

An Obstruction to Quantizing Compact Symplectic Manifolds

Mark J. Gotay*

Department of Mathematics
University of Hawai'i
2565 The Mall
Honolulu, HI 96822 USA

Janusz Grabowski†

Institute of Mathematics
University of Warsaw
ul. Banacha 2
02-097 Warsaw, Poland

May 30, 1997

Abstract

We prove that there are no nontrivial finite-dimensional Lie representations of certain Poisson algebras of polynomials on a compact symplectic manifold. Applications to quantization theory are given.

1 Introduction

Let (M, ω) be a connected symplectic manifold. Consider the Poisson algebra $C_c^\infty(M)$ of all compactly supported smooth functions on M . In a recent paper [GM], Ginzburg and Montgomery observed:

Theorem 0 *There exists no nontrivial finite-dimensional Lie representation of $C_c^\infty(M)$.*

When M is compact, this yields the “no-go” result:

Corollary 0 *There exists no nontrivial finite-dimensional full prequantization of M .*

(We refer the reader to the Appendix and [GGT] for an explanation of the terminology.)

From the standpoint of quantization theory, however, one is more interested in certain polynomial algebras than in $C_c^\infty(M)$ or even all of $C^\infty(M)$. Inspired by this work, as well as that of Avez [Av1], in this paper we generalize both Theorem 0 and Corollary 0 as follows. Let \mathcal{B} be a basic subalgebra of observables on M and $P(\mathcal{B})$ the Poisson algebra of polynomials generated by \mathcal{B} . Assume that M is compact. Our main result is:

*Supported in part by NSF grant 96-23083. E-mail: gotay@math.hawaii.edu

†Supported by KBN, grant No. 2 PO3A 042 10. E-mail: Janusz.Grabowski@mimuw.edu.pl

Theorem 1 *There exists no nontrivial finite-dimensional Lie representation of $P(\mathcal{B})$.*

From this we immediately obtain:

Corollary 1 *There exists no nontrivial finite-dimensional prequantization of $P(\mathcal{B})$.*

Corollary 2 *There exists no nontrivial finite-dimensional quantization of the pair $(P(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{B})$.*

Implications of these no-go results will be discussed in the sequel.

2 Proofs

We begin with a purely algebraic result. Let P be a Poisson algebra, i.e. an associative commutative algebra, equipped with a Poisson bracket $\{ , \}$. The Poisson bracket is a Lie bracket satisfying the Leibniz rule

$$\{f, gh\} = \{f, g\}h + g\{f, h\}.$$

The Leibniz rule implies the identity

$$\{f, gh\} + \{g, hf\} + \{h, fg\} = 0. \quad (1)$$

Proposition 1 *If L is a finite-codimensional Lie ideal of a Poisson algebra P with identity, then either L contains the derived ideal $P' = \{P, P\}$ or there is a maximal finite-codimensional associative ideal J of P such that $P' \subset J$.*

Proof. Let us assume that P' is not included in L and let us set $U_L = \{f \in P \mid \{f, P\} \subset L\}$.

From (1) it follows easily that U_L is an associative subalgebra of P (cf. [Gr2, 1.6(b)]). Moreover, U_L is finite-codimensional, since it contains L , and $U_L \neq P$, since the derived ideal P' is not included in L .

Let K be the largest associative ideal of P included in U_L , i.e. $K = \{f \in P \mid fP \subset U_L\}$. As the kernel of the regular representation of U_L in P/U_L by associative multiplication, K is finite-codimensional in U_L (and hence in P) and $K \neq P$. Moreover, $\{P, K\} \subset L \subset U_L$. Since for any $f \in P$ we have

$$f\{P, K\} \subset \{P, fK\} + \{P, f\}K \subset U_L,$$

we can write $\{P, K\} \subset K$.

Since P has an identity, K is contained in some maximal (and thus prime) finite-codimensional associative ideal J . Let X_f be the Hamiltonian vector field of $f \in P$. Since

$$J^{X_f} = \{g \in P \mid (X_f)^n g \in J \text{ for all } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

is finite-codimensional (as it contains K), we conclude from [Gr1, Lemma 4.1] that $X_f(J) \subset J$, i.e. $\{P, J\} \subset J$. Finally, we use [Gr1, Lemma 4.2] to get that $\{P, P\} \subset J$. \blacksquare

Since the subalgebra \mathcal{B} is fixed, we will henceforth abbreviate the Poisson algebra $P(\mathcal{B})$ by P .

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that \mathcal{Q} were a Lie representation of P on some finite-dimensional vector space. Then $L = \ker \mathcal{Q}$ is a finite-codimensional Lie ideal of P . We will show that L has codimension at most 1, whence the representation is trivial (i.e. \mathcal{Q} factors through a representation of P/L with $\dim P/L \leq 1$.) We accomplish this in two steps, by showing that:

- (a) The derived ideal $\{P, P\}$ has codimension 1 in P , and
- (b) $L \supset \{P, P\}$.

Recall that the mean of $f \in C^\infty(M)$ is

$$\bar{f} = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(M)} \int_M f \omega^n,$$

where $\dim M = 2n$. Let \bar{P} denote the Lie ideal of all polynomials of zero mean. The decomposition $f \mapsto \bar{f} + (f - \bar{f})$ gives $P = \mathbb{R} \oplus \bar{P}$. Thus, if we prove that $\{P, P\} = \bar{P}$, (a) will follow.

It is well-known that $\{P, P\} \subset \bar{P}$ [Av2]. To show the reverse inclusion, let $\{b_1, \dots, b_N\}$ be a set of Lie generators for \mathcal{B} , so that

$$\{b_i, b_j\} = \sum_{k=1}^N c_{ij}^k b_k$$

for some constants c_{ij}^k . Following Avez [Av2], define the “symplectic Laplacian”

$$\Delta f = - \sum_{i=1}^N \{b_i, \{b_i, f\}\}.$$

It is clear from these two expressions and the Leibniz rule that the linear operator Δ maps P^k into $\overline{P^k}$. Furthermore, taking into account the transitivity of \mathcal{B} (cf. the Appendix), we can apply [Av2, Prop. 1(4)] to conclude that $\Delta f = 0$ only if f is constant. Thus for each $k \geq 0$, the decomposition $P^k = \mathbb{R} \oplus \overline{P^k}$ implies $\Delta(P^k) = \overline{P^k}$. It follows that $\bar{P} \subset \{P, P\}$.

If (b) does not hold, then by Proposition 1 there must be a proper associative ideal J in P with $\{P, P\} \subset J$. Since $\{P, P\} = \bar{P}$ has codimension 1, $\bar{P} = J$. This is, however, impossible, since f^2 has zero mean only if $f = 0$. \blacksquare

Corollaries 1 and 2 are trivial, since a prequantization is simply a special type of Lie representation, and since a quantization of $(P(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{B})$ is in particular a prequantization of $P(\mathcal{B})$.

3 Discussion

On physical grounds one expects the quantization of a compact phase space to yield a *finite*-dimensional Hilbert space. Corollary 1 asserts that the polynomial algebra $P(\mathcal{B})$ generated by any basic subalgebra \mathcal{B} cannot be consistently quantized if this is to be the case. We emphasize, however, that none of the Corollaries will hold in general if the representation space is allowed to be infinite-dimensional: as is well-known, full prequantizations exist provided ω is integral and, at least in one instance (the torus), there is a full quantization as well [Go].¹ Thus, from a physical standpoint, Corollaries 1 and 2 are the optimal no-go results for compact symplectic manifolds.

An important special case to which our results apply is when M is a coadjoint orbit in \mathfrak{g}^* for some real Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , and P is the Poisson algebra of polynomials on \mathfrak{g}^* restricted to M . In particular, we can take $M = S^2 \subset \mathfrak{u}(2)^*$, \mathcal{B} the space of spherical harmonics of degree at most one, and $P(\mathcal{B})$ the space of all spherical harmonics. In [GGH] it was shown that there is no nontrivial quantization—finite-dimensional or not—of the pair $(P(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{B})$. We may easily reduce this to the present context as follows: If \mathcal{Q} were a quantization of $(P(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{B})$, then by conditions (Q2) and (Q4) (cf. the Appendix), $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{B})$ can be exponentiated to a unitary representation of the universal cover $SU(2) \times \mathbb{R}$ of $U(2)$ which, according to (Q3), is irreducible. The representation space must thus be finite-dimensional, and so Corollary 2 applies. A similar analysis applies to $\mathbb{C}P^n \subset \mathfrak{u}(n+1)^*$, thereby answering in the affirmative a question posed in [GGH, §3].

Finally, we remark that the map $f \mapsto \bar{f}$ always provides a trivial—but nonzero—prequantization of $C^\infty(M)$ on \mathbb{C} . When the representation space is infinite-dimensional, Avez [Av1] has shown that $f \mapsto \bar{f}I$ is the only possible prequantization of $C^\infty(M)$ by *bounded* operators.

We hope to explore the case when M is noncompact in future work.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank V. Ginzburg and G. Folland for helpful discussions.

Appendix: Definitions and Terminology

Here we give some basic definitions and explain our terminology. See [GGT] for further details and discussion.

Let M be a symplectic manifold with Poisson bracket $\{ , \}$.

¹In the case of the torus, the relevant basic set \mathcal{B} is not a Lie algebra, and in fact the Lie algebra $L(\mathcal{B})$ it generates is infinite-dimensional. However, it happens that $L(\mathcal{B})$ and the polynomial algebra $P(\mathcal{B})$ generated by \mathcal{B} coincide, so that the latter is nonetheless a Poisson algebra. Thus the torus really does provide an infinite-dimensional counterexample to Corollary 2.

Definition 1 A *basic subalgebra of observables* is a finite-dimensional Lie subalgebra \mathcal{B} of $C^\infty(M)$ which is “transitive,” i.e. the Hamiltonian vector fields of elements of \mathcal{B} span TM .²

We denote by $P(\mathcal{B})$ the polynomial algebra generated by \mathcal{B} . Since \mathcal{B} is a Lie algebra, $P(\mathcal{B})$ is a Poisson algebra. Note that (i) $P(\mathcal{B})$ is not necessarily free as an associative algebra (cf. the examples in §3), and (ii) by definition $\mathbb{R} \subset P(\mathcal{B})$. Let $P^k(\mathcal{B})$ denote the (finite-dimensional) subspace of polynomials of minimal degree at most k . (Since $P(\mathcal{B})$ is not necessarily free, the notion of “degree” is not well-defined, but that of “minimal degree” is.)

Definition 2 Let \mathcal{O} be a Lie subalgebra of $C^\infty(M)$ containing 1. A *prequantization* of \mathcal{O} is a Lie representation \mathcal{Q} of \mathcal{O} by symmetric operators which preserve a common dense domain D in some separable Hilbert space such that

$$(Q1) \quad \mathcal{Q}(1) = I, \text{ and}$$

$$(Q2) \quad \text{if the Hamiltonian vector field of } f \in \mathcal{O} \text{ is complete, then } \mathcal{Q}(f) \text{ is essentially self-adjoint on } D.$$

If $\mathcal{O} = C^\infty(M)$, the prequantization is said to be *full*.

Now suppose that $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{O}$ is a basic subalgebra of observables. Essentially, a “quantization” is a prequantization of \mathcal{O} which is both irreducible and integrable when restricted to \mathcal{B} :

Definition 3 A *quantization* of the pair $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{B})$ is a prequantization \mathcal{Q} of \mathcal{O} on a domain D such that

$$(Q3) \quad \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{B}) = \{\mathcal{Q}(f) \mid f \in \mathcal{B}\} \text{ is an irreducible set, and}$$

$$(Q4) \quad D \text{ contains a dense set of separately analytic vectors for } \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{B}).$$

References

- [Av1] Avez, A. [1974] Représentation de l’algèbre de Lie des symplectomorphismes par des opérateurs bornés. *C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris Sér. A*, **279**, 785–787.
- [Av2] Avez, A. [1974–1975] Remarques sur les automorphismes infinitésimaux des variétés symplectiques compactes. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univers. Politecn. Torino*, **33**, 5–12.
- [GM] Ginzburg, V.L. & Montgomery, R. [1997] Geometric quantization and no-go theorems. Preprint dg-ga/9703010.

²In [GGT] a somewhat different notion of “basic set of observables” was considered. However, the distinction is immaterial for our purposes in this paper.

- [Go] Gotay, M.J. [1995] On a full quantization of the torus. In: *Quantization, Coherent States and Complex Structures*, Antoine, J.-P. et al., Eds. (Plenum, New York) 55–62.
- [GGH] Gotay, M.J., Grundling, H., & Hurst, C.A. [1996] A Groenewold-Van Hove theorem for S^2 . *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **348**, 1579–1597.
- [GGT] Gotay, M.J., Grundling, H., & Tuynman, G.T. [1996] Obstruction results in quantization theory. *J. Nonlinear Sci.* **6**, 469–498.
- [Gr1] Grabowski, J. [1978] Isomorphisms and ideals of the Lie algebras of vector fields. *Invent. Math.* **50**, 13–33.
- [Gr2] Grabowski, J. [1985] The Lie structure of C^* and Poisson algebras. *Studia Math.* **81**, 259–270.