

Black Holes in 2+1 Teleparallel Theories of Gravity

A.A. Sousa* and J.W. Maluf†

Instituto de Física, Universidade de Brasília
C. P. 04385
70.919-970 Brasília DF
Brazil

November 4, 2018

Abstract

We apply the Hamiltonian formulation of teleparallel theories of gravity in 2+1 dimensions to a circularly symmetric geometry. We find a family of one-parameter black hole solutions. The BTZ solution fixes the unique free parameter of the theory. The resulting field equations coincide with the teleparallel equivalent of Einstein's three-dimensional equations. We calculate the gravitational energy of the black holes by means of the simple expression that arises in the Hamiltonian formulation and conclude that the resulting value is identical to that calculated by means of the Brown-York method.

(*) e-mail: adellane@iesplan.br

(†) e-mail: wadih@fis.unb.br

§1. Introduction

Gravity theories in three dimensions have gained considerable attention in the last years [1, 2]. The expectation is that the study of lower dimensional theories would provide relevant information about the corresponding theory in four dimensions. In 2+1 dimensions the Riemann and Ricci tensor have the same number of components, consequently the imposition of Einstein's equations in vacuum implies that the curvature tensor also vanishes. Therefore the space-time in the absence of sources is flat and the existence of black hole would be prevented[3]. Moreover we know that Einstein's gravitational theory in 2+1 dimensions does not have the Newtonian limit[3, 4]. Therefore it would be desirable to establish a theoretical formulation that would display the two important features: the Newtonian limit and a black hole solution.

In general relativity it is generally believed that the gravitational energy cannot be localized. However, in the framework of teleparallel theories gravity, as for instance the teleparallel equivalent of general relativity (TEGR)[5], it is possible make precise statements about the energy and momentum densities of gravitational field. In the 3+1 formulation of the TEGR it is found that the Hamiltonian and vector constraints contain divergencies in the form of scalar and vector densities, respectively. They can be identified as the energy and momentum densities of the gravitational field[6]. Therefore the Hamiltonian and vector constraints are considered as energy-momentum equations. This identification has proved to be consistent, and has demonstrated that teleparallel theories provide a natural instrument to the investigation of gravitational energy. Several applications were presented in the literature, among which we mention the analysis of gravitational energy of rotating black holes[7] and of Bondi's radiative metric[8].

In the definition of the gravitational energy in 3+1 dimensions a condition on the triads is necessary: if we require the physical parameters of the metric tensor such as mass and angular momentum to vanish, we must have a vanishing torsion tensor, $T_{(i)jk} = 0$. Triads that yield a vanishing torsion tensor in any coordinated system, after requiring the physical parameters of the metric tensor to vanish, are called reference space triads[7].

A family of three-parameter teleparallel theories in 2+1 dimensions was proposed by Kawai and a Lagrangian formulation was developed[9]. With particular conditions on the parameters of the theory, black hole solutions

were found[9]. These solutions are quite different from the Schwarzschild and Kerr black holes in $3 + 1$ dimensions. We also remark that black hole-like solutions were discussed in Ref. [10].

In a previous paper we considered the canonical formulation of this three-parameter theory[11], starting from the Lagrangian density of Ref. [9]. Following Dirac's method[12] and requiring Schwinger's time gauge condition[13] we found out that the three-parameter family was reduced to just one-parameter family. This unique family describes a theory with a first class algebra of constraints. We concluded that the Legendre transform is well defined only if certain conditions on the parameters were satisfied. One of these conditions is $\frac{3}{4}c_1 + c_2 = 0$ [11]. Kawai's conditions $((3c_1 + 4c_2) \neq 0$ and $c_1(3c_1 + 4c_2) < 0$) rule out the existence of the Newtonian limit and of a general class of black holes in the Hamiltonian formulation previously investigated. If the value of the remaining free parameter is fixed as $c_1 = -\frac{2}{3}$, the final theory agrees with the three-dimensional Einstein's theory in vacuum[11]. However, it is possible to fix the value of c_1 by means of an alternative physical requirement.

In this article we apply the canonical analysis developed in Ref. [11] to a static, circularly symmetric geometry. We introduce a cosmological constant and find the well-known BTZ (Banãdos, Teitelboim and Zanelli) solution[14]. This black hole solution has been used to study quantum models in 2+1 dimensions, since the corresponding models in 3+1 dimensions are very complicated[15].

We also investigate the gravitational energy of the BTZ solution in a region of radius r_0 , and compare it with the result obtained by means of the Brown-York method [16]. The results are identical. However, it will be noted that the result obtained by means of our method is much simpler.

The article is divided as follows: in §2 we review the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formulation of the three-dimensional gravitational teleparallelism. In §3 we apply the formalism to arbitrary gravitational fields. In §4 we define the energy of gravitational field in 2+1 dimensions, and apply it to the BTZ solution. Finally in §5 we present our conclusions.

The notation is the following: space-time indices μ, ν, \dots and global $SO(2,1)$ indices a, b, \dots run from 0 to 2. In the 2+1 decomposition latin indices indicate space indices according to $\mu = 0, i$ and $a = (0), (i)$. The flat space-time metric is fixed for $\eta_{(0)(0)} = -1$.

§2. Hamiltonian formulation of gravitational teleparallelism in 2+1 dimensions

We introduce the three basic postulates that the Lagrangian density for the gravitational field in empty space, in the teleparallel geometry, must satisfy. It must be invariant (i) under coordinate transformations, (ii) under global ($SO(2, 1)$) Lorentz's transformations, and (iii) under parity transformations. In the present formulation, we will add a cosmological constant $\pm\Lambda = \mp\frac{2}{l^2}$ to the Lagrangian density, where $\Lambda = -\frac{2}{l^2}$, is a negative cosmological constant. The most general Lagrangian density quadratic in the torsion tensor is written as

$$L_0 = e(c_1 t^{abc} t_{abc} + c_2 v^a v_a + c_3 a_{abc} a^{abc}) . \quad (2.1)$$

where c_1 , c_2 and c_3 are constants, $e = \det(e^a{}_\mu)$ and

$$t_{abc} = \frac{1}{2}(T_{abc} + T_{bac}) + \frac{1}{4}(\eta_{ca} v_b + \eta_{cb} v_a) - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{ab} v_c . \quad (2.2)$$

$$v_a = T^b{}_{ba} = T_a . \quad (2.3)$$

$$a_{abc} = \frac{1}{3}(T_{abc} + T_{cab} + T_{bca}) . \quad (2.4)$$

$$T_{abc} = e_b{}^\mu e_c{}^\nu T_{a\mu\nu} . \quad (2.5)$$

The definitions above correspond the irreducible components of the torsion tensor[9]. With the purpose of obtaining the Hamiltonian formulation, we will need to rewrite the three terms of L_0 in a form such that the torsion tensor is factorized. Thus we rewrite L_0 as

$$L_0 = e(c_1 X^{abc} + c_2 Y^{abc} + c_3 Z^{abc}) T_{abc} , \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$X^{abc} = \frac{1}{2}T^{abc} + \frac{1}{4}T^{bac} - \frac{1}{4}T^{cab} + \frac{3}{8}(\eta^{ca} v^b - \eta^{ba} v^c) , \quad (2.7a)$$

$$Y^{abc} = \frac{1}{2}(\eta^{ab} v^c - \eta^{ac} v^b) , \quad (2.7b)$$

$$Z^{abc} = \frac{1}{3} \left(T^{abc} + T^{bca} + T^{cab} \right) . \quad (2.7c)$$

The definitions above satisfy

$$X^{abc} = -X^{acb} , \quad Y^{abc} = -Y^{acb} , \quad Z^{abc} = -Z^{acb} .$$

We define the quantity Σ^{abc} according to

$$\Sigma^{abc} = c_1 X^{abc} + c_2 Y^{abc} + c_3 Z^{abc} ,$$

which allows us rewrite L_0 as

$$L_0 = e \Sigma^{abc} T_{abc} .$$

The Hamiltonian formulation is obtained by writing the Lagrangian density in first order differential form. We will consider the space-time to be empty. In order to carry out the Legendre transform, we make a 2+1 decomposition of the space-time triads ${}^3e^a{}_\mu$ [11]. Such decomposition projects ${}^3e^a{}_\mu$ to the two-dimensional spacelike hypersurface. The Legendre transform is implemented provided we eliminate some velocity components[11]. We find that two conditions are necessary to implement the Legendre transform:

$$c_2 + \frac{3}{4}c_1 = 0 , \quad (2.8a)$$

$$c_1 = -\frac{8c_3}{3} . \quad (2.8b)$$

With the addition of a cosmological constant the Lagrangian density can be written as[11]

$$L = P^{(j)i} \dot{e}_{(j)i} + N^k C_k + NC - \partial_i \left[N_k P^{ki} + N(3c_1 e T^i) \right] + \lambda^{ij} P_{[ij]} , \quad (2.9)$$

where $P^{(j)i} = \delta L / \delta \dot{e}_{(j)i}$, $P^{ki} = e_{(j)}{}^k P^{(j)i}$ and $T^i = g^{ik} T_k = g^{ik} e^{(j)m} T_{(j)mk}$. Therefore the Hamiltonian density is given by

$$H(e_{(j)i}, P^{(j)i}) = -N^k C_k - NC + \partial_i \left[N_k P^{ki} + N(3c_1 e T^i) \right] - \lambda^{ij} P_{[ij]} , \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$C_k = e_{(j)k} \partial_i P^{(j)i} + P^{(j)i} T_{(j)ik} , \quad (2.11)$$

$$C = \frac{1}{6ec_1} (P^{ij} P_{ji} - P^2) + e T^{ikj} \Sigma_{ikj} - \partial_k [3c_1 e T^k] \pm e \frac{2}{l^2} , \quad (2.12)$$

are the vector and Hamiltonian constraints, respectively. N^k , N and $\lambda^{ij} = -\lambda_{ji}$ are Lagrange multipliers, and $\pm \frac{2}{l^2} = \mp \Lambda$. The addition of Λ to the Lagrangian density affects only the Hamiltonian constraint C . The Legendre transform reduced the three-parameter family to a one-parameter family of theories.

A consistent implementation of the Legendre transform is a necessary condition for the Hamiltonian formulation. However, it is not sufficient. It is also necessary to verify whether the constraints are first class, i.e., if the algebra of constraints “closes”. Such analysis has been investigated in Ref.[11]. The conclusion is that all constraints of the theory are indeed first class.

§3. Applications of the Hamiltonian formulation in 2+1 dimensions

§3.1 The Newtonian limit

The field equations for the Lagrangian density (2.1) were obtained in Ref. [9]. It was noticed that these field equations are equivalent to the three-dimensional Einstein’s equations if the parameters are fixed according to

$$c_1 + \frac{2}{3} = 0 , \quad (3.1)$$

$$c_2 - \frac{1}{2} = 0 , \quad (3.2)$$

$$c_3 - \frac{1}{4} = 0 . \quad (3.3)$$

We know that the three-dimensional Einstein's equations do not have the Newtonian limit and neither black holes solutions. In Ref. [9] it was attempted to obtain the Newtonian limit of the field equations, considering static circularly symmetric fields. For this purpose it was found a relation between the parameters c_1 and c_2 (in our notation),

$$3c_1 + 4c_2 = -6c_1c_2, \quad (3.4a)$$

$$c_1c_2 \neq 0. \quad (3.4b)$$

Condition (2.8a), $c_2 + \frac{3}{4}c_1 = 0$ obtained in the Hamiltonian formulation violate the condition above. Therefore the Newtonian limit is excluded from the theory defined by (2.9) and (2.10).

In the investigation by Kawai[9] it was found a condition on the parameters of the theory that yields a black hole solution. This condition is $c_1(3c_1 + 4c_2) < 0$ and refer to a particular solution (equation (5.15) of Ref. [9]) of the theory. Conditions $c_2 + \frac{3}{4}c_1 = 0$ and $c_1 = -\frac{8c_3}{3}$ for the Legendre transform prevent black hole solutions. However, it is not excluded the possibility that different solutions of Eq. (5.15) of Ref. [9] yield black hole solutions that violate the condition $c_1(3c_1 + 4c_2) < 0$.

The Hamiltonian formulation above yields equations that are precisely equivalent to the three-dimensional Einstein's equations in vacuum, as it can be verified by fixing $c_1 = -\frac{2}{3}$ in equations (2.10) and (2.12). However, it is worth investigating whether another value of c_1 is acceptable for a gravitational theory, since there does not exist a compelling physical reason for fixing $c_1 = -\frac{2}{3}$. We will address this issue in the next section.

§3.2 Black holes in the circularly symmetric geometry

In this section we investigate the fixing of the free parameter in the framework of an exact vacuum solution. We will carry out a symmetry reduction and consider the constraints in a circularly symmetric geometry. We will consider the following space-time metric that describes a rotating source,

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + f^{-2} dr^2 + r^2 (N^\phi dt + d\phi)^2,$$

where f , N and N^ϕ are *a priori* independent functions of r and t . The diads for the spatial sector of the metric tensor above are given by

$$(e_{(k)i}) = \begin{pmatrix} f(r, t)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.5)$$

(k) and i are row and column indices, respectively.

The two-dimensional metric g_{ij} reads

$$(g_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} f(r, t)^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & r^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.6)$$

and $e = \det(e_{(k)i}) = r f(r, t)^{-1}$.

The nonzero components of the torsion tensor on the spacelike section are $T_{(2)12} = -T_{(2)21} = 1$. With the help of the inverse metric tensor g^{ij} we can write the inverse diads $e^i_{(k)}$,

$$(e_{(k)}^i) = \begin{pmatrix} f(r, t) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{r} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that

$$T^{(2)12} = g^{11} g^{22} T^{(2)}_{12} = \frac{f(r, t)^2}{r^2},$$

$$T^{(2)21} = g^{11} g^{22} T^{(2)}_{12} = -\frac{f(r, t)^2}{r^2}.$$

Let us calculate the term $e \Sigma^{kij} T_{kij} = e \Sigma_{(k)(i)(j)} T^{(k)(i)(j)}$ of the Hamiltonian constraint. It is not difficult to obtain

$$e \Sigma_{kij} T^{kij} = e \Sigma_{(k)ij} T^{(k)ij} = 2e \Sigma_{(2)12} T^{(2)12},$$

where

$$\Sigma_{abc} = c_1 X_{abc} + c_2 Y_{abc} + c_3 Z_{abc},$$

and

$$e^b_i e^c_j \Sigma_{abc} = \Sigma_{aij}.$$

The latter quantity can be written in terms of the torsion tensor T_{aij} ,

$$\Sigma_{aij} = \left(\frac{c_1}{2} + \frac{c_3}{3} \right) T_{aij} + \left(\frac{c_1}{4} - \frac{c_3}{3} \right) \left(e^{(k)}_i e_a{}^l T_{(k)lj} - e^{(k)}_j e_a{}^l T_{(k)li} \right)$$

$$+ \left(\frac{3}{16}c_1 - \frac{c_2}{2} \right) (e_{aj}T_i - e_{ai}T_j),$$

where

$$T_i = T^b{}_{bi} = e_b{}^m T^b{}_{mi} = e_{(k)}{}^m T^{(k)}{}_{mi},$$

After a long calculation we obtain

$$e\Sigma_{kij}T^{kij} = e\Sigma_{(k)ij}T^{(k)ij} = e \left(c_2 + \frac{3}{4}c_1 \right) = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

From the momentum $P^{(k)j}$, canonically conjugated to $e_{(k)j}$, it is possible to construct the tensor density $P^{ij} = e_{(k)}{}^i P^{(k)j}$ of weight +1. We will determine the most general form of the tensor $M_{ij} = e^{-1}P_{ij}$ restricted to a circularly symmetric geometry. For this purpose we consider the Killing vector,

$$(\xi_i) = (0, br^2), \quad (3.8)$$

where b is an arbitrary constant, and require that the Lie derivative of M_{ij} vanish,

$$L_\xi (e^{-1}P_{ij}) = 0.$$

We find that the most general matrix for P_{ij} is given by:

$$(P_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} rf(r,t)^{-1}A(r,t) & P_{12}(r,t) \\ P_{21}(r,t) & rf(r,t)^{-1}B(r,t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

where $A(r,t)$ and $B(r,t)$ are arbitrary functions.

We can now obtain the momentum components $P^{(k)j} = e^{(k)}{}_i P^{ij}$ in the time gauge. They read

$$\begin{aligned} P^{(1)1} &= rf(r,t)^2 A(r,t), \\ P^{(2)1} &= rP^{21}, \\ P^{(1)2} &= f(r,t)^{-1}P^{12}, \\ P^{(2)2} &= \frac{f(r,t)^{-1}}{r^2}B(r,t). \end{aligned}$$

We proceed to obtain the Hamiltonian in terms of the quantities above. The term $P^{ij}P_{ji}$ is written as

$$P^{ij}P_{ji} = r^2 f^2 A^2 + f^{-2} \frac{1}{r^2} B^2 + 2P^{21}P_{21} ,$$

where we have considered $P^{21} = P_{12}$. The scalar density P reads

$$P = frA + f^{-1} \frac{1}{r} B .$$

Therefore

$$P^{ij}P_{ji} - P^2 = 2P^{21}P_{21}, \quad (3.9)$$

where we have made $B(r, t) = 0$ because there is no momentum canonically conjugated to $g_{\theta\theta}$. We also obtain

$$\partial_k (eT^k) = -\partial_r f(r, t). \quad (3.10)$$

The vector constraints are easily calculated,

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= C_r = -f^{-1} \partial_r (f^2 \bar{P}), \\ C_2 &= C_\theta = -r \partial_r (r P^{21}) - r P^{21}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{P} = -f^{-1}P$, and the Hamiltonian constraint is reduced to

$$C(r, t) = -\frac{f(r, t)}{6rc_1} \left[2P^{21}(r, t)P_{21}(r, t) \right] - 3c_1 \partial_r f(r, t) \mp \frac{2}{l^2} f(r, t)^{-1} r, \quad (3.11)$$

where the minus sign in the last term of the above expression refers to a negative cosmological constant. Finally we notice that $\lambda_{ij}P^{[ij]} = 0$, since the matrix P^{ij} is symmetric in the circularly symmetric geometry.

We may now construct the action integral. It is given by

$$I = \int dt dr d\theta L = 2\pi \int dt dr \left(\bar{P} \dot{f} - N^i C_i - NC \right). \quad (3.12)$$

At $t = 0$ the equation $C_\theta(r, 0) = 0$,

$$r\partial_r [rP^{21}(r, 0)] + rP^{21}(r, 0) = 0, \quad (3.13)$$

has a simple solution,

$$P^{21}(r, 0) = \frac{k}{r^2}, \quad (3.14)$$

where k is a constant of integration. We also have

$$P_{21}(r, 0) = g_{22}g_{11}P^{21}(r, 0) = k f(r, 0)^{-2},$$

and

$$P_2^1 = g_{22}P^{21}(r, 0) = k = P_\theta^r,$$

where P_θ^r is the canonical variable conjugated to the cyclic variable $g_{12}=g_{r\theta}$. It is a conserved quantity, since

$$\dot{P}^{21}(r, t) = -\frac{\delta H}{\delta g_{21}(r, t)} = 0.$$

Therefore at any time $t > 0$ we have

$$P^{21}(r, t) = \frac{k}{r^2}. \quad (3.15)$$

By replacing Eq. (3.15) in the Hamiltonian constraint we arrive at

$$C(r, t) = -\frac{f^{-1}(r, t)}{3c_1 r^3} k^2 - 3c_1 \partial_r f(r, t) \mp \frac{2}{l^2} f(r, t)^{-1} r. \quad (3.16)$$

Let us consider now the equation $C(r, 0) = 0$. We have

$$-3c_1 \partial_r f(r, 0) - \frac{2}{l^2} f(r, 0)^{-1} r - \frac{f^{-1}(r, 0)}{3c_1 r^3} k^2 = 0, \quad \Lambda < 0 \quad (3.17)$$

$$-3c_1 \partial_r f(r, 0) + \frac{2}{l^2} f(r, 0)^{-1} r - \frac{f^{-1}(r, 0)}{3c_1 r^3} k^2 = 0, \quad \Lambda > 0 \quad (3.18)$$

where $\Lambda = \pm \frac{2}{l^2}$. The solutions are

$$f(r, 0)^2 = m - \frac{2}{3c_1} \frac{r^2}{l^2} + \frac{1}{9c_1^2} \frac{k^2}{r^2}, \quad \Lambda < 0$$

$$f(r, 0)^2 = m + \frac{2}{3c_1} \frac{r^2}{l^2} + \frac{1}{9c_1^2} \frac{k^2}{r^2}, \quad \Lambda > 0$$

where m is constant of integration. It is not difficult to conclude that these solutions are independent of time. The time evolution of $f(r, t)$ is given by

$$\dot{f}(r, t) = \frac{\delta H}{\delta \bar{P}(r, t)} = 0,$$

where we have fixed the coordinate system such that $N^1(r, t) = 0$. Therefore at any later time t we have

$$f(r)^2 = m - \frac{2}{3c_1} \frac{r^2}{l^2} + \frac{1}{9c_1^2} \frac{k^2}{r^2}, \quad \Lambda < 0 \quad (3.19)$$

$$f(r)^2 = m + \frac{2}{3c_1} \frac{r^2}{l^2} + \frac{1}{9c_1^2} \frac{k^2}{r^2}. \quad \Lambda > 0 \quad (3.20)$$

When $c_1 = -\frac{2}{3}$ Eq. (3.19) becomes

$$f(r)^2 = m + \frac{r^2}{l^2} + \frac{k^2}{4r^2}. \quad \Lambda < 0 \quad (3.21)$$

By identifying $m = -M$ and $k = J$ this solution is known in the literature as the rotational black hole solution in the three-dimensional space-time of Banãdos, Teitelboim and Zanelli (BTZ)[14], with negative cosmological constant. In the latter work, Banãdos *et al.* used a time independent metric. At this point we will likewise restrict the considerations to a static field configuration. Since the spacelike sector of the space-time triads given by Eq. (3.5) is time independent, the restriction to a static geometry amounts to further requiring the lapse and shift functions to be time independent (note that we have already required $N^1 = 0$). If the space-time geometry is static, then we must have

$$\dot{\bar{P}} = -\frac{\delta H}{\delta f(r)} = 0. \quad (3.22)$$

Consequently we have

$$-3c_1 \partial_r N(r) \mp \frac{2}{l^2} f(r)^{-2} r N(r) - \frac{f(r)^{-2}}{3c_1 r^3} N(r) k^2 = 0, \quad (3.23)$$

for a negative cosmological constant. Making use of Eq. (3.19) we arrive at

$$-3c_1 \partial_r N(r) - \frac{1}{\left(m - \frac{2}{3c_1} \frac{r^2}{l^2} + \frac{1}{9c_1^2} \frac{k^2}{r^2}\right)} \left(\frac{2}{l^2} r + \frac{k^2}{3c_1 r^3}\right) N(r) = 0,$$

from what we obtain a solution for $N(r)$,

$$N(r) = K \sqrt{\left(m - \frac{2}{3c_1} \frac{r^2}{l^2} + \frac{1}{9c_1^2} \frac{k^2}{r^2}\right)} = f(r), \quad (3.24)$$

where K is an arbitrary constant. The result above is identical to the corresponding result of Ref. [14] provided we make $K = 1$, $c_1 = -\frac{2}{3}$ and identify $k = J$. We will require the constant K to satisfy $K = 1$, which amounts to a redefinition of the time scale of the theory (this redefinition also implies $K = 1$ in Eqs. (3.25) and (3.26) below).

For a positive cosmological constant we have

$$N(r) = \sqrt{\left(m + \frac{2}{3c_1} \frac{r^2}{l^2} + \frac{1}{9c_1^2} \frac{k^2}{r^2}\right)}. \quad (3.25)$$

Let us now investigate the time evolution of g_{21} . Substituting the quantity $P_{21} = r^2 f^{-2} P^{21}$ in the Eq. (3.11) we find

$$C(r) = -3c_1 \partial_r f(r) \mp \frac{2}{l^2} f(r)^{-1} r - \frac{r f(r)^{-1}}{3c_1} [P^{21}(r)]^2,$$

from what we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{g}_{21}(r, t) &= \frac{\delta H}{\delta P^{21}(r)} \\ &= \frac{\delta}{\delta P^{21}(r)} \int dr' \left[N^\theta(r') \left(-r' \partial_{r'} [r' P^{21}(r')] \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - r' P^{21}(r') \right) + N(r') \left(-3c_1 \partial_{r'} f(r') \mp \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \mp \frac{2}{l^2} f(r')^{-1} r' - \frac{r' f(r')^{-1}}{3c_1} [P^{21}(r')]^2 \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that the solution $P^{21}(r) = \frac{k}{r^2}$ is time independent and that $\dot{g}_{21}(r, t) = 0$ we arrive at

$$-\partial_r N^\theta(r) r^3 - \frac{2}{3c_1} k = 0,$$

whose solution is given by

$$N^\theta(r) = \frac{1}{3c_1 r^2} k. \quad (3.26)$$

We obtain again a result that is identical with the corresponding quantity of Ref. [14] after making $c_1 = -\frac{2}{3}$ and identifying $k = J$.

The event horizon of the black hole is determined by a surface of constant radius $r = r_H$. The latter follows from the condition

$$g_{00} = 0 = N(r) = f(r),$$

which implies

$$r^4 - \frac{3}{2} c_1 l^2 m r^2 - \frac{1}{6c_1} l^2 k^2 = 0.$$

The solution is given by

$$r_\pm = l \sqrt{\frac{3}{4} c_1 m} \left[1 \pm \left(1 + \frac{8}{27} \frac{1}{c_1^3} \left(\frac{k}{ml} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right]^{1/2}. \quad (3.27)$$

By making $c_1 = -\frac{2}{3}$ we obtain

$$r_\pm = l \sqrt{-\frac{m}{2}} \left[1 \pm \left(1 - \left(\frac{k}{ml} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right]^{1/2}, \quad (3.28)$$

which coincides with the radii of the event horizons of the BTZ black hole. The event horizons r_\pm require a further condition, namely, that $m < 0$, or $m = -M$, where $M > 0$ is the mass of the black hole. Real solutions are obtained by requiring

$$|k| \leq |ml|, \quad (3.29)$$

$$|J| \leq |Ml|. \quad (3.30)$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} M > 0 &\implies \text{black hole solution,} \\ M = 0, \quad J = 0 &\implies \text{Absence of black hole,} \\ M = -1, \quad J = 0 &\implies \text{Anti - de Sitter solution.} \end{aligned}$$

§4. Gravitational energy in 2+1 dimensions

A natural application of the present formalism consists in calculating the gravitational energy of black holes in 2+1 dimensions. The Hamiltonian constraint Eq. (2.12) contains a total divergence. In analogy to the 3+1 case, the total divergence given by $-\partial_k (3c_1 e T^k)$ (apart from a multiplicative constant) is interpreted as the gravitational energy density, and the integral form of the Hamiltonian constraint is likewise interpreted as an energy equation for the gravitational field. Therefore the gravitational energy is defined as a surface integral,

$$E = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \oint_C dS_k (3c_1 e T^k) - E_0, \quad (4.1)$$

where C is, in the present case, a one-dimensional closed contour, and E_0 is a suitable reference energy value.

By requiring C , defined by the condition $r = r_0$, to be a closed contour of a surface S , we find that in the present case the energy contained within the interior of S is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E &= -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int_C d\theta (3c_1 e T^1) - E_0 \\ &= \frac{3c_1}{2\pi} \int_C d\theta f(r) - E_0 = \frac{3c_1}{2\pi} \int_C d\theta \sqrt{\left(m - \frac{2}{3c_1} \frac{r_0^2}{l^2} + \frac{1}{9c_1^2} \frac{k^2}{r_0^2}\right)} - E_0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where we have made $G = 1/8$. We remark that in previous analyses of the gravitational energy of black holes[6, 7], the latter expressions were evaluated by means of a surface integral. This approach circumvents the problem of dealing with imaginary field quantities. Moreover we note that the coordinate system employed above is not well defined inside the event horizon (a similar situation takes place in the Schwarzschild and Kerr space-times, described by the usual spherical coordinates[6, 7]).

We will consider now the metric corresponding to the rotational black hole with $\Lambda < 0$ and will compare it with the result that has been obtained in the literature. For this purpose we will fix the value of c_1 according to $c_1 = -2/3$. The energy contained within the circle of constant radius r_0 reads

$$E = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta f(r) - E_0 = -2 \left(\sqrt{\left(-M + \frac{r_0^2}{l^2} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{J^2}{r_0^2}\right)} - \frac{r_0}{l} \right), \quad (4.3)$$

where we have set $E_0 = -2(r_0/l)$, $m = -M$ and $k = J$. We follow the interpretation of Bañados *et al.*, according to which the vacuum state is obtained by requiring the mass of the black hole to vanish, which, by Eq. (3.30), implies the vanishing of the angular momentum, $J = 0$. Therefore for the vacuum energy we have $E = 0$. Note that the black hole energy also vanishes if we extend the integration to the whole bi-dimensional space. The energy value $E = 0$ corresponds to a space-time with the line element given by

$$ds_{vac}^2 = -\left(\frac{r}{l}\right)^2 dt^2 + \left(\frac{r}{l}\right)^{-2} dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2. \quad (4.4)$$

Let us now show that we can obtain the result above out of different diads. We will consider the diads

$$e_{(k)i} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \cos \theta & -r \sin \theta \\ \alpha \sin \theta & r \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.5)$$

where $f(r)^{-1} = \alpha = \left(-M + \frac{r^2}{l^2} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{J^2}{r^2}\right)^{-1/2}$.

The bi-dimensional metric tensor g_{ij} has the nonvanishing components $g_{11} = \alpha^2$, $g_{22} = r^2$, and $e = \det(e_{(k)i}) = r\alpha$. The nonzero components of torsion tensor are

$$\begin{aligned} T_{(1)12} &= (\alpha - 1) \sin \theta, \\ T_{(2)12} &= -(\alpha - 1) \cos \theta. \end{aligned}$$

Note that these components vanish by making $\alpha = 1$. After some computations we obtain

$$eT^1 = (1 - \alpha^{-1}), \quad eT^2 = 0.$$

Hence

$$\partial_i (eT^i) = \partial_r (1 - \alpha^{-1}). \quad (4.6)$$

Requiring again $c_1 = -\frac{2}{3}$ and making $G = 1/8$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} E &= -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int_S dS_k (3c_1 eT^k) - E_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta (1 - \alpha^{-1}) - E_0 \\ &= 2(1 - \alpha^{-1}) - E_0 = -2 \left[\left(-M + \frac{r_0^2}{l^2} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{J^2}{r_0^2} \right)^{1/2} - \frac{r_0}{l} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

where $E_0 = 2(1 - r_0/l)$ was adjusted to obtain $E = 0$ when making $M = J = 0$.

The value above for the gravitational energy of the BTZ black hole is identical to the value obtained by means of the Brown-York method, according to Refs. [16, 17],

$$E_{BY} = -2 \left(\sqrt{-M + \frac{r_0^2}{l^2} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{J^2}{r_0^2}} - \varepsilon_0 \right), \quad (4.8)$$

where the reference energy ε_0 is, in principle, arbitrarily chosen. In Refs. [16, 17] the reference energy is taken to be the energy of the Anti-de Sitter space-time,

$$\varepsilon_0 = \sqrt{1 + \frac{r_0^2}{l^2}},$$

for which $M = -1$ and $J = 0$. In this case, the vacuum line element is taken to be

$$ds_{vac}^2 = - \left[1 + \left(\frac{r}{l} \right)^2 \right] dt^2 + \left[1 + \left(\frac{r}{l} \right)^2 \right]^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2.$$

In Ref. [16] it is also adopted a reference energy that corresponds to the absence of black holes, $M = J = 0$,

$$\varepsilon_0 = \frac{r_0}{l}.$$

We may obtain the reference energies above in our expression (4.7) since we may conveniently adjust the constant of integration.

We observe that we may establish an alternative possibility for the reference energy by taking the reference space-time to be the Minkowsky space-time. In this case we must have $M = -1$, $J = 0$ and $1/l^2 \rightarrow 0$, or taking $\alpha = 1$,

$$E_0 = -2.$$

After taking the values above for M , J and $1/l^2$ the line element reduces to

$$ds_{vac}^2 = -dt^2 + dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2.$$

Therefore we conclude that the energy expressions (4.3) and (4.7) obtained in the context of the 2+1 teleparallel formulation yield consistent results which were previously obtained in the literature.

§5. Conclusions

In this work we investigated the existence of black holes in 2+1 teleparallel theories of gravity. The analysis has been carried out in the realm of the Hamiltonian formulation of arbitrary, quadratic teleparallel theories with a negative cosmological constant. We considered gravitational fields with circularly symmetric geometry and obtained a black hole solution of the Hamiltonian field equations that generalizes the BTZ black hole. The latter is obtained by fixing the free parameter of the theory. We also investigated the gravitational energy of this solution by means of the simple

expression first analyzed in the context of 3+1 teleparallel theories. The energy expressions are obtained in a straightforward way and are consistent with the results previously obtained by means of the Brown-York method. We observed that there are various possibilities for fixing the reference space-time. The simplicity of the mathematical structure of the 2+1 dimensional formulation motivates us to investigate the notion of gravitational angular momentum in this framework. This issue is currently being studied.

A. A. S. is grateful to CNPq (the Brazilian National Research Council) for the financial support.

References

- [1] S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, Ann. Phys. (NY) **140**, 372 (1982).
- [2] A. Achúcarro and P. K. Townsend, Phys. Lett. B **180**, 89 (1986).
- [3] S. Giddings, J. Abbot, and K. Kuchar, Gen. Relativ. Grav. **16**, 751 (1984)
- [4] S. Deser, R. Jackiw, and G. 't Hooft, Ann. Phys. (NY) **152**, 220 (1984);
S. Deser, R. Jackiw, Ann. Phys. (NY) **153**, 405 (1984).
- [5] J. W. Maluf, J. Math. Phys. **35**, 335 (1994).
- [6] J. W. Maluf, J. Math. Phys. **36**, 4242 (1995).
- [7] J. W. Maluf, E. F. Martins and A. Kneip, J. Math. Phys. **37**, 6302 (1996).
- [8] J. W. Maluf and J. F. da Rocha-Neto, J. Math. Phys. **40**, 1490 (1999).
- [9] T. Kawai, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 5668 (1993).
- [10] T. Kawai, Prog. Theor. Phys. **94**, 1169 (1995).
- [11] A. A. Sousa and J. W. Maluf, Prog. Theor. Phys. **104**, 531 (2000).

- [12] P. A. M. Dirac, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics*, Belfer Graduate School of Science (Monographs Series No 2) (Yeshiva University, New York, 1964).
- [13] J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. **130**, 1253 (1963).
- [14] M. Bañados, C. Teitelboim, and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 1849 (1992); M. Bañados, M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim, and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. D**48**, 1506 (1993).
- [15] S. Carlip, Class. Quantum Grav. **15**, 3609 (1998)
- [16] J. D. Brown, J. Creighton, and R. B. Mann, Phys. Rev. D**50**, 6394 (1994).
- [17] V. Brindejonc, Class. Quantum Grav. **15**, 3599 (1998).