

Energy Distribution of a Black Hole Solution in Heterotic String Theory

I. Radinschi*

Department of Physics, "Gh. Asachi" Technical University,
Iasi, 6600, Romania

The Date

Abstract

We calculate the energy distribution of a charged black hole solution in heterotic string theory in the Møller prescription.

Keywords: Møller energy-momentum complex, charged black hole solution in heterotic string theory

PACS: 04. 20 Dw, 04. 70. Bw

1 INTRODUCTION

One of the most interesting problem of relativity is the energy and momentum localization. The different attempts at constructing an energy-momentum density don't give a generally accepted expression. However, there are various energy-momentum complexes including those of Einstein [1]-[2], Landau and Lifshitz [3], Papapetrou [4], Bergmann [5], Weinberg [6] and Møller [7]. Cooperstock [8] gave his opinion that the energy and momentum are confined to the regions of non-vanishing energy-momentum tensor of the matter and all non-gravitational fields. Although, the energy-momentum complexes are coordinate dependent they can give a reasonable result. To get meaningful results for the energy distribution using the energy-momentum complexes of

*iradinsc@phys.tuiasi.ro

Einstein, Landau and Lifshitz, Papapetrou, Bergmann and Weinberg the calculations are carried out in Cartesian coordinates. Some interesting results recently obtained sustain this conclusion [9]-[14].

The Møller energy-momentum complex [7] no needs to carry out calculations in Cartesian coordinates so we can calculate in any coordinate system. Some results recently obtained [15]-[18] sustain that the Møller energy-momentum complex is a good tool for obtaining the energy distribution in a given space-time. Also, in his recent paper, Lessner [19] gave his opinion that the Møller definition is a powerful concept of energy and momentum in general relativity. Also, Chang, Nester and Chen [20] showed that the energy-momentum complexes are actually quasilocal and legitimate expression for the energy-momentum. They concluded that there exist a direct relationship between energy-momentum complexes and quasilocal expressions because every energy-momentum complexes is associated with a legitimate Hamiltonian boundary term.

Sen metric [24] is new and is becoming very important. So, we find to be of interest to study its energy distribution. The aim of this paper is to calculate the energy distribution of this charged black hole solution in heterotic string theory in the Møller prescription. We use geometrized units ($G = 1, c = 1$) and follow the convention that Latin indices run from 0 to 3.

2 ENERGY IN THE MØLLER PRESCRIPTION

The low-energy effective field theory describing string theory contains black hole (or, more generally, black p-brane) solutions which can have properties which are qualitatively different from those that appear in ordinary Einstein gravity [21].

Rotating charge-neutral black hole solutions can be constructed in string theory, and are identical to the Kerr solution [22] of ordinary Einstein gravity with the dilaton taking a constant value. Rotating charged black hole solutions in these theories have been analyzed [23] in the limit of small angular momentum.

The solution constructed by A. Sen [24] is an exact classical solution in the low-energy effective field theory, which describes a black hole carrying a finite amount of charge and angular momentum. The method he used is the

twisting procedure (see references [4]-[8] in [24]) that generates inequivalent classical solutions starting from a given classical solution of string theory. He generated the rotating charged black hole solution by starting from a rotating black hole solution carrying no charge, like the Kerr solution [22].

For the black hole solution obtained by A. Sen we consider the case when the angular momentum is zero. We have two cases.

In the first case, the metric (obtained from eq. (11) in [24]) is given by

$$ds^2 = \frac{(r^2 - 2mr)r^2}{(r^2 + 2mr \sinh^2(\frac{a}{2}))^2} dt^2 - \frac{r^2}{r^2 - 2mr} dr^2 - r^2 d\theta^2 - r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2, \quad (1)$$

where a is an arbitrary number. The metric given by (1) describes a black hole solution with mass M and charge Q given by $M = (\frac{m}{2})(1 + \cosh a)$ and, respectively, $Q = (\frac{m}{\sqrt{2}}) \sinh a$.

In the second case, the Einstein metric ($ds_E^2 = e^{-\Phi'} ds^2$, with Φ' given by eq. (12) in [24] and obtained from eq. (16) in [24]) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} ds_E^2 = & \frac{(r^2 - 2mr)}{(r^2 + 2mr \sinh^2(\frac{a}{2}))} dt^2 - \frac{r^2 + 2mr \sinh^2(\frac{a}{2})}{r^2 - 2mr} dr^2 - \\ & -(r^2 + 2mr \sinh^2(\frac{a}{2})) d\theta^2 - \\ & -(r^2 + 2mr \sinh^2(\frac{a}{2})) \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The Møller energy-momentum complex $V_i{}^k$ [7] is given by

$$V_i{}^k = \frac{1}{8\pi} \chi_i{}^{kl}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\chi_i{}^{kl} = -\chi_i{}^{lk} = \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{\partial g_{in}}{\partial x^m} - \frac{\partial g_{im}}{\partial x^n} \right) g^{km} g^{nl}. \quad (4)$$

Also, $V_i{}^k$ satisfies the local conservations laws

$$\frac{\partial V_i{}^k}{\partial x^k} = 0. \quad (5)$$

$V_0{}^0$ is the energy density and $V_\alpha{}^0$ are the momentum density components.

The energy is given by

$$E = \int \int \int V_0{}^0 dx^1 dx^2 dx^3 = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int \int \int \frac{\partial \chi_0^{0l}}{\partial x^l} dx^1 dx^2 dx^3. \quad (6)$$

For the metric given by (1) the χ_0^{01} component is given by

$$\chi_0^{01} = -2 \frac{m \sin \theta r (-2r \sinh^2(\frac{a}{2}) - r + 2m \sinh^2(\frac{a}{2}))}{(r + 2mr \sinh^2(\frac{a}{2}))^2}. \quad (7)$$

Using (6) and (7), after some calculations and applying the Gauss theorem we obtain the energy distribution

$$E = m \left(1 + \frac{a^2}{2} + \frac{a^4}{24} + \frac{a^6}{720} + O(a^7) \right). \quad (8)$$

The graphic representation for the energy distribution is given in the Fig. 1

From (8) we observe that the energy distribution depends on a . In the case $a = 0$ we obtain

$$E = m. \quad (9)$$

For the metric given by (2) the χ_0^{01} component is given by

$$\chi_0^{01} = 2 \frac{m \sin \theta r (1 + \sinh^2(\frac{a}{2}))}{r + 2m \sinh^2(\frac{a}{2})}. \quad (10)$$

Using (10) and (6), after some calculations and applying the Gauss theorem we obtain the energy distribution

$$E = m \left(1 + \frac{a^2}{4} + \frac{a^4}{48} + \frac{a^6}{1440} + O(a^7) \right). \quad (11)$$

For the energy distribution we have the graphic representation from the Fig. 2

Also, in this case if we have $a = 0$ we obtain

$$E = m. \quad (12)$$

3 DISCUSSION

Bondi [25] gave his opinion that a nonlocalizable form of energy is not admissible in relativity. As we pointed out [9]-[18], there are many important results recently obtained which sustain the view point of Bondi.

For the metrics given by (1) and (2) we obtained the expressions for the energy distribution in the Møller prescription given by (8) and (11). The first term is the same in both (8) and (11) and the second, the third and the fourth terms in (8) are twice than their values in (11). The energy distribution depends on the arbitrary number a . Also, because the dependence of m and $\sinh(\frac{a}{2})$ on the independent physical parameters M and Q (see eqs. (19) in [24]), the energy distribution depends on the mass M and charge Q of the black hole. In the case $a = 0$ we obtain that $E = m$. These results sustain that the Møller energy-momentum complex is a powerful tool for obtaining the energy distribution in a given space-time.

Sen metric represents black hole as well as naked singularities depending on the ratio of the mass to the electric charge parameters. Recently, Virbhadra and a very reputed general relativist Ellis, pioneered gravitational lensing by very strong fields of black holes as well as naked singularities[26] in order to test the well-known Cosmic Censorship Hypothesis of Roger Penrose. I suggest that these studies be repeated with the Sen metric. This will help testing the low energy string theory in comparison with the Einstein-Maxwell theory and will also show the role of the total mass parameter (calculated in this paper) on gravitational lensing phenomena.

References

- [1] C. Møller, Ann. Phys. (NY) **4**, 347 (1958); A. Trautman, in *Gravitation: an Introduction to Current Research*, ed. L. Witten (Wiley, New York, 1962, p. 169).
- [2] R. P. Wallner, Acta Physica Austriaca **52**, 121 (1980).
- [3] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields* (Pergamon Press, 1987, p. 280).
- [4] A. Papapetrou, Proc. R. Irish. Acad. **A52**, 11 (1948).
- [5] P. G. Bergmann and R. Thompson, Phys. Rev. **89**, 400 (1953).

- [6] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology: Principles and Applications of General Theory of Relativity* (John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1972, p. 165).
- [7] C. Møller, Ann. Phys. (NY) **4**, 347 (1958).
- [8] F. I. Cooperstock, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A14**, 1531 (1999).
- [9] K. S. Virbhadra, Phys. Rev. **D41**, 1086 (1990); K. S. Virbhadra, Phys. Rev. **D42**, 2919 (1990); Nathan Rosen and K. S. Virbhadra, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **25**, 429 (1993); K. S. Virbhadra and J. C. Parikh, Phys. Lett. **B317**, 312 (1993); K. S. Virbhadra and J. C. Parikh, Phys. Lett. **B331**, 302 (1994); K. S. Virbhadra, Pramana- J. Phys. **45**, 215 (1995); A. Chamorro and K. S. Virbhadra, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D5**, 251 (1996).
- [10] J. M. Aguirregabiria, A. Chamorro and K. S. Virbhadra, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **28**, 1393 (1996).
- [11] I. Radinschi, Acta Physica Slovaca, **49(5)**, 789 (1999).
- [12] I. Radinschi, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A**, **15**, Nos. 11&12, 803 (2000).
- [13] I-Ching Yang, Ching-Tzung Yeh, Rue-Ron Hsu and Chin-Rong Lee, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D6**, 349 (1997).
- [14] K. S. Virbhadra, Phys. Rev. **D60**, 104041 (1999).
- [15] I-Ching Yang, Wei-Fui Lin and Rue-Ron Hsu, Chin. J. Phys. **37**, 113 (1999).
- [16] S.S. Xulu, Astrophys.Space Sci. **283**, 23 (2003).
- [17] S.S. Xulu, gr-qc/0010062
- [18] I. Radinschi, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A16(10)**, 67 (2001).
- [19] G. Lessner, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **28**, 527 (1996).
- [20] Chia-Chen Chang, J. M. Nester and Chiang-Mei Chen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 1897 (1999).

- [21] G. Gibbons and K. Maeda, Nucl. Phys. **B298**, 741 (1988); D. Garfinkle, G. T. Horowitz and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. **D43**, 3140 (1991); G. T. Horowitz and A. Strominger, Nucl. Phys. **B360**, 197 (1991); A. Shapere, S. Trivedi and F. Wilczek, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A**, **6**, 2677 (1991).
- [22] R. Adler, M. Bazin and M. Schiffer, *Introduction to General Relativity* (McGraw Hill, New York, 1975); K. Thorne, R. Price and D. Macdonald, *Black Holes: The Membrane Paradigm* (Yale Univ. Press, New Haven, 1986).
- [23] J. H. Horne and G. T. Horowitz, Phys. Rev. **D46**, 1340 (1992).
- [24] A. Sen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69(7)**, 1006 (1992).
- [25] H. Bondi, Proc. R. Soc. London **A427**, 249 (1990).
- [26] K. S. Virbhadra and G. F. R. Ellis, Phys. Rev. **D65**, 103004 (2002); K. S. Virbhadra and George F. R. Ellis, Phys. Rev. **D62**, 084003 (2000).




Fig.1




Fig.2