

# Matching of the continuous gravitational wave in an all sky search

S.K. Sahay\*

Inter University Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Post Bag 4,  
Ganeshkhind, Pune - 411007, India

## Abstract

We investigate the matching of the continuous gravitational wave in an all sky search in reference to the Earth based laser interferometric detectors. We consider the source location as the parameters of the signal manifold and templates corresponding to different source locations. For fixed source frequency  $f_o$ , under the transformation  $\theta_T \rightarrow \pi - \theta_T$ ;  $0 \leq \theta_T \leq \pi$ , we found that the matching of the signals is almost same for arbitrary observation time  $T_{obs}$  and  $\phi$  (celestial longitude), where  $\theta_T$  are the templates in  $\theta$  (celestial colatitude) space. Though insignificant, we observed variation in the matching of signals for different  $\theta$ , detector positions and orientations. However, this insignificant mismatch scales with  $f_o$ . Consequently, matching of the signals fall with  $f_o$ . In  $\phi$  space, under the transformations  $\phi_T \rightarrow \pi - \phi_T$ ;  $0 \leq \phi_T \leq \pi$  and  $\phi_T \rightarrow 3\pi - \phi_T$ ;  $\pi \leq \phi_T \leq 2\pi$ , we found that the matching of the signals fall with  $T_{obs}$ ,  $f_o$ ,  $\theta$  and  $\phi$ , where  $\phi_T$  are the templates in  $\phi$  space.

## 1 Introduction

The first generation of kilometer-scale gravitational wave (GW) laser interferometric detectors with sensitivity in the frequency band 10 Hz to few kHz and ultra cryogenic bar detectors sensitive at frequencies around 1 kHz will start collecting data soon. The TAMA 300 (Tsubona 1995) has already done the first large scale data acquisition (Tagoshi et al. 2001), while LIGO (Abramovici et al. 1992) and GEO600 (Danzmann 1995) has recently carried out its first science observations. VIRGO (Bradaschia et al. 1991) may become operational in couple of years. Also, an eighty meter research interferometer ACIGA (McClelland et al. 2000) near Perth, Australia is under construction, hoping that it may be possible to extend it to multi-kilometer scale in the future.

---

\*e-mail: ssahay@iucaa.ernet.in

At present, majority of searches are focussed in the detection of *chirp* and burst signals. However the interest for the detection of the continuous gravitational wave (CGW) from the output of the detectors is growing (Jaranowski, et. al 1998, Jaranowski & Królak 1999, 2000; Astone et al. 2002; Brady et. al. 1998, Brady & Creighton 2000) due to the possibility that signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of CGW signal increases by square root of observation time  $\sqrt{T_{obs}}$ . An optimistic estimates suggest that Earth based laser interferometric detectors may detect such signals with an observation time of 1-yr.

The strength of the CGW largely depends on the degree of long-lived asymmetry in the source. There are several mechanism for producing such an asymmetry (Pandharipande et al. 1976; Bonazzola & Gourgoulhon 1996; Zimmermann & Szedenits 1979; Zimmermann 1980). The estimates of the asymmetry in neutron stars shows that the amplitude of the CGW may be  $\leq 10^{-25}$ . Additionally, the data analysis is not simple because to enhance the  $S/N$ , one have to integrate the signal for several months and long integration will induces Doppler modulation arises due to the Earth's rotation about its axis and its orbit around the Sun. However, Doppler modulation will provide the information of position of the source in the sky. Moreover, the data analysis becomes more harder because for longer observation, one cannot expect the noise to be remain stationary.

It has been realized that the coherent all-sky full frequency search in the BW of the detectors of many months of data is computationally too prohibitive with presently available computing power. However, in narrow bandwidth (BW) of 0.76 Hz at a frequency of 922 Hz and for 2 days observation time, one can do all sky-search in around a month with 250 Mflops of computer (Mohanty et al. 1998). Some alternatives approaches are also suggested like *tracking* and *stacking* (Brady and Creighton 2000; Schutz 1998). *Tracking* involves the tracking of lines in the time-frequency plane built from the Fourier transform (FT) of one day long stretches of data while *stacking* involves dividing the data into day long stretches, searching each stretch for signals, and enhancing the detectability by incoherently summing the FT of data stretches. Also, accurate modeling of GW form, optimal data processing and efficient programming will also will be an integral part of all sky-search. In this paper we studied the problem of all sky search, in particular on the matching of the signals with different source locations for longer observation time.

The basic method to analyze the detector output to get the signature of GW signals depends on how efficient one can Fourier analyze the data. Fourier analysis of the data has an advantage of incorporating interferometer's noise spectral density. The main problem to do search of CGW depends on how accurately one can take into account the translatory motion of the detector acquired from the motions of the Earth in solar system barycentre (SSB) frame. It has been shown (Srivastava and Sahay 2002a,b) that amplitude modulation will only redistribute the power of the frequency modulated (FM) signal in five frequency bands  $f \pm 2f_{rot}$ ,  $f$ ,  $f \pm f_{rot}$ , where  $f$  and  $f_{rot}$  is the frequencies of the FM signal and the rotational frequency of the Earth respectively. Hence, it is sufficient to consider only FM signal for the analysis of the matching of the CGW. Hence, in the next section we briefly review the FT of the FM signal. In section 3, using the concept of fitting factor (FF), we investigate the matching of the signals in an all sky-search in reference to the Earth

based laser interferometric detector by considering the source location as the parameter of the signal manifold and templates corresponding to different source locations for longer observation data set. Section 4 is the conclusion of the paper.

## 2 Fourier transform of the frequency modulated continuous gravitational wave

The time dependent phase of the monochromatic CGW of frequency  $f_o$  in SSB frame due to the non-uniform motion of the detector with respect to source location is given as (Srivastava and Sahay 2002a,b)

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(t) &= 2\pi f_o \left[ t + \frac{R_{se}}{c} \sin \theta \cos \phi' + \frac{R_e}{c} \sin \alpha \{ \sin \theta (\sin \beta \cos \epsilon \sin \phi + \cos \phi \cos \beta) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sin \beta \sin \epsilon \cos \theta \} - \frac{R_{se}}{c} \sin \theta \cos \phi - \frac{R_e}{c} \sin \alpha \{ \sin \theta (\sin \beta_o \cos \epsilon \sin \phi + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cos \phi \cos \beta_o) + \sin \beta_o \sin \epsilon \cos \theta \} \right] \\ &= 2\pi f_o t + \mathcal{Z} \cos(a\xi_{rot} - \phi) + \mathcal{N} \cos(\xi_{rot} - \delta) - \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{Q}\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

where

$$\left. \begin{aligned}\mathcal{P} &= 2\pi f_o \frac{R_e}{c} \sin \alpha (\cos \beta_o (\sin \theta \cos \epsilon \sin \phi + \cos \theta \sin \epsilon) - \sin \beta_o \sin \theta \cos \phi), \\ \mathcal{Q} &= 2\pi f_o \frac{R_e}{c} \sin \alpha (\sin \beta_o (\sin \theta \cos \epsilon \sin \phi + \cos \theta \sin \epsilon) + \cos \beta_o \sin \theta \cos \phi), \\ \mathcal{N} &= \sqrt{\mathcal{P}^2 + \mathcal{Q}^2}, \\ \mathcal{Z} &= 2\pi f_o \frac{R_{se}}{c} \sin \theta, \\ \mathcal{R} &= \mathcal{Z} \cos \phi,\end{aligned}\right\} \quad (2)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned}\delta &= \tan^{-1} \frac{\mathcal{P}}{\mathcal{Q}}, \\ \phi' &= w_{orb} t - \phi, \\ \beta &= \beta_o + w_{rot} t, \\ \xi_{orb} &= w_{orb} t = a \xi_{rot}; \quad a = w_{orb}/w_{rot} \approx 1/365.26, \\ \xi_{rot} &= w_{rot} t\end{aligned}\right\} \quad (3)$$

where  $R_e$ ,  $R_{se}$ ,  $w_{rot}$  and  $w_{orb}$  represent respectively the Earth's radius, the average distance between the centre of Earth from the origin of SSB frame, the rotational and the orbital angular velocity of the Earth.  $\epsilon$  and  $c$  represent the obliquity of the ecliptic and the velocity of light.  $\alpha$  is the colatitude of the detector. Here  $t$  represents the time in s elapsed from the instant the Sun is at the Vernal Equinox and  $\beta_o$  is the local sidereal time at that instant, expressed in radians.  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  denote the celestial colatitude and celestial longitude of the

source. These coordinates are related to the right ascension,  $\bar{\alpha}$  and the declination,  $\bar{\delta}$  of the source via

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \cos \theta &= \sin \bar{\delta} \cos \epsilon - \cos \bar{\delta} \sin \epsilon \sin \bar{\alpha} \\ \sin \theta \cos \phi &= \cos \bar{\delta} \cos \bar{\alpha} \\ \sin \theta \sin \phi &= \sin \bar{\delta} \sin \epsilon + \cos \bar{\delta} \cos \epsilon \sin \bar{\alpha} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (4)$$

The two polarisation states of the signal can be taken as

$$h_+(t) = h_{o+} \cos[\Phi(t)] \quad (5)$$

$$h_{\times}(t) = h_{o\times} \sin[\Phi(t)] \quad (6)$$

$h_{o+}$ ,  $h_{o\times}$  are the time independent amplitude of  $h_+(t)$ , and  $h_{\times}(t)$  respectively.

To understand the nature of the FM let us consider the  $+$  polarisation of the signal of unit amplitude

$$h(t) = h_+(t) = \cos[\Phi(t)] \quad (7)$$

The FT for a data of observation time  $T_{obs}$  is given via

$$\tilde{h}(f) = \int_0^{T_{obs}} \cos[\Phi(t)] e^{-i2\pi ft} dt \quad (8)$$

After integration we have

$$\tilde{h}(f) \simeq \frac{\nu}{2w_{rot}} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{m=\infty} e^{i\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B}[\tilde{\mathcal{C}} - i\tilde{\mathcal{D}}] ; \quad (9)$$

where

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \nu &= \frac{f_o - f}{f_{rot}} \\ \mathcal{A} &= \frac{(k+m)\pi}{2} - \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{Q} \\ \mathcal{B} &= \frac{J_k(\mathcal{Z})J_m(\mathcal{N})}{\nu^2 - (ak+m)^2} \\ \tilde{\mathcal{C}} &= \sin \nu \xi_o \cos(ak\xi_o + m\xi_o - k\phi - m\delta) - \frac{ak+m}{\nu} \{ \cos \nu \xi_o \sin(ak\xi_o + m\xi_o - k\phi - m\delta) \\ &\quad + \sin(k\phi + m\delta) \} \\ \tilde{\mathcal{D}} &= \cos \nu \xi_o \cos(ak\xi_o + m\xi_o - k\phi - m\delta) + \frac{ka+m}{\nu} \sin \nu \xi_o \sin(ak\xi_o + m\xi_o - k\phi - m\delta) \\ &\quad - \cos(k\phi + m\delta) \\ \xi_o &= w_{rot} T_{obs} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

(10)

$J$  stands for the Bessel function of first kind. The computational strain to compute  $\tilde{h}(f)$  from equation (9) can be reduced by  $\approx 50\%$  by using the symmetrical property of the Bessel functions, given as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}(f) \simeq & \frac{\nu}{w_{rot}} \left[ \frac{J_o(\mathcal{Z})J_o(\mathcal{N})}{2\nu^2} [\{\sin(\mathcal{R} + \mathcal{Q}) - \sin(\mathcal{R} + \mathcal{Q} - \nu\xi_o)\} + \right. \\ & i \{\cos(\mathcal{R} + \mathcal{Q}) - \cos(\mathcal{R} + \mathcal{Q} - \nu\xi_o)\}] + \\ & J_o(\mathcal{Z}) \sum_{m=1}^{m=\infty} \frac{J_m(\mathcal{N})}{\nu^2 - m^2} [(\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{U} - \mathcal{X}\mathcal{V}) - i(\mathcal{X}\mathcal{U} + \mathcal{Y}\mathcal{V})] + \\ & \left. \sum_{k=1}^{k=\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{m=\infty} e^{iA} \mathcal{B}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}} - i\tilde{\mathcal{D}}) \right] ; \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \mathcal{X} &= \sin(\mathcal{R} + \mathcal{Q} - m\pi/2) \\ \mathcal{Y} &= \cos(\mathcal{R} + \mathcal{Q} - m\pi/2) \\ \mathcal{U} &= \sin \nu\xi_o \cos m(\xi_o - \delta) - \frac{m}{\nu} \{\cos \nu\xi_o \sin m(\xi_o - \delta) - \sin m\delta\} \\ \mathcal{V} &= \cos \nu\xi_o \cos m(\xi_o - \delta) + \frac{m}{\nu} \sin \nu\xi_o \sin m(\xi_o - \delta) - \cos m\delta \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (12)$$

Equation (11) contains double infinite series of Bessel function. However, we know that the value of Bessel function decreases rapidly as its order exceed the argument. Accordingly, from equations (2) it can be known that how many orders of Bessel function one need in practice to compute  $\tilde{h}(f)$  in the infinite series and may be given as

$$k \approx 3133.22 \times 10^3 \sin \theta \left( \frac{f_o}{1\text{kHz}} \right) \quad (13)$$

$$m \approx 134 \left( \frac{f_o}{1\text{kHz}} \right) \quad (14)$$

### 3 Matching of the continuous gravitational wave

To study the matching of the CGW we use the formula for FF (Apostolatos 1995) which quantitatively describes the closeness of two signals, given as

$$\text{FF} = \frac{\langle h(f) | h_T(f; \theta_T, \phi_T) \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle h_T(f; \theta_T, \phi_T) | h_T(f; \theta_T, \phi_T) \rangle \langle h(f) | h(f) \rangle}} \quad (15)$$

where  $h(f)$  and  $h_T(f; \theta_T, \phi_T)$  represent respectively the FTs of the actual signal wave form and the templates. The inner product of two waveform  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  which is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h_1 | h_2 \rangle &= 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{\tilde{h}_1^*(f) \tilde{h}_2(f) + \tilde{h}_1(f) \tilde{h}_2^*(f)}{S_n(f)} df \\ &= 4 \int_0^\infty \frac{\tilde{h}_1^*(f) \tilde{h}_2(f)}{S_n(f)} df \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where  $*$  denotes complex conjugation,  $\tilde{\cdot}$  denotes the FT of the quantity underneath ( $\tilde{a}(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a(t)\exp(-2\pi ift)dt$ ) and  $S_n(f)$  is the spectral density of the detector's noise. In our analysis we assumed the noise to be stationary and Gaussian. To compute the inner product of two signals one need the BW of the Doppler modulated signal. By computing the Doppler shift (Srivastava and Sahay 2002a), the BW of Doppler modulated signal may be given as

$$\text{BW} \approx (1.99115 \times 10^{-4} \sin \theta + 3.09672 \times 10^{-6})f_o \quad (17)$$

For 1-d data set the FF is symmetrical under the transformation (Srivastava and Sahay 2002c)

$$\theta_T \longrightarrow \pi - \theta_T \quad 0 \leq \theta_T \leq \pi \quad (18)$$

$$\phi_T \longrightarrow \pi - \phi_T \quad 0 \leq \phi_T \leq \pi \quad (19)$$

$$\phi_T \longrightarrow 3\pi - \phi_T \quad \pi \leq \phi_T \leq 2\pi \quad (20)$$

The above observations are made by studying only the few cases. Hence, for the construction of templates in order to detect the monochromatic CGW sources in an all sky-search, it will be important to understand and check the generic nature of the matching of signals under the above transformations.

### 3.1 Celestial colatitude

Let us consider that GEO600 detector (the positions and orientations of the detectors can be found in Jaranowski et al. 1998) receiving a CGW signal of frequency  $f_o = 0.1$  Hz from a source located at  $(\theta, \phi) = (25^\circ, 20^\circ)$ . To find the amount of matching of the signals in celestial colatitude, we first maximize FF over  $\phi$  by choosing  $\phi = \phi_T = 20^\circ$ . Now, we wish to check the symmetries in celestial colatitude represented by equation (18) for the data set say  $T_{obs} = 120$  d. For the purpose we maximize the FF over  $\theta$  by varying  $\theta_T$  in discrete steps over entire range i.e.  $0^\circ$  to  $180^\circ$ . In this case we take the ranges of  $k$  and  $m$  as 1 to 345 and -3 to 3 respectively and  $\text{BW} = 20.1954 \times 10^{-6}$ Hz. The results obtained are shown in figure (1). To establish the observed symmetries, we similarly computed the FF for  $T_{obs} = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 365$  d and observed that the matching of the signals remain almost same. However, due to the term  $2\pi f_o \frac{R_e}{c} \sin \alpha \sin \epsilon \cos \theta (\sin \beta - \sin \beta_o)$  in equation (1), the variation in the matching of the signals under the transformation given by equation (18) will depend on the source frequency, celestial colatitude and detector position and orientation. We checked the dependence of the FF on this parameters. The result so obtained for different Earth based interferometric detectors are shown in Tables (1), (2), figures (2) and (3).

The analysis on the matching of the signals in  $\theta$  space shows that




Figure 1: Variation of FF with  $\theta_T$ .




Figure 2: Fall of FF with  $f_o$ .




Figure 3: Fall of FF with  $f_o$ .

| $\theta^\circ$ | $\theta_T^\circ$ | FF<br>( $\beta_o = 0^\circ$ ) | FF<br>( $\beta_o = 90^\circ$ ) |
|----------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 0.5            | 179.5            | 0.9999                        | 0.9970                         |
| 1              | 179              | 0.9999                        | 0.9970                         |
| 5              | 175              | 0.9992                        | 0.9970                         |
| 10             | 170              | 0.9985                        | 0.9968                         |
| 15             | 165              | 0.9986                        | 0.9966                         |
| 20             | 160              | 0.9987                        | 0.9963                         |
| 25             | 155              | 0.9987                        | 0.9959                         |
| 30             | 150              | 0.9988                        | 0.9954                         |
| 35             | 145              | 0.9990                        | 0.9949                         |
| 40             | 140              | 0.9991                        | 0.9944                         |

| $\theta^\circ$ | $\theta_T^\circ$ | FF<br>( $\beta_o = 0^\circ$ ) | FF<br>( $\beta_o = 90^\circ$ ) |
|----------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 45             | 135              | 0.9992                        | 0.9939                         |
| 50             | 130              | 0.9993                        | 0.9933                         |
| 55             | 125              | 0.9995                        | 0.9985                         |
| 60             | 120              | 0.9996                        | 0.9988                         |
| 65             | 115              | 0.9997                        | 0.9992                         |
| 70             | 110              | 0.9998                        | 0.9994                         |
| 75             | 105              | 0.9998                        | 0.9997                         |
| 80             | 100              | 0.9999                        | 0.9998                         |
| 85             | 95               | 0.9999                        | 0.9999                         |
| 89             | 91               | 0.9999                        | 0.9999                         |

Table 1: Matching of the signals of frequency 1 Hz under the transformation represented by equation (18) for GEO600 detector.

| Detector                                                   | $A_o$<br>$\times 10^{-3}$ | $A_1$<br>$\times 10^{-5}$ | $A_2$<br>$\times 10^{-5}$ | $A_3$<br>$\times 10^{-7}$ |
|------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| GEO600 <sub>(<math>\beta_o=0^\circ</math>)</sub>           | 1000.02                   | 124.524                   | 129.030                   | 137.774                   |
| GEO600 <sub>(<math>\beta_o=90^\circ</math>)</sub>          | 997.796                   | 175.426                   | 248.072                   | 282.478                   |
| LIGO Hanford <sub>(<math>\beta_o=0^\circ</math>)</sub>     | 998.450                   | 225.359                   | 172.731                   | 221.187                   |
| LIGO Hanford <sub>(<math>\beta_o=90^\circ</math>)</sub>    | 996.668                   | 266.811                   | 324.073                   | 440.729                   |
| VIRGO <sub>(<math>\beta_o=0^\circ</math>)</sub>            | 998.548                   | 243.480                   | 191.503                   | 259.026                   |
| VIRGO <sub>(<math>\beta_o=90^\circ</math>)</sub>           | 996.064                   | 316.288                   | 362.630                   | 529.531                   |
| TAMMA300 <sub>(<math>\beta_o=0^\circ</math>)</sub>         | 997.914                   | 342.746                   | 249.527                   | 390.874                   |
| TAMMA300 <sub>(<math>\beta_o=90^\circ</math>)</sub>        | 995.744                   | 371.193                   | 458.856                   | 756.536                   |
| LIGO Livingston <sub>(<math>\beta_o=0^\circ</math>)</sub>  | 998.815                   | 396.783                   | 285.368                   | 478.932                   |
| LIGO Livingston <sub>(<math>\beta_o=90^\circ</math>)</sub> | 995.622                   | 399.087                   | 516.416                   | 904.211                   |

Table 2: Coefficients of the fall of FF with  $f_o$  under the transformation represented by equation (18) for  $\beta_o = 0^\circ$  and  $90^\circ$ .

- (i) For fixed  $f_o$ , the FF is almost independent of  $T_{obs}$  and  $\phi$ .
- (ii) For a given source frequency, the change of source location, detector position and orientation does not change FF significantly [Tables (1), (2)].
- (iii) The FF fall with the source frequency [Figures (2) and (3)]. We obtained the approximate fall of FF based on the figures (2) and (3) and may be given as

$$FF = A_o + A_1 \left( \frac{f_o}{\text{Hz}} \right) - A_2 \left( \frac{f_o}{\text{Hz}} \right)^2 + A_3 \left( \frac{f_o}{\text{Hz}} \right)^3 \quad (21)$$

where  $A_o, A_1, A_2, A_3$  are constants given in Table (2).

### 3.2 Celestial longitude

The Doppler shift due to the motions of Earth, depends mainly on the celestial colatitude and source frequency and have very less dependence on the celestial longitude. Consequently, grid spacing of the templates for matched filtering for an all sky search will insignificantly depends on celestial longitude (Brady & Creighton 2000). Keeping in view of the insignificant dependence of celestial longitude in Doppler modulation, we wish to check the matching of the signals given by the equations (19) and (20). For the purpose we chosen a data set for  $T_{obs} = 120$  d, source located at  $(\theta, \phi) = (0.5^\circ, 40^\circ)$ , source frequency  $f_o = 5$  Hz and detector location of LIGO Livingston. To compute the FF, we first maximize equation (15) over  $\theta$  by selecting  $\theta = \theta_T = 0.5^\circ$  then we maximize  $\phi$  in discrete steps over its entire range from i.e.  $0^\circ$  to  $360^\circ$ . The result so obtained is shown in figure (4). Here,  $k$  and  $m$  takes the value from 1 to 160 and  $-5$  to  $-5$  respectively and  $BW = 12.0858 \times 10^{-6}$ .

Finding no symmetries, we similarly checked the mismatch of the signals for different  $(\theta, \phi)$  and  $f_o$  by computing the FF for the data set of  $T_{obs} = 1, 2, \dots, 25/100$  d. The results

| $f_o$<br>(Hz) | $\theta^o$ | $\theta_T^o$ | $\phi^o$ | $\phi_T^o$ | $B_o$<br>$\times 10^{-3}$ | $B_1$<br>$\times 10^{-5}$ | $B_2$<br>$\times 10^{-5}$ | $B_3$<br>$\times 10^{-6}$ | $B_4$<br>$\times 10^{-7}$ |
|---------------|------------|--------------|----------|------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 20            | 0.5        | 0.5          | 20/200   | 160/340    | 1032.39                   | 13167.8                   | 14036.2                   | 56606.5                   | 55088.4                   |
| 15            |            |              |          |            | 1030.85                   | 11101.8                   | 10398.0                   | 36450.9                   | 30710.3                   |
| 10            |            |              |          |            | 1028.79                   | 8750.18                   | 6813.74                   | 19603.4                   | 13481.1                   |
| 5             |            |              |          |            | 1025.73                   | 5842.30                   | 3302.21                   | 6795.19                   | 3310.22                   |
|               |            |              |          |            | 1021.20                   | 2348.47                   | 616.661                   | 579.752                   | 126.575                   |
|               |            |              |          |            | 1023.31                   | 3527.06                   | 1287.73                   | 1692.54                   | 522.566                   |
|               |            |              |          |            | 1028.85                   | 8758.50                   | 6812.31                   | 19567.0                   | 13437.3                   |
|               |            |              |          |            | 1032.67                   | 13220.3                   | 14031.8                   | 56298.5                   | 54579.0                   |
|               |            |              |          |            | 1034.40                   | 16673.7                   | 21157.0                   | 103289.0                  | 122385.0                  |
|               |            |              |          |            |                           |                           |                           |                           |                           |
| 1             | 0.5        | 0.5          | 1/181    | 179/359    | 1031.20                   | 2896.18                   | 722.052                   | 671.331                   | 149.659                   |
|               |            |              | 50/230   | 130/310    | 1028.29                   | 2216.41                   | 452.688                   | 341.054                   | 61.1078                   |
|               |            |              | 70/250   | 110/290    | 1024.32                   | 1473.47                   | 225.270                   | 125.891                   | 16.4451                   |
|               |            |              | 80/260   | 100/280    | 1019.87                   | 906.855                   | 101.752                   | 41.5159                   | 3.84672                   |
|               |            |              | 85/265   | 95/275     | 1012.58                   | 449.523                   | 38.5174                   | 11.9112                   | 0.774374                  |

Table 3: Coefficients of the fall of FF for LIGO Livingston detector under the transformation represented by equations (19) and (20) for different  $\theta$  and  $f_o$ .

so obtained are shown in figure (5), figures (6), (7) respectively. Almost same behavior has been observed for the transformation represented by the equation (20) and are shown in figures (8, (9), (10) and (11).

From the figures we reveal that the behavior of the matching of the signals are similar in nature and may be represented by

$$FF = B_o - B_1 T_{obs} + B_2 T_{obs}^2 - B_3 T_{obs}^3 + B_4 T_{obs}^4; \quad T_{obs} = 1, 2, \dots, 25/100 \text{ d} \quad (22)$$

Where,  $B_o$ ,  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$ ,  $B_3$ ,  $B_4$  are the constants given in Table (3). The above equation does not represents the oscillatory part of the figures. This oscillatory behavior is more or less typical in waveform that match well or bad depending on their parameters and is low enough in comparison to the threshold of the detection of GW that one may choose.




Figure 4: Variation of FF with  $\phi_T$ .




Figure 6: Fall of FF with  $T_{obs}$  for different  $\theta$ .




Figure 5: Fall of FF with  $T_{obs}$  for different  $f_o$ .




Figure 7: Fall of FF with  $T_{obs}$  for different  $\phi$  and  $\phi_T$ .




Figure 8: Variation of FF with  $\phi_T$ .




Figure 10: Fall of FF with  $T_{obs}$  for different  $\theta$ .




Figure 9: Fall of FF with  $T_{obs}$  for different  $f_o$ .




Figure 11: Fall of FF with  $T_{obs}$  for different  $\phi$  and  $\phi_T$ .

## 4 Conclusion

In view of the blind all sky search for CGW, we have studied the matching of the signals from different source locations assuming the noise to be stationary and Gaussian. For fixed  $f_o$ , we observed that the matching of the signals under the transformation represented by equations (18) is almost independent of  $T_{obs}$ , and  $\phi$ . However, it fall with  $f_o$ . But under the transformation represented by equations (19) and (20), the matching of the signals fall with  $T_{obs}$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\phi$ ,  $f_o$ . We believe that the amount of matching of the signals will go up for real data, because for observation time less than month the Fast Fourier transform (FFT) will not provide all the relevant peaks contained in the Doppler modulated signal.

This analysis will be more relevant if one will do hierarchical search (Mohanty & Dhurandhar 1996; Mohanty 1998) for the detection of CGW. This search is basically a two step search, in first step the threshold is kept low and in second step higher threshold. The higher threshold would be used but only those templates which exceeded the first step threshold.

The study of the matching of the signals in different source locations will be also relevant for LISA (Hough J., 1995). As FFT provide a resolution of  $1/T$ , hence one would like to know that how many days of data set one will need to dig out the source locations for a given threshold. The LISA will orbit the Sun at 1 AU and a period of 1-yr with sensitivity in the frequency band 0.1 Hz to 0.0001 Hz. Hence, the maximum uncorrected time for the signal frequency 0.1, 0.01, 0.001 and 0.0001 Hz will be around 11.3, 35.9, 113.5 and 359.0 d respectively. Therefore, in the LISA BW, the number of patches in all sky search will be very low and it will be not straight forward do dig out the exact source location from the information provided by the Doppler modulation. The precise study of this aspect i.e. how the number of patches in the sky is related to FF will be important in reference to LISA. This work has been initiated and may be useful for the data analysis of CGW.

## Acknowledgment

I am thankful to Prof. S.V. Dhurandhar, Pune for his useful discussions.

## References

- [1] Abramovici A., Althouse W.E., Drever R.W.P., Gürsel Y., Kanwamura S., Raab F.J. Shoemaker D., Sievers L., Spero R.E., Thorne K.S., Vogt R.E., Weiss R., Whitcomb S.E., and Zucker Z.E., *Sciences* **256**, 325, (1992)
- [2] Apostolatos T.A., 1995, *Phys. Rev. D* **52**, 605
- [3] Astone P., Borkowski K.M., Jaranowski P. and Królak A., 2002, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 042003
- [4] Bonazzola S. and Gourgoulhon E., 1996, *Astron. and Astrophys.* **312**, 675

- [5] Bradaschia C., Calloni E., Cobal M., Fabbro R.D., Virgilio A.D., Giazotto A., Holloway L.E., Kautzky H., Michelozzi B., Montelatici V., Passuello D., and Velloso W., in *Gravitation 1990, Proceedings of the Banff summer Institute*, edited by Mann R. and Wesson P. (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991)
- [6] Brady P.R., Creighton T., Cutler C., Schutz B.F., 1998, *Phys. Rev. D* , 57, 2101
- [7] Brady, P.R. and Creighton T., 2000, *Phys. Rev. D* , 61, 082001
- [8] Danzmann K., 1995, in *Gravitational Wave Experiment*, eds. Coccia E., Pizzela G., and Ronga F., (World Scientific, Singapore, 1995), pp. 100-111
- [9] Hough J., 1995, in *Gravitational Wave Experiment*, eds. Coccia E., Pizzela G., and Ronga F., (World Scientific, Singapore, 1995), pp. 50-63
- [10] Jaranowski P., Krolak A., Schutz B.F., 1998, *Phys. Rev. D* , 58, 063001
- [11] Jaranowski P. and Królak A., 1999, *Phys. Rev. D* , 59, 063003
- [12] Jaranowski P. and Królak A., 2000, *Phys. Rev. D* , 61, 062001
- [13] McClelland et al., in *Proceedings of the 3rd Edoardo Amaldi Conference* (S. Meshkov, De.), AIP Conference Proceedings 523, p. 140, Melville, New York, 2000
- [14] Mohanty S.D. and Dhurandhar S.V., 1996, *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 7108
- [15] Mohanty S.D., 1998, *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 630
- [16] Mohanty S.D., Heng I.S., Balir D.G., Dhurandhar S.V., Tobar M., Ivanov E., 1998, *Montly Not. RAS* , 301, 469
- [17] Pandharipande V.R., Pines D., Smith R.A., *Astrophys. J.*, 1976, **208**, 550
- [18] Schutz B.F., 1998, Davier M. and Hello P., eds, *proceedings of Gravitational Wave Data Analysis Workshop*, Orsay, France 1997, Editions Frontieres, Paris, 113-143
- [19] Srivastava D.C. and Sahay S.K., 2002a, *Montly Not. RAS* , 337, 305
- [20] Srivastava D.C. and Sahay S.K., 2002b, *Montly Not. RAS* , 337, 315
- [21] Srivastava D.C. and Sahay S.K., 2002c, *Montly Not. RAS* , 337, 322
- [22] Tagoshi H., Kanda N., Tanaka T., Tatsumi D., Telada S., Ando M., Arai K., Araya A., Asada H. et al. 2001, *Phys. Rev. D* **63**, 062001
- [23] Tsubona K., in *Gravitational Wave Experiment*, eds. Coccia, E., Pizzela, G., and Ronga, F., (World Scientific, Singapore, 1995), pp.112-114
- [24] Zimmermann M. and Szedenits, E., 1979, *Phys. Rev. D* **20**, 351

[25] Zimmermann M., 1980, Phys. Rev. D **21**, 891