# Som e R em arks on the Coupling P rescription of Teleparallel G ravity

R.A.M osna and J.G.P ereira $^y$ 

#### A bstract

By using a nonholonom ic moving frame version of the general covariance principle, an active version of the equivalence principle, an analysis of the gravitational coupling prescription of teleparallel gravity is made. It is shown that the coupling prescription determined by this principle is always equivalent with the corresponding prescription of general relativity, even in the presence of fermions. An application to the case of a D irac spinor is made.

K eyw ords: teleparallel gravity, gravitational coupling, spinor elds, D irac equation.

Instituto de F sica G leb W ataghin, U niversidade E stadual de C am pinas, 13083-970, C am pinas SP, B razil. A lso at D epartam ento de M atem atica A plicada, U niversidade E stadual de C am pinas, C am pinas SP, B razil. E-m ail: m osna@ i unicam p.br

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>y</sup> Instituto de F sica Teorica, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Rua Pamplona 145, 01405-900 Sao Paulo SP, Brazil. E-mail: pereira@ift.unesp.br

# 1 Introduction: The principle of general covariance

The principle of equivalence rests on the equality of inertial and gravitational masses. It establishes the local equivalence between gravitational and inertial elects on all physical systems. An alternative version of this principle is the so-called principle of general covariance [1]. It states basically that a physical equation will hold in a gravitational eld if it is generally covariant, that is, if it preserves its form under a general transformation  $x \,!\, x^0$  of the spacetime coordinates. Of course, in the absence of gravitation, it must agree with the corresponding law of special relativity. The rst statement can be considered as the active part of the principle in the sense that, by making a special relativity equation covariant, it is possible to obtain its form in the presence of gravitation. The second statement can be interpreted as its passive part in the sense that the special relativity equation must be recovered in the absence of gravitation. It is in portant to notice that, as is well known, any physical equation can be made covariant through a transformation to an arbitrary coordinate system. What the general covariance principle states is that, due to its general covariance, this physical equation will be true in a gravitational eld if it is true in the absence of gravitation [2]. In other words, to get a physical equation that holds in the presence of gravitation, the active and the passive parts of the principle must be true.

In order to make an equation generally covariant, new ingredients are necessary: A metric tensor and a connection, which are in principle inertial properties of the coordinate system under consideration. Then, by using the equivalence between inertial and gravitational elects, instead of inertial properties, these quantities can be assumed to represent a true gravitational eld. In this way, equations valid in the presence of a gravitational eld are obtained from the corresponding free equations. This is the reason why the general covariance principle can be considered as an active version of the passive equivalence principle. In fact, whereas the former says how, starting from a special relativity equation, to obtain the corresponding equation valid in the presence of gravitation, the latter deals with the reverse argument, that is, it says that in any locally inertial coordinate system, the equations valid in the presence of gravitation must reduce to the corresponding equations valid in special relativity.

The above description of the general covariance principle refers to its usual holonom ic version. An alternative, more general version of the principle can be obtained in the context of nonholonom ic moving frames. The basic dierence between these two versions is that, instead of requiring that an equation be covariant under a general transformation of the spacetime coordinates, in the moving frame version the equation is required to preserve its form under a local Lorentz rotation of the frame. Of course, in spite of the dierent nature of the involved transformations, the physical content of both approaches are the same [3].

It is important to emphasize that the principle of general covariance is not an invariance principle, but simply a statement about the eccts of gravitation. However, when use is made of the

equivalence between inertial and gravitational e ects, the principle is seen to naturally yield a gravitational coupling prescription. By using a moving frame version of this principle, the basic purpose of this paper will then be to determ ine the form of the coupling prescription of teleparallel gravity implied by the general covariance principle.

## 2 Moving frames and associated structures

We begin by introducing in this section the strictly necessary concepts associated with moving frames. Let M be a 4-manifold representing our physical spacetime. It is well-known that, if we want to introduce spinors in this context, the existence of a global moving frame of context on M must be assumed [5]. A metric g can be dened on M, according to which the elements of feag are orthonormal vector elds. More precisely, we dene g such that  $g_x(e_a;e_b;a) = for each x 2 M$ , with f(ab) = f(ag) = f(ab) = f(ag) =

$$\theta = h^a e_a$$

for certain functions  $h^a$  on U. This immediately yields  $g := g(0;0) = h^a h^b$  ab. Let us then see how the global basis of vector elds  $fe_a g$  gives rise to both a Riemannian and a teleparallel structures on M.

#### 2.1 Riemannian structure

This is obtained by noting that the metric g on M de ness a unique metric-compatible torsion-free connection, which we denote by r. This is the so-called Levi-C ivita connection on (M;g). It has a possibly nonvanishing curvature

$$R(X;Y)e_a = (r_Xr_Y r_Xr_Y r_X_Y)e_a;$$

and its torsion vanishes identically:

$$T(X;Y) = r_X Y r_Y X [X;Y] 0:$$

 ${\tt W}$  e see in this way that a tetrad can be used to de ne a R iem annian structure on  ${\tt M}$  .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The name teleparallel gravity is normally used to denote the general three-parameter theory introduced in [4]. Here, however, we use it as a synonymous for the teleparallel equivalent of general relativity, which is the theory obtained for a speci c choice of these parameters.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ W e use the G reek alphabet ; ; = 0;1;2;3 to denote holonom ic spacetime indices, and the Latin alphabet a;b;c; = 0;1;2;3 to denote anholonom ic indices related to the tangent M inkow ski spaces.

## 2.2 Teleparallel structure

$$r^{w} (X^{a}e_{a}) = (0 X^{a})e_{a}.$$
 (1)

The connection r has null curvature R, but in general a non-trivial torsion T. In fact,

$$R (X;Y)e_a = (r_X r_Y r_X r_Y r_X r_Y r_X r_Y)e_a 0;$$

for  $r_X^w e_a = 0$ , 8X . A lso, the W eitzenbock connection yields

$$T(e_a; e_b) = [e_a; e_b] f_{ab}^{\ c} e_c;$$
 (2)

with  $f_{ab}{}^c$  the coe cient of nonholonomy of the basis fe  ${}_ag$ . Notice that  $f_{ab}{}^c$  can be expressed in terms of the tetrad components  $h_a$  by

$$f_{ab}^{\ c} = (h_a \ 0 \ h_b \ h_b \ 0 \ h_a)h^c :$$
 (3)

Now, rem ember that a local basis  $fe_ag$  of vector elds can be expressed as a coordinate basis only if  $f_{ab}{}^c = 0$ . Therefore, the fact that  $\overset{\text{w}}{r}$  has a non-null torsion is closely related to the non-holonom icity of the tetrad  $fe_ag$ . It is also important to note that  $\overset{\text{w}}{r}$  is compatible with the above de ned metric g, i.e.  $g_x (e_a \, \dot{k}_i; e_b \, \dot{k}_i) = b_{ab}$  for all  $x \, 2 \, M$ . In fact, it follows from Eq. (1) that  $(g(X; Y)) = (X^a Y^b)_{ab} = g(Y^a X; Y^a) + g(X^a Y^b)$ .

#### 2.3 Relation between the structures

As we have seen, each moving frame feag gives rise to both a Levi-Civita (R  $\in$  0; T = 0) and a W eitzenbock (R = 0; T  $\in$  0) connection in M. It is important to keep in m ind that, strictly speaking, curvature and torsion are properties of a connection, and not of spacetime [6]. Notice, for example, that both the Levi-Civita and the W eitzenbock connections are dened on the very same metric spacetime. The dierence between these connections denes the contorsion tensor K (we recall that the space of connections is an ane space [7]). More precisely, dening the connection coe cients r @ = @ and r ea =  $a^b e_b$ , with analogous expressions for r, we have

$$^{\text{w}} = + \overset{\text{w}}{K} ; \qquad (4)$$

with

$$K_{abc} = \frac{1}{2} T_{cab} + T_{cba} T_{bac}$$
 (5)

and  $T(e_a; e_b) = T_{ab}^{W} c_c$ . From Eq. (4) it follows two important properties. First, if we choose a local Lorentz frame at a certain point x 2 M (free fall), we have that  $\dot{j}_x = 0$ , and consequently  $\dot{j}_x = K \dot{j}_x$ . Second, seen from the tetrad frame  $fe_a g$ , we have that  $\dot{j}_x = 0$ , and thus  $\dot{j}_x = 0$ , and thus  $\dot{j}_x = 0$ . Notice that this relation holds in this particular frame. This justimes the apparent equality between an ane and a tensor quantities in this expression.

## 3 Gravitational coupling prescriptions

In this section, we use the general covariance principle to study the gravitational coupling prescription of teleparallel gravity. We start by brie y review ing the usual holonom ic coordinate version of the principle, as well as the coupling prescription it in plies for the specie case of general relativity.

### 3.1 General covariance principle: holonom ic coordinate form ulation

Let us consider the M inkowski spacetime (special relativity) endowed with an inertial reference frame with global coordinates fx g. The motion of a free particle is then described by

$$\frac{d^2x}{ds^2} = 0: ag{6}$$

In term s of general curvilinear coordinates fx g, the corresponding equation of motion is given by

$$\frac{d^2x}{ds^2} + \frac{dx}{ds} \frac{dx}{ds} = 0; (7)$$

where the coe cients are the Christo elsymbols associated with the transformation x ! x. More explicitly,

$$=\frac{1}{2}$$
  $\frac{0}{0x} + \frac{0}{0x}$   $\frac{0}{0x}$  ;

where is the expression of the same at metric, but now written in terms of the curvilinear coordinates x, that is, = dx dx = dx dx.

The general covariance principle can now be invoked to formulate the physical and non-trivial hypothesis that the metric can be replaced by a true gravitational eld g , and consequently will represent a dynamical eld, given now by

$$=\frac{1}{2}g \qquad \frac{\varrho g}{\varrho_V} + \frac{\varrho g}{\varrho_V} \qquad \frac{\varrho g}{\varrho_V} \quad ;$$

with its own degrees of freedom. Of course, the metric gravitational vacuum and y are inertial coordinates. Since is the symmetric connection, we can say that the general covariance principle leads naturally to the coupling of General Relativity.

Notice that the minimal coupling prescription is already contained in the above analysis. To see that, consider a vector eld with local expression X @, where x are inertial coordinates in at spacetime, as above. Consider the variation @ X of X in the direction given by the coordinate x. The corresponding expression in curvilinear coordinates fx g is easily seen to be

$$D X := 0 X + X :$$
 (8)

Under the hypothesis that in the presence of gravity the corresponding expression is that obtained by replacing by the dynamical eld , we see immediately that

$$0 X ! D X = 0 X + X$$

couples gravity to the original eld @X. This is the well known m in imal coupling prescription of general relativity. The general covariance principle, therefore, says that gravitation is m in imally coupled to matter through the Levi-C ivita connection.

#### 3.2 General covariance principle: nonholonom ic form ulation

We start again with the special relativity spacetime endowed with the Minkowskian metric. If fx g are inertial Cartesian coordinates in at spacetime, the basis of (coordinate) vector elds f0 g is then a global orthonormal coordinate basis for the at spacetime. The frame  $_a = _a 0$  can then be thought of as a trivial tetrad, with components  $_a$  (K ronecker delta). Consider now a local (that is, point-dependent) Lorentz transformation  $_a^b(x)$ , yielding the new moving frame

$$e_a = e_a @; (10)$$

w here

$$e_a (x) = {}_a (x) = {}_b (x) =$$

Notice that, on account of the locality of the Lorentz transform ation, the new moving frame  $e_a = e_a$  @ is possibly anholonomous, with

$$[e_a; e_b] = f_{ab}^{\ c} e_c$$
: (12)

For this kind of tetrads, de ned on at spacetime, it follows from Eq. (3) that

$$Q_a (b^d)^c_d = \frac{1}{2} (f^c_{ab} + f^c_{ba} f_{ba}^c);$$
 (13)

where  $e_a = e_a$  denotes the ordinary directional derivative along  $e_a$ , and  $e_b = e_a$ .

The free particle worldline is a curve : R ! M, with  $_= (dx = ds)@$  the particle 4-velocity. In terms of the moving frame  $fe_ag$ , we have  $_= V^ae_a$ , where  $(dx = ds) = e_a V^a$ . Seen from the moving frame, a straightforward calculation shows that the free equation of motion (6) can be written in the form

$$\frac{dV^{c}}{ds} + \frac{1}{2} (f^{c}_{ab} + f^{c}_{ba} - f^{c}_{ba}) V^{a} V^{b} = 0;$$
(14)

where use has been made of Eq. (13). It is important to emphasize that, although we are in the at spacetime of special relativity, we are free to choose any tetrad  $fe_ag$  as a moving frame. The fact that, for each  $x \ge M$ , the frame  $fe_a$  is gain be arbitrarily rotated introduces the compensating term  $\frac{1}{2}$  ( $f^c_{ab} + f^c_{ba} - f_{ba}^c$ ) in the free particle equation of motion.

Let us now assume that, in the presence of gravity, it is possible to de ne a global moving frame feagon M. As we have seen in the preceding section, such moving frame gives rise to both a Riemannian and a teleparallel structures. The hypothesis to be made here is that according to the general covariance principle the coecient of nonholonomy can be assumed to represent a true gravitational eld. In the context of general relativity, as is well known, we make the identication [8]

$$\frac{1}{2} (f^{c}_{ab} + f^{c}_{ba} \quad f_{ba}^{c}) = {}_{ab}^{c};$$
 (15)

where  $ab^{c}$  is the R icci coe cient of rotation, the torsionless spin connection of general relativity. In this case, the equation of motion (14) becomes

$$\frac{dV^{c}}{ds} + {}_{ab}{}^{c}V^{a}V^{b} = 0; (16)$$

which is the geodesic equation of general relativity. To obtain the equation of motion in the teleparallel case, we have to identify, in accordance with Eq. (2), the coe cient of anholonomy  $f_{ab}^{c}$  with minus the torsion tensor:

$$f_{ab}^{\ c} = \quad T_{ab}^{\ w}^{\ c} : \tag{17}$$

A coordingly, the equation of motion (14) becomes

$$\frac{dV^{c}}{ds} = K_{ab}^{c} V^{a} V^{b} = 0;$$
(18)

where Eq. (5) has been used. This is the force equation of teleparallel gravity [9]. Of course, it is equivalent to the geodesic equation (16) in the sense that both describe the same physical trajectory.

Now, the above procedure can be employed to obtain a coupling prescription for gravitation. Consider again a vector eld X with local expression X @, where x are inertial coordinates in at spacetime. Then, the variation of the components of X = X @ in the -direction is trivially given by @ X . Still in the context of at spacetime, let us again consider a more general tetrad  $e_a = e_a$  @ as in Eqs. (10) and (11). Let us denote X = X @ = X  $^ae_a$ ; where X  $^a = e^a$  X . Now, the variation of the components X  $^a$  must take into account the intrinsic variation of feag. A straightforward calculation shows that [@ X ]@ = @ X  $^c$  + (@  $e_b$ )  $e^c$  X  $^b$   $e_c$  = @ X  $^c$  +  $e^a$   $e_a$  ( $e_b$ )  $e_c$  It follows from Eq. (13) that

$$[0 \ X \ ]0 = 0 \ X^{c} + e^{a} \frac{1}{2} (f^{c}_{ab} + f^{c}_{ba} \ f_{ba}^{c}) X^{b} e_{c}$$

Now, by considering again the general covariance principle, we assume that the presence of gravity is obtained by

- (i) replacing  $e_a$  by a nontrivial tetrad  $ehd\ h_a$  , which gives rise to a Riemannian metric  $g=a_bh^a\ h^b$  , and
  - (ii) replacing the coe cient of anholonomy either by Eq. (15) or (17). In the rst case we obtain

$$@ X^{c}! D X^{c} = @ X^{c} + {}_{b}{}^{c}X^{b};$$
 (19)

which is the usual minimal coupling prescription of general relativity. In the second case we obtain

$$Q X^{c} ! D X^{c} = Q X^{c} K_{b}^{c} X^{b};$$
 (20)

which gives the teleparallel coupling prescription of the vector eld to gravity [10].

The covariant derivative (20) can alternatively be written in the form

D 
$$X^{c} = @ X^{c} - \frac{i_{x}^{w}}{2} = (S_{ab})^{c}_{d} X^{d};$$
 (21)

with [11]

$$(S_{ab})^{c}_{d} = i(a^{c}_{abd} b^{c}_{bad})$$
 (22)

the vector representation of the Lorentz generators. For a eld belonging to an arbitrary representation of the Lorentz group, it assumes the form

$$D = Q \qquad \frac{i}{2}K^{W} \qquad ab \qquad ab; \qquad (23)$$

with  $_{ab}$  denoting a general representation of the Lorentz generators. This covariant derivative de nest he teleparallel coupling prescription of elds carrying an arbitrary representation of the Lorentz group.

# 4 Dirac spinor eld

## 4.1 Dirac equation

We consider now the speci c case of a D irac spinor [12], and apply the general covariance principle at the level of the Lagrangian formulation. Once again, let fx g be inertial Cartesian coordinates in at spacetime, so that f@ g is an orthonormal coordinate basis for the at spacetime. Let represent a spin-1/2 eld with respect to this frame. The D irac equation in at spacetime can then be obtained from the Lagrangian (we use units in which  $\sim = c = 1$ )

$$L_{M} = \frac{i}{2} ' a_{a} @ ' @ ' a_{a} ' m'';$$
 (24)

Let us consider now, as in section 32, a bcalLorentz transform ation  $a^b(x)$ , yielding them oving fram  $e e_a = e_a$  @ de ned by Eqs. (10) and (11). Substituting  $a = b_a^b e_b$ , Eq. (24) reads

$$L_{M} = \frac{i}{2} ' a b_{a} Q_{b}' Q_{b}' a b_{a}' m'';$$
 (25)

Taking into account the identity [13]

$$a \quad b = L \quad L \quad ;$$
 (26)

with L amatrix representing an element of the covering group  $Spin_+$  (1;3) of the restricted Lorentz group, we get

$$L_{M} = \frac{i}{2} '_{e} ^{a} @_{a} (@_{a}L)L^{1} '_{e} '_{e} @_{a} + (@_{a}L)L^{1} ^{a} _{e} m'_{e}'_{e}; \qquad (27)$$

where  $'_e = L'$  is the representative of the spinor eld with respect to the moving frame  $fe_ag$ , and  $(e_aL)L^{-1}$  is a connection term that appears due to the anholonom icity of the tetrad frame. A straightforward calculation shows that (see Appendix)

$$(e_a L) L^1 = \frac{i}{8} (f_{cab} + f_{cba} f_{bac})^{bc};$$

with  $\frac{bc}{2} = \frac{i}{4}[b; c]$  the spinor representation of the Lorentz generators. Substituting into Eq. (27), it becomes

$$L_{M} = \frac{i^{h}}{2}'_{e} \stackrel{a}{=} \frac{!}{!}_{e} (f_{cab} + f_{cba} f_{bac}) \stackrel{bc}{=} '_{e}$$

$$'_{e} \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{i}{8} (f_{cab} + f_{cba} f_{bac}) \stackrel{bc}{=} "_{e} m'_{e}'_{e}:$$

Now, by considering again the general covariance principle as in section 32, we assume that the presence of gravitation in teleparallel gravity is obtained by replacing  $e_d$  by a nontrivial tetrad eld  $h_d$ , which gives rise to a Riemannian metric  $g_{ab}h^ah^b$ , and the coefcient of anholonomy by minus the torsion tensor:  $f_{ab}{}^c = T_{ab}{}^c$ . In addition, the spinor eld  $f_a$ , as seen from the moving frame  $f_a$ , is to be replaced by a spinor eld  $f_a$  as seen from the corresponding frame in the presence of gravitation. This yields the following matter Lagrangian, corresponding to the Dirac equation for teleparallel gravity

$$L_{M} = \frac{i}{2} \qquad {}^{a} \quad {}^{0}_{a} \quad \frac{i}{4} K_{abc} \qquad {}^{bc} \qquad \qquad {}^{0}_{a} + \frac{i}{4} K_{abc} \qquad {}^{bc} \qquad {}^{a} \qquad {}^{m} \qquad ;$$

where Eq. (5) has been used. Equivalently, we can write

$$L_{M} = \frac{i}{2} \quad h_{a} \quad {}^{a}D \qquad D \quad h_{a} \quad {}^{a} \qquad m \quad ; \tag{28}$$

with the teleparallel version of the Fock-Ivanenko derivative operator given by [14]

$$D = Q = \frac{i}{4} K_{bc}^{w}$$
; (29a)

$$D = 0 + \frac{1}{4} K_{bc}^{w}$$
 (29b)

This gives the coupling prescription for spin-1/2 elds in the teleparallel form alism. Moreover, in terms of the underlying Riem annian structure, Eq. (29a) can be rewritten in the form

$$D = @ + \frac{i}{4}$$
 ab  $bc$ ;

which is the well-known m in imal coupling prescription of general relativity, as dened by the usual Fock-Ivanenko derivative.

A straightforward calculation shows that the matter Lagrangian (28) gives rise to the equation of motion

$$i^{a}h_{a}D = m ; (30)$$

which is the D irac equation in teleparallel gravity. It is interesting to note that Eq. (30) can also be obtained directly from the at spacetime D irac equation

$$i^a = 0 = m$$

by substituting @ and a with D and  $h_a$ . This is a distinguished feature of the coupling considered here, since it is well known that the usual m in m all coupling prescription in R iem annocartan spacetimes leads to dierent results w hen applied to the Lagrangian or to the eld equations [15].

#### 4.2 Irreducible decomposition for torsion

We decompose now the torsion tensor in irreducible components under the global Lorentz group,

$$T_{abc} = \frac{1}{3} (T_{a bc} T_{b ac}) + q_{abc} \frac{1}{6} abcd S^d;$$

where  $T_a = T_{ab}{}^b$  is the torsion trace,  $S^d = {}^{abcd}T_{abc}$  is the torsion pseudo-trace, also called axial torsion, and  $q_{abc}$  has null trace and null pseudo-trace. A straightforward calculation then yields

$$\frac{i}{4}K_{abc}^{abc} = a \frac{1}{2}T_a \frac{i}{8}S_a^5$$
;

where  $^{5} = _{5} = i^{0} ^{1} ^{2} ^{3}$ . A fter substituting in Eq. (30), we get (see also [16])

(x) 
$$i\theta + \frac{i}{2}T + \frac{1}{8}S$$
 = m; (31)

where  $(x) = h_a$  a. This is the D irac equation in the teleparallel form alism in terms of irreducible components for torsion.

In contrast to the usual General Relativity form alism, where the gravitational coupling is given by the annequantities  $a^b$ , in the above equation all coupling terms have a tensorial or pseudotensorial nature. We observe that this is not a contradiction, since in the teleparallel formalism a global moving frame feag is xed. This xes, in a sense, the gauge corresponding to the ane transformations in  $a^b$ . As a result, the Dirac equation exhibits a simpler nal form, since the gravitational coupling is then realized through vector (T) and pseudo-vector (S) quantities. It is interesting to notice that, if is a state with a denite parity (say, P = +), then the axial torsion S will couple to the state with opposite parity  $^5$ .

## 5 Final remarks

The general covariance principle can be considered as an active version of the passive equivalence principle. In fact, whereas the form er says how, starting with a special relativity equation, to obtain the corresponding equation valid in the presence of gravitation, the latter deals with the reverse argument, namely, that in a locally inertial coordinate system any equation of general relativity must reduce to the corresponding equation of special relativity. More specically, what the general covariance principle states is that any physical equation can be made covariant through a transformation to an arbitrary coordinate system, and that, due to its general covariance, this physical equation will be true in a gravitational eld. Of course, the passive equivalence principle must also hold. Now, in order to make an equation generally covariant, new ingredients are necessary: A metric tensor and a connection, which are in principle inertial properties of the coordinate system. Then, by using the equivalence between inertial and gravitational ects, instead of inertial properties, these quantities can be assumed to represent a true gravitational eld. In this way, equations valid in the presence of a gravitational eld can be obtained.

The above description of the general covariance principle refers to its usual holonom ic version. An alternative, more general version of the principle can be obtained in the context of nonholonom ic moving frames, whose application is mandatory, for example, in the presence of spinor elds. The basic dierence between these two approaches is that, instead of requiring that an equation be covariant under a general coordinate transformation, in the moving frame version the equation is required to preserve its form under a local Lorentz rotation of the frame. Of course, in spite of the dierent nature of the involved transformations, the physical content of both approaches is the same.

An important property of the general covariance principle is that it naturally yields a coupling prescription of any eld to gravitation. In other words, it yields the form of the spin connection appearing in the covariant derivative. By using the nonholonom ic version of this principle, we have found that the spin connection of teleparallel gravity is given by m inus the contorsion tensor, in which case the coupling prescription of teleparallel gravity becomes always equivalent to the

corresponding prescription of general relativity, even in the presence of spinor elds.

Finally, we remark that the form of the coupling prescription for spinor elds in teleparallel gravity has been a matter of recurrent interest [14, 17, 18] (see also [19, 20]). In [17], the authors argue that, when applied to teleparallel gravity, the minimal coupling associated with the Weitzenbock connection leads to some inconsistencies. As shown in [18], such problems do not arise if the adopted teleparallel coupling is equivalent to the corresponding prescription of General Relativity. The analysis presented here shows that such coupling naturally follows naturally from the general covariance principle.

## A cknow ledgm ents

RAM thanks W . A . Rodrigues for useful discussions. The authors are grateful to FAPESP and CNPq for nancial support.

## A ppendix

As we have already mentioned, L is an element of Spin<sub>+</sub> (1;3), the covering of the restricted Lorentz group. Thus,  $(@_aL)L^{-1}$  belongs to the corresponding Lie algebra, which is generated by  $\frac{bc}{2} = \frac{i}{4}[b; c]$ . Therefore, we can write

$$(\theta_a L) L^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}!_{abc} \frac{bc}{2};$$
 (32)

for certain functions  $!_{abc}$  satisfying  $!_{abc} = !_{acb}$ . These functions are actually Lorentz-valued connections representing the gravitational vacuum, that is, a connection accounting for the frame anholonomy only.

Let us take now the identity (26). Taking the derivative on both sides,

$$(@_aL) \ ^eL \ ^1 + L \ ^e@_aL \ ^1 = (@_a \ _d^e) \ ^d;$$

which is equivalent to

$$d^{e}$$
 (@aL)L 1;  $d^{d} = (@a d^{e})^{d}$ :

Substituting (32), we get

$$\frac{1}{2}!_{abc} d^{e} \frac{bc}{2}; d = (@_{a} d^{e})^{d}$$
:

Using the commutation relation  $\frac{h}{2}$ ;  $d = i(^{cd \ b} \ ^{bd \ c})$ , we get

$$!_{ab}^{c} = i(@_{a} b^{d}) c_{d}$$
:

It follows from (13) that

$$!_{ab}^{c} = \frac{i}{2} (f_{ab}^{c} + f_{ba}^{c} f_{ba}^{c});$$

which nally implies

$$(\theta_a L) L^{-1} = \frac{i}{4} (f_{cab} + f_{cba} - f_{bac}) \frac{bc}{2}$$
: (33)

#### R eferences

- [1] Sciam a, D.W. (1964). The Physical Structure of General Relativity, Rev. Mcd. Phys. 36, 463.
- [2] Weinberg, S. (1972). Gravitation and Cosmology (Wiley, New York), p. 91.
- [3] Calcada, M. and Pereira, J.G. (2002). Gravitation and the Local Symmetry of Spacetime, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 41, 729 [gr-qc/0201059].
- [4] Hayashi, K.and Shirafirji, T. (1979). New General Relativity, Phys. Rev. D 19, 3524.
- [5] Geroch, R. (1968). Spinor Structure of Space—Times in General Relativity I, J. Math. Phys. 9, 1739.
- [6] Aldrovandi, R. and Pereira, J. G. (1995). An Introduction to Geometrical Physics (World Scientic, Singapore).
- [7] Kobayashi, S. and Nomizu, K. (1963). Foundations of Dierential Geometry, Vol. I (Wiley, New York).
- [8] Misner, C.W., Thome, K.S. and Wheeler, J.A. (1973). Gravitation (Freeman, New York).
- [9] de Andrade, V.C. and Pereira, J.G. (1997). Gravitational Lorentz Force and the Description of the Gravitational Interaction, Phys. Rev. D 56, 4689.
- [10] de Andrade, V.C. and Pereira, J.G. (1999). Torsion and the Electromagnetic Field, Int. J. M. od. Phys. D. 8, 141.
- [11] See, for example, Ramond, P. (1989). Field Theory: A Modern Primer, 2nd edition (Addison-Wesley, Redwood).
- [12] For a related discussion of the D irac equation on R iem annian spacetime, see C hapman, T.C. and Leiter, D.J. (1976). On the Generally Covariant D irac Equation, Am. J. Phys. 44, 858.
- [13] See, for example, Itzykson C. and Zuber, J.B. (1980). Quantum Field Theory (M oG raw Hill, New York).
- [14] de Andrade, V.C., Guillen, L.C.T. and Pereira, J.G. (2001). Teleparallel Spin Connection, Phys. Rev. D 64, 027502 [gr-qc/0104102].

- [15] Saa, A. (1993). On M in imal Coupling in Riemann-Cartan Space-Times, Mod. Phys. Lett. A8, 2565.
- [16] Zhang, C.M. and Beesham, A. (2001). Rotation Intrinsic Spin Coupling (The Parallelism Description, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 16, 2319 [gr-qc/0111075].
- [17] Obukhov, Y. N. and Pereira, J. G. (2003). Metric-A ne Approach to Teleparallel Gravity, Phys. Rev. D 67, 044016 [gr-qc/0212080].
- [18] Maluf, J.W. (2003). Dirac Spinor Fields in the Teleparallel Gravity: Comment on \Metric-A ne Approach to Teleparallel Gravity", Phys. Rev. D 67, 108501 [gr-qc/0304005].
- [19] M ielke, E.W. and Vassiliev, D. (2003). Consistent Coupling to Dirac Fields in Teleparallelism:

  Comment on \Metric-A ne Approach to Teleparallel Gravity", to appear in Phys. Rev. D.
- [20] Obukhov, Y.N. and Pereira, J.G. (2003). Lessons of Spin and Torsion: Reply to \Consistent Coupling to Dirac Fields in Teleparallelism", to appear in Phys. Rev. D.