally, evaluate the coefficients $c_l^{l'}$ and the integral on the right hand side of 3.54, when $l = 2$, what we obtain for λ_2 is

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{2}{7} \frac{\Lambda}{\omega^2} + \frac{10}{21} \quad (3.55)$$

This gives us, for $l = 2$, up to the second order in $a\omega$, an expression for the separation constant λ that reads

$$\lambda = \lambda_0 + a^2 \omega^2 \lambda_2 = 4 + \frac{2}{7} \Lambda a^2 + \frac{10}{21} a^2 \omega^2. \quad (3.56)$$

A similar calculation can be repeated for any other value of l .

For instance, we have performed the same calculation for $l = 3$. In that case, the Taylor expansion of λ in the variable $a\omega$, up to the second order is

$$\lambda = \lambda_0 + a^2 \omega^2 \lambda_2 = 10 + \Lambda a^2 + \frac{2}{3} a^2 \omega^2. \quad (3.57)$$

In both cases, $l = 2$ and $l = 3$, we recover the flat space results for λ as soon as we set $\Lambda = 0$, as can be checked in [33].

2. Pade approximation for λ

Having showed how to obtain a second order approximation for the angular eigenvalues, we would like to partially overcome the incompleteness of this approach by a different scheme, which is based on the construction of a Pade approximating function for λ . The technique which we describe below has already been applied to find the quasi normal modes for Kerr black holes in asymptotically flat space [32], and works well for all values of the parameter $a\omega$.

We will start from an analytical solution of the Teukolsky angular equation in AdS space-time. This equation is

$$\left(L_{-1}\Delta_\mu L_1^\dagger + 6\omega\mu - 2\Lambda\mu^2\right)S = -\lambda S. \quad (3.58)$$

If we replace the differential operators L_{-1} and L_1^\dagger with their explicit expressions 3.10, we can rewrite 3.58 as

$$S'' + \frac{\Delta'_\mu}{\Delta_\mu}S' + \left(\frac{\Delta''_\mu}{\Delta_\mu} - \frac{K'_\mu}{\Delta_\mu} - \frac{K_\mu^2}{\Delta_\mu^2} + 2K_\mu \frac{\Delta'_\mu}{\Delta_\mu^2} - \frac{\Delta_\mu'^2}{\Delta_\mu^2} + \frac{6\omega\mu}{\Delta_\mu} - \frac{2\Lambda\mu^2}{\Delta_\mu} + \frac{\lambda}{\Delta_\mu}\right)S = 0. \quad (3.59)$$

This differential equation has a structure which is easily recognisable as being very similar to the structure of the radial equation, with the variable μ having assumed the role of i times the radial variable r . It has four regular singular points and they correspond to the four roots of Δ_μ . They are $\mu = \pm a$ and $\mu = \pm\alpha$.

As already done for the radial solution, it is possible to factorise out the leading behaviour at $\mu = a$ by rescaling the angular function S as

$$S = \Delta_\mu^{-1} e^{+i\omega\mu_*} \tilde{Z}, \quad (3.60)$$

The variable μ_* , which is analogous to the radial tortoise coordinate r_* , is defined by

$$\frac{d}{d\mu_*} = \frac{\Delta_\mu}{(a^2 - \mu^2)} \frac{d}{d\mu}, \quad (3.61)$$

while

$$\tilde{Z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \frac{(\mu - a)^n}{(-2a)^n}. \quad (3.62)$$

This allows us, through the same logic used to deal with the radial function R , to get to this simpler form for the angular equation

$$\Delta_\mu \tilde{Z}'' + (2K_\mu - \Delta'_\mu) \tilde{Z}' + (6\omega\mu - 2\Lambda\mu^2 + \lambda) \tilde{Z} = 0. \quad (3.63)$$

Next, we expand all the coefficients of 3.63 as Taylor series around $\mu = a$ and insert them into the equation itself beside the expression for \tilde{Z} and its derivatives. We obtain a four term recurrence relation of the form

$$\tilde{\alpha}_n a_{n+1} + \tilde{\beta}_n a_n + \tilde{\gamma}_n a_{n-1} + \tilde{\delta}_n a_{n-2} = 0. \quad (3.64)$$

Finally, we find the coefficients of 3.64 in the explicit form

$$\tilde{\alpha}_n = (n^2 - 1)(1 - a^2\alpha^2) \quad (3.65)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\beta}_n &= -n(n-1)(1 - 5a^2\alpha^2) + n(-4a\omega + 2 - 10a^2\alpha^2) \\ &\quad + 6a\omega + 6a^2\alpha^2 + \lambda \end{aligned} \quad (3.66)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\gamma}_n &= -8a^2\alpha^2(n-1)(n-2) - 2(n-1)(-2a\omega - 12a^2\alpha^2) \\ &\quad - 12a\omega - 24a^2\alpha^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.67)$$

$$\tilde{\delta}_n = 4(n-4)(n-5)a^2\alpha^2. \quad (3.68)$$

This allows us to determinate the coefficients a_n of the series solution by recursion.

Equation 3.63 has critical points at the north and south poles $\mu = \pm a$. We require the solution which does not diverge at either pole. This solution has $a_0 = 0$, $a_1 = 1$ and

$$\tilde{Z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \frac{(\mu - a)^n}{(-2a)^n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow -a. \quad (3.69)$$

Consequently, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = 0. \quad (3.70)$$




FIG. 4: The real part of the Pade approximation of the angular eigenvalue λ is shown to fit the data for λ with imaginary ω . Note that the Pade approximation was constructed for real ω . The angular parameter $a\alpha = 0.24$, angular mode number $l = 2$ and $m = 0$. We also show the improvement we achieve with our Pade function technique comparing our results to a second order approximation of λ .

At this point, we wrote a *C++* computer program able to evaluate values for $\lambda(a\alpha, a\omega)$, which are identified with the roots of the left hand side of Eq. 3.70, numerically. Within the program, the values of λ which satisfy Eq. (3.70) are found by the use of the Newton-Raphson method routine extended to the complex plane [34]. We have fit these numerical data for λ to Pade approximating functions for *real* $a\omega$ at a fixed value of $a\alpha$, given by

$$\lambda \sim \frac{a_0 + a_1(a\omega) + a_2(a\omega)^2 + a_3(a\omega)^3}{1 + b_1(a\omega) + b_2(a\omega)^2}. \quad (3.71)$$

Finally, the Pade approximation and the numerical data are compared for *imaginary* $a\omega$. In the Pade formula, the numerator is one degree higher than the denominator. The reason for this choice is that the Teukolsky angular equation belongs to the family of differential equations for angular prolate spheroidal wave functions, and the behaviour of the angular eigenvalues for large ω is known [35].

In Fig. 4 and 5 we show how well the Pade function fits the data for λ coming from the computer program. We also show the improvement compared to the second order approximation and we plot results for the real and imaginary parts of λ . The fit parameters of the rational function representing λ are shown in Table I for values of the angular parameter $a\alpha$ ranging between 0 and 0.4, for the $l = 2$ angular mode number.

IV. TRANSFORMATION THEORY AND THE REGGE-WHEELER EQUATION

We have to impose some boundary conditions on the radial equation before we can obtain an equation for the frequencies of the quasinormal modes. We shall do this by extending the transformation theory of perturbations of black holes to AdS space, allowing us to construct the Regge-Wheeler-Zerilli equation for the underlying the metric perturbations.

The transformation theory for Kerr black holes has been described in detail by Chandrasekhar [31]. Following the Kerr case, we reparameterise the Weyl function Ψ_0 by

$$\Psi_0 = e^{+i\omega t} \frac{\varpi^3}{\Delta} Y(r) S_l(\theta). \quad (4.1)$$




FIG. 5: The imaginary part of the Pade' approximation of the angular eigenvalue λ is shown, for $a\alpha = 0.24$, $l = 2$ and $m = 0$.

Parameter $a\alpha$	a_0	a_1	a_2	a_3	b_1	b_2
0.00	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.08	4.0	0.0560	0.6068	0.0090	0.0139	0.0329
0.16	4.0	0.5350	0.7121	0.1039	0.1307	0.0641
0.24	4.0	0.9169	0.8387	0.2233	0.2177	0.1219
0.32	4.0	1.1610	0.9411	0.3368	0.2658	0.1770
0.40	4.0	1.4410	1.1728	0.3670	0.3936	0.1916

TABLE I: This table shows the parameters we obtain by fitting the Pade approximation for λ , to the numerical data coming out from the computer program for the calculation of the angular eigenvalues of the Teukolsky angular equation. These results have been obtained by fixing the angular mode number $l = 2$ and $m = 0$.

where

$$\varpi^2 = r^2 + \tilde{a}^2 = r^2 + a^2 + \frac{am\chi^2}{\omega} \quad (4.2)$$

We also adopt a new r_* coordinate,

$$dr_* = \frac{\varpi^2}{\Delta_r} dr \quad (4.3)$$

The Teukolsky equation for Y becomes

$$\{(\partial_*^2 + \omega^2) + P(\partial_* - i\omega) - Q\}Y = 0 \quad (4.4)$$

where $Q = \Delta_r u / \varpi^8$, and u is a polynomial

$$u = (\lambda - 6\alpha^2 r^2)\varpi^4 + 3(r\Delta'_r - \Delta_r)\varpi^2 - 3r^2\Delta_r \quad (4.5)$$

Part of the aim of transformation theory is to construct a function ψ which satisfies the Regge-Wheeler-Zerilli equation

$$(\partial_*^2 + \omega^2)\psi = V\psi \quad (4.6)$$

with a potential function $V(r)$. The function ψ represents the metric perturbations of the black hole.

The two radial functions Y and ψ are related by an expression of the form

$$\frac{\varpi^8}{\Delta_r^2} RY - \frac{\varpi^8}{\Delta_r^2} T (\partial_* - i\omega) Y = KY \quad (4.7)$$

where R and T are functions of r and K is a constant. (In this section, we follow Chandrasekhar's notation and T does not denote the temperature.) The consistency of the two equations (4.4) and (4.6) allows one to derive expressions for R , T and K ,

$$R = \frac{\Delta_r}{\varpi^8} (u + \beta \Delta_r) \quad (4.8)$$

$$T = \frac{v - \kappa_2 \Delta_r \varpi^2}{u - \beta \Delta_r} + 2i\omega \quad (4.9)$$

$$K = \kappa - 4\omega^2 \beta + 2i\omega \kappa_2 \quad (4.10)$$

where

$$v = u' \Delta_r - u \Delta_r' \quad (4.11)$$

Finding the constants κ , κ_2 and β requires a substantial amount of algebra[47]. Some help can be obtained by using the Starobinski constant $|K|^2$ for Kerr-AdS given in [30]. We obtain,

$$\kappa = \lambda(\lambda + 2 + 2\alpha^2 a^2) - 12\alpha^2 a^2 \quad (4.12)$$

$$\kappa_2 = \pm(36M^2 + 2\beta\kappa - 12\lambda\tilde{a}^2 - 10\lambda^2\tilde{a}^2 + 24\lambda a^2 + 24\lambda\tilde{a}^4\alpha^2 - 12\lambda\tilde{a}^2 a^2 \alpha^2 - 72\tilde{a}^2 a^2 \alpha^2)^{1/2} \quad (4.13)$$

$$\beta = \pm 3\tilde{a}^2 \quad (4.14)$$

There are four consistent solutions corresponding to the choice of signs in the above expressions.

The final ingredient in the transformation theory is the potential,

$$V = \Delta_r \left\{ \frac{(\kappa_2 \varpi^2 \Delta_r - v)(\kappa_2 \varpi^2 u - \beta v)}{\varpi^4 (u + \beta \Delta_r)(u - \beta \Delta_r)^2} + \frac{\kappa}{u + \beta \Delta_r} - \beta \frac{\Delta_r}{\varpi^8} \right\} \quad (4.15)$$

There are four different potentials depending on the choice of signs for κ_2 and β . Some general features of the potential are that it vanishes as $r \rightarrow r_+$ and approaches a non-zero constant as $r \rightarrow \infty$ ($r_* \rightarrow 0$). The basic situation can be interpreted as scattering from $r_* \rightarrow -\infty$ to a boundary at $r_* = 0$.

The potential has poles at $r = |\tilde{a}|$ for real $\omega < \omega_s$, where

$$\omega_s = -\frac{am\chi^2}{r_+^2 + a^2}. \quad (4.16)$$

(The potential can also have poles where $u \pm \beta \Delta_r = 0$.) Note that the r_* variable is not a single valued function of r when $\omega < \omega_s$. This frequency range corresponds to the super-radiant regime of Kerr. For Kerr-AdS, the existence of super-radiant frequencies can be prevented by the boundary conditions at $r_* = 0$.

In the axisymmetric case, $m = 0$, the potential is always continuous and bounded. As with Kerr, two of the potentials can be complex when κ_2 is imaginary.

Suppose that we are interested in the evaluation of some correlation function of a polar quantity in the conformal field theory which resides on the boundary of our AdS space. The AdS/CFT correspondence conjectures that this polar quantity can be associated to the polar metric perturbation of an AdS black hole living in the bulk. Accordingly we must set the axial black hole perturbation to vanish on the boundary. Since the axial perturbation function is the Regge-Wheeler function ψ with $\kappa_2 < 0$, this also means that we are led to assume that this ψ goes to zero as r goes to infinity.

On the other hand, we have series solutions for $Y(r)$. Let us consider the boundary conditions on this function. First of all, we have from Eq. 4.7

$$RY - T(\partial_* - i\omega)Y \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.17)$$

We find, for $\beta > 0$, $T \rightarrow T_\infty = 2i\omega - (\kappa_2 + 6M)/(\lambda - 6\alpha^2 \tilde{a}^2)$ and $R \rightarrow \alpha^2 \lambda$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. The differential operator ∂_{r_*} as $r \rightarrow \infty$ yields $\partial_{r_*} \rightarrow -\alpha^2 \partial_x$ (recall $x = r^{-1}$). If we rescale Y as

$$Y = e^{+i\omega r_*} Z, \quad (4.18)$$

with Z representing once again the series solution, then we find

$$\left(\frac{\lambda}{T_\infty}\right) Z + Z' = 0, \quad (4.19)$$

which represents the boundary condition for the axial problem we are considering. This equation tells us that assuming Dirichlet boundary condition on the Regge-Wheeler function ψ , we are left with Robin conditions on the solution of our radial Teukolsky equation. Moreover, since

$$Z \longrightarrow \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n, \text{ and } Z' \longrightarrow -r_+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) a_{n+1}, \quad (4.20)$$

as $x \rightarrow 0$ ($r \rightarrow \infty$), the boundary conditions can be explicitly written in the form

$$\frac{\lambda}{r_+ T_\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) a_{n+1} = 0, \quad (4.21)$$

In practice, $T_\infty \approx 2i\omega$, and the numerical solutions described in the next section have used $T_\infty = 2i\omega$, in which case the boundary conditions reduce to those used in [14].

The transformation theory which we have obtained is sufficiently powerful to give us the frequencies of some special quasi normal modes. The Teukolski function Y gives rise to four independent radial functions, from which we select ψ^\pm with opposite signs for κ_2 . Working back from eq. (4.7), we can relate the radial functions by

$$K^\pm \psi^\pm = C^\pm \psi^\mp + D^\pm \partial_* \psi^\mp \quad (4.22)$$

for some functions C^\pm and D^\pm . Now we take ω to be a root of $K^+(\omega) = 0$, and solve for ψ^- to obtain a quasi normal mode. The frequencies are

$$\omega = \frac{i}{4\beta} \left(\kappa_2 \pm (\kappa_2^2 - 4\beta\kappa)^{1/2} \right) \quad (4.23)$$

Note that this is an implicit equation in the rotating case, since the constants depend on ω . In the non-rotating case, the frequencies reduce to $\omega = i\kappa/12\pi M$, which was found in [14]. In the rotating case, the frequencies can be pure imaginary or complex. These values provide a useful check on the accuracy of the numerical results presented in the next section.

V. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section we will present some numerical results obtained by using the procedures outlined above for the solution of both the radial and the angular Teukolsky equations. The radial boundary conditions used and the motivations for choosing them are those specified in section IV. We replace the angular eigenvalue λ by the Pade approximation and then the quasi-normal frequencies ω are roots of eq. (4.21).

Small Kerr Anti de-Sitter black holes, with the radial coordinate of the event horizon r_+ much smaller than the AdS radius α^{-1} ($r_+ \ll \alpha^{-1}$), represent an unstable thermodynamic phase. Hence, we concentrate on larger black hole radii. In order to avoid metric singularities, the black hole rotation parameter is constrained to be less than the AdS radius, $a < \alpha^{-1}$. The black hole parameters which we have used are displayed in Fig. 6.

The quasinormal frequencies are decomposed into real and imaginary parts, $\omega = \omega_R + i\omega_I$. Having chosen the metric perturbation with time dependence $e^{+i\omega t}$, we have that the pure imaginary component ω_I is positive for all quasinormal frequencies. Fig. 7, shows the evolution of the quasinormal frequencies of large black holes (LBH), with respect to the angular parameter a , for $r_+ = 50 \alpha^{-1}$. Fig. 8 shows the evolution of the quasinormal frequencies of intermediate size black holes (IBH), with respect to the angular parameter a , for $r_+ = 0.8 \alpha^{-1}$.

To obtain both pictures, we have used the Pade approximation for λ and therefore we have been free to consider frequencies as large as we liked. The squares represent the results for static AdS black holes. Unfortunately, the numerical procedure described in section III B 2 becomes unreliable for values of a higher than $0.3\alpha^{-1}$ (for LBH) and $0.4\alpha^{-1}$ (for IBH), precluding us from extending the present results to very rapidly rotating holes.

The quasinormal frequencies for rotating black holes show a behaviour which is very similar to the one found in the case of Schwarzschild black holes [38]. They have a real part which displays an increase along with the imaginary part. The spacing between consecutive modes tends to become regular as the overtone number n gets larger, and




FIG. 6: The parameter ranges used for the intermediate size of black hole lie along the lines shown in the figure. The small circles show the parameters used in Fig. 8




FIG. 7: The frequencies of the first few quasinormal modes for a Kerr black hole in Anti-de Sitter metric with $r_+ = 50 \alpha^{-1}$. Results are plotted for the angular parameter $a\alpha = 0.0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3$. The squares represent the results for Schwarzschild *AdS* black holes. The frequencies are rescaled by r_+ , so that $\hat{\omega} = \omega r_+$.

it seems to depend on the angular parameter a . Since in *AdS* space the first ten frequencies are usually enough to ascertain their asymptotic behaviour, we have computed the quasinormal frequencies for $a\alpha = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3$ in the case of LBH, and $a\alpha = 0.08, 0.24, 0.40$ in the case of IBH, up to the tenth mode. What we have obtained for the spacing




FIG. 8: The frequencies of the first few quasinormal modes for a Kerr black hole in Anti-de Sitter metric with $r_+ = 0.8 \alpha^{-1}$. Results are plotted for the angular parameter $\alpha a = 0.0, 0.08, 0.24, 0.32, 0.40$. The squares represent the results for Schwarzschild *AdS* black holes. The frequencies are rescaled by r_+ , so that $\hat{\omega} = \omega r_+$.

in the LBH regime is,

$$\omega_{n+1} - \omega_n \sim (64.3 + 112 i)\alpha \quad \alpha a = 0.1, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\omega_{n+1} - \omega_n \sim (65.2 + 113 i)\alpha \quad \alpha a = 0.2, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\omega_{n+1} - \omega_n \sim (66.5 + 113 i)\alpha \quad \alpha a = 0.3. \quad (5.3)$$

while in the IBH regime we have,

$$\omega_{n+1} - \omega_n \sim (1.95 + 2.11 i)\alpha \quad \alpha a = 0.08 \quad (5.4)$$

$$\omega_{n+1} - \omega_n \sim (1.72 + 2.46 i)\alpha \quad \alpha a = 0.24 \quad (5.5)$$

$$\omega_{n+1} - \omega_n \sim (1.57 + 2.85 i)\alpha \quad \alpha a = 0.40. \quad (5.6)$$

For the smaller hole, there appears to be evidence that the real part of the spacing decreases with a whilst the imaginary part of the spacing increases with a . From Figs. 6 and 2 we see that the temperature is increasing with a in this region of parameter space.

Both the real and imaginary parts of the frequencies become proportional to the surface gravity κ for large κ , which agrees with the behaviour for static black hole quasinormal modes [12, 14]. This is displayed in figures 9 and 10 in which we show the dependence of the real and imaginary parts of the first ($n = 1$) quasinormal frequency on the metric parameters for some values of \hat{a} . Note that these pictures have been obtained by exploiting the second order approximation for λ , and that the results for $\hat{a} = 0$ are those obtained by Moss and Norman in [14].

The surface gravity reaches a minimum close to the critical line in Fig. 6 on which C_Ω diverges. There is some numerical evidence in figures 9 and 10 that the quasi normal frequencies are constant along the critical line, with $\omega \approx (3 + i)\alpha$.

VI. DISCUSSION

There have been investigations on *AdS* black holes particularly focused on their thermodynamic properties since the beginning of the eighties [39]. Recently, Anti-de Sitter space has appeared in the surprising new context of the




FIG. 9: The dependence of the real part of the first non-special quasinormal mode frequency on the metric parameters is shown for $l = 2$ and $a/r_+ = 0.0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3$, where r_+ is the radius of the event horizon, κ represents the surface gravity of the black hole and α^{-1} is the Anti-de Sitter radius.

Maldacena conjecture relating the physics of AdS in $n + 1$ dimensions to a strongly coupled conformal (gauge) field theory (CFT) in one dimension fewer. This interest has led to the study of static, charged and rotating black holes in AdS space in five and even seven dimensions, where the AdS/CFT correspondence can be still formulated. References on these investigations can be found, for instance, in [40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46].

Large Kerr AdS black holes are stable against Hawking evaporation. This property is not shared by asymptotically flat Kerr black holes. Furthermore, Kerr- AdS black holes can have rotating Killing vectors which are time-like everywhere outside the horizon. Following the orbits of these rotating Killing vectors, thermal radiation can rotate around the black hole with the same angular velocity of the hole, implying that the phenomenon known as super-radiance is not allowed, and the black hole is in thermal equilibrium with the thermal gas around it [42, 43].

Although research has been carried on for a few years in the field of quasinormal modes of black holes in AdS space and results have been obtained for Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordstrom black holes, it is in this work that for the first time that frequencies of Kerr AdS black holes are computed. The possibility is that, through the AdS/CFT correspondence, such frequencies can be exploited in the calculation of the relaxation times of the perturbation of the corresponding thermal state in the conformal field theory on the boundary.

It would be desirable to analyse the Kerr AdS black holes in deeper detail and see how the frequencies relate to the thermodynamical properties of the black holes and whether there is a direct interpretation in terms of the CFT . In particular, it would be interesting to look for special behaviour along the phase transition line and to examine the non-axisymmetric case to find out what happens to the super radiant modes.

It is also worth mentioning that, although black hole solutions in higher dimensions than AdS_4 have been found [45, 46], the calculation of their quasinormal frequencies has never been done. Therefore an extension of our work to AdS_5 and AdS_7 , where the AdS/CFT correspondence can be still formulated, seems possible.




FIG. 10: The dependence of the imaginary part of the first non-special quasinormal mode frequency on the metric parameters is shown for $l = 2$ and $a/r_+ = 0.0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3$, where r_+ is the radius of the event horizon, κ represents the surface gravity of the black hole and α^{-1} is the Anti-de Sitter radius. The upper branch corresponds to larger values of r_+ than the lower branch.

Finally, it would be perhaps of some interest to further check if the ideas on black hole area quantisation and the relationship to highly damped quasinormal frequencies, can be translated into non-asymptotically flat spacetimes, as Anti-de Sitter space.

APPENDIX A: RECURRENCE RELATION FOR THE SERIES SOLUTION Z

In this appendix we describe in details how to derive the recurrence relation of the series solution Z for the radial Teukolsky equation associated to spin-2 perturbations of Kerr Anti-de Sitter black holes.

Starting from equation 3.26 for Z , we can write its derivatives with respect to x as

$$Z' = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n (-x_1)^{-n} n (x - x_1)^{n-1}$$

$$Z'' = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n (-x_1)^{-n} n (n-1) (x - x_1)^{n-2}.$$

We re-write Eq. 3.27 as

$$pZ'' + (2iq - p')Z' + (6ia^2x\omega - 6Mx + 6a^2x^2 - \lambda)Z = 0. \quad (\text{A1})$$

Next, consider expressions 3.20 and 3.21 for $q(x)$ and $p(x)$ and call $C(x)$ and $D(x)$ the coefficients of Z' and Z in Eq. A1,

$$p(x)Z'' + C(x)Z' + D(x)Z = 0, \quad (\text{A2})$$

and let us develop all these coefficients as Taylor series around $x = x_1 = 1/r_+$, which is the inverse of the radial coordinate of the event horizon of the black hole. We have,

$$p(x) = \alpha^2 + (1 + a^2\alpha^2)x^2 - 2Mx^3 + a^2x^4, \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$C(x) = -2i\omega(1 + a^2x^2) - p'(x) \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$D(x) = 6ia^2x\omega - 6Mx + 6a^2x^2 - \lambda \quad (\text{A5})$$

Note that $p(x_1) = 0$. In fact $p(x) = \Delta_r x^4$ and x_1 is, by definition, the largest root of $\Delta_r(x)$.

When, in Eq. A1, we replace its coefficients with their Taylor expansions and insert into the same equation the series expressions for Z and its first and second derivatives, we obtain the recurrence relation,

$$\alpha_n a_{n+1} + \beta_n a_n + \gamma_n a_{n-1} + \delta_n a_{n-2} = 0, \quad (\text{A6})$$

where the coefficients are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n &= (-x_1)^{-(n+1)} [p'(x_1)n(n+1) + C(x_1)(n+1)] \\ \beta_n &= (-x_1)^{-n} \left[\frac{1}{2}p''(x_1)n(n-1) + C'(x_1)(n-1) + D(x_1) \right] \\ \gamma_n &= (-x_1)^{-(n-1)} \left[\frac{1}{6}p'''(x_1)(n-1)(n-2) + \frac{1}{2}C''(x_1)(n-1) + D'(x_1) \right] \\ \delta_n &= (-x_1)^{-(n-2)} [a^2(n-2)(n-3) - 4a^2(n-2) + 6a^2] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7})$$

and we impose the initial conditions, $a_{-2} = a_{-1} = 0$ and $a_0 = 1$. Note that

$$p'(x_1) = -2(1 + a^2x_1^2)\kappa \quad (\text{A8})$$

where κ is the surface gravity.

We re-scale all the parameters with respect to $x = x_1$, so that $\hat{a} = ax_1$ etc. The re-scaled mass

$$\hat{M} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \hat{a}^2)(1 + \hat{\alpha}^2) \quad (\text{A9})$$

and the re-scaled surface gravity

$$\hat{\kappa} = \frac{1 + 3\hat{\alpha}^2 - \hat{a}^2 + \hat{\alpha}^2\hat{a}^2}{2(1 + \hat{a}^2)}. \quad (\text{A10})$$

Substituting everything into the equations for the coefficients of our recurrence relation, we finally obtain

$$\alpha_n = 2(n^2 - 1)\hat{\kappa}(1 + \hat{a}^2) + (n + 1)2i\hat{\omega}(1 + \hat{a}^2), \quad (\text{A11})$$

$$\beta_n = n(n - 3)(6\hat{a}^2 - 6\hat{M} + 1 + \hat{a}^2\hat{\alpha}^2) - 2(n - 3)2i\hat{\omega}\hat{a}^2 + (6\hat{a}^2 - 6\hat{M} - \lambda), \quad (\text{A12})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_n &= (n - 2)(n - 4)(2\hat{M} - 4\hat{a}^2) + (n - 4)2i\hat{\omega}\hat{a}^2, \\ \delta_n &= (n - 4)(n - 5)\hat{a}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A13})$$

Notice is that, since we are considering Anti-de Sitter space with a negative cosmological constant $\alpha^2 > 0$,

$$\hat{\kappa} > \frac{(1 - \hat{a}^2)}{2(1 + \hat{a}^2)}. \quad (\text{A14})$$

This is the same limit mentioned in [14] for Schwarzschild Anti-de Sitter black holes.

-
- [1] G. 't Hooft, gr-qc/9310026 (1993)
 - [2] L. Susskind, J. Math. Phys. **36**, 6377 (1995)
 - [3] J. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231 (1998)
 - [4] S.S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov, A. M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. B **428**, 105 (1998)
 - [5] E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 253 (1998)
 - [6] O. Aharony, S.S. Gubser, J. Maldacena, H. Ooguri, Y. Oz, Phys. Rept. **323**, 183 (2000)
 - [7] J. L. Petersen, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **14**, 3597 (1999)
 - [8] U.H. Danielsson, E. Keski-Vakkuri, M. Kruczeski, Nucl. Phys. B **563**, 279 (1999)

- [9] S. Kalyana Rama, B. Sathiapalan, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **14** 2635 (1999)
- [10] D. Birmingham, I. Sachs, S. N. Solodukhin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 151301 (2002)
- [11] D. T. Son, A. O. Starinets, JHEP **0209**, 042 (2002)
- [12] G.T. Horowitz, and V. Hubeny, Phys. Rev. **D62**, 0240027 (2000)
- [13] V. Cardoso, J.P.S. Lemos, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 084017 (2001)
- [14] I.G. Moss, J.P. Norman, Class. Quant. Grav. **19**, 2323 (2002)
- [15] E. Berti, K.D. Kokkotas, Phys. Rev. **D67**, 064020 (2003)
- [16] S. Hod, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 4293 (2003)
- [17] J. Bekenstein, Lett. Nuovo Cimento **11**, 467 (1974)
- [18] J. Bekenstein, *Cosmology and Gravitation* edited by M. Novello (Atlassciences, France 2000), pp. 1-85, gr-qc/9808028 (1998)
- [19] H. P. Nollert, Phys. Rev D **47**, 5253 (1992)
- [20] V. Cardoso, J. Natario, R. Sciappa, hep-th/0403132 (2004)
- [21] R. M. Wald, *General Relativity* (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1984)
- [22] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D **15** 2738n (1977)
- [23] F. Mellor and I. G. Moss, Class. Quantum Grav. **6** 1379 (1989)
- [24] S.W. Hawking, C.J. Hunter, M.M. Taylor-Robinson, Phys. Rev D **59**, 064005 (1999)
- [25] M. M. Calarelli, G. Cognola and D. Klemm, Class. Quant. Grav. **17**, 399 (2000)
- [26] G. W. Gibbons, M. J. Perry and C. N. Pope, hep-th/0408217
- [27] S. W. Hawking, G. F. R. Ellis, *The large scale structure of space-time*, (Cambridge University Press, 1973)
- [28] B. Carter, Commun. Math. Phys. **10**, 280 (1968)
- [29] M. Demianski, Acta Astron. **23**, 211(1973)
- [30] C.M. Chambers, I.G Moss, Class. Quantum Grav. **11**, 1035 (1994)
- [31] S. Chandrasekhar, *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes* (Claredon, Oxford, 1983)
- [32] M. Giammatteo, gr-qc/0303011 (2003)
- [33] Y. Mino, M. Sasaki, M. Shibata, H. Tagoshi, T. Tanaka, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl **128**, (1997)
- [34] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukoslky, B.F. Flannery, and W.T. Vetterling, *Numerical Recipes, The Art of Scientific Computing*, (Cambridge University Press, 1986)
- [35] M. Abramowitz, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, (Dover Publications, inc., New York, 1965)
- [36] G.B. Arfken, H.J. Weber, *Mathematical methods for physicists*, (Academic Press, London 1995)
- [37] V. Cardoso, O.J.C. Dias, Phys Rev. D **70**, 084011 (2004)
- [38] V. Cardoso, R. Konoplya, J.P.S. Lemos, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 044024 (2003)
- [39] S.W. Hawking, Don N. Page, Commun. Math. Phys. **87**, 577 (1983)
- [40] M. Banados, A. Gomberoff, C. Martinez, Class. Quant. Grav. **15**, 3575 (1998)
- [41] M. Banados, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 1068 (1998)
- [42] S.W Hawking, C.J. Hunter, M.M. Taylor-Robinson, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 064005 (1999)
- [43] S.W. Hawking, H.S. Reall, Phys. Rev D **61**, 0240014 (2000)
- [44] D. Birmingham, Class. Quant. Grav. **16**, 1197 (1999)
- [45] A.M. Awad, C.V. Johnson, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 124023 (2001)
- [46] A.M. Awad, Class. Quant. Grav. **20**, 2827 (2003)
- [47] A MAPLE worksheet is available from the authors