

Algebraic Signal Processing Theory

Markus Püschel and José M. F. Moura

Abstract—This paper presents an algebraic theory of linear signal processing. At the core of algebraic signal processing is the concept of a linear signal model defined as a triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi)$, where familiar concepts like the filter space and the signal space are cast as an algebra \mathcal{A} and a module \mathcal{M} , respectively, and Φ generalizes the concept of the z -transform to bijective linear mappings from a vector space of, e.g., signal samples, into the module \mathcal{M} . A signal model provides the structure for a particular linear signal processing application, such as infinite and finite discrete time, or infinite or finite discrete space, or the various forms of multidimensional linear signal processing. As soon as a signal model is chosen, basic ingredients follow, including the associated notions of filtering, spectrum, and Fourier transform.

The shift operator q , which is at the heart of ergodic theory and dynamical systems, is a key concept in the algebraic theory: it is the generator of the algebra of filters \mathcal{A} . Once the shift is chosen, a well-defined methodology leads to the associated signal model. Different shifts correspond to infinite and finite time models with associated infinite and finite z -transforms, and to infinite and finite space models with associated infinite and finite C -transforms (that we introduce). In particular, we show that the 16 discrete cosine and sine transforms are Fourier transforms for the finite space models. Other definitions of the shift naturally lead to new signal models and to new transforms as associated Fourier transforms in one and higher dimensions, separable and non-separable.

We explain in algebraic terms shift-invariance (the algebra of filters \mathcal{A} is commutative), the role of boundary conditions and signal extensions, the connections between linear transforms and linear finite Gauss-Markov fields, and several other concepts and connections. Finally, the algebraic theory is a means to discover, concisely derive, explain, and classify fast transform algorithms, which is the subject of a future paper.

Index Terms—Signal model, filter, Fourier transform, boundary condition, signal extension, shift, shift-invariant, z -transform, spectrum, algebra, module, representation theory, irreducible, convolution, orthogonal, Chebyshev polynomials, discrete cosine and sine transform, discrete Fourier transform, polynomial transform, trigonometric transform, DFT, DCT, DST, Gauss-Markov random field, Karhunen-Loève transform

CONTENTS

| | | |
|-----------|--|----|
| I | Introduction | 2 |
| I-A | Overview | 2 |
| I-B | Background: Algebra in Signal Processing | 6 |
| I-C | Organization | 7 |
| II | Algebras, Modules, and Signal Models | 7 |
| II-A | Motivation | 8 |
| II-B | Algebras, Modules, and Signal Processing | 9 |
| II-C | Algebraic Definition of Signal Model | 14 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|----|
| II-D | Shifts, Shift-Invariance, and Commutative Algebras | 16 |
| II-E | Visualization of a Signal Model | 18 |
| II-F | Module Manipulation and Commutative Diagrams | 18 |
| III | Signal Processing on Polynomial Algebras | 18 |
| III-A | Polynomial Algebras in One Variable | 19 |
| III-B | Signal Model | 19 |
| III-C | Filtering | 19 |
| III-D | Visualization | 20 |
| III-E | Spectrum and Fourier Transform | 20 |
| III-F | Frequency Response | 20 |
| III-G | Fourier Transform as a Matrix | 20 |
| III-H | Diagonalization Properties and Convolution Theorems | 21 |
| III-I | Example: Vandermonde matrix | 22 |
| IV | Where are we now? | 22 |
| V | Modeling Time: The z-Transform | 23 |
| V-A | Building the Signal Model | 23 |
| V-B | Spectrum and Fourier Transform: DTFT | 24 |
| V-C | Visualization | 25 |
| VI | Finite z-Transform and DFT | 25 |
| VI-A | Building the Signal Model | 25 |
| VI-B | Spectrum and Fourier transform: DFT and variants | 26 |
| VI-C | Visualization | 28 |
| VI-D | Diagonalization Properties | 28 |
| VI-E | Convolution Theorem | 28 |
| VI-F | Unitary Transform | 28 |
| VI-G | Real Signal Model: RDFTs and DHTs | 28 |
| VI-H | Rational Signal Model | 30 |
| VII | Modeling Space: The C-Transform | 31 |
| VII-A | Building the Signal Model | 31 |
| VII-B | Spectrum and Fourier Transform: DSFT | 33 |
| VII-C | Visualization | 34 |
| VIII | Finite C-Transform and DTTs | 34 |
| VIII-A | Building the Signal Model | 34 |
| VIII-B | Spectrum and Fourier Transform: DCTs and DSTs | 36 |
| VIII-C | Visualization | 37 |
| VIII-D | Diagonalization Properties | 37 |
| VIII-E | Convolution Theorems | 39 |
| VIII-F | Orthogonal DTTs | 40 |
| VIII-G | Duality | 42 |
| VIII-H | Groups of DTTs and Relationships | 43 |
| VIII-I | Real and Rational Signal Model | 44 |

This work was supported by NSF through awards 9988296 and 0310941.

Markus Püschel and José M. F. Moura are with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh. E-mail: {pueschel,moura}@ece.cmu.edu .

| | | |
|-------------|--|----|
| IX | Finite Skew C-Transform and Skew DTTs | 44 |
| IX-A | Building the Signal Model | 44 |
| IX-B | Spectrum and Fourier Transform | 45 |
| IX-C | Diagonalization Property | 46 |
| IX-D | Translation into Non-Skew DTTs | 46 |
| IX-E | Translation between Skew DTTs | 46 |
| X | Alternative Infinite Space Model | 47 |
| X-A | Building the Signal Model | 47 |
| X-B | Spectrum and Fourier Transform | 48 |
| X-C | Visualization | 48 |
| X-D | Remarks | 48 |
| XI | Alternative Finite Space Model: RDFTs and DHTs | 48 |
| XI-A | Building the Signal Model | 48 |
| XI-B | Spectrum and Fourier transform | 49 |
| XI-C | Visualization | 50 |
| XI-D | Diagonalization Properties | 50 |
| XII | The Generic Nearest Neighbor Model | 50 |
| XII-A | Building the Infinite GNN Signal Model | 50 |
| XII-B | Building the Finite GNN Signal Model | 51 |
| XII-C | Spectrum and Fourier Transform | 51 |
| XII-D | Diagonalization Properties | 51 |
| XII-E | Orthogonal Transform | 51 |
| XII-F | Fast Algorithms | 52 |
| XIII | Overview of Finite Signal Models | 52 |
| XIV | Higher-Dimensional Signal Models | 52 |
| XV | Markov Models, Graphs, Matrices, and Signal Models | 55 |
| XV-A | Discrete Markov Chains | 55 |
| XV-B | Markov Models, Graphs, Matrices, and Polynomial Algebras | 56 |
| XVI | Gauss-Markov Random Fields and Signal Models | 58 |
| XVI-A | Gauss-Markov Random Fields | 58 |
| XVI-B | Examples: Discrete Time and Space . . | 59 |
| XVI-C | GMRFs and Signal Models | 60 |
| XVII | Conclusions | 62 |
| | References | 63 |
| | Appendix I: Algebraic Background | 64 |
| | Appendix II: Module Property of ℓ^p | 65 |
| | Appendix III: Chebyshev Polynomials | 66 |
| | Appendix IV: Direct Derivation of Orthogonal DTTs | 66 |

I. INTRODUCTION

The paper presents an algebraic theory of signal processing that provides a new interpretation to linear signal processing, extending the existing theory in several directions. Linear signal processing is built around signals, filters, z -transform, spectrum, Fourier transform, as well as several other fundamental concepts; it is a well-developed theory for continuous and discrete time. In linear signal processing, signals are modeled as elements of vector spaces over some basefield, e.g., the real or complex field, and filters operate as linear mappings on the vector spaces of signals.

The assumption of linearity has made the theory of vector spaces, or linear algebra, the predominant mathematical discipline in linear signal processing. This paper proposes that the basic structure in linear signal processing actually goes beyond vector spaces and linear algebra. The algebraic theory that we describe will show that this structure is better exploited by the *representation theory of algebras*, which is a well established branch of *algebra*, the theory of groups, rings, and fields.¹ We will show that by appropriate choices for the space of signals, the space of filters, and the filtering operation—what we call the *signal model*—the algebraic theory captures within the same general framework many important instantiations of linear signal processing, namely: linear signal processing for infinite and finite discrete time; linear signal processing for infinite or finite discrete “space;” and linear signal processing for higher order linear models, e.g., separable and non-separable linear signal processing on infinite and finite lattices in two or more dimensions. To get a better understanding and appreciation for what we mean, we expand on some of these examples in the next subsection.

Remark. This paper focuses on *discrete* parameter (time or space) finite or infinite *linear* signal processing, which for the sake of brevity will simply be referred to as signal processing and abbreviated by SP. Much of the paper extends to continuous parameter SP, but this will not be considered here.

A. Overview

The basic idea: Signal models. Consider Table I, ignoring for the time being the bold-faced entries and focusing first on the second and third columns labeled infinite time and finite time. Rows 2 to 5 represent the four basic concepts in SP: the z -transform, filters, signals, and the Fourier transform. Column 2 recalls that, for infinite discrete time SP, we have the well defined concept of z -transform and that signals and filters (in their z -transform representation) are described by power series in the variable z^{-1} . Filtering becomes *multiplication* of series, and the associated Fourier transform is the well known DTFT (discrete time Fourier transform).

When only a finite number N of samples is available, we are in the domain of discrete *finite* time SP, which is considered in the third column in the table. The Fourier transform is the well known DFT _{N} (discrete Fourier transform). However, attempting to extend the infinite time case, column 2, to

¹The word algebra describes the discipline as well as an algebraic structure (namely a vector space that is also a ring, to be defined later).

TABLE I

1-D DISCRETE INFINITE AND FINITE TIME AND SPACE SIGNAL PROCESSING AS FOUR INSTANTIATIONS OF THE GENERAL ALGEBRAIC THEORY. THE BOLDDED CONCEPTS ARE SUPPLIED BY THE ALGEBRAIC THEORY.

| generic theory | infinite time | finite time | infinite space | finite space |
|--|--------------------|---|------------------------------------|---|
| Φ = “ z -transform” | z -transform | finite z-transform(s) | C-transform(s) | finite C-transform(s) |
| \mathcal{A} = algebra of filters | series in z^{-n} | polynomials in z^{-n} | series in T_n | polynomials in T_n |
| \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A} -module of signals | series in z^{-n} | polynomials in z^{-n} | series in C_n | polynomials in C_n |
| \mathcal{F} = Fourier transform | DTFT | DFTs | DSFTs | DCTs/DSTs |

the finite time case, column 3, by simply truncating the z -transform to obtain polynomials as signals and filters leads to problems. Namely, if signals and filters are polynomials $S(z^{-1})$ and $H(z^{-1})$ of degree $N-1$, then their product is in general of higher degree. In other words, the space of signals (polynomials of degree $N-1$) is not closed under this notion of filtering. The solution, which is well-known (e.g., [1]), casts filtering, as multiplication modulo $z^{-N}-1$,

$$H(z^{-1})S(z^{-1}) \bmod (z^{-N}-1). \quad (1)$$

Correspondingly, signals and filters are now in the space of “polynomials in z^{-1} modulo $(z^{-N}-1)$,” which is denoted by $\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}]/(z^{-N}-1)$ and is called a *polynomial algebra*. The definition of a finite z -transform, not found in the literature, is now straightforward; it is bold-faced in Table I and is provided by the algebraic theory. Filtering as described in (1) is equivalent to the well known circular convolution.

Besides the DFT, there are numerous other transforms available for finite signals, for example, the discrete cosine and sine transforms (DCTs and DSTs) considered in the fifth column in Table I. They have been successfully used in image processing, so, intuitively, we refer to them as associated to “space” signals, in contradistinction to time signals. Note that “space” here is one-dimensional (1-D), not necessarily 2-D, since the DCTs/DSTs are 1-D transforms. We will discuss in more detail below what we mean by space. More importantly, we go back to Table I and ask for the DCTs and DSTs: What are their analogues of the finite z -transform, signals, and filters? Likewise, since the DCTs/DSTs are *finite* transforms, we consider the corresponding *infinite* “space” analogues in column 4, again asking what are the appropriate notions of z -transform, signals, filters, and Fourier transform for infinite space signals.

The algebraic theory presented in the paper identifies the basic structure to answer these questions as hinted at in Table I and provides the bold-faced entries, which will be defined in subsequent sections. In other words, the algebraic approach leads to a single theory that instantiates itself to the four right columns in Table I *and*, furthermore, to several other columns corresponding to existing or new ways of doing time and space SP.

Central in the algebraic theory of SP is the concept of the *signal model*. It is defined as a triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi)$ (see the first column in Table I):

- \mathcal{A} is the chosen *algebra* of filters, i.e., a vector space where multiplication (of filters) is also defined.




Fig. 1. The central concept in the algebraic theory of signal processing is the *signal model*, which is a triple of an algebra, an associated module, and a bijective linear mapping (all bolded) from a vector space of signal samples into the module. Defining this mapping fixes the notion of filtering.




Fig. 2. Defining the signal model fixes well-defined notions of signals, filters, spectrum, frequency response, Fourier transform, and the other ingredients for SP.

- \mathcal{M} is an \mathcal{A} -module of signals, i.e., a vector space whose elements can be multiplied by elements of \mathcal{A} . We say that \mathcal{A} operates on \mathcal{M} through filtering.
- Φ generalizes the z -transform. It is defined as a bijective mapping from a vector space V of signal samples into the module \mathcal{M} of signals (see Figure 1).

The vector space V is a product space of countable or finite many copies of, say, the real numbers \mathbb{R} or the complex numbers \mathbb{C} , so that elements of V are series or vectors of signal samples. The purpose of the bijective mapping Φ is to assign a choice of filtering, which is given by the operation (multiplication) of \mathcal{A} on \mathcal{M} . We will show that once we fix a signal model, application of the well-developed representation theory of algebras provides a systematic methodology to derive the main ingredients in SP, such as the notions of spectrum, Fourier transform, and frequency response (see Figure 2).

To be specific, we consider briefly two illustrations of the signal model for finite SP.

For finite time, the signal model is given by (we set $x = z^{-1}$ for simplicity) $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^N-1)$ and

$$\Phi : \mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum_{0 \leq n < N} s_n x^n \in \mathcal{M},$$

for $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_{N-1}) \in V = \mathbb{C}^N$ (assuming complex valued

definition of shift(s) \longrightarrow **signal model**

Fig. 3. A signal model can be derived from the definition of the shift operation in two steps that we call *linear extension* and *realization*. Using this procedure, we can derive signal models that match our intuition by properly defining the shift. Many shifts besides the standard time shift are possible.

signals). This Φ is the finite z -transform indicated in Table I. As we saw in this table, the Fourier transform associated with this signal model is the DFT.

We now consider a second signal model, a finite space model, given by a different polynomial algebra: $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/T_N(x)$, and

$$\Phi : \mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum_{0 \leq n < N} s_n T_n(x) \in \mathcal{M},$$

where T_n are Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind. We will show that the corresponding Fourier transform for this model is the DCT, type 3.

Derivation of signal models: Shift and boundary conditions. These two examples illustrate how we can fill Table I to obtain a consistent set of SP concepts based on the concept of a signal model. We address now the question of which algebras and modules are implicitly assumed in common instantiations of SP and why. This question is important because it will lead to a method to develop signal models beyond the ones shown in Table I.

A first high-level answer to this question is provided by the algebraic theory: Every signal model $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi)$ that has the *shift invariance* property has necessarily a commutative \mathcal{A} . If the model is in addition finite, then \mathcal{A} has to be a polynomial algebra. As examples we saw above the models for the DFT and DCT, type 3. To obtain a more detailed answer to the question which signal models occur in SP, we explain how to derive signal models from basic principles, namely from a chosen definition of the *shift operator*. The shift plays a fundamental role in many areas including ergodic theory, random processes and statistics, dynamical systems, and information theory. As an abstract concept, once there is a group structure, e.g., [2], shifts can be defined for time or space, or in multiple dimensions. In the algebraic theory, the shift has a particularly simple interpretation: it is the *generator of the filter algebra*. Specifically, we describe a procedure that, starting from the definition of the shift, produces infinite and finite signal models, and that reveals the degrees of freedom that are available in this construction (see Figure 3). This procedure provides two important insights:

- 1) How to derive signal models based on shifts other than the standard time shift; and
- 2) The role of boundary conditions and signal extensions in finite signal models.

For example, regarding 1), when starting with an abstract definition of the standard 1-D time shift (q is the shift operator, \diamond the shift operation, t_n are discrete time points)

$$\text{time: } q \diamond t_n = t_{n+1}, \quad (2)$$

we obtain the well-known time models with the associated infinite and finite z -transform. The very same procedure, when




Fig. 4. Examples of 1-D shifts considered by the algebraic theory.

applied to a *different definition of the shift*, namely to what we call the 1-D *space shift*,

$$\text{space: } q \diamond t_n = \frac{1}{2}(t_{n-1} + t_{n+1}), \quad (3)$$

leads to the infinite and finite C -transform (that we will define) and, in the finite case, to the DCTs and DSTs (see Table I). In other words, different shifts lead to different signal models with different associated Fourier transforms; in particular, the DCTs or DSTs are Fourier transforms in this sense.

Other shifts are possible (see Figure 4), and our methodology produces the corresponding signal model and thus the appropriate notion of filtering (or convolution), spectrum, and Fourier transform for each of them. The method is the same for higher dimensional signal models. For example, in 2-D, two shifts have to be considered; possible choices are shown in Figure 5. The first two lead to the known separable 2-D time and 2-D space models, whereas the remaining two choices produce novel 2-D signal models (and thus associated notions of z -transform, filtering, and Fourier transform) for the finite spatial hexagonal and quincunx lattice respectively, [3], [4]. It turns out that the signal models arise again from polynomial algebras (and thus they are shift invariant), but in two variables in this case.

Regarding 2), the role of boundary conditions and signal extension, our signal model derivation explains why they are unavoidable (under certain assumptions) and what the choices are. For example, why is the periodic extension the usual choice for finite time, and why is the symmetric or antisymmetric extension an appropriate choice for the DCTs and DSTs? This insight is very relevant when deriving the novel 2-D models mentioned above. Also, it is interesting to note that for example in finite time other signal extensions besides periodic are possible, which then produce different signal models and thus a different associated “DFT.”

Fast algorithms. One important application of the algebraic theory is in the discovery, derivation, and classification of fast transform algorithms. There are many different transforms used in signal processing (e.g., DFT, DCTs/DSTs, discrete Hartley transforms, and variants thereof) and because of their importance there are hundreds of publications on their fast algorithms. Most of these algorithms are derived by ingenious manipulation of the transform coefficients. These derivations, however, are usually tedious and provide no insight into the structure nor the existence of these algorithms. Further, it is not clear whether important algorithms may not have been found. The exception is the DFT, for which the theory of algorithms is




Fig. 5. In 2-D signal models are derived from 2 shifts. Examples are shown here; the operation of the two shifts in each case is represented by solid and dotted arrows, respectively (scaling factors are omitted). The first two choices of shifts lead to separable models, the others do not.

well-understood due to early works like [5], [6], [7], [8] and others. As a result, very accessible standard books on DFT algorithms are now available for application developers [1], [9], [10], [11]. We will show that by extending ideas from the work on DFTs, the theory of algorithms becomes a natural part of the algebraic theory of signal processing.

The basic idea is to derive algorithms from the signal model underlying a transform rather than from the transform itself. We briefly sketch how it works in a simple case. We consider a signal model with $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$. To derive algorithms for the associated Fourier transform \mathcal{F} , we first state what \mathcal{F} actually does in this case. Namely, \mathcal{F} decomposes the signal module into its irreducible components, called its spectrum. This is akin to decomposing vector spaces into invariant subspaces with respect to some linear mapping. In the case of a polynomial algebra this decomposition is an instantiation of the *Chinese remainder theorem (CRT)* and looks as follows:

$$\mathcal{F}: \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_0) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_{N-1}). \quad (4)$$

Here $\deg(p) = N$ and the α_n are the zeros of p , assumed to be distinct. The important point is that each of the summands on the right side has dimension 1, i.e., $\mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ is fully decomposed. Intuitively, algorithms are now derived by performing this decomposition in steps. This is possible for example, if $p(x) = q(r(x))$ decomposes (note that this is different from factorization). In this case, we can perform (4) in two steps using again the CRT, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x]/(r(x) - \beta_0) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(r(x) - \beta_{K-1}) \\ &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_0) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_{N-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Here, the β_k are the zeros of $q(x)$ and $\deg(q) = K$. A general theorem that we already showed in [12] provides an algorithm for \mathcal{F} in this case.

For the DFT, $p(x) = x^N - 1 = (x^M)^K - 1$ indeed decomposes if $N = KM$ and the method yields the famous Cooley-Tukey FFT. For the DCT, type 3, $p(x) = T_N(x) = T_K(T_M(x))$ and we obtain algorithms of a structure very similar to the Cooley-Tukey FFT [13]. Interestingly, most of them are novel. Using the same method we can derive many known and novel algorithms for all 16 DCTs/DSTs [14] and for other transforms in forthcoming papers.

The idea of decomposition generalizes to higher dimensions. For example, reference [15] derives a Cooley-Tukey type algorithm for the new discrete triangle transform for finite spatial hexagonal lattices introduced in [3]. This transform is

a Fourier transform for a finite 2-D signal model based on the definition of shifts in Figure 5(c).

Using the algebraic approach we will also generalize the well-known prime-factor FFT and Rader FFT, by identifying the algebraic principles they are based on. We already showed first ideas along these lines in [12].

Algebraic theory: Forward and inverse problems. In this paper, we apply the algebraic theory to address, in a sense, a forward problem and an inverse problem: (1) *Forward problem*: Derive a signal model $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi)$ for a given application from basic principles, and find the appropriate SP concepts like filtering, convolution, spectrum, signal extension, Fourier transform and its fast algorithms, and others; (2) *Inverse problem*: Given a linear transform (say the DCT, type 3), find the corresponding signal model, for which the transform is a Fourier transform. From the signal model, we can then derive all SP concepts mentioned in the forward problem above, including fast algorithms for that transform.

The algebraic theory enables the solution of both problems. In the paper we focus on *linear, shift invariant* signal processing since this is a simple context where the algebraic approach can provide immediate meaningful results. Also, rather than assuming more general constructions, e.g., discrete spaces, metric spaces, or Polish spaces, we restrict ourselves to scalar signals that are rational, real, or complex valued—this more restrictive approach still applies to many relevant linear transforms and signal models as we consider here.

Accessibility of the algebraic theory. Algebra is not among the mathematical disciplines commonly taught or used in SP. However, the major parts of the algebraic theory of SP can be developed from working knowledge with series and polynomials and from basic linear algebra techniques, each of which is common knowledge in SP. We will introduce several algebraic concepts; however, they describe existing concepts in SP. For example, referring to the space of filters and signals as an algebra and a module, respectively, does not impose new structure; rather, it makes explicit the structure commonly adopted.

Summary: Scope of the algebraic theory. In summary, the scope of the algebraic theory of SP as we present it and plan to further develop it can be visualized as an expansion of Table I.

First, we develop the general theory (first column) and then we apply or instantiate this theory to expand the table with additional columns by deriving relevant signal models. We start with 1-D SP and fill in the models for most of

the existing spectral transforms², which include practically all known trigonometric transforms. Future papers will then further expand the table through separable and non-separable SP in higher dimensions.

Second, we expand Table I along the rows, for the generic theory and for all signal models introduced. We start again with the most basic concepts such as spectrum, frequency response, Fourier transform, diagonalization properties, and convolution theorems. Forthcoming papers will then develop the algebraic theory of fast transform algorithms, subsampling, uncertainty relations, filterbanks, multiresolution analysis, frame theory, and other important concepts in SP.

B. Background: Algebra in Signal Processing

In this section, we review prior and related work using algebraic techniques in signal processing, and discuss the particular thread of research that led to the work in this paper. Existing work is mostly focused on the derivation of algorithms for the DFT (or a few other transforms) or on the construction of very specialized signal processing schemes, such as Fourier analysis on groups. This restriction to specialized applications has put algebra and representation theory essentially in the “blind spot” of signal processing theory. The situation is different for the related field of system theory, which we discuss first.

Algebraic system theory. This paper describes an algebraic view to explain the mathematical structure underlying signal processing. Kalman in his seminal work on linear system theory (see chapter 10 in his co-authored book [16] from 1968) went beyond vector spaces. His concern was to show that “the entire theory of the regulator problem . . . depends on the algebraic properties of the [system] matrices F , G , and H satisfying these two conditions.” The conditions Kalman refers to are the controllability and observability conditions

$$\text{rank} [G, FG, \dots, F^{n-1}G] = n \quad (5)$$

$$\text{rank} [H^T, F^T H^T, \dots, (F^T)^{n-1} H^T] = n, \quad (6)$$

where n is the dimension of the state space ($F : n \times n, G : n \times m, H : l \times n$). He interpreted (5) “algebraically by viewing it as a condition for generating a module over polynomials in the matrix F .”

Kalman used the algebraic approach to study the realization problem in linear systems. This is an inverse problem: How to go from a suitable rational function that is the *external* system description to the state variable model, the matrices F , G , and H above, that is the *internal* system description. Important concepts, like invariance and invariant subspaces, more appropriately dealt with in the framework of algebras and modules, play an important role in realization theory and are common staple of linear systems and control theory, starting with the early work of Basile and Marro [17] and Wonham and Morse [18] (see also the critical paper by Willems and Mitter [19]). The unpublished work in the PhD thesis of Johnston [20] and Fuhrman [21] and his subsequent work, for example [22, ch. 10], as well as others, have further developed the algebraic theory of linear systems with emphasis on

the system realization problem. Extensive work by Fuhrman focuses again on linear time invariant systems because their algebraic properties can provide significant insight. Many more references and authors have explored these ideas in linear systems and related areas; it is not our intention nor can we even provide here a fair coverage of this literature, so we will not discuss this topic further and refer the readers to the relevant literature.

In parallel with Kalman’s and Fuhrman’s module perspective on linear systems, this paper explores the theory of algebras and modules, i.e., the representation theory of algebras, as a basic framework for digital linear signal processing. In realization theory and in the algebraic linear system theory the shift operator, its matrix representations, and its irreducible components play a central role. Likewise, in the direct and inverse SP problems that we are interested in (see above), the shift operator and the decomposition of modules in irreducibles play a very important role in identifying the spectrum, the linear transform, and its fast algorithms associated with a linear signal model.

Algebraic methods for DFT algorithms. The advent of digital signal processing is often attributed to the rediscovery of the fast Fourier transform (FFT) by Cooley and Tukey in 1965 [23], [24]. In the following years, the recognition of the importance of fast algorithms for the DFT led to the first—and to date arguably most important—application of algebraic methods in mainstream signal processing. Namely, it was already known in the 19th century that the DFT can be described in the framework of the representation theory of groups and, more specifically, can be related to the cyclic group. This connection was used to derive and explain existing FFT algorithms including the Cooley-Tukey FFT [25], [26], [5], [8], [7], but is also the foundation of Winograd’s seminal work on the multiplicative complexity of bilinear forms in general and the DFT in particular. This work provided an entirely new class of DFT algorithms that, surprisingly and among other things, showed that the DFT can be computed with only a linear number of (non-rational) multiplications [6], [27], [28], [29].

Fourier analysis and fast Fourier transforms on groups. The connection between the DFT and the cyclic group made it natural to explore the applicability of other “group Fourier transforms” in signal processing. The general topic of Fourier analysis on groups dates back to the early days (19th century) of the representation theory of groups with major contributions by Gauss, Frobenius, Burnside, Brauer, and Schur. For the infinite (additive) cyclic group of integers \mathbb{Z} , the area of Fourier analysis is equivalent to the theory of Fourier series, which is standard in functional analysis [30], [31] and in discrete-time signal processing. Generalizations to other infinite, commutative groups have also been extensively studied (e.g., [32]). In signal processing, general commutative finite groups were considered already in [25] (including fast algorithms). The first proposition of non-commutative groups is due to Karpovsky [33]. This development raised the question of fast algorithms for these transforms, starting a new area with the pioneering work of Beth [8], [34]. The field was further extended by Clausen [35], [36], [37], and by the large body of work by

²The impatient reader may want to check Table XV for the result.

Rockmore et al., which shaped the field as it stands today; examples include [38], [39], [40], [41].

The infinite cyclic group \mathbb{Z} and the finite cyclic group lead to discrete-time signal processing and finite time signal processing with periodic boundary conditions, respectively. Every finite commutative group is a direct product of cyclic groups, and can thus be viewed as a multi-dimensional torus, which leads to separable multi-dimensional finite signal processing. Beyond that, Fourier analysis on non-commutative finite groups has found next to no applications in signal processing. There are a few notable exceptions. The work by Diaconis [42], [43] identifies the symmetric group as the proper structure to analyze ranked statistical data. Driscoll and Healy develop Fourier analysis for signals given on the 2-sphere (the surface of a three-dimensional ball) [44]. More recently, Foote et al. propose groups that are wreath products for signal processing [45], [46]. These groups offer a structure that naturally provides a multi-resolution scheme for finite signals. Intriguingly, these wreath product group transforms generalize the well-known Haar transform that is different from the one in standard wavelet theory. We want to mention that groups do play a role in standard wavelet analysis but in a sense different from the work above [47]. Finally, and somewhat unrelated, we want to mention the work by Shokrollahi et al. [48], which provides a striking application of the representation theory of groups in multiple-antenna signal processing.

Background of this paper. The particular thread of research that led to the present paper can also be traced back to the work of Beth on fast Fourier transforms for groups [8] and to the quest of a general theory of fast transform algorithms. While group theory provides a set of transforms and (in many cases) their fast algorithms, many of the transforms used in signal processing, such as the DCTs and DSTs, were not captured in this framework. In the search for the algebraic properties of these transforms, Minkwitz, in his PhD. work, relaxed the idea of signals on groups to signals on sets on which groups act via permutations (similar to [45] mentioned above) and found that indeed some of the DCTs could be described as generalized group Fourier transforms this way. Furthermore, he showed that, in these cases, fast algorithms for these transforms can also be constructed by pure algebraic means [49], [50]. Minkwitz' work was further extended by Egner and Püschel in their PhD. work including an automatic method to analyze a given transform for group properties, and, in the affirmative case, to automatically construct a fast algorithm [51], [52], [53]. Application to various signal transform showed that several, but not all transforms, could be characterized this way [51]. Further, among the many existing DCT/DST algorithms, only few could be derived and explained this way. The conclusion was: if the DCTs/DSTs had a defining algebraic property, it had to be outside the group framework. This paper addresses precisely this issue and show that the DCTs and DSTs can all be characterized in the framework of *polynomial* algebras instead of *group* algebras. Valuable hints in the search for this structure were provided by [54], [55], [56]. Using the polynomial algebras underlying the DCTs and DST, we showed how to derive, explain, and

classify most of the existing fast DCT/DST algorithms [12] and we also derived *new* fast algorithms, not available in the literature or found with previous methods [13], [14].

C. Organization

This paper is divided into three main parts:

- Algebra and signal processing;
- Discrete infinite and finite signal models and trigonometric transforms; and
- Algebraic signal models, graphs, Markov chains, and Gauss-Markov random fields or processes.

Algebra and signal processing. The first part consists of Sections II–IV. In Section II, we introduce background on algebras and modules and establish their connection to signal processing. We define the concept of signal model and explain the algebraic interpretation of the shift and shift-invariant signal models. In the finite case, these models correspond to polynomial algebras, for which the signal processing is developed in Section III. Finally, Section IV provides a short summary of the first part of the paper and connects to the second part.

Discrete infinite and finite signal models and trigonometric transforms. The second part of the paper consists of Sections V–XIV. In each section (except the last two) we derive an infinite or finite signal model from a definition of the shift, following the same high-level steps. Thus, these sections are organized very similarly, with subsections corresponding to the derivation of the signal model, the derivation of spectrum and Fourier transform, the model's visualization, diagonalization properties of the Fourier transform, and, optionally, convolution theorems, orthogonal Fourier transforms, and other important properties of the model. Section XIII gives an overview of the finite signal models presented so far. Section XIV concludes this part with the algebraic theory of higher-dimensional signal models.

Algebraic signal models, graphs, Markov chains, and Gauss-Markov random fields or processes. The third part of the paper, consisting of Sections XV and XVI, investigates the general connection between signal models based on polynomial algebras, graphs, Markov chains, and Gauss-Markov random fields. In particular, we show under which conditions a random field is equivalent to a signal model, and thus the concepts of Fourier transform and Karhunen-Loève transform coincide.

Finally, we offer conclusions in Section XVII.

In the appendix we provide some additional mathematical background that is used in this paper.

II. ALGEBRAS, MODULES, AND SIGNAL MODELS

This section introduces the mathematical framework of the algebraic theory of signal processing. As said in the introduction, by signal processing, or SP, we mean linear signal processing. We start with relating algebras and modules to signal processing. Then, we introduce modules and algebras more rigorously and establish the connection between basic concepts in the representation theory of algebra (i.e., the theory of algebras and their modules) and SP. Next, we formally

define the concept of a signal model, which is at the heart of the algebraic theory, as a triple of an algebra, a module, and a bijective map. Instantiation of the signal model leads to different ways of doing SP (as discussed in the context of Table I). After that, we identify in the algebraic theory the role of the shift(s) as the generator(s) of the filter algebra and explain that shift-invariant signal models are precisely those with a commutative filter algebra. Finally, we introduce the notion of visualization of a signal model as a graph and introduce module manipulation as a useful tool in working with signal models.

This section is mathematical by nature. We recommend that the reader consider it as a reference for the more concrete examples developed in the sequel.

A. Motivation

In SP (linear signal processing), the set of signals is considered to be a *vector space*, like $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$, the set of one-sided complex valued sequences. With vector spaces, signals can be added and can be multiplied by a scalar α (from the base field), to yield a new signal. Formally,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{signal} + \text{signal} &= \text{signal}, \\ \alpha \cdot \text{signal} &= \text{signal}.\end{aligned}$$

The structure of a vector space gives access to the notions of dimension, basis, linear mapping, and subspace. Because of our focus on linear SP, and unless stated otherwise, we restrict the discussion to vector spaces that are product spaces of the reals numbers \mathbb{R} or the complex numbers \mathbb{C} , i.e., \mathbb{R}^I or \mathbb{C}^I , where the indexing set I is either countable or finite and the underlying field is either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} .

From a mathematical point of view, the structure of vector spaces is simple. Namely, any two vector spaces defined over the same field and of the same dimension are isomorphic, i.e., structurally identical. As we explain next, the signal models used in SP are actually algebraic objects that have more structure than vector spaces. Indeed, in SP, signals interact with linear systems³, commonly called *filters*.

This is represented in block diagram form by

$$\text{signal} \longrightarrow \boxed{\text{filter}} \longrightarrow \text{signal} \quad (7)$$

The operation of filters on signals imposes additional structure on the signal space, namely, that of a module. This additional structure casts linear signal processing in the framework of the representation theory of algebras. To recognize the additional structure, we first denote the filter operation as multiplication \cdot and represent (7) as

$$\text{filter} \cdot \text{signal} = \text{signal}.$$

The multiplication is meant here in an abstract sense, i.e., it can take different forms depending on the representation of filters and signals, e.g., convolution (in the time domain) or standard multiplication (in the z -transform domain) or any

other adequate form, as long as certain properties are satisfied, e.g., the distributivity law:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{filter} \cdot (\text{signal} + \text{signal}) \\ = \text{filter} \cdot \text{signal} + \text{filter} \cdot \text{signal}.\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, filters themselves can be combined to form new filters: namely added, multiplied, and multiplied by a scalar α from the base field, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{filter} + \text{filter} &= \text{filter} \quad (\text{parallel connection}), \\ \text{filter} \cdot \text{filter} &= \text{filter} \quad (\text{series connection}), \\ \alpha \cdot \text{filter} &= \text{filter} \quad (\text{amplification}).\end{aligned}$$

Multiplication of two filters and the multiplication of a filter and a signal, though written using the same symbol \cdot , are conceptually different.

Parallel connection and amplification, or, more generally, linear combinations of filters makes the filter space (as the signal space) a vector space. But, multiplication of filters or multiplication of a signal by filters shows that there is more structure in the linear SP that goes beyond vector spaces.

Mathematically, the above structure is described by regarding the filter space as an *algebra* \mathcal{A} that operates on the signal vector space \mathcal{M} , thus making the signal space \mathcal{M} an *\mathcal{A} -module*:

| |
|--|
| $\begin{aligned}\text{set of filters/linear systems} &= \text{an algebra } \mathcal{A} \\ \text{set of signals} &= \text{an } \mathcal{A}\text{-module } \mathcal{M}\end{aligned}$ |
|--|

The signal module \mathcal{M} as an \mathcal{A} -module allows for “multiplication,” i.e., filtering, of an element of the module (the signal) by an element of the algebra (the filter). Given an algebra \mathcal{A} and an associated \mathcal{A} -module, the well-developed mathematical theory of \mathcal{A} -modules (or representation theory of algebras) provides besides filtering access to a larger set of concepts than linear algebra. Examples include the notions of spectrum, irreducible representation (i.e., frequency response as we explain later), and Fourier transform.

We remark that the structures of the signal space \mathcal{M} and the filter space \mathcal{A} are actually different. For example, signals can not be multiplied, while filters can, and filters operate on signals, but not vice-versa.

This paper addresses questions like: (1) How to connect existing signal processing concepts and theory with algebraic concepts and theory? (2) Which algebras and modules naturally occur in signal processing and why? (3) What benefits can we derive from this connection?

We already considered the first item by revealing the algebraic nature of filters and of signals. Next section extends the connection between algebra and signal processing to include concepts like spectrum, frequency response, and Fourier transform. In Section II-C we then introduce the definition of a signal model, which formalizes the connection between algebras, modules, and linear SP.

To address the second item we will characterize at a very high level those algebras that provide shift-invariant filters. In the finite case, i.e., for finite-length signals, this will lead to polynomial algebras. Later, we derive the algebras and modules associated with infinite and finite discrete-time and

³We only consider single-input single-output linear (SISO) systems in this paper. Extensions to multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) systems are under research.

infinite and finite discrete-space SP. As mentioned in Section I, the distinction between time and space is not due to 1-D versus 2-D but due to directed versus undirected in a sense that will be defined rigorously later. In the finite case these constructions lead naturally to the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) and the discrete cosine and sine transforms (DCTs/DSTs), respectively. Further, we will reveal the algebraic structure behind practically all known trigonometric transforms and also extend this class by introducing new transforms.

Regarding the third item, we mention that the algebraic theory provides, as already mentioned, the common underpinning for many different infinite and finite linear signal processing schemes, showing, for example, that the spectral transforms arise as instantiations of the same common theory. Further, the connection between algebras/modules and signal processing goes in both directions, namely, in the direct direction (see discussion in Section I) specifying an algebra \mathcal{A} and an \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} provides the ingredients to develop extensions to the existing signal processing schemes. As examples, we briefly discussed in Section I non-separable 2-D SP on a finite quincunx or hexagonal lattice. Finally, also briefly mentioned in Section I, is the subject future papers (e.g., [14]), which extend the algebraic theory of signal processing to the derivation and discovery of fast algorithms. The algebraic theory makes the derivation of algorithms concise and transparent, gives insight into the algorithms' structure, enables the classification of the many existing algorithms, and enables the discovery of *new* algorithms for existing transforms and for new linear transforms.

B. Algebras, Modules, and Signal Processing

In this section, we introduce the concepts from the theory of algebras and modules that are needed to formulate the algebraic theory of SP. Formal definitions are in Appendix I. For a more thorough introduction to module theory, we refer to, e.g., [57], [58], [59]. We will provide a short dictionary between algebraic and signal processing concepts. Section II-C formally defines the concept of a signal model.

Algebras (filter spaces). We denote by \mathbb{C} the set of complex numbers. A \mathbb{C} -algebra \mathcal{A} is a \mathbb{C} -vector space that is also a ring, i.e., the multiplication of elements in the \mathbb{C} -vector space is defined (see Definition 38 in Appendix I for the formal definition). Examples of algebras include \mathbb{C} , the set $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ of complex $n \times n$ matrices, and the set of polynomials $\mathbb{C}[x]$ in the indeterminate x and with coefficients in \mathbb{C} . We can choose a base field different from \mathbb{C} , for example the real numbers \mathbb{R} or the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} , and will do so occasionally but then explicitly say so. Note that it has to be a field, otherwise the vector space structure is lost. Since \mathcal{A} is a vector space, concepts that only require this structure, such as basis and dimension, are well-defined.

As we mentioned in the previous section, algebras serve as spaces of filters in signal processing, with the filters (or linear systems) being the elements of the algebra. Thus, in this paper, elements of the algebra are to be considered filters. To ease this identification, we represent the elements of the algebra by h , a common symbol for filter in signal processing.

We say that elements $h_1, \dots, h_k \in \mathcal{A}$ *generate* \mathcal{A} , if every element in \mathcal{A} can be written as a multivariate polynomial or series in h_1, \dots, h_k , or, equivalently, by repeatedly forming sums, products, and scalar multiples from these elements. Most algebras considered in this paper are generated by one element; its special role will be discussed in Section II-D.

Modules (signal spaces). If \mathcal{A} is an algebra, then a (left) \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} is a vector space, over the same base field (we assume \mathbb{C}) as \mathcal{A} , that admits an operation of \mathcal{A} from the left⁴. We write this operation as multiplication:

$$(h, s) \rightarrow h \cdot s \in \mathcal{M}, \quad \text{for } h \in \mathcal{A}, s \in \mathcal{M}. \quad (8)$$

This ensures that \mathcal{M} is *closed* or *invariant* under the operation of \mathcal{A} . In addition, this operation satisfies several properties including, for $h, h' \in \mathcal{A}$, $s, s' \in \mathcal{M}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned} h \cdot (s + s') &= h \cdot s + h \cdot s', \\ h \cdot (\alpha s) &= \alpha(h \cdot s), \\ h' \cdot (h \cdot s) &= (h' \cdot h) \cdot s. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The formal definition of an \mathcal{A} -module is given in Definition 39 in Appendix I.

The multiplication $h \cdot s$ of elements of $h \in \mathcal{A}$ with elements of $s \in \mathcal{M}$ captures in the algebraic theory of signal processing the concept of filtering: the elements $h \in \mathcal{A}$ are the filters and the elements $s \in \mathcal{M}$ are the signals. We emphasize that the definition of a module always implies an associated algebra; viewed by itself, a module is only a vector space.

In the algebraic theory of signal processing, modules are the signal spaces and elements of modules are the signals. To help with this identification, we denote signals with the symbol s whenever possible. In this paper, we focus on *discrete* linear signal processing⁵ and thus on modules in which the elements have the form of series or linear combinations $s = \sum_{i \in I} s_i b_i$, where the index domain I is discrete (e.g., I could be finite or $I = \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}$). The coordinate vector of a signal s is written as $\mathbf{s} = (s_i | i \in I)$. The base vectors b_i (which, as elements of \mathcal{M} , are signals) are in signal processing called *impulses* (also unit pulses or delta pulses). Given a filter $h \in \mathcal{A}$, its impulse response, i.e., the response of the filter h to an input which is the impulse $b_i \in \mathcal{M}$, is given by $h \cdot b_i$. The definition of \mathcal{M} assures that $h \cdot b_i$ is well-defined and again a signal, i.e., an element of \mathcal{M} .

Regular module (filter space = signal space). An important example of a module is the *regular* \mathcal{A} -module. This is the case when the module and the algebra are equal as sets: $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A}$, with the multiplication operation in (8) given by the ordinary multiplication in \mathcal{A} . Even though the sets $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A}$ may be equal, their algebraic structures are different; for example, elements in \mathcal{M} cannot be multiplied. In this paper, we will distinguish between elements in \mathcal{M} and elements in \mathcal{A} .

Representations (filters as matrices). As a consequence of the properties in (9), every filter $h \in \mathcal{A}$ defines a linear

⁴It can also be defined with the algebra operating from the right, which leads to a dual theory.

⁵We deliberately use the term “discrete” instead of “discrete-time,” since one of our goals is to identify signal models for discrete space.

mapping on \mathcal{M} :

$$s \mapsto h \cdot s. \quad (10)$$

If \mathcal{M} has finite dimension n and we choose a basis $b = (b_0, \dots, b_{n-1})^6$ in \mathcal{M} , this linear mapping is represented by a complex $n \times n$ matrix M_h , which is a *matrix representation* of the filter h . As usual with linear mappings, M_h is obtained by applying h to each base vector b_i ; the coordinate vector of the result hb_i is the i th column of M_h .

By constructing M_h for every filter $h \in \mathcal{A}$, we obtain a mapping ϕ from the algebra \mathcal{A} (the set of filters) to the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$:

$$\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, \quad h \mapsto \phi(h) = M_h. \quad (11)$$

The mapping ϕ is a *homomorphism* of algebras, i.e., a mapping that preserves the algebra structure (see Definition 40 in Appendix I). In particular,

$$\phi(h + h') = \phi(h) + \phi(h') \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(hh') = \phi(h)\phi(h').$$

ϕ is called the *(matrix) representation of \mathcal{A} afforded by the \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} with basis b* . The representation is fixed by the choice of the module \mathcal{M} and the basis b of \mathcal{M} . Different choices of \mathcal{M} and b lead to different matrix representations of \mathcal{A} . However, ϕ is independent of the basis chosen in \mathcal{A} .

The set of matrices $\phi(\mathcal{A})$ is an algebra that is structurally identical to \mathcal{A} . Correspondingly, if $s = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s_i b_i \in \mathcal{M}$, i.e., $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_{n-1})^T$ is the coordinate vector for s , then the abstract notion of filtering (multiplication of $s \in \mathcal{M}$ by $h \in \mathcal{A}$) becomes in coordinates the matrix-vector multiplication:

$$h \cdot s \Leftrightarrow \phi(h) \cdot \mathbf{s}. \quad (12)$$

This coordinatization of filtering also shows the fundamental difference between signals and filters; namely, in coordinates, signals become vectors, and filters (as linear operators on signals) become matrices.

If \mathcal{M} is not of finite dimension, but still discrete, i.e., consisting of infinite series, say, of the form $s = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} s_i b_i$, we still obtain a matrix representation ϕ , but the matrices are now infinite. If \mathcal{M} is continuous, there is no matrix representation, rather, an operator representation.

Irreducible submodule (spectral component). If \mathcal{M} is an \mathcal{A} -module, then a subvector space $\mathcal{M}' \leq \mathcal{M}$ is an \mathcal{A} -submodule of \mathcal{M} if \mathcal{M}' is itself an \mathcal{A} -module. Equivalently, \mathcal{M}' is closed or invariant under the operation of \mathcal{A} . Most subvector spaces fail to be \mathcal{A} -submodules, because, intuitively, the smaller the vector space \mathcal{M}' is, the harder it is to remain invariant under \mathcal{A} .

A submodule $\mathcal{M}' \leq \mathcal{M}$ is *irreducible* if it contains no proper submodules, i.e., no submodules besides the trivial submodules $\mathcal{M} = \{0\}$ and \mathcal{M} itself.

In particular, every one-dimensional submodule \mathcal{M}' is irreducible and is a simultaneous eigenspace of all filters $h \in \mathcal{A}$, i.e., $hs = \lambda_h s$ for all $s \in \mathcal{M}'$ with a suitable $\lambda_h \in \mathbb{C}$.

In signal processing, an irreducible module corresponds to a spectral component. This will become clear in the next paragraph.

⁶We write bases always as lists in parentheses, not as sets in curly braces, since the chosen order of the base vectors is important.




Fig. 6. A visualization of the concept Fourier transform, which decomposes the \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} into a direct sum of irreducible (minimal) \mathcal{A} -invariant subspaces, i.e., \mathcal{A} -submodules. The latter are called the spectrum of \mathcal{M} .




Fig. 7. Visualization of the convolution theorem (21) in the finite case. On the left, filtering is in coordinates equivalent to $\phi(h)\mathbf{s}$. In the Fourier domain on the right, the filter operates on invariant subspaces; thus the matrix is now block-diagonal with the blocks $\phi_\omega(h)$ being the frequency response of h .

Module decomposition, spectrum, Fourier transform. In signal processing, Fourier analysis involves the decomposition of signals into spectral components. The algebraic theory gives a general definition. Namely, Fourier analysis decomposes an \mathcal{A} -module into a direct sum⁷ of irreducible \mathcal{A} -submodules \mathcal{M}_ω , where $\omega \in W$ (some index domain). We call the corresponding mapping Δ the *Fourier transform* for the \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} :

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta : \mathcal{M} &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{\omega \in W} \mathcal{M}_\omega, \\ s &\mapsto (s_\omega)_{\omega \in W}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The existence of such a decomposition is not guaranteed and depends on \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{M} .

In (13), each submodule \mathcal{M}_ω is called a *spectral component* of the signal space \mathcal{M} , and each projection $s_\omega \in \mathcal{M}_\omega$ is a spectral component of the signal s . The collection of all \mathcal{M}_ω and all $s_\omega, \omega \in W$, is called the *spectrum* of \mathcal{M} and s , respectively. The spectrum of s is a list with index set W , and as such can be viewed equivalently as a function on W :

$$(s_\omega)_{\omega \in W} = \omega \mapsto s_\omega.$$

See Figure 6 for a visualization of the Fourier transform. Its definition is intuitive from a signal processing point of view; the Fourier transform decomposes the signal space into the smallest components that are invariant, no matter how the signal is filtered. Another requirement usually imposed is that

⁷By “direct sum” of vector spaces, we usually mean the more general “outer” direct sum rather than the “inner” direct sum. See Definition 42 in Appendix I for an explanation.

Δ be invertible, i.e., that every signal can be reconstructed from its spectrum.

The Fourier transform is an \mathcal{A} -module homomorphism (see Definition 41 in Appendix I), which means that $\Delta(h \cdot s) = h \cdot \Delta(s)$ for $h \in \mathcal{A}$, $s \in \mathcal{M}$. In words, this means that filtering in the signal space \mathcal{M} is equivalent to parallel filtering in the spectrum (as visualized in Figure 6), or

$$(h \cdot s)_\omega = h \cdot s_\omega, \quad \text{for all } \omega \in W. \quad (14)$$

If Δ is invertible, then $\Delta(h \cdot s) = h \cdot \Delta(s)$ also yields a general convolution theorem:

$$h \cdot s = \Delta^{-1}(h \cdot \Delta(s)). \quad (15)$$

The definition of Δ in (13) (and thus also the convolution theorem (15)) is *coordinate-free*, i.e., formulated independently of the bases chosen in \mathcal{M} and the \mathcal{M}_ω , $\omega \in W$. We coordinatize Δ in two steps. First, we choose bases on the right side, i.e., in the \mathcal{M}_ω 's. Then Δ takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta : \mathcal{M} &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{\omega \in W} \mathbb{C}^{\dim(\mathcal{M}_\omega)}, \\ s &\mapsto (\mathbf{s}_\omega)_{\omega \in W}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

(By abuse of notation we use the same letter Δ .) Again, the spectrum, now in its coordinate form, can be viewed as a function on W :

$$(\mathbf{s}_\omega)_{\omega \in W} = \omega \mapsto \mathbf{s}_\omega.$$

Choosing also a basis in \mathcal{M} , we obtain the coordinate form of the Fourier transform, denoted by \mathcal{F} :⁸

$$\mathcal{F} : \mathbf{s} \mapsto (\mathbf{s}_\omega)_{\omega \in W}. \quad (17)$$

We refer to both Δ and \mathcal{F} as Fourier transform, and we also refer to $(\mathbf{s}_\omega)_{\omega \in W}$ as the spectrum of s . In signal processing, the Fourier transform is usually thought of either as Δ in (16), or as \mathcal{F} in (17).

In particular, if \mathcal{M} is of finite dimension n and if the Fourier transform exists, then W is also finite and usually chosen as $W = (0, \dots, k-1)$, $k \leq n$. In this case, \mathcal{F} is an $n \times n$ matrix. If $k = n$, then all irreducible modules are of dimension 1.

Irreducible representations (frequency response). In the decomposition (13), each irreducible \mathcal{M}_ω affords an *irreducible representation* ϕ_ω of \mathcal{A} with respect to a chosen basis b_ω . Namely, if $\mathbf{s}_\omega \in \mathbb{C}^{\dim(\mathcal{M}_\omega)}$ is the coordinate vector of the spectral component $s_\omega \in \mathcal{M}_\omega$, then for every filter $h \in \mathcal{A}$, by (12),

$$(h \cdot s)_\omega = h \cdot s_\omega \Leftrightarrow \phi_\omega(h) \cdot \mathbf{s}_\omega, \quad (18)$$

where $\phi_\omega(h)$ is a $\dim(\mathcal{M}_\omega) \times \dim(\mathcal{M}_\omega)$ matrix. For a fixed filter h , the collection

$$(\phi_\omega(h))_{\omega \in W} = \omega \mapsto \phi_\omega(h) \quad (19)$$

is in signal processing called the *frequency response* of h and can be viewed as a matrix-valued function on W . If the irreducible submodule \mathcal{M}_ω is one-dimensional, then, by invariance, it is an eigenspace for every $h \in \mathcal{A}$, and $\phi_\omega(h)$ is the corresponding eigenvalue.

⁸Note that we do not write $\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{C}^{\dim(\mathcal{M})} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\omega \in W} \mathbb{C}^{\dim(\mathcal{M}_\omega)}$, since for $\dim(\mathcal{M}) = \infty$, the coordinate space for \mathcal{M} does not need to have the form $\mathbb{C}^{\dim(\mathcal{M})}$; e.g., it could be $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$.

We could call the mapping

$$h \mapsto (\phi_\omega(h))_{\omega \in W}$$

that maps every filter to its frequency response the Fourier transform of \mathcal{A} (w.r.t. the module \mathcal{M}), but we refrain from doing so in this paper and reserve the term Fourier transform to the decomposition of the module or of signals into their spectrum.

If \mathcal{M} is of finite dimension n and with k spectral components \mathcal{M}_i of dimension d_i , $0 \leq i < k$, then $\sum d_i = n$. If we choose bases in \mathcal{M} and in the \mathcal{M}_i 's, then \mathcal{F} takes the form in (17) and is an $n \times n$ matrix. Filtering in \mathcal{M} is in coordinates given by the matrix $\phi(h)$. Filtering in the decomposed module $\bigoplus_{0 \leq i < k} \mathcal{M}_i$ is in coordinates given by

$$\phi_1(h) \oplus \dots \oplus \phi_k(h) = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1(h) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \phi_k(h) \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\phi_i(h)$ is a $d_i \times d_i$ matrix and

$$A \oplus B = \text{diag}(A, B) = \begin{bmatrix} A & \\ & B \end{bmatrix} \quad (20)$$

denotes the direct sum of matrices. Since \mathcal{F} maps the underlying vector spaces, we get

$$\mathcal{F} \cdot \phi(h) \cdot \mathcal{F}^{-1} = \phi_0(h) \oplus \dots \oplus \phi_{k-1}(h), \quad (21)$$

which is visualized in Figure 7. In other words, the matrices $\mathcal{F}\phi(h)\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ are block diagonal, with the sizes of the blocks given by the dimensions d_i of the irreducible modules \mathcal{M}_i . In particular, if all \mathcal{M}_i are one-dimensional, $d_i = 1$, then $k = n$ and $\mathcal{F}\phi(h)\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ is diagonal, i.e., (21) gives the diagonalization property of \mathcal{F} and is a coordinatized version and a special case of the convolution theorem (15).

Summary. We summarize the correspondence between algebraic concepts and signal processing concepts in Table II. The signal processing concepts are given in the first column and their algebraic counterparts in the second column. If we choose bases in the occurring modules, we obtain the corresponding coordinate version given in the third column. In coordinates, the algebraic objects, operations, and mappings become vectors and matrices and thus allow for actual computation. This is the form used in signal processing. However, the coordinate version hides the underlying module structure, which cannot be easily recovered if it is not known beforehand.

Example: infinite discrete time. Often, in linear signal processing, the index time is assumed to be continuous and taking values on the real line \mathbb{R} or nonnegative reals \mathbb{R}^+ , or to be discrete and taking values in \mathbb{N} (non-negative integers) or \mathbb{Z} . We will refer to these cases as the infinite continuous or infinite discrete time case. We develop here an example for the algebraic framework for infinite discrete time and consider filters and signals to be represented in the z -domain. The z -transform is denoted by Φ . For example, the set of all two-sided infinite series, called Laurent series, is represented by $\Phi(\mathbb{C}^\mathbb{Z}) = \{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^{-n} \mid a_n \in \mathbb{C}\}$.

We find a suitable algebra of filters. A first attempt is to choose the vector space $\Phi(\mathbb{C}^\mathbb{Z})$, but this set is not an algebra

TABLE II
CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN DISCRETE SIGNAL PROCESSING CONCEPTS AND ALGEBRAIC CONCEPTS.

| signal processing concept | algebraic concept (coordinate free) | in coordinates |
|---|--|---|
| filter | $h \in \mathcal{A}$ (algebra) | $\phi(h) \in \mathbb{C}^{I \times I}$ |
| signal | $s = \sum s_i b_i \in \mathcal{M}$ (\mathcal{A} -module) | $\mathbf{s} = (s_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{C}^I$ |
| filtering | $h \cdot s$ | $\phi(h) \cdot \mathbf{s}$ |
| impulse | base vector $b_i \in \mathcal{M}$ | $\mathbf{b}_i = (\dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)^T \in \mathbb{C}^I$ |
| impulse response of $h \in \mathcal{A}$ | $h \cdot b_i \in \mathcal{M}$ | $\phi(h) \cdot \mathbf{b}_i \in \mathbb{C}^I$ |
| Fourier transform | $\Delta : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\omega \in W} \mathcal{M}_\omega$ | $\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{C}^I \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\omega \in W} \mathbb{C}^{d_\omega} \Leftrightarrow \phi \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\omega \in W} \phi_\omega$ |
| spectrum of signal | $\Delta(s) = (s_\omega)_{\omega \in W} = \omega \mapsto s_\omega$ | $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{s}) = (\mathbf{s}_\omega)_{\omega \in W} = \omega \mapsto \mathbf{s}_\omega$ |
| frequency response of $h \in \mathcal{A}$ | n.a. | $(\phi_\omega(h))_{\omega \in W} = \omega \mapsto \phi_\omega(h)$ |

TABLE III

THE GENERIC ELEMENT FOR THE SETS USED IN FIGURE 8. FROM TOP TO BOTTOM: LAURENT SERIES, POWER SERIES, RATIONAL FUNCTION, LAURENT POLYNOMIAL, POLYNOMIAL.

| symbol | generic element |
|-------------------------|--|
| $\Phi(V)$ | $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^{-n}, (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in V$ |
| $\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$ | $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^{-n}, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ |
| $\mathbb{C}(z^{-1})$ | $p(z^{-1})/q(z^{-1}), p, q$ polynomials |
| $\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}, z]$ | $\sum_{-\ell \leq n \leq k} a_n z^{-n}, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ |
| $\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}]$ | $\sum_{0 \leq n \leq k} a_n z^{-n}, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ |

since multiplication, i.e., convolution is in general not possible. Namely, if $a, a' \in \Phi(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}])$, then

$$aa' = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{k+\ell=n} a_k a'_\ell \right) z^{-n}. \quad (22)$$

The inner sum has infinitely many terms and does not converge in general. Thus we need to consider a smaller space.

To do this, we use Figure 8, which shows in a block diagram the sets that are commonly considered in infinite discrete time signal processing and their algebraic structure. We use the following mnemonics. We indicate in each box the set it represents by a symbol such as $\Phi(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}})$. Table III explains these symbols by giving a generic element. Solid boxes in Figure 8 are vector spaces, algebras are marked bold, and dashed boxes are multiplicative groups that are not vector spaces. In each box we indicate a short mathematical description (M) of the set it represents or a characterization of the set if viewed as a set of filters (F) or if viewed as a set of signals (S) (see also the legend in Figure 8). The middle column is for two-sided series; the right column is for one-sided series; and the left column is for series expansions of rational functions⁹. The arrows between different boxes depict various inclusion relationships (the tip of the arrow points towards the smaller set). Finally, the dark-gray area indicates for which modules the Fourier transform exists.

We now return to the search for an algebra. We have ruled out already above the set $\Phi(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ as a possibility for \mathcal{A} , because multiplication of two-sided infinite series is not

possible in general. Among the sets in Figure 8, the next largest candidate for \mathcal{A} is the set of right-sided series $\mathcal{A} = \Phi(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}})$. In mathematics, this is the set of *formal power series*, written as $\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$, and it is an algebra (in (22) the inner sum has only finitely many terms and is thus always well-defined). In looking for an \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} for this algebra, it is easy to verify again that the set $\Phi(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ is not an \mathcal{A} -module (again, for $a \in \mathcal{A}, a' \in \mathcal{M}$, the inner sum in (22) will have infinitely many terms; thus, the product does not exist in general). The next candidate for the corresponding \mathcal{A} -module is the regular module $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A}$. However, there are problems with choosing for algebra of filters $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]]$. First, this set contains only causal or one-sided filters. Second, the Fourier transform for the regular module $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A}$ does not exist (note that it lies outside the dark-gray area in Figure 8).

The next largest choice for \mathcal{A} is the space of bounded-input, bounded-output (BIBO) stable systems $\mathcal{A} = \Phi(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}))$. This is the set usually chosen in signal processing. As module for \mathcal{A} , we can consider again the regular module $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A}$; in alternative, we can actually choose a larger space of signals. A well-known theorem¹⁰ states that $\Phi(\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}))$ is a $\Phi(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}))$ -module for $p \geq 1$. Thus, we could attempt to select as a module the largest of such sets $\mathcal{M} = \Phi(\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}))$. The problem is that, again, as it is well-known, the Fourier transform does not exist. The choice commonly made in signal processing is $\mathcal{M} = \Phi(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}))$, the space of finite-energy signals¹¹. With these choices, we emphasize that for the discrete time example under consideration we chose *the sets* \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{M} to be *different*, i.e., the sets of filters and of signals have not only different algebraic structures (one is an algebra and the other is a module) but, as sets, they are actually different, a fact that is rarely explicitly stated in the signal processing literature. Finally, we note that because of the need for efficient implementations of filters, usually only the smaller algebra $\mathbb{C}(z^{-1}) \cap \Phi(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}))$ of BIBO stable series that are also expansions of rational functions is considered.


In summary, with these choices $\mathcal{A} = \Phi(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}))$ and $\mathcal{M} = \Phi(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}))$, filters h and signals s take the form $h = H(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h_n z^{-n}$ and $s = S(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} s_n z^{-n}$, respectively. The basis elements z^{-n} are called delays if they are filters and impulses if they are signals.

¹⁰Theorem 45, provided with proof in Appendix II.

¹¹We could actually choose larger modules $\mathcal{M} = \Phi(\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}))$, $p < \infty$, if the proper definition of convergence for the Fourier transform is chosen [30], [31]. However, we will work here with finite energy signals.

⁹Note that for a rational function, various expansions are possible in general. Also note that we use the symbol $\mathbb{C}(z^{-1})$ for rational functions and there expansions likewise.

Fourier transform exists



Fourier transform exists


Legend



algebra



vector space only (no algebra)



multiplicative group (no vector space)



: $B \subset A$

M : mathematical description

F : filter/system description

S : signal description

Fig. 8. A block diagram identifying the algebraic structure of sets commonly used in discrete-time signal processing. Note that the module property is not captured in this diagram since it depends on the choice of algebra.

The representation ϕ of \mathcal{A} afforded by \mathcal{M} with basis¹² $b = (\dots, z^{-1}, z^0, z^1, \dots)$ maps filters h to a doubly infinite matrix with Toeplitz structure.

The next task is to identify the irreducible modules \mathcal{M}_ω , i.e., the spectrum of \mathcal{M} . It is well-known that each

$$E_\omega(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{j\omega n} z^{-n}, \quad \omega \in W = (-\pi, \pi], \quad (23)$$

is a simultaneous eigenvector for all filters $h = H(z) \in \mathcal{A}$, namely

$$H(z)E_\omega(z) = H(e^{j\omega})E_\omega(z), \quad \omega \in W. \quad (24)$$

This implies that the one-dimensional space \mathcal{M}_ω spanned by E_ω is an \mathcal{A} -module and irreducible (since of dimension 1). Further, (24) shows that

$$\phi_\omega : H(z) \mapsto H(e^{j\omega}) \in \mathbb{C}$$

is the irreducible representation afforded by \mathcal{M}_ω if the list of length 1 (E_ω) is chosen as basis. Note that $\phi_\omega(h) = H(e^{j\omega})$ is a scalar because \mathcal{M}_ω is one-dimensional.

The corresponding Fourier transform is called the discrete-time Fourier transform (DTFT) and, since the $E_\omega(z)$ are orthogonal, it takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta : \quad \mathcal{M} &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{\omega \in W} \mathcal{M}_\omega, \\ s = S(z) &\mapsto (S(e^{j\omega})E_\omega(z))_{\omega \in W}. \end{aligned}$$

This matches (13), but there is one problem. The spectral components E_ω are not in \mathcal{M} , but only in $\Phi(\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}))$. So, the \mathcal{M}_ω are still irreducible \mathcal{A} -modules, but not *submodules* of \mathcal{M} . This is one of the problems that can arise in the infinite case; in this paper we are mostly concerned with finite-dimensional modules where this problem does not occur. In the present example however, Δ still exists, and its coordinatized form (16) is the one actually called DTFT in signal processing:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta : \quad \mathcal{M} &\rightarrow \left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in W} \mathbb{C} \right) = \mathbb{C}^W, \\ s = S(z) &\mapsto (S(e^{j\omega}))_{\omega \in W} = \omega \mapsto S(e^{j\omega}), \end{aligned}$$

where $W = (-\pi, \pi]$. $\Delta(s)$ is usually viewed as function on the circle.¹³ The operation of \mathcal{A} on \mathcal{M} , i.e., convolution, $H(z)S(z)$ becomes a set of pointwise multiplications $H(e^{j\omega})S(e^{j\omega})$ in the Fourier domain. Further, Δ can be inverted, i.e., the signal can be reconstructed from its spectrum.

Finally, the frequency response for a filter $h = H(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is given by the collection of all irreducible representations evaluated at h :

$$(\phi_\omega(H(z)))_{\omega \in W} = (H(e^{j\omega}))_{\omega \in W} = \omega \mapsto H(e^{j\omega}).$$

Note that the frequency response of the filter h is obtained in the same way as the spectrum of the signal s , namely by evaluating at $e^{j\omega}$, i.e., by “applying the Fourier transform” to the filter $h \in \mathcal{A}$ (we use double quotes since we defined the Fourier transform only for \mathcal{M}). This is due to the special

structure of the algebra and module and may be misleading; in general, the spectrum (in coordinate form) consists of *vectors* of length d_ω (the dimension of \mathcal{M}_ω), and the frequency response consists of $d_\omega \times d_\omega$ *matrices* (the representations afforded by \mathcal{M}_ω). They coincide in dimensionality only for $d_\omega = 1$.

Figure 8 suggests that many other combinations of filter algebra and signal module are possible, and this is indeed the case. For example, we can keep the signal module $\mathcal{M} = \Phi(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}))$ and restrict the filter algebra to a smaller algebra, e.g., to causal FIR filters $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[z^{-1}]$. Choosing now this \mathcal{A} , we can reduce the signal module, for example, to the signals with finite support, $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$, or, as another example, to the right-sided signals $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A}$. In the algebraic framework, these would be different signal models; however, the associated spectrum and Fourier transform in these cases is essentially equivalent to the more general model considered above. We will later consider models, which have a substantially different notion of spectrum and thus also Fourier transform (as example see also Table I).

At this point we hope to have conveyed to the reader that important concepts from discrete-time signal processing are equivalent to the more general concepts from the theory of algebras and modules. This correspondence enables us to port linear signal processing to other algebras and modules. A more immediate question, however, is whether other modules and algebras are actually used in standard signal processing without being explicitly stated. This is indeed the case as hinted at in Section I, and the main motivation for developing this algebraic theory. Before we consider these models, we introduce the central concept in the algebraic theory of signal processing: the formal, algebraic definition of a signal model.

C. Algebraic Definition of Signal Model

In the previous section we asserted that the assumptions underlying SP naturally make the filter space an algebra \mathcal{A} and the signal space an associated \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} . Conversely, if any \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} is given, filtering is automatically defined, and the well-established module theory can be applied to rigorously derive the spectrum, the Fourier transform, and the other concepts in signal processing.

However, signal processing does not commonly consider modules. In particular, signals are not viewed as elements of a module, but, in the discrete case considered here, as sequences of numbers from the base field over some index range. If the index range is fixed, e.g., $I = \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, then the corresponding set of signals, e.g., \mathbb{C}^n , naturally is a vector space. The question is: How do we formally associate a module to this vector space? The answer is given by the following definition of a (linear) signal model, which is the central concept in the algebraic theory of signal processing.

We consider discrete complex signals \mathbf{s} , i.e., sequences $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^I$ of complex numbers over some index range $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$. The set of signals is a vector space $V \leq \mathbb{C}^I$. For finite $I = \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, typically, $V = \mathbb{C}^{\{0, \dots, n-1\}} = \mathbb{C}^n$. If $I = \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}$, we usually consider $V = \ell^1(I)$, $V = \ell^2(I)$, or $V = \mathbb{C}^I$.

¹²Note that we do not use the term basis in the strictest mathematical sense, which requires the linear combination to be finite. However, the notion of basis can be generalized to the way it is used here, if the space is a Banach space [60], which it is for ℓ^2 or ℓ^1 coefficient sequences.

¹³In fact, it is an L^2 -function on the circle [32], but this fact is not of importance in our discussion.

Definition 1 (Linear Signal Model) Let $V \leq \mathbb{C}^I$ be a vector space of complex signals over a discrete index domain I . A *discrete linear signal model*, or just *signal model*, for V is a triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi)$, where \mathcal{A} is an algebra of filters, \mathcal{M} is an \mathcal{A} -module of signals with $\dim(\mathcal{M}) = \dim(V)$, and

$$\Phi : V \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \quad (25)$$

is a bijective linear mapping. If \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M} are clear from the context, we simply refer to Φ as the signal model.

Further, we transfer properties from \mathcal{M} to the signal model. For example, we say the signal model is regular or finite, if \mathcal{M} is regular or finite (-dimensional), respectively.

Note that the definition of the signal model has linearity built in (due to the operation of \mathcal{A} on \mathcal{M}) in accordance with the algebraic theory being a theory of *linear* signal processing.

Remarks on signal model. Intuitively, a signal model endows the vector space $V \leq \mathbb{C}^I$ with the structure of the module \mathcal{M} as graphically displayed in Figure 1. Via the signal model we can then identify $\mathbf{s} \in V$ with the element in the module $s = \Phi(\mathbf{s})$. As a consequence, filtering is now well-defined and we get immediate access to all module-theoretic concepts introduced in Section II-B, including spectrum, Fourier transform, and several others not yet introduced.

For example, if \mathcal{M} is of dimension n with basis $b = (b_0, \dots, b_{n-1})^{14}$ and $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, then

$$\Phi(\mathbf{s}) = s = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s_i b_i \quad (26)$$

defines a signal model for $V = \mathbb{C}^n$. Conversely, if Φ is any signal model for V with canonical basis e_i (i th element in e_i is 1; all other elements are 0), then the list of all $b_i = \Phi(e_i)$ is a basis of \mathcal{M} (since Φ is bijective) and thus Φ has the form in (26). In other words, the definition of signal model implicitly chooses a basis in \mathcal{M} and Φ is dependent on this basis. In fact, we will later see examples of signal models (associated to the DCTs) that differ *only* in this choice of basis or Φ , i.e., have the same algebra and module.

Definition 1 makes it possible to apply different signal models to the same vector of numbers. For example, we will later learn that by applying a DFT or a DCT to a vector of length n one is implicitly adopting different signal models for the same finite-length vector.

From a strictly mathematical point of view, and in the algebraic definition of signal model, the bijection Φ , in other words, the usual z -transform in signal processing, serves simply to track the basis chosen for the signal module \mathcal{M} . This basis determines the operation of the algebra on the vector space V .

We remark that Definition 1 of the signal model and the algebraic theory extends to the case of continuous signals. However, in this, we will not pursue this extension and limit ourselves to discrete signals.

As an example, we show next that the z -transform, is the linear mapping Φ of a signal model in the sense of

Definition 1. For this reason, we will refer to the linear mapping in other signal models as transforms, such as the C -transform or the P -transform that we will introduce.

Example: z -transform. We present the signal model for the z -transform. We choose as algebra

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h_n z^{-n} \mid (\dots, h_{-1}, h_0, h_1, \dots) \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}) \right\}$$

the set of all Laurent series with ℓ^1 coefficient sequences, and as module

$$\mathcal{M} = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} s_n z^{-n} \mid (\dots, s_{-1}, s_0, s_1, \dots) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \right\}$$

the set of all Laurent series with ℓ^2 coefficient sequences. \mathcal{M} is indeed an \mathcal{A} -module as we discussed in Section II-B. We complete the definition of the signal model by identifying the bijective linear mapping Φ in Definition 1. The ordinary z -transform will do

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{M} = \Phi(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})), \\ \mathbf{s} &\mapsto s = S(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} s_n z^{-n}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

In summary, $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi)$ is a signal model for the vector space $V = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. This signal model is, of course well-known and the one commonly adopted in mainstream discrete-time signal processing.

After the z -transform is chosen, it becomes clear how to do filtering. Namely, if $h = H(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $s = S(z) \in \mathcal{M}$, then the result $r = R(z)$ of filtering s with h is simply the product of Laurent series

$$R(z) = H(z)S(z). \quad (28)$$

If we work with the respective coefficient sequences, then the n th coefficient r_n of r follows from (28)

$$r_n = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} h_i s_{n-i}. \quad (29)$$

The signal model, the operation of \mathcal{A} on \mathcal{M} , and the choice of transform makes clear the definition of (29) or (28). Without making explicit the algebraic structure, the origin of (29) as filtering is obscured. The problem is that in (29) filtering is defined in terms of *coordinates* with respect to a *basis*, but the basis, which explains the structure of (29), is not provided.

Signal processing books emphasize the usefulness of the z -transform, since common signal processing operations are conveniently expressed in the z -domain. In algebraic terms this means that it is more convenient to work with the explicit algebra and module rather than with the vector spaces of coefficient sequences. For this reason, we believe it is necessary to identify the signal models $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi)$ for all the spectral¹⁵ linear transforms \mathcal{F} , thus identifying \mathcal{F} as the Fourier transform (in the algebraic sense) for \mathcal{M} . This is one of the goals achieved by the algebraic theory.

¹⁴In this paper b will always denote a basis and b_i always basis elements, which should not be confused with scalars such as s_i, h_i .

¹⁵We use the word “spectral” here for transforms such as DFT, DCT, and others, to distinguish from other transforms (such as the z -transform), which do not compute a spectrum of some sort.

D. Shifts, Shift-Invariance, and Commutative Algebras

So far, the only examples of algebras and modules used in signal processing that we provided are those shown in Figure 8. These are associated with infinite discrete-time signal processing. An important question is which other algebras and modules actually occur in discrete signal processing and why. It is possible to give a preliminary answer to this question by introducing and requiring the concept of *shift-invariance*. We start by understanding what “shift” and “shift-invariance” means in our algebraic theory by focusing first on the case where only one shift is available, i.e., on 1-D signals¹⁶. Then we extend the discussion to multiple shifts.

Shift. Defining transforms and processes on groups is common in many areas. For example, in ergodic theory or in dynamical systems, a probability space is associated with a mapping, which can be a shift, that can take many different abstract forms depending on the underlying space. To be more specific, and following [2], the usual model in ergodic theory is a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$ and a measurable transformation \mathcal{T} (often called the shift). The set Ω is assumed to consist of infinite or finite duration sequences or waveforms and often assumed to be a product space of the real line (or more generally a Polish space). Measurable maps f are then defined on it. Of particular interests are maps taking Ω into the real line or some subset thereof. With such a mapping f , then $y(t) = f(\mathcal{T}^t \omega)$ for t in some group gives a sequence or waveform for every $\omega \in \Omega$. The mapping f produces a shift-invariant mapping from sequence (or waveform) to sequence (or waveform), which leads to a general theory for general alphabets based on when \mathcal{T} is measure preserving (and hence the processes stationary). This general setup works for time shifts and space shifts and most signals likely to be of interest in applications.

This paper considers specific instantiations of this general theory and looks for very particular forms of the shift as they have been used in linear signal processing, or that may explain existing linear transforms or may lead to new linear transforms. To achieve this, we show that, in the algebraic theory, the shift has a particularly simple interpretation. The *shift* operator is a special filter, and thus is an element¹⁷ $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Further, it is common to require that *every* filter $h \in \mathcal{A}$ be expressed as a polynomial or series in the shift operator x . Mathematically, this means that the shift operator generates¹⁸ the algebra \mathcal{A} . Since a similar statement holds also for multiple shifts (discussed below):

$$\text{shift(s)} = \text{chosen generator(s) of } \mathcal{A}$$

¹⁶In the sequel, we use 1-D and m -D to refer to one-dimensional and m -dimensional signals with respect to the number of indexing parameters of the signal. For example, a standard time signal is 1-D, while signal like an image is a 2-D. We reserve the word “dimension” to refer to the dimension of the signal space when viewed as vector space.

¹⁷We write x instead of z^{-1} to emphasize the abstract nature of the discussion. Later, this will enable us to introduce without additional effort other shifts as well.

¹⁸This is not entirely correct, as, in a strict sense, one element x can only generate polynomials, not infinite series. However, by completing the space with respect to some norm the notion of generating can be expanded. We gloss over this detail to focus on the algebraic nature of the discussion.

Shift-invariant algebras. A key concept in signal processing is *shift-invariance*. In the algebraic theory this property takes a very simple form. Namely, if x is the shift operator and h a filter, then h is shift-invariant, if, for all signals s , $h(xs) = x(hs)$, which is equivalent to $hx = xh$. Requiring shift-invariance for all filters h thus means

$$x \cdot h = h \cdot x, \quad \text{for all } h \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (30)$$

Since x generates \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{A} is necessarily commutative, and (30) is of course guaranteed. Conversely, if \mathcal{A} is a commutative algebra and x generates \mathcal{A} , then all filters $h \in \mathcal{A}$ are shift-invariant.¹⁹ This observation is simple but crucial, and it also holds for multiple shifts (discussed below):

$$\text{shift-invariant signal model} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A} \text{ is commutative}$$

In particular, shift-invariance is a property of the algebra, and not of the chosen module (signal space) in a signal model. However, different choices of modules will, in general, produce different signal models as we will see later.

Which algebras are shift-invariant? We can now ask which algebras lead to shift-invariant signal models, or equivalently, which algebras \mathcal{A} are commutative and generated by one element x ? In fact, if \mathcal{A} is generated by one element it is necessarily commutative; in other words, signal models with just one shift are always shift-invariant. This is different in the case of multiple shifts discussed below.

In the case of one shift, we have to identify those algebras that are generated by one element x . In the infinite-dimensional case, we get algebras of series in x or polynomials of arbitrary degree in x . In the finite-dimensional case, these algebras are precisely the *polynomial algebras*

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x), \quad p \text{ a polynomial of degree } n.$$

$\mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ is the set of all polynomials of degree less than n with addition and multiplication modulo $p(x)$. As a vector space, \mathcal{A} has dimension n .

Thus, using only shift-invariance as a requirement, we have identified one of the key players in the algebraic theory of signal processing, namely polynomial algebras. They provide the signal models for many transforms, such as the DFT, DCT, and others, and for several new transforms. This observation motivates our Section III, which develops the general theory of signal processing using polynomial algebras by specializing the general algebraic theory in Section II-B.

In the remaining discussion on shift-invariance, we consider the situation where several shifts are available and the relationship between polynomial algebras and group algebras. The reader may want to skip this part at first reading and proceed with Section II-F.

Multiple shifts. In general, if m -D signals are considered, m shift operators x_1, \dots, x_m are available. These may operate along different dimensions of the signal as in the usual separable case, but can also take different forms as shown

¹⁹The requirement of “ x generating \mathcal{A} ” is indeed necessary as there are linear shift-invariant systems that cannot be expressed as convolutions, i.e., as series in x ; see [61].

in Figure 5 for non-separable models that we derived using the present algebraic theory.

The above discussion on one shift is readily extended to multiple shifts but there are some differences. Again, the x_1, \dots, x_m generate \mathcal{A} and shift-invariance becomes

$$x_i \cdot h = h \cdot x_i \quad \text{for all } h \in \mathcal{A}, 1 \leq i \leq k,$$

which is equivalent to \mathcal{A} being commutative. We can reduce this condition to

$$x_i \cdot x_j = x_j \cdot x_i. \quad (31)$$

In words, a signal model with m shifts is shift-invariant if and only if the shifts commute in pairs.

Commutative algebras generated by m elements include multivariate series (e.g., Laurent series in more than one variables).

For an exact classification, we restrict ourselves to algebras generated by x_1, \dots, x_m in the strict sense, i.e., those containing only multivariate polynomials, no series. In signal processing terms, this is equivalent to \mathcal{A} containing only FIR filters. In particular, every signal model for a finite set of samples, i.e., with $\dim(\mathcal{M}) < \infty$ falls into that class.

Commutative algebras generated by $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ are precisely all multivariate *polynomial algebras* (the notation is explained in Appendix I together with the Chinese remainder theorem)

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[\bar{x}] / \langle p_1(\bar{x}), \dots, p_k(\bar{x}) \rangle, \quad (32)$$

where $p_i(\bar{x})$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, are polynomials in m variables. In words, \mathcal{A} is the algebra of all polynomials in m variables with addition and multiplication defined modulo the k polynomials p_i . Equivalently, \mathcal{A} is the algebra of *all* polynomials in m variables, with the restriction that the equations $p_1(\bar{x}) = \dots = p_k(\bar{x}) = 0$ have been introduced. Mathematically, $\langle p_1(\bar{x}), \dots, p_k(\bar{x}) \rangle$ is the *ideal* of $\mathbb{C}[\bar{x}]$ generated by the p_i , and the polynomial algebra is called a *quotient algebra*. Note that if $k = 1$, i.e., $p = p_1$, then we write simply $\mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ instead of $\mathbb{C}[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle$ as we did already above.

As a remark, we observe that the polynomial algebra \mathcal{A} can be of infinite or finite dimension. For example, for $m = 1, k = 0$, we get $\mathbb{C}[x]$, which is of infinite dimension but with countable basis. The primary example in this paper, discussed above, is the case $m = 1, k = 1$, i.e., $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$, for some finite degree polynomial $p(x)$. This algebra is of finite dimension.

Intuitively, if m is given, we need at least $k = m$ polynomials p_i in (32) to make the dimension finite. However, conversely, choosing $k = m$ polynomials does not guarantee the polynomial algebra \mathcal{A} to be finite-dimensional, unless $k = m = 1$. Also, it is known that for $m > 1$ a polynomial algebra can have arbitrary large k , no matter how the polynomials p_i are chosen [62].

The 2-D signal models referred to in Figure 5, namely for spatial signals residing on a finite hexagonal or quincunx lattice, are indeed shift-invariant (and regular). The associated polynomial algebras have $k = m = 2$.

Next, we briefly discuss Fourier analysis on groups to put it into the context of the algebraic theory.

Fourier analysis on groups. Let G be a finite group. Take the elements of the group to be a basis for the following vector space

$$\mathbb{C}[G] = \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} a_g g \mid a_g \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

Clearly, $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is a vector space, spanned by the group elements. It is also clear that we can define in a standard way multiplication of elements in $\mathbb{C}[G]$ by using the distributive law and the multiplication of group elements. Thus, $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is an algebra. Another point of view is to regard $\mathbb{C}[G]$ as the set of complex functions $g \mapsto a_g$ on the group G . The regular module $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[G]$ provides a signal model in the sense of Definition 1. Namely, if G has n elements, we can set $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[G]$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi: \mathbb{C}^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}[G] \\ \mathbf{s} &\mapsto \sum_{g \in G} s_g g. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

In particular, both signals and filters are elements of the group algebra in this case. The study of the signal models $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi)$ in (33) is the area of Fourier analysis on finite groups (briefly discussed in the introduction), which thus becomes an instantiation of the algebraic theory of signal processing.

According to the notion of shift introduced above, we also have shift operators in a group G , namely the elements of the chosen generating set for G . Note that, unless the group is cyclic, G and thus $\mathbb{C}[G]$ requires at least two generators, i.e., shifts. If G is not commutative, then the generators will not commute in pairs, i.e., violate (31). Thus the signal model (33) is *shift-variant*.

An immediate question is how polynomial algebras and group algebras differ. Since polynomial algebras are always commutative, it is clear that for a non-commutative group the associated group algebra cannot be a polynomial algebra. On the other hand, it is known that *every* group algebra for a commutative group is a polynomial algebra with a very specific structure. Namely, a commutative group G is always the direct product of cyclic groups $G = Z_{n_1} \times \dots \times Z_{n_m}$, where Z_{n_i} is of size n_i and is generated by x_i ; thus we get

$$\mathbb{C}[G] = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m] / \langle \{x_i^{n_i} - 1 \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\} \rangle. \quad \mathbb{C}[G] = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m] / \langle \{x_i^{n_i} - 1 \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\} \rangle. \quad (34)$$

In the case of one variable (one-dimensional signals), G is necessarily cyclic, $G = Z_n$, and we have

$$\mathbb{C}[Z_n] = \mathbb{C}[x] / (x^n - 1). \quad (35)$$

This algebra is known to be associated to the DFT (of size n) as we will discuss later. Comparing (34) and (35) to general polynomial algebras in (32), it becomes clear that only very few polynomial algebras are also group algebras.

Historically, the observation that the DFT is associated to $\mathbb{C}[Z_n]$ spawned a significant effort in developing Fourier analysis for other, non-commutative groups and in deriving their fast algorithms. The observation that non-commutative groups produce shift-variant signal models may explain why these groups have to date not found many applications in signal processing.

In this paper, we investigate polynomial algebras, i.e., shift-invariant systems, and we will show that algebras other than $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - 1)$, and thus not related to groups, are indeed relevant in signal processing. Before we identify these algebras, we will provide a general discussion of signal processing on polynomial algebras $\mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ in Section III that specializes the algebraic concepts from Section II-B to this specific case.




Fig. 9. The intersection of the set of group algebras $\mathbb{C}[G]$ and the set of polynomial algebras is precisely the group algebras for commutative groups G . In particular, for one shift (one variable, one group generator) there is only the common element $\mathbb{C}[Z_n] = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - 1)$, which is associated to the DFT_n.

E. Visualization of a Signal Model

A given signal model can be visualized by a graph (see Appendix I, Definition 44), which provides an intuitive understanding of the model. We will use these visualizations later.

Definition 2 (Visualization of Signal Model) Assume that a signal model $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi)$ is given and

$$\Phi : \mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum_{n \in I} s_n b_n,$$

where the b_n form a basis b of \mathcal{M} . Denote the chosen shift operators, i.e., generators, of the corresponding algebra \mathcal{A} by x_1, \dots, x_n . Further, assume that ϕ is the representation of \mathcal{A} afforded by \mathcal{M} with basis b . Then each $\phi(x_i)$ is an infinite or finite matrix (which we call *shift matrix*) and can be viewed as the adjacency matrix of a weighted graph \mathcal{G}_i . Each of these graphs has the same vertices corresponding to b . Thus we can join these graphs by adding the adjacency matrices of the \mathcal{G}_i to obtain a graph \mathcal{G} .

We call the graph \mathcal{G} a *visualization* of the signal model $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi)$.

Intuitively, the graph provides the topology imposed by the signal model. This will become clear by looking at the various examples shown in this paper. As a first example, we use the only signal model we have identified so far, the z -transform defined in (27). The chosen basis in \mathcal{M} consists of the monomials $b = (x^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. The one available shift operator x operates on b as $x \cdot x^n = x^{n+1}$. In coordinates, this means that $\phi(x)$ is a doubly infinite matrix with ones on the lower diagonal and zeros else. The graph that has $\phi(x)$ as adjacency matrix is shown in Figure 10. The vertices are the base elements b_n , the edges show the shift.




Fig. 10. Visualization of the signal model z -transform as a graph.

F. Module Manipulation and Commutative Diagrams

A convenient tool when working with modules are \mathcal{A} -module homomorphisms (Definition 41 in Appendix I). In particular, these mappings are linear mappings, and, in many cases considered in this paper simply base changes within one module. Since we always work with explicitly chosen bases, in the finite-dimensional case these mappings are represented by matrices. Formally, if $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2$ are \mathcal{A} -modules with chosen bases, and B is the matrix representing the \mathcal{A} -module homomorphism w.r.t. these bases, then we write

$$\mathcal{M}_1 \xrightarrow{B} \mathcal{M}_2$$

Above, we already encountered an example for this arrow notation: the first row in (13) maps \mathcal{M} to its decomposition; w.r.t. chosen bases, the mapping corresponds to the matrix form of the Fourier transform \mathcal{F} (again, we consider only the finite-dimensional case here). If two or more mappings between modules are connected, then the associated matrices obey the following rule (the B_i are the base change matrices):

$$\mathcal{M}_1 \xrightarrow{B_1} \mathcal{M}_2 \xrightarrow{B_2} \mathcal{M}_3$$

implies

$$\mathcal{M}_1 \xrightarrow{B_2 B_1} \mathcal{M}_3.$$

This identity shows that a given mapping (or matrix) from \mathcal{M}_1 to \mathcal{M}_3 can be factorized via a module \mathcal{M}_2 . With the proper choice of inserted module, this will be a crucial tool for the derivation of fast algorithms [14]. In this paper, we use it for the commutative diagrams introduced below.

Another important identity is

$$\mathcal{M}_1 \xrightarrow{B} \mathcal{M}_2 \text{ implies } \mathcal{M}_2 \xrightarrow{B^{-1}} \mathcal{M}_1$$

provided that B is invertible.

The second important algebraic tool is the use of *commutative diagrams*, which are built from the above module mappings. A typical example looks like

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_1 & \xrightarrow{B_1} & \mathcal{M}_2 \\ \downarrow B_2 & & \downarrow B_3 \\ \mathcal{M}_3 & \xrightarrow{B_4} & \mathcal{M}_4 \end{array} \quad (36)$$

The term “commutative” signifies that different paths connecting two given modules yield the same associated matrix. For example, in the above diagram, we can connect \mathcal{M}_1 to \mathcal{M}_4 in two different ways: via \mathcal{M}_2 or via \mathcal{M}_3 . The diagram implies the identity

$$B_4 B_2 = B_3 B_1.$$

III. SIGNAL PROCESSING ON POLYNOMIAL ALGEBRAS

In Section II-D we have learned that shift-invariance leads naturally to polynomial algebras \mathcal{A} in the signal model $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi)$. In particular, in the case of finite 1-D signal models, these algebras are necessarily of the form $\mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$.

With this motivation, we investigate what it means to do signal processing using these algebras. We do this by specializing the general theory from Section II-B to $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ focusing on the regular case $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A}$.

We start with the definition of polynomial algebras in one variable, then we investigate their spectral decomposition, their associated Fourier transforms with diagonalization properties, and formulate a general convolution theorem. The results provide the general framework for signal processing using the DFTs, DCTs, and DSTs.

A. Polynomial Algebras in One Variable

Let $p(x)$ be a polynomial of degree $\deg(p) = n$. Then, $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x) = \{h(x) \mid \deg(h) < n\}$, the set of residue classes modulo p , is an algebra with respect to the addition of polynomials, and the polynomial multiplication modulo p . We call \mathcal{A} a *polynomial algebra (in one variable)*. Polynomial algebras are always *cyclic*, i.e., generated by one suitable element, usually chosen to be x , which is then called the shift operator (see Section II-D). This means that all elements in \mathcal{A} are obtained by repeatedly forming powers, sums, and scalar multiples from x . In other words, the elements are polynomials in x as implicit from the definition above.

Example. As a simple instructive example, we consider $p(x) = (x-1)(x+1) = x^2 - 1$. Multiplying the two elements $x, x+1 \in \mathcal{A}$, we get

$$x(x+1) = x^2 + x \equiv x+1 \pmod{x^2-1} \quad (37)$$

by replacing x^2 with 1. We read (37) as “ $x^2 + x$ is congruent (or equal) to $x+1$ modulo x^2-1 .” Thus, we do not use “mod” as an operator, as in

$$(x^2 + x) \pmod{x^2-1} = x+1,$$

but to denote equality of two polynomials modulo a third polynomial.

B. Signal Model

We choose as vector space $V = \mathbb{C}^n$, as algebra a polynomial algebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$, $\deg(p) = n$, and as module the regular²⁰ module $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A}$. Further, we choose a basis $b = (p_0, \dots, p_{n-1})$ of \mathcal{M} . As we show next, this provides a finite, n -dimensional signal model $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi)$ in the sense of Definition 1. Namely, if $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_{n-1})^T \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we can define the bijective linear map Φ as

$$\Phi : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}, \mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum_{0 \leq \ell < n} s_\ell p_\ell. \quad (38)$$

Note that Φ depends on the chosen basis b . The basis elements p_i are the unit impulses in \mathcal{M} , i.e., those with coordinate vector $s_\ell = 0$ for $\ell \neq i$, and $s_i = 1$. The impulse response of a filter $h \in \mathcal{A}$ for the impulse p_i is $hp_i \in \mathcal{M}$.

The mapping Φ endows the vector space \mathbb{C}^n with the structure of the \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} with basis b . Thus, we can now

²⁰A more general discussion would consider arbitrary modules for \mathcal{A} ; however, it turns out that most of the signal models actually used in signal processing have regular modules. The non-regular cases occurring in this paper will be studied as they arise.

identify \mathbb{C}^n and \mathcal{M} , which becomes our signal space. Φ in (38) is the equivalent of the z -transform for this model.

Example. Continuing our previous example, we choose the basis $b = \{1, x\}$ in $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^2-1)$. A signal model for the vector space \mathbb{C}^2 is now provided by

$$\Phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}, (s_0, s_1) \mapsto s_0 + s_1 x.$$

C. Filtering

As seen before, the signal model defines filtering on the signal space \mathcal{M} through the operation of \mathcal{A} on \mathcal{M} . The algebra \mathcal{A} is the space of filters and the \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} the space of signals. We mention again that even though the sets \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{A} are equal, their algebraic structure (i.e., the role or structure assigned to the sets) is not. For example, \mathcal{A} operates on \mathcal{M} , not vice-versa, and filters (elements of \mathcal{A}) can be cascaded, i.e., multiplied, which signals (elements of \mathcal{M}) cannot.

We can represent filtering in either a direct way or in coordinates. Let $s = \Phi(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{0 \leq \ell < n} s_\ell p_\ell \in \mathcal{M}$ be a signal and $h \in \mathcal{A}$ be a filter. Then, filtering s with h is simply the product

$$h \cdot s \in \mathcal{M}, \quad (39)$$

i.e., the product of the polynomials h and s modulo p . Note that since the result is in \mathcal{M} , it is again a signal, as desired. Filtering, or multiplication by h , is a linear mapping, and so it has a matrix representation w.r.t. the basis b . This matrix is given by $\phi(h)$, where ϕ is the representation of \mathcal{A} afforded by \mathcal{M} with basis b (see (11)). Thus, (39) becomes in coordinate form

$$\phi(h) \cdot \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (40)$$

In particular, we call the matrix $\phi(x)$ corresponding to the shift x the *shift matrix*.

By itself, (40) does not reveal the underlying structure provided by the \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} . This structure is explicit in the coordinate-free representation of filtering given in (39).

Example. In our example, let $h = h_0 + h_1 x \in \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^2-1)$ be an arbitrary filter²¹. To compute its matrix representation $\phi(h)$ w.r.t. the basis $b = (1, x)$ of \mathcal{M} , we apply the filter to the base vectors (unit impulses) to obtain their impulse responses; the coefficient vectors of these responses are the columns of $\phi(h)$. We have $h \cdot 1 = h_0 + h_1 x \in \mathcal{M}$ and $h \cdot x = h_0 x + h_1 x^2 \equiv h_1 + h_0 x \pmod{x^2-1}$. Thus

$$\phi(h) = \begin{bmatrix} h_0 & h_1 \\ h_1 & h_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$h \cdot s \Leftrightarrow \phi(h) \cdot \mathbf{s}.$$

In particular, the shift matrix is given by

$$\phi(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

²¹Note that the following derivation *does not* depend on the chosen basis in \mathcal{A} ; in fact, we view h here as a polynomial, not as a filter expressed in the basis $\{1, x\}$ (admittedly a subtle difference).

D. Visualization

The visualization of the signal model $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi)$ with Φ in (38) is the graph with n vertices that has the shift matrix $\phi(x)$ as adjacency matrix (see Definition 2). In the general case considered in this section, this matrix has no apparent structure.

The signal models that are actually used in signal processing, however, do have structure. In particular, $\phi(x)$ is always very sparse for these models. Deriving and explaining this structure is one of the tasks that we solve in this paper.

E. Spectrum and Fourier Transform

Unless stated otherwise, we assume that $p(x)$ is a *separable* polynomial, i.e.,

$$p(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (x - \alpha_k), \quad \alpha_k \neq \alpha_\ell, \text{ for } k \neq \ell.$$

We set $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$. In words, separability means that p has no zeros of multiplicity larger than 1. We will see below that this property ensures that the spectrum of \mathcal{M} consists exclusively of one-dimensional spectral components.

The Fourier transform, or spectral decomposition, of the regular module $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ is given by the Chinese remainder theorem (CRT; stated in Theorem 43 in Appendix I) and, as filtering above, can be expressed in a direct, coordinate-free way, or, alternatively, using coordinates w.r.t. a given basis.

In a coordinate-free form, the Fourier transform is given by the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta : \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_0) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_{n-1}), \\ s = s(x) &\mapsto (s(\alpha_0), \dots, s(\alpha_{n-1})). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Each $\mathcal{M}_k = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k)$ is of dimension 1. So the elements (vectors) of $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k)$ are polynomials of degree 0 or scalars $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Further, \mathcal{M}_k is an \mathcal{A} -module, since for $h = h(x) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $c \in \mathcal{M}_k$,

$$h(x) \cdot c \equiv h(\alpha_k) \cdot c \pmod{(x - \alpha_k)},$$

i.e., the result is again in \mathcal{M}_k . Since \mathcal{M}_k is of dimension 1, it is irreducible.

The scalars $s(\alpha_k)$ in (41) are the spectral components of s . The mapping in (41) simultaneously projects a signal (i.e., polynomial) $s \in \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ into the modules $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k)$. This projection is precisely the evaluation

$$s(x) \equiv s(\alpha_k) \pmod{(x - \alpha_k)}.$$

The set of one-dimensional irreducible submodules $\mathcal{M}_k = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k)$ is the *spectrum* of the signal space \mathcal{M} . Each submodule \mathcal{M}_k is a simultaneous eigenspace to all filters (or linear systems) in \mathcal{A} . The spectrum of a signal $s \in \mathcal{M}$ is the vector $\Delta(s) = (s(\alpha_0), \dots, s(\alpha_{n-1}))$.

Example. In our running example, the Fourier transform is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta : \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^2 - 1) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - 1) \oplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x + 1), \\ s = s(x) &\mapsto (s(1), s(-1)). \end{aligned}$$

The Fourier transform of the signal $s(x) = 1 + 3x$ is $(4, -2)$.

F. Frequency Response

Filtering in the regular module $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ becomes parallel filtering in the frequency domain, i.e., on the irreducible \mathcal{A} -modules \mathcal{M}_k . Namely, let $h \in \mathcal{A}$ be any filter and let $s(\alpha_k) \in \mathcal{M}_k$ be a spectral component of a signal s . Then the filtering by h of the signal given by this spectral component $s(\alpha_k)$ is

$$h(x) \cdot s(\alpha_k) \equiv h(\alpha_k) s(\alpha_k) \pmod{(x - \alpha_k)}.$$

This shows that \mathcal{M}_k affords the irreducible representation ϕ_k that maps

$$\phi_k : h = h(x) \mapsto h(\alpha_k). \quad (42)$$

The collection of the $\phi_k(h)$, namely $(h(\alpha_0), \dots, h(\alpha_{n-1}))$ is the frequency response of the filter h . This means that the k th spectral component $s(\alpha_k)$ of a signal $s = s(x)$ is obtained in the same way as the frequency response $h(\alpha_k)$ at α_k , namely by evaluating polynomials. This is due to the simple structure of polynomial algebras; in general, this is not the case. In general, the spectral component is a d -dimensional vector (d the dimension of the spectral component \mathcal{M}_k), while the frequency response of a filter $h(x)$ at this component is a $d \times d$ matrix. They have the same dimensionality only for $d = 1$.

The irreducible representations ϕ_k of $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ are all different and one-dimensional, since p is separable. The following lemma states that these are indeed all one-dimensional representations.

Lemma 3 Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$, where p is separable with zeros $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$ and let $\phi : \mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ any representation, i.e., homomorphism of algebras. Then ϕ is one of the ϕ_k in (42).

Proof: Let $\phi : \mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ be a representation. Then, because ϕ is a homomorphism of algebras (Definition 40 in Appendix I), $p(\phi(x)) = \phi(p(x)) = \phi(0) = 0$. Thus $\phi(x) = \alpha_k$ for a suitable k and $\phi(h(x)) = h(\phi(x)) = h(\alpha_k)$ as desired. ■

Example. The frequency response of $h \in \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^2 - 1)$ is $(h(1), h(-1))$. Filtering $h \cdot s$ in \mathcal{M} is equivalent to the point-wise product $(h(1)s(1), h(-1)s(-1))$ in the frequency domain.

G. Fourier Transform as a Matrix

The Fourier transform Δ is a linear mapping, which can thus be expressed by a matrix \mathcal{F} after bases are chosen. We will call this matrix also a Fourier transform for \mathcal{M} . To compute this matrix, we choose the basis $b = (p_0, \dots, p_{n-1})$, provided by Φ in (38) for \mathcal{M} , and the basis $b_k = (1)$ (the list containing the polynomial $x^0 = 1$) for each summand $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k)$. To compute \mathcal{F} , we apply Δ to the base vectors p_ℓ ; the coordinate vectors of the result constitute the columns of \mathcal{F} . We compute $\Delta(p_\ell)$ as the projection

$$p_\ell(x) \equiv p_\ell(\alpha_k) \pmod{(x - \alpha_k)}.$$

Consequently, the ℓ th-column of \mathcal{F} is the result of applying Δ to the base vector p_ℓ , namely $(p(\alpha_0), \dots, p(\alpha_{n-1}))^T$. Taken

together, \mathcal{F} has the form

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}_{b,\alpha} = [p_\ell(\alpha_k)]_{0 \leq k, \ell < n}, \quad (43)$$

We call $\mathcal{P}_{b,\alpha}$ a *polynomial transform*. It is uniquely determined by the signal model $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ and Φ in (38), which fixes b .

This definition coincides with the notion of a polynomial transform in [63], [64] and is related but different from the use in [65]. In [66], polynomial transforms are called polynomial Vandermonde matrices.

Note that $\mathcal{P}_{b,\alpha}$ can have entries equal to zero, but, as an isomorphism (as stated by the CRT), it is necessarily invertible.

Let $s = s(x) = \sum s_\ell p_\ell(x) \in \mathcal{M}$ be a signal. Then, in coordinates, Δ in (41) becomes the matrix-vector product

$$\Delta(s) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{b,\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{s} = (s(\alpha_0), \dots, s(\alpha_{n-1}))^T \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (44)$$

In other words, (44) computes the spectrum of \mathbf{s} w.r.t. to the signal model $(\mathbb{C}[x]/p(x), \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x), \Phi)$ with Φ defined in (38).

Like we saw with filtering before, the coordinate form (44) of the Fourier transform alone does not readily reveal the underlying signal model, i.e., the algebra and module.

The Fourier transform (in matrix form) for $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ with basis b is not uniquely determined. The degree of freedom is in the choice of bases in the irreducible submodules $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k)$ of \mathcal{M} in (41). If we choose generic bases $b_k = (a_k)$, $a_k \neq 0$, in $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k)$, $0 \leq k < n$, then the corresponding Fourier transform is given by the *scaled* polynomial transform

$$\text{diag}(1/a_0, \dots, 1/a_{n-1}) \cdot \mathcal{P}_{b,\alpha}.$$

We restate this in the following theorem.

Theorem 4 (Fourier Transforms) The matrix \mathcal{F} is a Fourier transform for the regular module $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ (p separable), if and only if \mathcal{F} is a scaled polynomial transform of the form

$$\mathcal{F} = \text{diag}(1/a_0, \dots, 1/a_{n-1}) \cdot \mathcal{P}_{b,\alpha}, \quad (45)$$

where $a_k \neq 0$ and b is a basis for \mathcal{M} . As a consequence, any such \mathcal{F} is invertible.

Theorem 4 is represented by the following commutative diagram (introduced in (36)):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x) & \xrightarrow{I_n} & \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x) \\ \downarrow \mathcal{F} & & \downarrow \mathcal{P}_{b,\alpha} \\ \bigoplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k) & \xrightarrow{\text{diag}(a_k)} & \bigoplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k) \end{array}$$

In the top row, we have two times the module $\mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ with the same basis b , thus connected by the base change matrix given by the identity I_n . We decompose the modules in two different ways: on the right by $\mathcal{P}_{b,\alpha}$, which implies the bases (1) in the irreducible modules; and, on the left, by the

scaled polynomial transform \mathcal{F} given in Theorem 4, which implies the bases (a_k) in the irreducible modules. The bottom row shows the base change between the decomposed modules, which is diagonal. Connecting the top right with the bottom left corner in two different ways yields (45). In short, the diagram shows that an arbitrary Fourier transform differs from the unique polynomial transform only by a diagonal matrix.

Example. We continue our previous example $p(x) = (x - 1)(x + 1)$ and choose the basis $b = (1, x)$ in \mathcal{M} . The generic Fourier transform for \mathcal{M} is given by Theorem 4 as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} &= \text{diag}(1/a_0, 1/a_1) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \text{diag}(1/a_0, 1/a_1) \cdot \text{DFT}_2, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

where $\text{DFT}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$. In particular, DFT_2 is the polynomial transform for $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^2 - 1)$ with basis b .

Furthermore if \mathbf{s} is the coordinate vector of a signal $s \in \mathcal{M}$ w.r.t. b , then

$$\text{DFT}_2 \cdot \mathbf{s} = (s(1), s(-1))^T.$$

H. Diagonalization Properties and Convolution Theorems

The diagonalization property of any Fourier transform \mathcal{F} of the regular module $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ is obtained as a special case of (21) (see also Figure 7).

Theorem 5 (Diagonalization Properties) Let \mathcal{F} be a Fourier transform for the regular \mathcal{A} -module $\mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ with basis b and corresponding representation ϕ of \mathcal{A} . Then

$$\mathcal{F} \cdot A \cdot \mathcal{F}^{-1} = \text{diag}(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}), \quad (47)$$

if and only if $A = \phi(h)$ for a filter $h \in \mathcal{A}$. In this case $a_k = h(\alpha_k)$, $0 \leq k < n$, is the frequency response of h .

In particular, \mathcal{F} diagonalizes the shift matrix $\phi(x)$. The shift operator x has the frequency response $(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$.

Proof: Let $A = \phi(h)$. Then $\mathcal{F}\phi(h)\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ is diagonal, since it is the coordinate representation of the filter h in the frequency domain, which is the diagonal matrix with the frequency response on the diagonal (all blocks on the right in Figure 7 are 1×1).

Conversely, the set of diagonal matrices $\text{diag}(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$ is an n -dimensional vector space. Since \mathcal{F} is invertible, the set of all matrices A diagonalized by \mathcal{F} is also n -dimensional. Since \mathcal{A} is of dimension n , and ϕ is injective, the set of all matrices $\phi(h)$ is a vector space of dimension n and thus the set of all matrices diagonalized by \mathcal{F} . ■

We also note that, using Theorem 5, we get immediately the characteristic polynomial, trace, and determinant for every matrix $\phi(h)$, since it is similar to the diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(h(\alpha_0), \dots, h(\alpha_{n-1}))$. In particular, the characteristic polynomial of $\phi(x)$ is $p(x)$.

Example. In our example, we obtain the diagonalization property of the DFT_2 , namely, for $h = h_0 + h_1x \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\text{DFT}_2 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} h_0 & h_1 \\ h_1 & h_0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \text{DFT}_2^{-1} = \text{diag}(h(1), h(-1)).$$

The characteristic polynomial of the shift matrix $\phi(x) = \phi(0 + 1 \cdot x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is $p(x) = x^2 - 1$.

Theorem 5 provides the essential step to obtaining a convolution theorem in \mathcal{M} , i.e., a way to perform filtering in the spectral domain. Namely, starting from the coordinate form (40) of filtering, and using (47), we get for all $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^n$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(h) \cdot \mathbf{s} &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathcal{F} \phi(h) \mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathcal{F} \cdot \mathbf{s} \\ &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} \text{diag}(h(\alpha_0), \dots, h(\alpha_{n-1})) \mathcal{F} \cdot \mathbf{s},\end{aligned}\quad (48)$$

which again shows that multiplication by $\phi(h)$ is the same as multiplying by $\text{diag}(h(\alpha_0), \dots, h(\alpha_{n-1}))$, the frequency response, in the spectral domain. This is illustrated in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x) & \xrightarrow{\phi(h)} & \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x) \\ \downarrow \mathcal{F} & & \downarrow \mathcal{F} \\ \bigoplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k) & \xrightarrow{\text{diag}(h(\alpha_k))} & \bigoplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k) \end{array}$$

Filtering in the signal space (top row) is equivalent to pointwise multiplication in the spectral domain (bottom row). Connecting the top left with the top right corner in two different ways yields (48).

Next, we derive the general form of the convolution theorem. Since, as said above, the frequency response is obtained in the same way as the spectrum (evaluation of polynomials at the α_k), we can also compute it using a Fourier transform, even though we did not introduce a Fourier transform for elements in the algebra.

Namely, we choose a basis b' in \mathcal{A} and let \mathbf{h} be the coordinate vector of h w.r.t. b' . Then we choose a second arbitrary Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}' = D \cdot \mathcal{P}_{b', \alpha}$, where D is diagonal. Using (44),

$$\mathcal{P}_{b', \alpha} \cdot \mathbf{h} = D^{-1} \cdot (h(\alpha_0), \dots, h(\alpha_{n-1}))^T.$$

Combining this equation with (48) yields the following theorem.

Theorem 6 (Convolution Theorem) Using previous notation, let \mathcal{M} have basis b and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}$ have basis b' . Let $s \in \mathcal{M}$ and $h \in \mathcal{A}$. Further, let \mathcal{F} and $\mathcal{F}' = D \cdot \mathcal{P}_{b', \alpha}$ be any Fourier transforms of \mathcal{M} w.r.t. the bases b and b' , respectively. Then

$$\phi(h) \cdot \mathbf{s} = (D\mathcal{F})^{-1} \cdot ((\mathcal{F}' \cdot \mathbf{h}) \odot (\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathbf{s})),$$

where \odot denotes the pointwise product of vectors.

Example. We illustrate Theorem 6 using our example $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^2 - 1)$ with basis $(1, x)$, choosing $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F} = \text{DFT}_2$. We get the well-known convolution theorem for the DFT (of size 2):

$$\begin{bmatrix} h_0 & h_1 \\ h_1 & h_0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{s} = \text{DFT}_2^{-1} \cdot (\text{DFT}_2 \mathbf{h} \odot \text{DFT}_2 \mathbf{s}).$$

I. Example: Vandermonde matrix

As an example, we consider a generic separable polynomial $p(x)$ with zeros α_k , $0 \leq k < n$, and choose the basis $b = (1, x, \dots, x^{n-1})$ in $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ and the bases $b_k = (1)$ in $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k)$. The corresponding polynomial transform is the Vandermonde matrix

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}_{b, \alpha} = [\alpha_k^\ell]_{0 \leq k, \ell < n}.$$

We evaluate the associated representation ϕ at the shift operator $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $p(x) = \sum \beta_i x^i$, $\beta_n = 1$. Then $x \cdot x^j \equiv x^{j+1} \bmod p(x)$ for $0 \leq j < n-1$, and $x \cdot x^{n-1} = x^n \equiv \sum -\beta_i x^i \bmod p(x)$. Thus, the shift matrix is

$$\phi(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & -\beta_0 \\ 1 & 0 & & -\beta_1 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & 1 & 0 & -\beta_{n-2} \\ & & & 1 & -\beta_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (49)$$

which is the transpose of the companion matrix of p . Using (47),

$$\mathcal{F} \cdot \phi(x) \cdot \mathcal{F}^{-1} = \text{diag}(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}).$$

For a convolution theorem, we choose $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F}$, and $D = I_n$, to get

$$\phi(h) \cdot \mathbf{s} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \cdot ((\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathbf{h}) \odot (\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathbf{s})).$$

IV. WHERE ARE WE NOW?

Up to this point we have accomplished the following.

- We gave evidence that the basic assumptions underlying linear signal processing make the set of filters and signals not only vector spaces, but an algebra and a module, respectively. This places SP into the context of the representation theory of algebras, which includes but goes beyond linear algebra. In particular, filtering produces the module structure and the Fourier transform is usually not thought of as a concept from linear algebra.
- We elaborated on the correspondence, or better, equivalence, of signal processing and the representation theory of algebras, by providing a small dictionary that translates between signal processing concepts and algebraic concepts.
- We formally defined the notion of a signal model for a vector space V of signals as a triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi)$, where \mathcal{A} is the chosen filter algebra, \mathcal{M} is an \mathcal{A} -module of the same dimension as V , and Φ is a bijective linear mapping from V onto \mathcal{M} . Once the signal model is defined, all main ingredients to signal processing are immediately available. Further, different models can be applied to the same signal space V or signal $\mathbf{s} \in V$, reflecting the fact that different transforms (such as the DFT and the DCT) can be used for analysis. We will see later that both the DFT and DCT are Fourier transforms for suitable signal models.
- We identified the shift operator as generator of the filter algebra \mathcal{A} and asserted that a signal model is shift-invariant if and only if \mathcal{A} is commutative. By further

requiring that \mathcal{A} consist exclusively of FIR filters—which is necessarily the case for finite signal models ($\dim \mathcal{M} < \infty$)—commutative algebras are equivalent to polynomial algebras.

- With this as a motivation, we developed signal processing on polynomial algebras, focusing on one variable $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ equivalent to one shift operator x or 1-D signals, and to the regular case $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A}$. We showed which specific form filtering, spectrum, Fourier transform, and other signal processing concepts take in this case.

In summary, our approach so far has been “top-down.” we considered existing concepts in signal processing and established their algebraic interpretation. We used repeatedly the example of infinite discrete-time signal processing. In fact, in discrete signal processing, concepts like filter, shift, and Fourier transform are usually thought of in the context of infinite discrete time. The question that may arise now is if the algebraic theory goes beyond this example of infinite discrete-time signals. The answer is yes, as we have hinted at already in Section I and will show in detail in the remainder of the paper.

In addressing this question, and in contrast to what was done before, we now take a “bottom-up” perspective: we make assumptions only as necessary and *derive* signal models from basic principles. This is important and beneficial from several points of view and addresses several fundamental questions such as:

- How to construct a signal model for *finite* signals from a corresponding signal model for infinite signals?
- How to derive a signal model for discrete and finite *space* analogous to the corresponding signal models for time?
- Why and when do *boundary conditions* and *signal extensions* arise, e.g., why is the periodic signal extension important and are others possible?
- What type of objects are the *discrete trigonometric transforms*, in particular, the discrete cosine transforms (DCTs) and the discrete sine transforms (DSTs)?

Finally, we believe the bottom-up derivation of signal models to be of educational value as it explains (and visualizes) the models and provides a recipe on how to possibly construct new models for different applications.

The remaining part of this paper uses the algebraic concepts introduced before. The reader may wish to revisit frequently the previous sections, connecting the following concrete examples to the previous, more theoretical discussion.

V. MODELING TIME: THE Z-TRANSFORM

Let $\mathbf{s} = (s_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z})$ be a discrete signal. The z -transform of \mathbf{s} , in standard notation, is given by the mapping

$$\Phi : \mathbf{s} \mapsto s = S(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} s_n z^{-n}. \quad (50)$$

In Section II-C we showed that the z -transform is the linear mapping of a signal model in the sense of Definition 1 for the vector space $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ of finite energy sequences. The corresponding algebra is usually chosen as the set of all Laurent series with coefficient sequences in $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$.

Naturally, the following fundamental question arises: Why is Φ the appropriate linear mapping for the signal model for discrete time, or, equivalently, why is discrete time filtering defined the way it is? We give an answer to this question by *deriving* the z -transform from basic principles. In other words, we identify the assumptions that have to be made to obtain the z -transform. This knowledge will then enable us, for example, to derive the space-analogue of the z -transform, the C -transform in Section VII. In fact, since infinite discrete time is standard in classical signal processing theory, the whole purpose of this section is to identify the recipe for constructing a signal model.

The section is structured as follows. First, we build the signal model in the sense of Definition 1 in three steps: 1) definition of the shift; 2) linear extension; and 3) realization. Second, after the signal model is determined, we provide the associated spectrum and Fourier transform. And third, we give a visualization of the signal model in the sense of Definition 2. The derivation and analysis of the discrete space models and discrete generic next neighbor model in Sections VII, X, and XII will follow the same steps.

For notational convenience, we set in the following²² $x = z^{-1}$.

A. Building the Signal Model

Definition of the shift. Following Kalman [16], when considering time, we need two ingredients: *time marks* t_n and a *shift operator* q .

The time marks are symbolic independent variables $t_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$; t_n is associated to “time n .” Using these time marks, we can write every signal $\mathbf{s} = (s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ as the symbolic sum $s = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} s_n t_n$. The set of all these sums is again a vector space isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. In other words, no new structure was introduced in the signal space. At this point there is no interaction between time marks at different times n , in particular no notion of past and future, nor any notion of equidistance between consecutive time marks.

To address this problem, we introduce the shift operator q and the shift operation \diamond by

time model: $q \diamond t_n = t_{n+1}$

 (51)

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Figure 11 shows a graphical representation of the time shift.




Fig. 11. The time shift $q \diamond t_n$.

The operator q naturally expresses a direction from past to future and the equidistance of the time marks t_n .

²²Note that the choice of z^{-1} instead of z in the definition (50) is a *convention*, not a mathematical necessity; choosing z leads to equivalent properties and an equivalent theory for the z -transform. In fact, the choice of z^{-1} in signal processing is in contrast to the previous mathematical work on Laurent series. The reason may be the fact that the shift operator z^{-1} causes a delay of the signal. However, we will see that, equivalently, this shift operator *advances* what we call the time marks.

Next, we extend the operator domain from a single shift operator q to k -fold shift operators q_k , defined by

$$q_k \diamond t_n = t_{n+k}.$$

Clearly, $q_k = q^k$.

At this point of the construction, working only with t_n and q_k , there is no notion of linearity.

Linear extension. Since we are interested in obtaining a linear signal model, we carry out two extensions: 1) we extend the operation \diamond of the shift operator q from the set of the t_n to the set of all formal sums $\sum s_n t_n$, by requiring linearity, i.e., $q \diamond s = \sum s_n (q \diamond t_n)$; and 2) we extend the operating set from the set of k -fold shift operators q^k to the set of all formal sums $\sum h_k q^k$. The first set will become the module of signals, while the second set will become the algebra of filters.

In other words, starting with (51), we first linearly extend the operation \diamond to the vector space $\mathcal{M} = \{s = \sum s_n t_n\}$, and then we extend the operator domain to obtain $\mathcal{A} = \{h = \sum h_k q^k\}$. Because the series in either set have an infinite number of terms, we need to make sure that filtering is well defined: the multiplication of an infinite series—the signal $s \in \mathcal{M}$ —by another infinite series—the filter $h \in \mathcal{A}$ —has to exist. We consider this in the next step, called “realization.”

Realization. To obtain the signal model, we first consider the “realization” of the abstract model, which replaces the abstract objects t_n and q and the operation \diamond by objects we can compute with. To this end, we choose a variable x and set $q = x$, and $\diamond = \cdot$, the ordinary multiplication of series. Then (51) becomes

$$t_{n+1} = x \cdot t_n. \quad (52)$$

This two-term recurrence, when started with $t_0 = 1$, has the unique solution

$$t_n = x^n. \quad (53)$$

In other words, the realization is essentially (up to a common scaling factor for all x^n) unique.

As a result, we obtain $\mathcal{M} = \{s = \sum s_n x^n\}$ and $\mathcal{A} = \{h = \sum h_k x^k\}$. Since the series are infinite, we have to ensure convergence as part of the realization; namely, that filtering, the operation of \mathcal{A} on \mathcal{M} , is well-defined. This is achieved, for example, by requiring $\mathbf{s} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathbf{h} \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$, as explained in Section II-B. Now \mathcal{A} becomes the filter space and \mathcal{M} becomes the signal space.

Table IV shows the correspondence between the abstract and the realized concepts.

At this point it seems that the only reason for doing a “realization” is to handle convergence issues. We will see later in the derivation of the space model that the realization may not be unique and thus may lead to different final signal models.

Signal model: z -transform. Now we can formally define the signal model $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi)$ for discrete infinite time. The filter algebra \mathcal{A} is the set of Laurent series h with coefficients $\mathbf{h} \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$; the signal module is the set of Laurent series s with $\mathbf{s} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. The third component of the signal model is the

TABLE IV
REALIZATION OF THE ABSTRACT TIME MODEL.

| concept | abstract | realized |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| shift operator | q | x |
| shift operation | \diamond | \cdot |
| time mark | t_n | x^n |
| k -fold shift operator | $q_k = q^k$ | x^k |
| shift | $q \diamond t_n = t_{n+1}$ | $x \cdot x^n = x^{n+1}$ |
| signal | $\sum s_n t_n$ | $\sum s_n x^n$ |
| filter | $\sum h_k q^k$ | $\sum h_k x^k$ |

bijjective linear mapping Φ , which maps signals from the space $V = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ into \mathcal{M} :

$$\Phi : \mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} s_n x^n$$

is the z -transform.

Note that signals and filters are conceptually different (as pointed out several times before) but *look the same (both are Laurent series in x) because the realization maps both q^n and t_n to x^n .*

B. Spectrum and Fourier Transform: DTFT

We discussed the spectrum and the Fourier transform for the z -transform already in Section II-B, so we are brief here.

The \mathcal{A} -module $\mathcal{M} = \Phi(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}))$ decomposes into continuously many one-dimensional irreducible modules \mathcal{M}_ω , $\omega \in W = (-\pi, \pi]$, which constitute the spectrum of \mathcal{M} . Each spectral component \mathcal{M}_ω is spanned by E_ω in (23). As we stated before, the E_ω are not contained in $\Phi(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}))$, but only in $\Phi(\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}))$, so the \mathcal{M}_ω are not submodules of \mathcal{M} . Choosing E_ω as basis in \mathcal{M}_ω , the Fourier transform Δ (in the form (16)) is called the discrete-time Fourier transform (DTFT) and is given by

$$\Delta : \begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} &\rightarrow \left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in W} \mathbb{C} \right) = \mathbb{C}^W, \\ s = S(x) &\mapsto (S(e^{-j\omega}))_{\omega \in W} = \omega \mapsto S(e^{-j\omega}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have to write $S(e^{-j\omega})$ since our variable is x instead of z^{-1} . The spectrum $\Delta(s)$ of a signal can be viewed as a (complex L^2 -) function on the unit circle.

Every irreducible module \mathcal{M}_ω affords a one-dimensional irreducible representation ϕ_ω . Namely, if $h = H(x) \in \mathcal{A}$ is a filter, then

$$H(x)E_\omega(x) = H(e^{-j\omega})E_\omega(x),$$

which shows that

$$\phi_\omega(H(x)) = H(e^{-j\omega}).$$

The frequency response of $h = H(x)$ is the collection, for $\omega \in (-\pi, \pi]$,

$$(\phi_\omega(h))_\omega = (H(e^{-j\omega}))_\omega = \omega \mapsto H(e^{-j\omega}).$$

Filtering $h \cdot s = H(x)S(x)$ in the time-domain becomes the parallel multiplication $H(e^{-j\omega})S(e^{-j\omega})$, $\omega \in W$ by the frequency response in the frequency domain.

C. Visualization

Because of the simple shift operation associated with the z -transform, the structure of \mathcal{M} can be conveniently represented as a graph, shown before in Figure 10, which expresses the shift, i.e., the multiplication by x in the chosen realization. Formally, the graph is obtained by using our Definition 2 of the visualization of a signal model. We have one shift operator x , and, if ϕ is the representation afforded by \mathcal{M} with the time marks x^k as basis, then $\phi(x)$ is the adjacency matrix of the graph in Figure 10.

The bullets in Figure 10 represent the time marks to which the signal values are associated via the signal model, i.e., via the z -transform (50). If the signal space is considered as a vector space these bullets become the basis, but are unconnected. The connecting edges arise from the shift operation, which makes the signal space a module.

Figure 10 is helpful to obtain an intuitive understanding of the module structure and is clearly familiar to most readers. We will show similar graphs for the finite z -transform and their space model analogues below. Comparing these graphs is the a simple way to intuitively understand the differences between the associated signal models.

VI. FINITE Z -TRANSFORM AND DFT

In real applications, usually only a finite subsequence $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_{n-1})$ is available, not the entire (sampled) sequence $(s_k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z})$. Thus, the question is how to develop a *finite version* of the z -transform, i.e., with a finite number of terms. We will see that the problem, when restricted to a finite sequence, is in preserving the module property to have access to filters and a notion of spectrum and Fourier transform. It turns out that to obtain the module structure, we need to introduce boundary conditions (b.c.'s). The b.c.'s in turn determine a polynomial algebra and the associated module. This gives a “bottom-up” justification for polynomial algebras in addition to the motivation presented in Section II-D, which identified them as those algebras providing finite shift-invariant signal models. The bijective linear map Φ in the signal model we obtain, i.e., the associated finite z -transform, maps signals $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ into this module. The chosen b.c.'s determine the signal extension, and, by requiring a *simple* signal extension (in a sense defined below), we naturally obtain the DFT (and its variants) as Fourier transform for the associated module.

Interestingly, the concept of a finite z -transform in the sense defined below is not used in signal processing, even though it is the precise finite analogue of the standard z -transform. Further, it explains the DFT and the role of boundary conditions and signal extension, and facilitates the derivation of various DFT algorithms, just to name a few benefits.

For our investigation, we first need a formal notion of *signal extension*, which we define now.

Definition 7 (Signal Extension) Let $\mathbf{s} = (s_k \mid k \in I)$ be a signal given on an index set $I \subset \mathbb{Z}$. A (linear) signal extension of \mathbf{s} is the sequence of linear combinations (only finitely many summands are nonzero)

$$s_k = \sum_{i \in I} \beta_{k,i} s_i, \quad \text{for } k \notin I.$$

The signal extension is called *monomial*, if, for each k , the sum has only one summand.

In other words, in a monomial signal extension, every signal value outside the signal scope is assumed to be a multiple of a signal value inside the signal scope.

A. Building the Signal Model

Shift, linear extension, realization. To construct a finite time signal model, we follow the exact same steps as in Section V-A. We start with the time marks, of which we have now only a finite set t_0, \dots, t_{n-1} . As before, we consider the shift operator q , the operation \diamond and the realization of the shift operator by the variable x . However, as we will see next, this leads to one important difference.

Let $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be a sampled signal. To realize time, we could attempt to realize as before the two step recursion (52) and to define the “finite” z -transform, by mapping \mathbf{s} to the polynomial of degree less than n

$$s = s(x) = \sum_{0 \leq k < n} s_k x^k.$$

Proceeding with the definition of the signal model, we attempt to identify the module and the algebra. Clearly, the set $\mathbb{C}_n[x]$ of the polynomials $s(x)$ (of degree less than n) is a vector space with the natural basis $b = (x^0, \dots, x^{n-1})$. The problem, however, arises from the operation of the (realized) time shift operator x : the set of polynomials of degree less than n is *not closed* under multiplication by x . More precisely, the root of the problem is

$$x \cdot x^{n-1} = x^n \notin \mathbb{C}_n[x], \quad (54)$$

and, if non-causal filters are considered,

$$x^{-1} \cdot x^0 = x^{-1} \notin \mathbb{C}_n[x]. \quad (55)$$

Thus, the time shift as has been defined is not a valid operation on $\mathbb{C}_n[x]$, which implies that we cannot define filtering in $\mathbb{C}_n[x]$, or, algebraically, $\mathbb{C}_n[x]$ is not a module. Without filtering, there is also no notion of spectrum or Fourier transform. To resolve this we need to take care of the problems raised by (54) and (55), which we do by introducing boundary conditions.

Boundary condition and signal extension. To remedy the first problem (54), we have to make sure that x^n can be expressed as a polynomial of degree $n-1$. This is achieved by introducing an equation

$$x^n = r(x) = \sum_{0 \leq k < n} \beta_k x^k, \quad \text{or} \quad x^n - r(x) = 0. \quad (56)$$

This equation is equivalent to the right boundary condition

$$s_n = \sum_{0 \leq k < n} \beta_k s_k.$$

As a consequence of (56), we get the series of equations

$$x^k (x^n - r(x)) = x^k \cdot 0 = 0, \quad k \geq 0.$$

Thus, the boundary condition $x^n = r(x)$ determines the entire right signal extension that is obtained by reducing x^{k+n}

modulo $(x^n - r(x))$ to a polynomial $r_k(x)$ of degree less than n , i.e.,

$$x^{k+n} \equiv r_k(x) \pmod{(x^n - r(x))}. \quad (57)$$

Algebraically, the boundary condition replaces the vector space $\mathbb{C}_n[x]$ by the vector space $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - r(x))$, which is of the same dimension, but *closed* under multiplication by the time shift operator x and is thus a module. The corresponding algebra \mathcal{A} , generated by x , is identical to \mathcal{M} . The remaining question to consider is (55). There are two cases.

Case 1: $x|r(x)$. Then also $x|(x^n - r(x))$, and thus x (the shift operator) is not invertible²³ in $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - r(x))$ and (55) does not need to be considered: the signal has no left b.c., since “the past” is not accessible without an invertible x .

Case 2: $x \nmid r(x)$. Then, from (56), we get

$$x^{-1} = -\frac{1}{\beta_0}(\beta_1 + \beta_2 x + \dots + \beta_{n-1} x^{n-2} - x^{n-1}),$$

which is the left boundary condition. Similar to above, the left signal extension can be determined by multiplying by x^{-k} and reducing modulo $x^n - r(x)$. Thus, the signal extension in *both* directions is determined by *one* equation (56), which provides the left and the right b.c.:

b.c. \implies right and left signal extension.

By assuming the generic boundary condition $x^n = r(x)$, we obtain a valid signal model. However, the corresponding signal extension (57) has in general no simple structure. To obtain a module that is reasonable for applications, we thus require

- the shift operator $x \in \mathcal{A}$ to be invertible; and
- the signal extension to be monomial (see Definition 7).

A monomial signal extension is the condition that leads to the signal model for the DFT in the *finite time* case and for the 16 DCTs and DSTs in the *finite space* case. In Section IX, we will slightly relax this condition and obtain a new class of transforms.

We can now explicitly determine the polynomials $x^n - r(x)$ that satisfy the above two conditions.

Lemma 8 The boundary condition $x^n = r(x)$ makes $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - r(x))$ an algebra in which x is invertible and determines a monomial signal extension in $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A}$, if and only if the polynomial $r(x)$ is a nonzero constant, i.e., $r(x) = a \neq 0$. The signal extension in this case is given by $x^k = a^{k_2} x^{k_1}$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is expressed as $k = k_1 + k_2 n$, with $0 \leq k_1 < n$.

Proof: Let $r(x) = a$, $a \neq 0$, and let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We write $k = k_1 + k_2 n$, with $0 \leq k_1 < n$, and thus $x^k \equiv a^{k_2} x^{k_1} \pmod{x^n - a}$, which is a monomial signal extension. Conversely, let $x^n = r(x)$ determine a monomial signal extension. This implies $x^n = a x^\ell$, for some $0 \leq \ell < n$. Since

²³A polynomial $q(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ is invertible if and only if $\gcd(q(x), p(x)) = 1$, since in this case there are polynomials $r(x), s(x)$ such that $1 = s(x)q(x) + r(x)p(x)$, which implies that $s(x) \equiv q(x)^{-1} \pmod{p(x)}$.




Fig. 12. The signal extension of $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - a)$.

x is by assumption invertible modulo $x^n - a x^\ell$, it follows $\ell = 0$ and $a \neq 0$ as desired. ■

It is instructive to graphically display the signal extension associated to $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - a)$. There are several ways to do this. We choose to display it in a virtual coordinate system. The x-axis carries the time marks x^i , $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the y-axis carries the basis x^0, \dots, x^{n-1} of \mathcal{M} . For every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we express x^i in the basis and enter the coefficients in the graph. Figure 12 shows the result (with the y-axis coordinates omitted). Within the signal scope (shown by the bold line) $0 \leq i < n$, we have the identity (since $x^i = 1 \cdot x^i$); for $n \leq i < 2n$ the line is scaled by a (since $x^i \equiv a x^{i-n} \pmod{(x^n - a)}$), and so on. The bullets mark starting points (at multiples of n) and end points of the lines to enhance the presentation.

Using Lemma 8 we observe that the signal extension corresponding to the b.c. $x^n = a$ is eventually periodic, if and only if a is an arbitrary m th root of unity. The period in this case has length mn .

Signal model: finite z-transform. In summary, the signal space so obtained is the regular module $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - a)$ with algebra of filters $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}$. The final component of the associated signal model for $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ is the *finite z-transform*

$$\Phi : \mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum_{0 \leq k < n} s_k x^k \in \mathcal{M}$$

that endows the signal $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with the structure of the module \mathcal{M} . The associated algebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}$ of filters has the same basis $b = (1, x, \dots, x^{n-1})$ as \mathcal{M} ; in \mathcal{M} the basis polynomials (or impulses) x^k represent time marks; in \mathcal{A} they represent (k -fold) time shift operators.

Note that we restricted this definition of a finite z -transform to the cases of monomial signal extensions only, since these are the only ones we consider in this paper. Of course, the definition could be generalized to any $p(x) = x^n - r(x)$.

B. Spectrum and Fourier transform: DFT and variants

Using the general results from Section III, we now derive the spectrum and the Fourier transform for the signal model finite z -transform. In other words, we consider the regular module $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - a)$ with the same basis $b = (x^0, x^1, \dots, x^{n-1})$ chosen in \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{A} . We use the notation $j = \sqrt{-1}$ in this section.

Since $a \neq 0$, $p(x) = x^n - a$ is separable. Let $a = |a|e^{\nu j}$ in polar coordinates. The zeros α_k of $x^n - a$ are given by

$$\alpha_k = |a|^{\frac{1}{n}} e^{\frac{(\nu - 2k\pi)j}{n}} = |a|^{\frac{1}{n}} e^{\frac{\nu j}{n}} \omega_n^k, \quad (58)$$

where $\omega_n = e^{-2\pi j/n}$. Thus, spectrum and Fourier transform of $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - a)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta : \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - a) &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{0 \leq k < n} \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k) \\ s = s(x) &\mapsto (s(\alpha_0), \dots, s(\alpha_{n-1})). \end{aligned}$$

In matrix form, the polynomial transform corresponding to Δ is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_{b,\alpha} = \text{DFT}_n \cdot \text{diag}_{0 \leq \ell < n}(|a|^{\frac{\ell}{n}} e^{\frac{\nu \ell j}{n}}), \quad (59)$$

where

$$\text{DFT}_n = [\omega_n^{k\ell}]_{0 \leq k, \ell < n}$$

is the (standard) discrete Fourier transform. Using Theorem 4, all Fourier transforms are given by

$$\mathcal{F} = D\mathcal{P}_{b,\alpha}, \quad (60)$$

where D is any invertible diagonal matrix D , which is determined by the basis chosen in the spectrum.

This derivation shows the origin of the DFT in signal processing terms, namely, the DFT arises by constructing a finite time model under the assumption of a monomial signal extension. The standard DFT (without scaling factors) arises from the special case $a = 1$ and $D = I_n$, which implies that the DFT_n is a polynomial transform.

Generalized DFTs. The class of DFTs (60) also includes as special cases what have sometimes been called “generalized Fourier transforms” (see [67], [68], [69]). We prefer “generalized DFTs,” since, as we have explained in Section II-B, the concept of Fourier transform is far more general, encompassing decompositions of arbitrary modules.

The generalized DFTs are matrices of the form

$$\mathcal{F}_{c,d} = [\omega_n^{(k+c)(\ell+d)}]_{0 \leq k, \ell < n},$$

where $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$. We briefly investigate the 4 special cases given by $c, d \in \{0, 1/2\}$, which in [69] are called DFTs of types 1–4, written as $\text{DFT-1}, \dots, \text{DFT-4}$. Namely,

$$\text{DFT-1}_n = \mathcal{F}_{0,0} = \text{DFT}_n,$$

$$\text{DFT-2}_n = \mathcal{F}_{0,1/2} = \text{diag}_{0 \leq k < n}(\omega_n^{k/2}) \text{DFT}_n, \quad (61)$$

$$\text{DFT-3}_n = \mathcal{F}_{1/2,0}, \quad (62)$$

$$\text{DFT-4}_n = \mathcal{F}_{1/2,1/2} = \text{diag}_{0 \leq k < n}(\omega_n^{(k+\frac{1}{2})/2}) \text{DFT}_n. \quad (63)$$

We identify the signal models for which these transforms are Fourier transforms, by comparing their definitions to (60) and (59). The $\text{DFT-1}_n = \text{DFT}_n$ is, as said above, a polynomial transform for $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - 1)$. The DFT-2_n in (61) is also a Fourier transform, but not the polynomial transform, for $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - 1)$. The DFT-3_n in (62) is the polynomial transform for $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n + 1)$, since $\omega_n^{k+1/2}$, $0 \leq k < n$, are precisely the zeros of $x^n + 1$. Finally, the DFT-4_n in (63) is also a Fourier transform, but not the polynomial transform, for $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n + 1)$. This means, these DFTs cover the two important cases of boundary conditions $x^n = a = \pm 1$.

The $\text{DFT}_n = \text{DFT-1}_n$ is the matrix containing the evaluation of the polynomials x^ℓ at the roots of unity ω_n^k . Similarly, the matrix DFT-2_n consists of the evaluations of the “fractional” polynomials $x^{\ell+1/2}$ at the ω_n^k . Thus, in a sense, and leaving our framework of polynomial algebras, DFT-2_n could be viewed as a Fourier transform for the \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} spanned by the basis $\{x^{1/2}, x^{3/2}, \dots, x^{n-1/2}\}$ and with periodic boundary condition $x^{n+1/2} = x^{1/2}$, and $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - 1)$ as operating algebra. In other words,

DFT-2_n can be viewed as a “shifted” version of DFT_n . This shifting, as we showed above, does not change the underlying signal model.

A similar relationship exists between DFT-3_n and DFT-4_n .

Other boundary conditions and effect on spectrum. At this point it is instructive to investigate what problems arise if we slightly relax the conditions in Lemma 8 by dropping the requirement that the shift operator x is invertible in the constructed algebra. In particular, this includes, as we show, the zero extension and the constant extension.

The proof of Lemma 8 shows that a monomial signal extension requires a b.c. of the form $x^n = ax^\ell$, i.e., $p(x) = x^n - ax^\ell$. Conversely, each such b.c. determines a monomial signal extension. A simple choice is $a = 0$ yielding the regular module $\mathbb{C}[x]/x^n$, which realizes a right zero extension ($x^n = 0$ implies $x^{n+k} = 0$ for $k \geq 0$). There is no concept of a left extension, since the shift operator x is not invertible in \mathcal{A} . The problem of this model is the spectrum: $\mathbb{C}[x]/x^n$ cannot be decomposed by the Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT), or, in other words, the model is not appropriate for spectral analysis. If ϕ is the representation afforded by \mathcal{M} , then this can also be seen from the shift matrix $\phi(x)$, which is the (lower) Jordan block (a special case of (49))

$$\phi(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ 1 & 0 & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

As a Jordan block, this matrix cannot be block diagonalized any further.

Another simple choice is the symmetric b.c. $x^n = x^{n-1}$, i.e., $p(x) = x^n - x^{n-1}$. This choice implies a constant right signal extension, since $x^n = x^{n-1}$ implies $x^{n+k} = x^{n-1}$ for all $k \geq 0$. In this case, the CRT yields

$$\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - x^{n-1}) \cong \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - 1) \oplus \mathbb{C}[x]/x^{n-1},$$

and the rightmost module, of dimension $n - 1$, is again indecomposable, making spectral analysis trivial. We will see later, that a symmetric signal extension in a discrete finite *space* model leads to a monomial signal extension *and* to a separable polynomial p , and thus provides a useful model for signal processing.

As a final example, we consider the generic right b.c. $x^n = r(x)$, such that $p(x) = x^n - r(x)$ is separable. This leads to a generic regular module $\mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ with basis $(1, x, \dots, x^{n-1})$. As we have seen in Section III-I, the (polynomial) Fourier transform in this case is a Vandermonde matrix and the shift matrix $\phi(x)$ is the companion matrix (49). In other words, Vandermonde matrices are precisely the (polynomial) Fourier transforms for (separable) finite time models.

The above discussion shows that the choice of boundary condition affects both, the signal extension and the notion of spectrum. Models that have “good” properties with respect to both are useful in signal processing.

Signal extensions that are not linear (for example second order polynomial extensions) are sometimes considered in signal processing. These do not produce signal models (in particular,




Fig. 13. Visualization of the finite discrete time model in the case of a monomial signal extension $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - a)$.

filtering becomes non-linear) and are thus not covered by our theory.

C. Visualization

The structure of the signal model given by the finite z -transform is visualized by the graph in Figure 13. Following Definition 2, the adjacency matrix of this graph is the shift matrix $\phi(x)$ (ϕ is the representation afforded by \mathcal{M}):

$$\phi(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & & a \\ 1 & 0 & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & 1 & 0 & \end{bmatrix}, \quad (64)$$

which is again a special case of (49). The boundary condition $x^n = a$ is represented by the weighted edge from x^{n-1} to x^0 .

D. Diagonalization Properties

To determine the diagonalization properties of \mathcal{F} in (60), we need to calculate the coordinate form $\phi(h)$ of filters $h \in \mathcal{A}$ (see Theorem 5).

We consider first $h = x^\ell$. To compute $\phi(h)$, we determine how h operates on the basis b of \mathcal{M} . We get, for $0 \leq k < n$,

$$x^\ell \cdot x^k = \begin{cases} x^{\ell+k}, & \ell+k < n \\ ax^{\ell+k-n}, & \ell+k \geq n \end{cases}.$$

Thus, $\phi(h)$ has the entry 1 at positions (i, j) with $i - j = \ell$, the entry a at positions (i, j) with $i - j = \ell - n$, and zero entries else ($0 \leq i, j < n$). The special case $\ell = 1$ yields the shift matrix (64) for $a = 1$, also called cyclic shift. In the general case $h = \sum h_\ell x^\ell$,

$$\phi(h) = \phi\left(\sum h_\ell x^\ell\right) = \sum h_\ell \phi(x^\ell) \quad (65)$$

is the generic matrix diagonalized by \mathcal{F} in (60) and given by

$$\phi(h) = \begin{bmatrix} h_0 & ah_{n-1} & ah_{n-2} & \dots & ah_1 \\ h_1 & h_0 & ah_{n-1} & \dots & ah_2 \\ h_2 & h_1 & h_0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & ah_{n-1} \\ h_{n-1} & \dots & h_2 & h_1 & h_0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (66)$$

Further, by Theorem 5,

$$\mathcal{F} \cdot \phi(h) \cdot \mathcal{F}^{-1} = \text{diag}(h(\alpha_0), \dots, h(\alpha_{n-1})),$$

where the α_k are the zeros of $x^n - a$ given by (58). The most prominent special case is obtained for $h = x$ and $a = 1$, and shows that the DFT diagonalizes the cyclic shift.

All entries in $\phi(h)$ above the main diagonal are due to the signal extension. Matrices with this structure are sometimes called a -circulant (e.g., [70]). For $a = 1$, $\phi(h)$ is an ordinary circulant matrix, which is diagonalized by $\mathcal{F} = \text{DFT}_n$. The structure of the matrix $\phi(h)$ reflects the choice of basis b in the algebra \mathcal{A} , namely, $\phi(h)$ is a sum of weighted k -fold time shifts as seen from (65).

E. Convolution Theorem

Filtering in the signal space \mathcal{M} is the multiplication of two polynomials $s \in \mathcal{M}$ (the signal) and $h \in \mathcal{A}$ (the filter) modulo $x^n - a$. Using Theorem 6, we obtain the following known convolution theorem, where \mathcal{F} is any matrix in (60):

$$\begin{aligned} h \cdot s \bmod (x^n - a) &\Leftrightarrow \phi(h) \cdot \mathbf{s} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (D\mathcal{F})^{-1}((\mathcal{F}\mathbf{h}) \odot (\mathcal{F}\mathbf{s})), \end{aligned}$$

where, as usual, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{s} are the coefficient vectors of h, s with respect to the common basis b of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{M} , respectively.

F. Unitary Transform

The matrix DFT_n diagonalizes the matrix $\phi(x)$, which is unitary and has pairwise distinct eigenvalues. Thus, a diagonal matrix D exists such that $D \text{DFT}_n$ is unitary. It is well-known (and easily computable) that $D = 1/\sqrt{n} \text{I}_n$. Since the scaling is from the left, and using Theorem 4, it follows that the unitary DFT is also a Fourier transform for $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - 1)$. Similarly, for all generalized DFTs of types $t \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ (see Section VI-B),

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \text{DFT}_n \quad (67)$$

is a unitary matrix, and is a Fourier transform for the same signal model as its non-unitary counterpart.

G. Real Signal Model: RDFTs and DHTs

One question that arises is how to include the real versions of the DFT, in particular, the real discrete Fourier transform (RDFT) and the discrete Hartley transform (DHT), in the algebraic theory of signal processing. In other words, for which signal models are the RDFT and the DHT Fourier transforms? It turns out that different signal models can be associated to these transforms, which give rise to different interpretations. In this paper we discuss two interpretations. The first interpretation, in this section, identifies these transforms according to their name as *real* DFTs, i.e., as Fourier transforms for the real analogue of the finite time model. The second interpretation identifies them as Fourier transforms for a particular choice of finite space model and is discussed in Section XI.

The algebraic interpretation of the DHT as real DFT in this section is equivalent to recognizing the DHT as a special case of an ADFT (algebraic discrete Fourier transform), a general concept introduced in [8], [71] and rediscovered (using a different name) in [72] to map the DFT and its algorithms into a basefield smaller than \mathbb{C} , i.e., a basefield in which the n th roots of unity are not available. Using this method, DHT

algorithms are readily obtained from their DFT counterparts [73], [72].

Real signal model. To derive the real DFTs, we start with the finite time model (see Section VI-A), but restrict it to *real* signals $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\Phi : \mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum_{0 \leq k < n} s_k x^k \in \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}[x]/(x^n - 1). \quad (68)$$

This model has the same visualization as its complex counterpart and thus imposes the same structure. This implies that filtering is defined as in the complex case but restricted to real filters.

Spectrum and Fourier transform. The difference arises when computing the spectrum. Since only real numbers are available, and the roots of $x^n - 1$ are complex, \mathcal{M} cannot be decomposed into one-dimensional irreducible modules. In algebraic terms, \mathbb{R} is not a *splitting field* for the \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} . Over \mathbb{R} , the irreducible factors of $x^n - 1$ are polynomials of degree 1 or 2. Namely, if $\omega_n^k, \omega_n^{n-k}$ are conjugated complex roots of $x^n - 1$, i.e., $k \neq 0, n/2$, then

$$(x - \omega_n^k)(x - \omega_n^{n-k}) = x^2 - \cos(2k\pi/n)x + 1$$

is irreducible over \mathbb{R} . In other words, if

$$\mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \omega_n^0), \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \omega_n^1), \dots, \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \omega_n^{n-1})$$

is the spectrum of the complex finite time model, then the spectrum of the real finite time model consists of one or two irreducible modules of dimension 1:

$$\mathbb{R}[x]/(x - 1) \text{ and } \mathbb{R}[x]/(x + 1) = \mathbb{R}[x]/(x - \omega_n^{n/2}), \quad (n \text{ even}),$$

and the remaining spectral components are of dimension 2:

$$\mathbb{R}[x]/(x^2 - 2\cos(2k\pi/n)x + 1), \quad 1 \leq k < n/2.$$

Each of these spectral components affords a two-dimensional representation ϕ_k ; if h is a filter, then $\phi_k(h)$ is the frequency response at frequency k . The real spectrum is obtained from the complex spectrum by *fusing* every complex spectral component with its conjugate counterpart. In the two-dimensional spectral components any real basis can be chosen. Thus, any *real* matrix of the form

$$\mathcal{F} = X \cdot \text{DFT}_n \quad (69)$$

with an invertible matrix X of the x-shaped form

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & * & & & * \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & * & & & * \end{bmatrix}, \quad (70)$$

is a Fourier transform for the real finite time model. The matrix X consists of one 1×1 block at entry with row and column indices 0 and one 1×1 block at entry with row and column indices $n/2$ (if n is even). The remaining 2×2 blocks in (70) occur at index sets $(k, n-k) \times (k, n-k)$, for $1 \leq k < n/2$; $k, n-k$ are the indices of conjugate pairs of complex spectral components of $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - 1)$. Examples of such transforms

include the real discrete Fourier transform (RDFT) and the discrete Hartley transform (DHT) [74], defined respectively by

$$\text{RDFT}_n = [r_{k\ell}]_{0 \leq k, \ell < n},$$

with

$$r_{k\ell} = \begin{cases} \cos \frac{2\pi k\ell}{n}, & 0 \leq k \leq n/2, \\ -\sin \frac{2\pi k\ell}{n}, & n/2 < k < n. \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DHT}_n &= [\cos \frac{2k\ell\pi}{n} + \sin \frac{2k\ell\pi}{n}]_{0 \leq k, \ell, n} \\ &= [\cos \frac{2k\ell\pi}{n}]_{0 \leq k, \ell, n} \end{aligned}$$

Compare this to the DFT, defined as

$$\text{DFT}_n = [\cos \frac{2k\ell\pi}{n} - j \sin \frac{2k\ell\pi}{n}]_{0 \leq k, \ell, n}.$$

The RDFT replaces two conjugate base vectors with indices $k, n-k$ in the DFT with real and imaginary part as ($j = \sqrt{-1}$)

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -j & j \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a + bj \\ a - bj \end{pmatrix}, \quad (71)$$

which determines the matrix $X = X^{\text{RDFT}}$. Similarly, the DHT replaces two conjugate base vectors by the difference and sum of their real and imaginary part as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a - b \\ a + b \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + j & 1 - j \\ 1 - j & 1 + j \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a + bj \\ a - bj \end{pmatrix}, \quad (72)$$

which again determines $X = X^{\text{DHT}}$.

We can now represent the set of all Fourier transforms for the real finite time model more conveniently by the set of all matrices

$$\mathcal{F} = X \cdot \text{RDFT}_n, \quad (73)$$

where X is any real, invertible matrix of the shape in (70). For example,

$$\text{DHT}_n = X^{\text{DHT}}(X^{\text{RDFT}})^{-1} \text{RDFT}_n.$$

The reader should compare (73) to the set of all Fourier transforms in Theorem 4. There, the spectrum consisted only of one-dimensional spectral components; thus, the degree of freedom in choosing a basis in the spectrum yielded a diagonal matrix. Here, two-dimensional modules occur; thus, the degree of freedom in choosing bases is larger and leads to the x-shaped matrix X .

Both transforms, the RDFT and the DHT, are special among the class of all possible real DFTs. The RDFT appears to have the lowest arithmetic complexity²⁴ and the DHT is uniquely determined (up to a diagonal matrix D with diagonal entries 1 or -1) by being equal to its inverse.

In Section VI-B we discussed 4 types of DFTs and identified them as Fourier transforms (scaled or unscaled polynomial transforms) for $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - 1)$ and $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n + 1)$. Using the above methods (71) and (72), each of these 4 types has a corresponding “RDFT” and “DHT,” which we denote accordingly with $\text{RDFT-1}, \dots, \text{RDFT-4}$, and with $\text{DHT-1}, \dots, \text{DHT-4}$, respectively. By construction, the RDFT and the DHT of type t , $t \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, are Fourier transforms for the real

²⁴We do not have a proof. The assertion is based on the best known algorithms.

counterpart of the complex signal model for the DFT of type t . For completeness, we provide the definitions for types 2–4:

$$\text{RDFT-t} = [r_{k\ell}]_{0 \leq k, \ell < n},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} t=2: \quad r_{k\ell} &= \begin{cases} \cos \frac{2\pi k(\ell+1/2)}{n}, & 0 \leq k \leq (n-1)/2, \\ -\sin \frac{2\pi k(\ell+1/2)}{n}, & (n-1)/2 < k < n. \end{cases} \\ t=3: \quad r_{k\ell} &= \begin{cases} \cos \frac{2\pi(k+1/2)\ell}{n}, & 0 \leq k \leq (n-1)/2, \\ -\sin \frac{2\pi(k+1/2)\ell}{n}, & (n-1)/2 < k < n. \end{cases} \\ t=4: \quad r_{k\ell} &= \begin{cases} \cos \frac{2\pi(k+1/2)(\ell+1/2)}{n}, & 0 \leq k \leq n/2 - 1, \\ -\sin \frac{2\pi(k+1/2)(\ell+1/2)}{n}, & n/2 - 1 < k < n. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

And,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DHT-2}_n &= [\cos \frac{2k(\ell+1/2)\pi}{n}]_{0 \leq k, \ell, n}, \\ \text{DHT-3}_n &= [\cos \frac{2(k+1/2)\ell\pi}{n}]_{0 \leq k, \ell, n}, \\ \text{DHT-4}_n &= [\cos \frac{2(k+1/2)(\ell+1/2)\pi}{n}]_{0 \leq k, \ell, n}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, for $t \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, we have relations of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RDFT-t} &= X^{(t)} \text{DFT-t}_n, \\ \text{DHT-t} &= X^{(t)'} \text{DFT-t}_n, \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

where $X^{(t)}$, and $X^{(t)'}$ are of the form (70) for $t = 1, 2$, and of the form

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} * & & & * \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \\ * & & & * \end{bmatrix} \quad (75)$$

for $t = 3, 4$.

The four transforms DHT-t were introduced (in their orthogonal form) in [75], [76], [77], [78], where they were called discrete W transforms (DWTs) of type 1–4. Our above suggestion to renaming these transforms to DHTs of type 1–4 is motivated by 1) the name DHT (for type 1) is much more commonly used than DWT, and the types 2–4 are just variants; and 2) even though the DHT and the DWT were introduced at the about the same time ([74] and [78]), the continuous counterpart was introduced by Hartley already in 1942 [79].

Diagonalization properties. The above discussion gives immediately the “diagonalization” properties of the RDFT and DHT. We use double quotes, since these properties are not actually a diagonalization. If $h \in \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}[x]/(x^n - 1)$ is any filter, then $\phi(h)$ is a real circulant matrix, i.e., of the form (66) with $a = 1$. Then

$$\text{RDFT}_n \phi(h) \text{RDFT}_n^{-1} = X, \quad (76)$$

where X is real and of the form (70). The same holds, if we replace RDFT by any other real DFT including the DHT. Of course, the RDFT and DHT have also true diagonalization properties (as every invertible matrix), but they do not arise

from their interpretation in this section, but from their different interpretation in Section XI.

Further, (76) generalizes to the RDFTs and DHTs of types 2–4. For type 3 and 4, X in (76) has the form (75).

Similarly, convolution theorems can be derived. Also, the above discussion can be easily generalized to every real signal model for the case of a generic monomial signal extension $\mathbb{R}[x]/(x^n - a)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Orthogonal transform. The orthogonal version of the RDFTs and DHTs of types 1–4 follows directly from (67) and (74), namely, for $t \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$,

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} D \cdot \text{RDFT-t}_n \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}} \cdot \text{DHT-t}_n$$

are orthogonal, where

$$D = \begin{cases} \text{diag}(\sqrt{2}, 1, \dots, 1, \sqrt{2}, 1, \dots, 1), & t = 1, 2, \text{ } n \text{ even}, \\ \text{diag}(\sqrt{2}, 1, \dots, 1), & t = 1, 2, \text{ } n \text{ odd}, \\ I_n, & t = 3, 4, \text{ } n \text{ even} \\ \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, \sqrt{2}, 1, \dots, 1), & t = 3, 4, \text{ } n \text{ odd}. \end{cases}$$

H. Rational Signal Model

At this point it is interesting to extend the above discussion of real DFTs by further reducing the base field from \mathbb{R} to the field of rational numbers \mathbb{Q} .

Rational signal model. The linear mapping for the signal model is now

$$\Phi : \mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum_{0 \leq k < n} s_k x^k \in \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^n - 1). \quad (77)$$

As in the real case before, the model imposes the same structure (visualization, notion of filtering) as its complex counterpart with the restriction that signals and filters have coefficients in \mathbb{Q} .

Spectrum and Fourier transform. Reducing the basefield in the real case above had the effect of fusing spectral components. This effect is even more pronounced in the rational case as we show next.

We restrict ourselves to a 2-power size $n = 2^k$. Then, $x^n - 1$ decomposes over \mathbb{Q} into irreducible factors as

$$x^{2^k} - 1 = (x - 1)(x + 1)(x^2 + 1) \cdots (x^{2^{k-1}} + 1).$$

These factors determine the spectrum of the rational signal model Φ ; namely, the spectral components are

$$\mathbb{C}[x]/(x - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^{2^i} + 1), \quad 0 \leq i < k.$$

Clearly, there is now a large degree of freedom in choosing bases in the spectral components, i.e., in defining a Fourier transform \mathcal{F} . In the following, we assume the standard monomial basis in each spectral component, and derive \mathcal{F} recursively. We will call this transform QDFT_{2^k} . For $k = 1$, $x^2 - 1$ decomposes over \mathbb{Q} as over \mathbb{C} ,

$$\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^2 - 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - 1) \oplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x + 1).$$

Thus, a Fourier transform is $\text{QDFT}_2 = \text{DFT}_2$.

For an arbitrary size 2^{k+1} , we use the CRT to get the partial decomposition

$$\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^{2^{k+1}} - 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^{2^k} - 1) \oplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^{2^k} + 1). \quad (78)$$

To compute the base change matrix for (78), we determine the coordinate vector of each basis elements x^ℓ of the left-hand side in (78) w.r.t. the basis on the right-hand side. These coordinate vectors are the columns of the base change matrix. Namely, for $0 \leq \ell < 2^k$,

$$\begin{aligned} x^\ell &\equiv x^\ell \pmod{x^{2^k} - 1}, \\ x^\ell &\equiv x^\ell \pmod{x^{2^k} + 1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x^{2^k + \ell} &\equiv x^\ell \pmod{x^{2^k} - 1}, \\ x^{2^k + \ell} &\equiv -x^\ell \pmod{x^{2^k} + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

The resulting matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{2^k} & \mathbf{I}_{2^k} \\ \mathbf{I}_{2^k} & -\mathbf{I}_{2^k} \end{bmatrix} = \text{DFT}_2 \otimes \mathbf{I}_{2^k}.$$

The left side in (78) is decomposed over \mathbb{Q} by $\text{QDFT}_{2^{k+1}}$, the right side is decomposed recursively by $\text{QDFT}_{2^k} \oplus \mathbf{I}_{2^k}$ (since $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^{2^k} + 1)$ is irreducible). Thus, the recursion for QDFT is

$$\text{QDFT}_{2^{k+1}} = (\text{QDFT}_{2^k} \oplus \mathbf{I}_{2^k})(\text{DFT}_2 \otimes \mathbf{I}_{2^k}). \quad (79)$$

In summary, QDFT_{2^k} is a Fourier transform for the rational finite time model with Φ in (77), with the monomial basis chosen in each spectral component. Note that QDFT_n is, up to a permutation of the columns, equal to the rationalized Haar transform (RHT). The RHT is recursively defined as (79), but with a permutation multiplied from the right. This implies that the RHT could be seen as a Fourier transform for a rational signal model with Φ' as linear mapping, which arises from Φ by permuting the monomial basis. However, we do not pursue further this interpretation here since this signal model and transform Φ' are better characterized in the context of wavelets and filterbanks.

Since, the degree of freedom in choosing a Fourier transform for the rational signal model with Φ in (77) is in the choice of bases in the spectrum, *all* its Fourier transforms are given by rational matrices of the form

$$B \cdot \text{QDFT}_{2^k},$$

where B is rational and a direct sum of invertible matrices of increasing block sizes $1, 1, 2, 4, \dots, 2^{k-1}$.

Diagonalization properties. If $h \in \mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^n - 1)$ is a rational filter, i.e., $\phi(h)$ is a rational circulant matrix, then

$$\text{QDFT}_n \phi(h) \text{QDFT}_n^{-1} = B,$$

where B has the same block structure as above.

VII. MODELING SPACE: THE C-TRANSFORM

Signal models realized by the infinite and finite z -transforms are *time* models; the signal samples are along an oriented time axis, i.e., with intrinsic direction (from past to future). Algebraically, this direction is described by the operator q and its action \diamond on the time-marks t_n (see (51) and Figure 11).

In many applications, however, the signal is not sampled along time, but along *space*. Important examples are sequences of pixels in images. Space has no intrinsic direction. In the absence of further conditions, space is inherently *symmetric*. Thus, a model based on the z -transform is not appropriate.

We will now develop a *space model* for signals following the exact same steps as in Section V. However, the starting point will be different: a shift operation defined to model space. It is worth emphasizing this seemingly small but crucial change, since the shift in classical signal processing has one and only one meaning (51).

We will see that the realization of this model in terms of ordinary multiplication leads naturally to the Chebyshev polynomials and the C -transform that we will introduce. In the following section, we will then show that the 16 discrete trigonometric transforms (DTT) arise from this model in the same way as the DFT arises from the z -transform.

A. Building the Signal Model

Definition of the shift. Analogously to Section V-A, we consider discrete signals $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, i.e., we consider the vector space $V = \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. We define now *space* marks t_n and an appropriate *space* shift operator q and its operation \diamond on the space marks. As mentioned above, q should operate symmetrically. We adopt the definition is

$$\text{space model: } q \diamond t_n = (t_{n+1} + t_{n-1})/2 \quad (80)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Figure 14 shows a graphical representation of the space shift and should be compared to Figure 11.




Fig. 14. The space shift $q \diamond t_n$.

As in Section V-A, we proceed by extending the operator domain from q to k -fold shift operators q_k . A natural definition of the k -fold space shift is

$$q_k \diamond t_n = (t_{n+k} + t_{n-k})/2, \quad (81)$$

since t_{n+k} and t_{n-k} are those space marks at distance k from t_n .

Here we have the first interesting difference with respect to the time model derivation, since clearly $q_k \neq q^k$. Furthermore, (81) implies $q_k = q_{-k}$; hence, it is sufficient to consider only shift operators q_k with $k \geq 0$. This agrees with our intuition: q operates symmetrically on t_n , hence there are no negative k -fold space shifts. Thus, the natural representation of a filter is $\sum_{k \geq 0} h_k q_k$. The following lemma shows that the q_k are

given by the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind T_k (see Appendix III) in the variable q .

Lemma 9 The k -fold space shift operator is given by $q_k = T_k(q)$.

Proof: Induction on k . By definition $q_0 = 1$, and $q_1 = q$. Also by definition, $q_{k+1} \diamond t_n = (t_{n+k+1} + t_{n-k-1})/2 = (t_{n+k+1} + t_{n+k-1} + t_{n-k+1} + t_{n-k-1})/2 - (t_{n+k-1} + t_{n-k+1})/2 = 2q \diamond (t_{n+k} + t_{n-k})/2 - (t_{n+k-1} + t_{n-k+1})/2 = (2qq_k - q_{k-1}) \diamond t_n$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. From the induction hypothesis, $q_k = T_k(q)$, $q_{k-1} = T_{k-1}(q)$, and thus, using the recurrence of the Chebyshev polynomials introduced below in (149), $q_{k+1} = T_{k+1}(q)$, as desired. ■

The Chebyshev polynomials, which just surprisingly emerged as the k -fold space shift operator q_k , will play a central role in the definition of the space model. For this reason, we provide the necessary background on Chebyshev polynomials in Appendix III, which we encourage the reader to briefly review at this point.

Linear extension. To construct a linear signal model we extend by linearity the operation of q to the entire set $\mathcal{M} = \{s = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} s_n t_n\}$, and extend linearly the operator domain to $\mathcal{A} = \{h = \sum_{k \geq 0} h_k T_k(q)\}$. Note that we used the result of Lemma 9 in \mathcal{A} .

Realization. Analogous to Section V-A, we determine a “realization” of the model introduced in the previous section. We set in (80) $q = x$, $\diamond = \cdot$, and determine polynomials C_n that replace the space marks t_n in (80), i.e., that satisfy

$$x \cdot C_n = (C_{n+1} + C_{n-1})/2. \quad (82)$$

Since (82) is equivalent to (149) (in Appendix III), the solution is given by a sequence of Chebyshev polynomials.

We immediately notice differences with respect to the corresponding derivation in Section V-A. These differences are intrinsic to the space model:

- Equation (82) is a three-term recurrence for the space marks, whereas (52) is a two-term recurrence for the time marks.
- Only the C_n , $n \geq 0$, are linearly independent; the C_n , $n < 0$, are polynomials in x and can thus be expressed as linear combinations of $\{C_n \mid n \geq 0\}$. In other words, the realization of the space model introduces a starting point in space, given by $C_0 = 1$. Fixing C_1 determines the left boundary condition and the left signal extension.
- As a consequence, even after normalizing $C_0 = 1$, the sequence C_n of Chebyshev polynomials is not uniquely determined. The degree of freedom is given by the choice of C_1 as a polynomial of degree 1.
- Again, we note that in the time model, a k -fold shift operator is given by x^k :

$$x^k \cdot x^n = x^{n+k},$$

in contrast to the space model, where, by Lemma 9, the k -fold shift operator is given by $T_k(x)$, independent of C (compare with Lemma 46 iv)):

$$T_k \cdot C_n = (C_{n+k} + C_{n-k})/2. \quad (83)$$

As a result of this discussion, we obtain the spaces $\mathcal{A} = \{h = \sum_{k \geq 0} h_k T_k\}$ and $\mathcal{M} = \{s = \sum_{n \geq 0} s_n C_n\}$, i.e., the signal model that we obtain later will be only for right-sided sequences.

Table V shows the correspondence between abstract and realized concepts.

To ensure convergence, we would like to require as before $\mathbf{h} \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ and $\mathbf{s} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. However, to prove convergence we have first to choose proper boundary conditions, i.e., we have to choose the proper Chebyshev polynomials C . We analyze the boundary conditions in the next paragraph. This discussion has no counterpart in the time model derivation in Section V.

Left boundary condition and left signal extension. The degree of freedom for choosing a Chebyshev sequence C , normalized by $C_0 = 1$, is given by the choice of C_1 , or, equivalently, by the choice of C_{-1} , since the entire sequence is then obtained by applying the Chebyshev recursion (149) in both directions (see Lemma 46, i)). Fixing either C_1 or C_{-1} is equivalent to choosing a *left boundary condition (b.c.)* for the signal $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, s_1, \dots)$. For example, setting $C_1 = x$ implies $C_{-1} = x$, and thus $C_{-1} = C_1$, which imposes for the signal \mathbf{s} the left b.c. $s_{-1} = s_1$. Using Table XVI, the corresponding sequence is $C = T$.

To determine the left b.c. in the general case, we set $C_0 = 1$ and $C_1 = ax + b$, $a \neq 0$ (to satisfy $\deg(C_1) = 1$). Then, by applying (149) backwards, we get

$$C_{-1} = 2x - (ax + b) = \frac{2-a}{a}C_1 - \frac{2b}{a}C_0. \quad (84)$$

Since C_{-1} is of degree not larger than 1, every polynomial C_{-n} , $n > 0$, obtained by the recursion (149), is of degree not larger than n , and thus a linear combination of the polynomials C_0, \dots, C_n ,

$$C_{-n} = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} \beta_i \cdot C_i, \quad n > 0, \quad (85)$$

which is the *left signal extension* associated with the sequence C . On the other hand, by comparing the degrees of freedom, it is obvious that not every signal extension can be obtained by choosing a suitable b.c. Thus,

$$(C \iff \text{left b.c.}) \implies \text{left signal extension.}$$

For a generic left b.c., the left signal extension (85) has no simple structure; in particular, it is not monomial. Similar to Section VI-A, we determine now those left b.c. that yield a *monomial* left signal extension (85). The answer is provided in the following lemma.

Lemma 10 (Monomial left signal extension) Let $C = (C_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z})$ be a sequence of Chebyshev polynomials with $C_0 = 1$ and $\deg(C_1) = 1$. Then the left signal extension associated with C is monomial, i.e., every C_k , $k < 0$, is a multiple of a C_n , $n \geq 0$, if and only if $C \in \{T, U, V, W\}$. The corresponding left b.c.'s are given by $C_{-1} = C_1$, $C_{-1} = 0$, $C_{-1} = C_0$, and $C_{-1} = -C_0$, respectively.

Proof: If $C \in \{T, U, V, W\}$, then the assertion holds as shown in the “symmetry” column of Table XVI. It remains to show the converse. We start with the generic left b.c. in (84).

TABLE V
REALIZATION OF THE ABSTRACT SPACE MODEL.

| concept | abstract | realized |
|--------------------------|---|--|
| shift operator | q | $T_1(x) = x$ |
| shift operation | \diamond | \cdot |
| space mark | t_n | C_n |
| k -fold shift operator | $q_k = T_k(q)$ | $T_k(x)$ |
| space shift | $q \diamond t_n = \frac{1}{2}(t_{n+1} + t_{n-1})$ | $x \cdot C_n = \frac{1}{2}(C_{n+1} + C_{n-1})$ |
| signal | $\sum s_n t_n$ | $\sum s_n C_n(x)$ |
| filter | $\sum h_k T_k(q)$ | $\sum h_k T_k(x)$ |

Because the signal extension associated with C is monomial, one of the two summands in (84) has to vanish.

Case 1: C_{-1} is a multiple of C_0 , i.e., constant. It follows $a = 2$, $C_1 = 2x + b$, $C_{-1} = -b$, $C_{-2} = -2bx - 1$. Now, either C_{-2} is constant, i.e., $b = 0$, which implies $C = U$, or C_{-2} is a multiple of C_1 , which implies $b = \pm 1$, or $C \in \{V, W\}$.

Case 2: C_{-1} is a multiple of C_1 . It follows $b = 0$, $C_1 = ax$, $C_2 = 2ax^2 - 1$, $C_{-1} = (2 - a)x$, $a \neq 2$, and $C_{-2} = 2(2 - a)x^2 - 1$. Since C_{-2} has to be a multiple of C_2 , we get $a = 1$ and thus $C = T$. This completes the proof. ■

The four boundary conditions derived in Lemma 10 are the discrete versions of the so-called Dirichlet b.c. (“zero value”) and von-Neumann (“zero slope”), e.g., [80]. In each case, the symmetry point is either a “whole” sample point, or a “half” sample point, i.e., is located between two sample points.

After we identified the suitable b.c.’s, we can show that filtering is well-defined (i.e., converges). We assume $\mathbf{s} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and $\mathbf{h} \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ and consider the example $C = T$. Using the power form of T in (152) in Appendix III,

$$\begin{aligned}
 h \cdot s &= \sum_{n \geq 0} h_n T_n \cdot \sum_{n \geq 0} s_n T_n \\
 &= (h_0 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{h_n}{2}(u^n + u^{-n})) \\
 &\quad (s_0 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{s_n}{2}(u^n + u^{-n})),
 \end{aligned}$$

which is the ordinary convolution and exists, since the coordinate sequences are in $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, respectively. The resulting Laurent series is again symmetric of the form

$$t = (t_0 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{t_n}{2}(u^n + u^{-n})),$$

and thus the result is $t = \sum_{n \geq 0} t_n T_n$ and has a coefficient sequence $\mathbf{t} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$.

Similar computations confirm convergence for $C = U, V, W$. Note that the existence of the power form and thus the monomial signal extension is crucial for this proof.

Signal model: C -transform. Let $(C_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N})$, $C \in \{T, U, V, W\}$, be a sequence of Chebyshev polynomials. We have constructed a signal model for $V = \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, which we call the C -transform, given by

$$\Phi : \mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 0} s_n C_n.$$

The module is given by $\mathcal{M} = \Phi(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ and, independent of the polynomials C , the algebra consists of all series $\mathcal{A} = \{\sum_{k \geq 0} h_k T_k\}$ with coefficients $\mathbf{h} \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N})$.

We use C as a generic notation, but will replace it by either T, U, V , or W , when appropriate, and, accordingly, refer to the T -, U -, V -, or W -transform.

B. Spectrum and Fourier Transform: DSFT

Again, we consider the case $C = T$. First we identify the spectrum, i.e., the irreducible modules. Straightforward computation shows that each series

$$E_\omega(x) = s_0/2 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \cos n\omega T_n(x) \quad (86)$$

is an eigenvector of the shift operator x and thus for all filters in \mathcal{A} . Namely, $x E_\omega(x) = \cos \omega E_\omega(x)$, or, more general,

$$H(x) E_\omega(x) = H(\cos \omega) E_\omega(x). \quad (87)$$

As in the time case, the E_ω are not in $\Phi(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}))$, but only in $\Phi(\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}))$; thus, the \mathcal{A} -modules \mathcal{M}_ω are not submodules of \mathcal{M} .

Another way of obtaining the E_ω is to use again the power form (152) of T . Namely, every $s = S(x) \in \mathcal{M}$ (and every $h = H(x) \in \mathcal{A}$) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(x) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} s_n T_n(x) = s_0 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{s_n}{2}(u^n + u^{-n}), \\
 x &= \frac{u^{-1} + u}{2},
 \end{aligned}$$

which has the form of a z -transform and shows that E_ω in (86) is the sum of two conjugate spectral components (23) (in the variable u) in the time case, and, as such, is invariant under u and thus under $x = (u^{-1} + u)/2$.

Since $u \mapsto e^{-j\omega}$ implies $x = (u^{-1} + u)/2 \mapsto \cos \omega$, we get the following Fourier transform associated to the T -transform.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta : \quad \mathcal{M} &\rightarrow (\bigoplus_{\omega \in [0, \pi]} \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^{[0, \pi]} \\
 s = S(x) &\mapsto S(\cos \omega)_{\omega \in [0, \pi]} = \omega \mapsto S(\cos \omega).
 \end{aligned}$$

The above derivation also shows the existence of this Fourier transform via the existence of the DTFT. The spectrum of s can be viewed alternatively as an even function on the circle, since $S(\cos \omega) = S(\cos(-\omega))$, or as a function on the half circle.

Further, every spectral component \mathcal{M}_ω affords a one-dimensional irreducible representation ϕ_ω of \mathcal{A} . Namely, from (87),

$$\phi_\omega(H(x)) = H(\cos \omega).$$

The collection, for $\omega \in [0, \pi]$,

$$(\phi_\omega(H(x)))_\omega = (H(\cos \omega))_\omega = \omega \mapsto H(\cos \omega)$$

is the frequency response of the filter $h = H(x)$.

Similar derivations provide the Fourier transforms for the cases $C = U, V, W$, however the existence for $\mathbf{s} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ is not as easily guaranteed. We refer to books on general orthogonal series, for example [81], [60].

Independent of C , we call this Fourier transform, in analogy to the DTFT, the discrete-space Fourier transform (DSFT).

C. Visualization

We visualize the space model by a graph using Definition 2. However, in contrast to before, there is a difference between the graph suggested by the abstract model and its realization. Namely, from Figure 14, the graph for the space model should look like Figure 15. As in Figure 10, the edges represent the space shift (we drop the common weight factor $1/2$ of all edges).




Fig. 15. The abstract space model (graphically).

However, due to the boundary conditions that we needed to introduce in the realization, the graph of the realized model looks different and is in particular one-sided, i.e., has a left border. Corresponding to the four types of b.c.'s that lead to a monomial signal extension (Lemma 10), we get the four graphs in Figure 16.




Fig. 16. Visualization of the space models given by the C -transforms for $C \in \{T, U, V, W\}$.

For example, in the topmost graph, the extra arrow from T_0 to T_1 arises from $T_{-1} = T_1$ and the arrow that would go from T_0 to T_{-1} . In the second graph, we could have omitted the two arrows from U_{-1} to U_0 , since their weights add up to zero, which is also reflected by $U_{-1} = 0$. Including U_{-1} gives a better understanding of the signal extension, since, following the arrows, each graph not only represents the boundary condition, but also the entire left signal extension.

We will show in Section X that it is possible to choose a different realization that has Figure 15 as visualization; however, the realization in Section X will raise a different difficulty that we will discuss there.

VIII. FINITE C-TRANSFORM AND DTTs

In Section VI, we derived the finite z -transform, the finite signal model for time, by choosing boundary conditions and constructing a polynomial algebra of the form $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ with basis $b = (1, x, \dots, x^{n-1})$. By requiring a monomial signal extension, we obtained $p(x) = x^n - a$ and thus the DFT (and its variants) as the associated Fourier transform for the regular module $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A}$.

Analogously, we derive now the *finite* versions of the C -transform. By identifying those boundary conditions that lead to a monomial signal extension, we obtain 16 specific polynomial algebras with bases, whose Fourier transforms are precisely the 16 types of discrete trigonometric transforms (DTTs) comprising 8 discrete cosine transforms (DCTs) and 8 discrete sine transforms (DSTs). Using the general results in Section III, we then explain DTT domain filtering and derive the DTT's diagonalization properties and convolution theorems. We also explain why the DTTs are almost orthogonal and many other of their properties. In particular, we show that the associated signal models reveal close relationships between certain DTTs, which allow us to divide them into four groups of four each. DTTs within the same group can be translated into each other at the expense of $O(n)$ operations. An even stronger relationship exists between “dual” DTTs, a notion that we will define.

The derivation follows the same structure as Section VI. However, as in the infinite cases (see Sections V and VII), there will be important inherent differences between the finite time model and the finite space model.

A. Building the Signal Model

Shift, linear extension, realization. We consider a finite number of space marks t_0, \dots, t_{n-1} and adopt the space shift operator q in Figure 14 and its realization by setting $q = x$, and $t_k = C_k$ (a generic sequence of Chebyshev polynomials), as derived in Section VII-A. These definitions will need to be complemented by appropriate boundary conditions, as we discuss next.

Let $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be a finite sampled signal and C a sequence of Chebyshev polynomials. As in Section VI-A, a straightforward realization seems to lead to the set of all polynomials

$$\sum_{0 \leq k < n} s_k C_k.$$

The set of these sums is the vector space $\mathbb{C}_n[x]$ (with basis polynomials C_k); however, this space is not closed under multiplication by the shift operator x , and thus it is not a module, which means filtering is not well-defined. In particular, the problem is with

$$x \cdot C_{n-1} = (C_{n-2} + C_n)/2 \notin \mathbb{C}_n[x], \quad (88)$$

since $C_n \notin \mathbb{C}_n[x]$. Note that, in contrast to (55), multiplying the first space mark by $T_{-1} = T_1 = x$ yields

$$x \cdot C_0 = (C_{-1} + C_1)/2 \in \mathbb{C}_n[x],$$

since, by (84), the choice of C already implies a left b.c. So the remaining task is to determine the proper right boundary conditions.

Boundary condition and signal extension. To solve the problem in (88), we introduce an equation

$$C_n = r = \sum_{0 \leq k < n} \beta_k C_k, \quad \text{or} \quad C_n - r = 0, \quad (89)$$

which is equivalent to the right b.c.

$$s_n = \sum_{0 \leq k < n} \beta_k s_k.$$

As a consequence of (89), using the k -fold space shift operator T_k (see Lemma 9), we get the series of equations

$$T_k \cdot (C_n - r) = T_k \cdot 0 = 0, \quad k \geq 0,$$

which determine the entire right signal extension. It is obtained by applying Lemma 46, iv) and reducing C_{n+k} modulo $(C_n - r)$.

Algebraically, the right boundary condition replaces the vector space $\mathbb{C}_n[x]$ (with basis $b = (C_0, \dots, C_{n-1})$) by the polynomial algebra $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(C_n - r)$ (also with basis b), viewed as a regular module, i.e., the algebra is $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}$. The natural basis of \mathcal{A} is given by (T_0, \dots, T_{n-1}) , regardless of the choice of C .

For a general choice of left b.c. (given by the choice of C) and right b.c. (given by the choice of r), the corresponding signal extension has a complicated structure. As in Section VI-A, we identify those b.c. that lead to a simple, i.e., *monomial* signal extension. Lemma 10 gives already the left b.c. for a monomial left signal extension and shows that they are obtained by choosing $C \in \{T, U, V, W\}$. It remains to identify the admissible right b.c. We do this in Lemma 11 and show that, again, there are 4 choices, which give rise to a total number of 16 possibilities—corresponding to the 16 types of DTTs as we will see below.

Lemma 11 (Monomial right signal extension) To assure a monomial left signal extension, let $C \in \{T, U, V, W\}$. The only four right b.c. that yield a monomial signal extension for $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ are $C_n = C_{n-2}$, $C_n = 0$, and $C_n = \pm C_{n-1}$, which implies $p \in \{C_n - C_{n-2}, C_n, C_n \pm C_{n-1}\}$.

Proof: Necessarily, the b.c. has the form $C_n = aC_k$, $0 \neq k < n$. By multiplying by x on both sides, we obtain $C_{n+1} = a(C_{k+1} + C_{k-1}) - C_{n-1}$. We determine under which conditions the three summands on the right reduce to at most one summand.

Case 1: $k \neq n-1$. Then either $a = 0$, or $k = n-2$ and $a = 1$.

Case 2: $k = n-1$. Then $aC_{k+1} = aC_n = a^2C_{n-1}$ and thus $a = \pm 1$.

It remains to show that these four b.c. yield a monomial signal extension, which is done by induction. We omit the details. ■

TABLE VI

PERIOD LENGTHS FOR THE 16 MONOMIAL SIGNAL EXTENSIONS.

| C | $C_n = C_{n-2}$ | $C_n = 0$ | $C_n = C_{n-1}$ | $C_n = -C_{n-1}$ |
|-----|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------|
| T | $2n-2$ | $4n$ | $2n-1$ | $4n-2$ |
| U | $4n$ | $2n+2$ | $4n+2$ | $2n+1$ |
| V | $2n-1$ | $4n+2$ | $2n$ | $4n$ |
| W | $4n-2$ | $2n+1$ | $4n$ | $2n$ |

It is interesting to note that the right b.c.'s in Lemma 11 are the reflections of the left b.c.'s in Lemma 10.

We investigate the structure of the signal extension. The four left signal extensions, corresponding to setting $C = T, U, V, W$, can be displayed (from top to bottom, respectively) as follows, using ‘|’ to denote the boundaries:

$$\underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \dots | \underline{C_n} \ C_{n-1} \ \dots \ C_1 | \\ \dots \underline{-C_n} \ -C_{n-1} | \underline{-C_{n-2}} \ \dots \ -C_0 \ 0 | \\ \dots \underline{C_n} \ C_{n-1} \ \dots \ C_0 | \\ \dots \underline{-C_n} \ -C_{n-1} \ \dots \ -C_0 | \end{array}}_{\text{left signal extension}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} C_0 \ \dots \ C_{n-1} \end{array}}_{\text{signal scope}} \quad (90)$$

We observe that the left signal extension is completely determined by the left b.c., up to the occurrence of C_n (underlined), which is determined by the right b.c. Similarly, we can display the right signal extensions as

$$\underbrace{\begin{array}{c} C_0 \ \dots \ C_{n-1} \end{array}}_{\text{signal scope}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} | \underline{C_{n-2}} \ \dots \ C_0 \ \underline{C_{-1}} | \dots \\ | 0 \ -C_{n-1} \ \dots \ \underline{-C_1} | \underline{-C_0} \ \underline{-C_{-1}} \dots \\ | \underline{C_{n-1}} \ \dots \ C_0 | \underline{C_{-1}} \dots \\ | \underline{-C_{n-1}} \ \dots \ -C_0 | \underline{-C_{-1}} \dots \end{array}}_{\text{right signal extension}} \quad (91)$$

Again, the right signal extension is completely determined by the right b.c., up to the occurrence of C_{-1} (underlined), which is determined by the left b.c. In the literature [68], the four signal extensions in (90) and (91) are sometimes called, from top to bottom: whole point symmetry (WS), whole point antisymmetry (WA), half point symmetry (HS), and half point antisymmetry (HA).

Taken together, the left and right b.c. determine both the left and right signal extension,

left and right b.c. \implies left and right signal extension.

By combining the left and right b.c., we get, in all 16 cases, an eventually periodic signal extension. The period lengths are displayed in Table VI.

We will show a visualization of the signal extensions, similar to Figure 12, after the 16 DTTs have been introduced.

Signal model: finite C -transform. Let C_0, \dots, C_{n-1} , $C \in \{T, U, V, W\}$, be a sequence of Chebyshev polynomials. Further, let $p(x)$ be one of the four choices in Lemma 11. The *finite C -transform* is the signal model $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi)$ for $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ and the bijective linear map Φ defined by

$$\Phi : \mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum_{0 \leq k < n} s_k C_k \in \mathcal{M}.$$

TABLE VII

IDENTITIES AMONG THE FOUR SERIES OF CHEBYSHEV POLYNOMIALS;
 C_n HAS TO BE REPLACED BY T_n, U_n, V_n, W_n TO OBTAIN ROWS 1, 2, 3, 4,
 RESPECTIVELY.

| | $C_n - C_{n-2}$ | C_n | $C_n - C_{n-1}$ | $C_n + C_{n-1}$ |
|-------|---------------------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|
| T_n | $2(x^2 - 1)U_{n-2}$ | T_n | $(x - 1)W_{n-1}$ | $(x + 1)V_{n-1}$ |
| U_n | $2T_n$ | U_n | V_n | W_n |
| V_n | $2(x - 1)W_{n-1}$ | V_n | $2(x - 1)U_{n-1}$ | $2T_n$ |
| W_n | $2(x + 1)V_{n-1}$ | W_n | $2T_n$ | $2(x + 1)U_{n-1}$ |

This definition requires that the module explicitly be stated, since the 16 candidate modules have only 4 choices of bases. As in the infinite case, if C is fixed, we will also refer to the finite T -, U -, V -, and W -transform.

It is important to note that in the algebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}$ of filters, the natural basis is given by the k -fold space shift operators (T_0, \dots, T_{n-1}) , and thus independent of the choice of C , i.e., of the basis (or impulses) C_k in the signal space \mathcal{M} . This is different from the finite z -transform associated with the DFT (see the end of Section VI-A), where both \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{A} had the same basis consisting of polynomials x^k (representing time marks and k -fold time shift operators, respectively).

The above definition of the finite C -transform includes 16 variants, corresponding to the 16 DTTs introduced next.

B. Spectrum and Fourier Transform: DCTs and DSTs

In this section, we derive the Fourier transforms for the 16 types of finite C -transforms defined above and show that they are given by the 16 types of DCTs and DSTs. As an aside, in doing that, we settle the question why there are 16 DTTs to begin with, as the original derivation of the full set of all 16 [78] does not provide an explanation.

To compute the spectrum and a Fourier transform using Theorem 4, we have to determine the zeros of the 16 polynomials p given by $C_n, C_n \pm C_{n-1}, C_n - C_{n-2}$, for $C \in \{T, U, V, W\}$. In all cases, the zeros can be expressed in closed form using the identities given in Table VII and Table XVI in Appendix III. We note that Table VII is a consequence of well-known trigonometric identities.

Instead of computing the spectrum and a Fourier transform in detail for all 16 cases, we consider only one representative example and then state the result for all 16 DTTs. But first, we introduce the DTTs as they are defined in the literature.

DTT definitions. There are 16 types of discrete trigonometric transforms (DTTs): 8 types of discrete cosine transforms (DCTs) and 8 types of discrete sine transforms (DSTs). The most important to date is the DCT of type 2, which was first introduced in [82] and is used in the JPEG image compression standard. A complete introduction to all 16 types is in [78]. Table VIII gives the definitions of the *unscaled* version of the 16 DTTs. We note that the DTTs of type 1, 4, 5, 8 are symmetric, and that the DTTs of type 2 and 3, 6 and 7, respectively, are transposes of each other. We use arabic instead of roman numbers to denote the type, following [56], since it is more convenient when dealing with all 8 types of

TABLE VIII

8 TYPES OF DCTs AND DSTs (UNSCALED) OF SIZE n . THE ENTRY AT
 ROW k AND COLUMN ℓ IS GIVEN FOR $0 \leq k, \ell < n$.

| type | DCT | DST |
|------|---|---|
| 1 | $\cos k\ell \frac{\pi}{n-1}$ | $\sin(k+1)(\ell+1) \frac{\pi}{n+1}$ |
| 2 | $\cos k(\ell + \frac{1}{2}) \frac{\pi}{n}$ | $\sin(k+1)(\ell + \frac{1}{2}) \frac{\pi}{n}$ |
| 3 | $\cos(k + \frac{1}{2})\ell \frac{\pi}{n}$ | $\sin(k + \frac{1}{2})(\ell + 1) \frac{\pi}{n}$ |
| 4 | $\cos(k + \frac{1}{2})(\ell + \frac{1}{2}) \frac{\pi}{n}$ | $\sin(k + \frac{1}{2})(\ell + \frac{1}{2}) \frac{\pi}{n}$ |
| 5 | $\cos k\ell \frac{\pi}{n-\frac{1}{2}}$ | $\sin(k+1)(\ell+1) \frac{\pi}{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ |
| 6 | $\cos k(\ell + \frac{1}{2}) \frac{\pi}{n-\frac{1}{2}}$ | $\sin(k+1)(\ell + \frac{1}{2}) \frac{\pi}{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ |
| 7 | $\cos(k + \frac{1}{2})\ell \frac{\pi}{n-\frac{1}{2}}$ | $\sin(k + \frac{1}{2})(\ell + 1) \frac{\pi}{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ |
| 8 | $\cos(k + \frac{1}{2})(\ell + \frac{1}{2}) \frac{\pi}{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ | $\sin(k + \frac{1}{2})(\ell + \frac{1}{2}) \frac{\pi}{n-\frac{1}{2}}$ |

DCTs and DSTs. For example, we write DCT- 2_n instead of $\text{DCT}_n^{(II)}$.

Example: Signal model for DCT, type 2. We work out this example in detail. We choose the left b.c. $s_{-1} = s_0$, i.e., $C_{-1} = C_0$, which is afforded by the base polynomials $C = V$ (see Lemma 10). As right b.c. we choose $s_n = s_{n-1}$, i.e., $C_n = C_{n-1}$, which implies

$$p = C_n - C_{n-1} = V_n - V_{n-1} = 2(x - 1)U_{n-1}$$

using row 3, column 3 in Table VII. Thus, we obtain the regular module $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - 1)U_{n-1}(x)$ (the 2 can be dropped, as scalar factors do not matter in p). The zeros of $p(x) = (x - 1)U_{n-1}(x)$ are given by $\alpha_k = \cos k\pi/n$, $0 \leq k < n$ (from Table XVI). Thus the Fourier transform for \mathcal{M} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta : \mathbb{C}[x]/(C_n - C_{n-1}) &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{0 \leq k < n} \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k) \\ s = s(x) &\mapsto (s(\alpha_0), \dots, s(\alpha_{n-1})). \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

In matrix form, a Fourier transform for \mathcal{M} is given by the polynomial transform with entries

$$V_\ell(\alpha_k) = \frac{1}{\cos k\pi/(2n)} \cdot \cos k(\ell + 1/2)\pi/n.$$

To obtain a DCT, we need proper scaling. Namely, using Table VIII, we have

$$\text{DCT-}2_n = \text{diag}_{0 \leq k < n} (\cos k\pi/(2n)) \cdot [V_\ell(\alpha_k)], \quad (93)$$

and thus, by Theorem 4, DCT- 2_n is a Fourier transform for the regular module $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - 1)U_{n-1}(x)$.

The scaling diagonal in (93) shows the basis chosen on the right hand side of (92), namely $1/(\cos k\pi/(2n))$ in the one-dimensional module (spectral component) $\mathcal{M}_k = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \cos k\pi/n)$, for $0 \leq k < n$.

In other words, applying DCT- 2_n to a signal $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ gives the spectrum of \mathbf{s} with respect to the finite V -transform

$$\Phi : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}, \quad \mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum_{0 \leq k < n} s_k V_k \in \mathcal{M},$$

where $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - 1)U_{n-1}$.

All DTTs. Similar computations for all 16 cases establishes the 16 DTTs as Fourier transforms for the 16 finite C -transforms.

Theorem 12 (DTTs and polynomial algebras) The 16 DTTs are the Fourier transforms for the 16 finite C -transforms. The correspondence is given in Table IX as follows. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi)$ be a finite C -transform with $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p$ with basis $b = (C_0, \dots, C_{n-1})$. The choice of C (rows of Table IX) determines the left b.c. and a scaling function f . The choice of left b.c. (four rightmost columns of Table IX) then determines the polynomial p , given at the intersection of row and column. The corresponding DTT is given above p . If $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$ are the zeros of p , then

$$\text{DTT}_n = \text{diag}_{0 \leq k < n}(f(\alpha_k)) \cdot \mathcal{P}_{b,\alpha}, \quad (94)$$

i.e., DTT_n is a scaled polynomial transform and thus a Fourier transform for the associated signal model (see Theorem 4). Equation (94) implies that the chosen basis in the spectral component $\bigoplus_{0 \leq k < n} \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k)$ is $1/f(\alpha_k)$, $0 \leq k < n$.

The DCT, type 3, was recognized as polynomial transform in [54]. The DCTs and DSTs of types 1–4 were recognized as (scaled) polynomial transform in [83]. In neither case any connection to signal processing was established.

Polynomial DTTs. Theorem 12 shows that each DTT is a Fourier transform for a suitable module $\mathbb{C}[x]/p$, but, in general, not the polynomial transform. Thus, we associate to each DTT its polynomial transform $\mathcal{P}_{b,\alpha}$ obtained by omitting the scaling factors in (94).

Definition 13 (Polynomial DTTs) Let DTT_n be given. We call the unique polynomial transform $\mathcal{P}_{b,\alpha}$ associated with DTT_n by (94) the “polynomial DTT” and denote it by $\overline{\text{DTT}}_n$. Thus, (94) can be rewritten as

$$\text{DTT}_n = \text{diag}_{0 \leq k < n}(f(\alpha_k)) \cdot \overline{\text{DTT}}_n.$$

We have $\text{DTT} = \overline{\text{DTT}}$ if and only if DTT appears in the first row of Table IX, i.e., if DTT is one of DCT-1, DCT-3, DCT-5, DCT-7.

The polynomial DTTs will play an important role in the derivation of fast DTT algorithms [14]. In several cases it will be natural to derive a fast algorithm for $\overline{\text{DTT}}$ and apply a final scaling to obtain a fast algorithm for the corresponding DTT. As a consequence, we will show that the polynomial DTTs are a suitable choice of *scaled* DTTs in applications, where the DTT is followed by scaling and can thus be replaced by any transform $D \cdot \text{DTT}$ to reduce the number of multiplications.

Signal extension. We display graphically the signal extension for the 16 DTTs in Table X. This is similar to Figure 12 for the DFT variants. The dotted lines (including the adjacent hollow bullets) signify a scaling by -1 . A “0” signifies that the signal model assumes a signal value equal to zero. In each case we display four times the signal scope, which may be a single period or comprise two periods, depending on the DTT. For some of the bullets, the labels at the (virtual) x-axis are given.

Remarks and observations. We make the following remarks.

- For each DTT, we have three relevant versions. First, the polynomial version $\overline{\text{DTT}}$, which is the unique polynomial transform for its associated signal model (see

Definition 13 above). Second, the unscaled or natural version, which has pure cosines (or sines) as entries (see Table VIII). Third, the orthogonal version, which arises from the other two by suitable scaling of rows and columns, i.e., by slightly adjusting the signal model (explained below in Section VIII-F).

- The 16 DTTs can be divided into four groups of four each with respect to the polynomial p in the associated module $\mathbb{C}[x]/p$ (see Table IX). For example, the T -group comprises all DTTs of type 3 and 4, which have the same module $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/T_n$. The modules within the other groups differ slightly, e.g., in the U -group that comprises the DTTs on the main diagonal in Table IX. The difference between the DTTs within the same group is the choice of basis, which is one of T, U, V, W . As a consequence, these transforms can be converted into each other using a sparse base change (explained in Section VIII-H), and several convolution theorems can be derived within a group (explained in Section VIII-E).
- A closer relationship exists between the DTTs that are in the same group but, in addition, on mirror positions w.r.t. the diagonal. In other words, these DTTs have mirrored b.c. We call such a pair *dual* to each other and show a uniform relationship between dual DTTs (see Section VIII-G).

C. Visualization

Since the right b.c. for the 16 DTTs are precisely the mirrored left b.c., we obtain the visualizations (see Definition 2) of their associated signal models readily from Figure 16. We show four important cases in Figure 17, from top to bottom the DCTs of type 1–4.




Fig. 17. Visualizations of the space models given by the C -transforms and associated with the DCTs of type 1–4 (from top to bottom) and size n .

Since the graph represents the operation of the space shift operator $x = T_1$, the adjacency matrix of the graphs in Figure 17 is in each case given by the shift matrix $\phi(x)$ (ϕ is the representation afforded by the respective signal model).

D. Diagonalization Properties

Using the algebraic framework, the diagonalization properties of the 16 DTTs can be easily derived from Theorem 5 and can be stated in a unified way for all 16 DTTs. Let DTT_n be given and let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ be the associated regular module with basis b and let $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$ be the vector


TABLE IX

OVERVIEW OF THE 16 DTTs AND THE ASSOCIATED MODULES $\mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ WITH A BASIS OF CHEBYSHEV POLYNOMIALS THAT ADMIT A MONOMIAL SIGNAL EXTENSION. THE LEFT B.C. (ROWS) DETERMINES A SCALING FUNCTION f ($\cos \theta = x$) AND THE CHEBYSHEV POLYNOMIALS $C \in \{T, U, V, W\}$. THE RIGHT B.C. (COLUMNS) THEN DETERMINES THE DTT AND $p(x)$ (GIVEN BELOW THE DTT).

| | $s_{-1} - s_{n-2}$ | s_n | $s_{-1} - s_{n-1}$ | $s_n + s_{n-1}$ | f | C |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-----|
| $s_{-1} = s_1$ | DCT-1 $2(x^2 - 1)U_{n-2}$ | DCT-3 T_n | DCT-5 $(x - 1)W_{n-1}$ | DCT-7 $(x + 1)V_{n-1}$ | 1 | T |
| $s_{-1} = 0$ | DST-3 $2T_n$ | DST-1 U_n | DST-7 V_n | DST-5 W_n | $\sin \theta$ | U |
| $s_{-1} = s_0$ | DCT-6 $2(x - 1)W_{n-1}$ | DCT-8 V_n | DCT-2 $2(x - 1)U_{n-1}$ | DCT-4 $2T_n$ | $\cos \frac{1}{2}\theta$ | V |
| $s_{-1} = -s_0$ | DST-8 $2(x + 1)V_{n-1}$ | DST-6 W_n | DST-4 $2T_n$ | DST-2 $2(x + 1)U_{n-1}$ | $\sin \frac{1}{2}\theta$ | W |

TABLE X

SIGNAL EXTENSION FOR THE 16 DTTs.



of zeros of p . Denote by ϕ the corresponding representation of \mathcal{A} . Then, for a filter $h \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\text{DTT}_n \cdot \phi(h) \cdot \text{DTT}_n^{-1} = \text{diag}_{0 \leq k < n}(h(\alpha_k)). \quad (95)$$

Conversely, the $\phi(h)$ are *all* the matrices diagonalized by DTT.

We first investigate the special case of the space shift operator $h = T_1 = x$. Since \mathcal{A} , as any polynomial algebra, is

TABLE XI

THE VALUES $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4$ FROM (97) FOR THE 4 RESPECTIVE CHOICES OF LEFT B.C. AND RIGHT B.C.

| left b.c. | β_1 | β_2 | right b.c. | β_3 | β_4 |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|-----------|-----------|
| $s_{-1} = s_1$ | 0 | 2 | $s_n = s_{n-2}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $s_{-1} = 0$ | 0 | 1 | $s_n = 0$ | 1 | 0 |
| $s_{-1} = s_0$ | 1 | 1 | $s_n = s_{n-1}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $s_{-1} = -s_0$ | -1 | 1 | $s_n = -s_{n-1}$ | 1 | -1 |

generated by the shift operator x , the diagonalization of the shift matrix $\phi(x)$ implies the diagonalization of all matrices $\phi(h)$, $h \in \mathcal{A}$. Using

$$x \cdot C_\ell = (C_{\ell+1} + C_{\ell-1})/2, \quad (96)$$

we obtain as the general structure of $\phi(x)$ for all 16 cases

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 & 1 & & & \\ \beta_2 & 0 & 1 & & \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdot & \\ & & 1 & \cdot & 1 \\ & & & \cdot & 0 & \beta_3 \\ & & & & 1 & \beta_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad (97)$$

where the numbers β_1, β_2 and β_3, β_4 are determined by the left and right b.c.'s, respectively, i.e., by (96) for $\ell = 0, n-1$. For example, for DTT = DCT-2, we have $C_{-1} = C_0$ (i.e., $C = V$) and thus $x \cdot C_0 = (C_0 + C_1)/2$ or $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 1$. Further, $C_n = C_{n-1}$, and thus $x \cdot C_{n-1} = (C_{n-2} + C_{n-1})/2$, or $\beta_3 = \beta_4 = 1$. The resulting shift matrix $\phi(x)$ is the adjacency matrix of the second graph in Figure 17.

Table XI lists the values of the β_i , $1 \leq i \leq 4$, in all 16 cases. The property

$$\text{DTT}_n \cdot \phi(x) \cdot \text{DTT}_n^{-1} = \text{diag}(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$$

is, in a strict mathematical sense, the analogue of the DFT diagonalizing the cyclic shift.

Next, we investigate the special case of a k -fold space shift operator $h = T_k$. We have, by Lemma 46 iv),

$$T_k \cdot C_\ell = (C_{\ell+k} + C_{\ell-k})/2. \quad (98)$$

Further, in the cases where $\ell + k$ or $\ell - k$ is outside the range $0, \dots, n-1$, the monomial signal extension characteristic to the 16 DTTs assures that $T_k C_\ell$ is again a sum of only two basis polynomials in $(C_0 \dots C_{n-1})$. Thus, the matrix $\phi(T_k)$ has at most two entries per row and column, and further has, in all 16 cases, a “rhombus-like” shape. We illustrate this again for DTT = DCT-2. Using (98) and row 3 of (90) and row 3 of (91), we get

$$\phi(T_k) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} & & & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & & \ddots & & \\ 1 & & & & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & & & & 1 \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & 1 & \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where the dots signify 1’s; the rest of the matrix entries are zero. In this rhombus-shaped matrix, the upper left and lower right side of the rhombus are due to the symmetric boundary conditions.

In the general case, $h = \sum a_k T_k$, we get by linearity $\phi(h) = \sum a_k \phi(T_k)$. Thus $\phi(h)$ is a structured matrix obtained by a generic linear combination of the matrices $\phi(T_k)$. Taken together we obtain the diagonalization properties stated in [84] (which considers only the 8 DCTs) and, in addition, give insight into the structure of the matrices and explicitly give the obtained diagonal matrix in (95). This makes it possible, for example, to determine whether a given matrix $\phi(h)$ is positive definite. For example, [56] uses $h = 2 - 2x$ to illustrate the different types of DCTs. Using (95), the eigenvalues of $\phi(h)$ in all 16 cases are of the form $2 - 2\alpha \geq 0$ since the zeros of p in Theorem 12 are all cosines. Thus $\phi(2 - 2x)$ is positive semi-definite. In general, we have the following result.

Lemma 14 Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ with basis b and ϕ the afforded representation of $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}$. Let $h \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $\phi(h)$ is positive definite (semi-definite), if $h(\alpha_k) > 0$ (≥ 0) for all zeros α_k of p .

Finally, we note again that the structure of the matrices $\phi(h)$, $h \in \mathcal{A}$, reflects the basis (T_0, \dots, T_{n-1}) of \mathcal{A} , chosen independently of \mathcal{M} . The T_k are the k -fold space shift operators.

E. Convolution Theorems

With the underlying signal models for the 16 DTTs identified, we obtain a natural, unified description for DTT domain filtering. Let DTT_n be given with associated regular module $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ with basis b (Theorem 12). Then filtering with respect to the signal model given by the \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} is, as usual, the multiplication of a polynomial $s \in \mathcal{M}$ (the signal) by a polynomial $h \in \mathcal{A}$ (the filter) modulo p . In coordinate form, we have, also as usual,

$$h \cdot s \bmod p \Leftrightarrow \phi(h) \cdot \mathbf{s},$$

where ϕ is the representation of \mathcal{A} afforded by \mathcal{M} with basis b . We determined the structure of $\phi(h)$ in Section VIII-D w.r.t. the (natural) T -basis in the algebra \mathcal{A} of filters.

A convolution theorem for DTT domain filtering is now obtained as a special case of Theorem 6. We illustrate with two examples. In the first example, we choose $p = T_n$ and $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/T_n$ with V -basis²⁵ and associated Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} = \text{DCT-4}_n$. As above, let \mathbf{s} be the coordinate vector of the signal s . Further, let $h \in \mathcal{A}$ be a filter. The Fourier transform \mathcal{F}' in Theorem 6 is w.r.t. the basis in \mathcal{A} , for which the natural choice, as we learned, is always the T -basis. Thus $\mathcal{F}' = \text{DCT-3}_n$, which has no scaling diagonal. Let \mathbf{h} be the coordinate vector of h , then

$$\phi(h) \cdot \mathbf{s} = \text{DCT-4}_n^{-1} \cdot (\text{DCT-3}_n \cdot \mathbf{h} \odot \text{DCT-4}_n \cdot \mathbf{s}). \quad (99)$$

We can choose a basis in \mathcal{A} different from the natural T -basis, to obtain variants, where DCT-3 in (99) is replaced by the DTTs in the T -group, and (99) is modified to account for their corresponding scaling diagonals D (Theorem 6).

In the second example, we choose $p = (x-1)U_{n-1}$ and $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x-1)U_{n-1}$ with V -basis and associated Fourier transform DCT-2_n . Proceeding as above leads to the problem that for $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}$ with T -basis there is no associated DTT. Namely, the DTT in the U -group with T -basis is the DCT-1, which has the associated module $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^2-1)U_{n-2}$, which differs from the \mathcal{M} above by a linear factor in p .

To obtain a Fourier transform \mathcal{F}' for $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x-1)U_{n-1}$ with T -basis, we thus split off the extra linear factor and start with the module for DCT-1_{n+1} to obtain comparable sizes. Namely, using the CRT,

$$\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^2-1)U_{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x]/(x-1)U_{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x+1).$$

We choose the T -basis in the smaller modules. The corresponding base change matrix B_{n+1} has the form

$$B_{n+1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & * \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & 1 & * \\ * & \dots & * & * \end{bmatrix},$$

where $*$ denotes entries whose exact form we do not need. We get

$$\text{DCT-1}_{n+1} = (\mathcal{F}' \oplus \text{I}_1) B_{n+1}.$$

The special form of B_{n+1} allows us to use the DCT-1_{n+1} in a convolution theorem as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(h) \cdot \mathbf{s} &= \text{DCT-2}_n^{-1} \cdot (\mathcal{F}' \cdot \mathbf{h} \odot \text{DCT-2}_n \cdot \mathbf{s}) \\ &= \text{DCT-2}_n^{-1} \cdot ((\text{DCT-1}_{n+1} \cdot \mathbf{h}')'' \odot \text{DCT-2}_n \cdot \mathbf{s}), \end{aligned}$$

where the \mathbf{h}' arises from \mathbf{h} by appending (padding) a zero value, and $(\cdot)''$ signifies omitting the last value.

The DTTs can also be used to compute the “ordinary” linear convolution, i.e., time domain filtering, if the signal or the filter have a symmetry property compatible with a DTT. This is the subject of [68]. That paper also observes that the 16

²⁵The reader may have noticed that the symbol V is used to represent either the vector space in the signal model or one of the Chebyshev polynomials. The context should make it clear which meaning is attached to V .

TABLE XII

DEFINITION OF THE ORTHOGONAL VERSIONS OF THE DCTS AND DSTS;
 $a_{k,l}$ IS THE ENTRY AT ROW k AND COLUMN l OF THE RESPECTIVE
 UNSCALED DTT AS GIVEN IN TABLE VIII. THE ROW/COLUMN SCALING
 FACTORS ARE GIVEN BY: $c_i = 1/\sqrt{2}$ FOR $i = 0$ AND $= 1$ ELSE;
 $d_i = 1/\sqrt{2}$ FOR $i = n - 1$ AND $= 1$ ELSE.

| type | DCT | DST |
|------|--|---|
| 1 | $\sqrt{\frac{2}{n-1}} \cdot c_k c_\ell d_k d_\ell \cdot a_{k,l}$ | $\sqrt{\frac{2}{n+1}} \cdot a_{k,l}$ |
| 2 | $\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \cdot c_k \cdot a_{k,l}$ | $\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \cdot c_k \cdot a_{k,l}$ |
| 3 | $\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \cdot c_\ell \cdot a_{k,l}$ | $\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \cdot c_\ell \cdot a_{k,l}$ |
| 4 | $\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \cdot a_{k,l}$ | $\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \cdot a_{k,l}$ |
| 5 | $\sqrt{\frac{2}{n-1/2}} \cdot c_k c_\ell \cdot a_{k,l}$ | $\sqrt{\frac{2}{n+1/2}} \cdot a_{k,l}$ |
| 6 | $\sqrt{\frac{2}{n-1/2}} \cdot c_k d_\ell \cdot a_{k,l}$ | $\sqrt{\frac{2}{n+1/2}} \cdot a_{k,l}$ |
| 7 | $\sqrt{\frac{2}{n-1/2}} \cdot d_k c_\ell \cdot a_{k,l}$ | $\sqrt{\frac{2}{n+1/2}} \cdot a_{k,l}$ |
| 8 | $\sqrt{\frac{2}{n+1/2}} \cdot a_{k,l}$ | $\sqrt{\frac{2}{n-1/2}} \cdot d_k d_\ell \cdot a_{k,l}$ |

DTTs divide into four groups of four each of “compatible” DTTs, i.e., DTTs that can occur in one convolution theorem. These groups are the same as we introduce in Section VIII-H, namely those with (essentially) the same associated module, which explains this observation. Further, the necessity of zero padding and last value omission in various cases in [68] has the same origin as in our above DCT-2 convolution theorem; it occurs when the modules for the two DTTs used in the convolution (and thus being necessarily in the same group) do not have the exact same associated module.

F. Orthogonal DTTs

It is well-known that the DTTs, as defined in Table VIII, are “almost orthogonal,” which means that after a suitable scaling of rows and columns they become orthogonal. Table XII gives these orthogonal versions of the DTTs, which arise from the unscaled version by scaling the first or last row or column by a factor of $1/\sqrt{2}$, and by multiplying the entire matrix by a suitable scalar factor. We call them *orthogonal DTTs*.

A natural question to ask is how these scaling factors are obtained. In Theorem 12 we established that the 16 DTTs are Fourier transforms for regular modules $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p$ with a basis of Chebyshev polynomials. However, if \mathcal{M} is given, the natural choice for a Fourier transform is the polynomial transform $\overline{\text{DTT}}$ introduced in Definition 13. The scaling functions f to obtain the DTTs from the $\overline{\text{DTT}}$ s were found by observation, i.e., by comparing with their definition.

In this section we derive the orthogonal DTTs from their polynomial counterparts, i.e., we compute diagonal matrices D_1, D_2 , such that

$$D_1 \cdot \overline{\text{DTT}} \cdot D_2$$

is orthogonal. In the case when the matrix D_2 in this scaling is necessary, it is immediately clear (from Theorem 4) that the underlying signal model has to be modified to admit the orthogonal DTT as Fourier transform. We derive these signal models and show that they are symmetric in a sense that will be defined.

Derivation of scaling factors. The root of the “almost orthogonality” of the DTTs is the following *Christoffel-Darboux formula* for orthogonal polynomials [85].

Theorem 15 (Christoffel-Darboux formula) Let $(P_k \mid k \geq 0)$ be a sequence of orthogonal polynomials over $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ with respect to some weight function $\omega(x)$, i.e., (δ denotes the Kronecker delta function)

$$\int_I P_k(x) P_\ell(x) \omega(x) dx = \mu_k \delta_{k\ell}, \quad \mu_n > 0.$$

Further, denote with β_k the leading coefficient of P_k . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{0 \leq k < n} \mu_k^{-1} P_k(x) P_k(y) \\ &= \begin{cases} c_n \frac{P_{n-1}(y) P_n(x) - P_n(y) P_{n-1}(x)}{x - y}, & x \neq y, \\ c_n (P_{n-1}(x) P'_n(x) - P_n(x) P'_{n-1}(x)), & x = y, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (100)$$

where the constant c_n is given by $c_n = \beta_{n-1} \beta_n^{-1} \mu_{n-1}^{-1}$, and P'_k denotes the derivative of P_k .

As a consequence of the Christoffel-Darboux formula, we get the following construction method for orthogonal versions of polynomial transforms. This theorem, as the Christoffel-Darboux formula, is more general than needed here. We will need the full generality for the generic next-neighbor model in Section XII. We also note that the following theorem restates, in algebraic terms, the construction method for unitary transforms from [86], where it is called *Gauss-Jacobi procedure*.

Theorem 16 Let $(P_k \mid k \geq 0)$ as in Theorem 15, and let $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/P_n$ with basis $b = (P_0, \dots, P_{n-1})$. Since P_n is an orthogonal polynomial, it is separable (see [87, p. 28]); we denote its list of zeros by $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$. Further, we define the two diagonal matrices

$$\begin{aligned} D &= c_n^{-1} \text{diag}_{0 \leq k < n} ((P_{n-1}(\alpha_k) P'_n(\alpha_k))^{-1}), \\ E &= \text{diag}_{0 \leq k < n} (\mu_k^{-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

where c_n, μ_k are defined as in Theorem 15. Then,

$$\sqrt{D} \cdot \mathcal{P}_{b,\alpha} \cdot \sqrt{E} \quad (102)$$

is orthogonal.

Proof: First, we note that D is well-defined: $P_{n-1}(\alpha_k) \neq 0$, since P_{n-1} and P_n have disjoint sets of zeros ([87, p. 28]); $P'_n(\alpha_k) \neq 0$, since P_n is separable. Now, we substitute the zeros α_i, α_j for x, y in (100) to get

$$\mathcal{P}_{b,\alpha}^{-1} = E \mathcal{P}_{b,\alpha}^T D,$$

which implies the desired result. ■

This general property explains the form of the orthogonal versions of the DTTs in all cases in which the associated module has the form $\mathbb{C}[x]/C_n$ with basis (C_0, \dots, C_{n-1}) , i.e., for all DTTs in the second column in Table IX, i.e., for DCT-3, DST-1, DCT-8, and DST-6. For the other 12 DTTs, we need to derive variants of (100). We have deferred this rather technical derivation to Appendix IV.

The above derivation of the orthogonal DTTs gives little intuition into this, at first glance, surprising property. However, the property is easy to understand and easier to derive by looking at the diagonalization properties of the DTTs, as was pointed out in [56]. We explain this next, and give further insight by constructing the signal models, for which the orthogonal DTTs are Fourier transforms.

The orthogonal transform in (102) is scaled from both sides by a diagonal matrix. Scaling on the left side, as we have shown, is equivalent to choosing a different basis in the spectrum, i.e., in the decomposed module (Theorem 4). Scaling on the right changes (by scaling) the basis in the module and thus the signal model. We explain this using the DCT-3 as an example, and, at the same time, motivate the origin of the diagonal matrices.

Signal model for the orthogonal DCT, type 3. The DCT-3_n is a Fourier transform for the signal model

$$\Phi : \mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum_{0 \leq k < n} s_k T_k \in \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/T_n, \quad \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}.$$

If ϕ is the associated representation of \mathcal{A} , then DCT-3_n diagonalizes any matrix $\phi(h)$, $h \in \mathcal{A}$. In particular,

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & & \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdot & \\ & & 1 & \cdot & 1 \\ & & & \cdot & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (103)$$

which is a special case of (97).

We observe that the matrix $\phi(x)$ in (103) is “almost” symmetric. In fact, symmetry can be readily established by conjugating $\phi(x)$ with the diagonal matrix

$$E = \text{diag}(\sqrt{2}, 1, \dots, 1),$$

i.e., $E\phi(x)E^{-1}$ is symmetric. This corresponds to the following base change in the underlying module:

$$b = (T_0, \dots, T_{n-1}) \rightarrow b' = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}T_0, T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}).$$

If ϕ' is the representation afforded by b' , then

$$\phi'(x) = E\phi(x)E^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{2} & & & \\ \sqrt{2} & 0 & 1 & & \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdot & \\ & & 1 & \cdot & 1 \\ & & & \cdot & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The base change with E also changed the underlying signal model, which is now given by

$$\Phi' : \mathbf{s} \mapsto s_0 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}T_0 + \sum_{1 \leq k < n} s_k T_k \in \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/T_n.$$

The change can also be seen in its visualization in Figure 18 (as usual, the global factor $1/2$ was omitted), which should be compared to the visualization of the original model Φ in the third graph in Figure 17. The new model Φ' has still the same left b.c. and signal extension, but, in order to make it symmetric, the space shift was locally redefined, which means,

at these marks, the model is now variant. Namely, for $T'_0 = 1/\sqrt{2}T_0$, $T'_{-1} = T'_1, T'_2 \in b'$ as

$$\begin{aligned} xT'_0 &= \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}T'_{-1} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}T'_1) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}T'_1, \\ xT'_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{2}T'_0 + T'_2). \end{aligned}$$




Fig. 18. Visualization of the symmetric signal model associated with the orthogonal version of the DCT, type 3.

To compute a Fourier transform for Φ' , we use the following commutative diagram. In the top row, the modules have the bases b and b' , respectively.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}[x]/T_n & \xrightarrow{E} & \mathbb{C}[x]/T_n \\ \downarrow \mathcal{P}_{b,\alpha} = \text{DCT-3}_n & & \downarrow \mathcal{P}_{b',\alpha} \\ \bigoplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k) & \xrightarrow{I_n} & \bigoplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k) \end{array}$$

In particular,

$$\text{DCT-3}_n = \mathcal{P}_{b,\alpha} = \mathcal{P}_{b',\alpha}E.$$

At this point, we remind the reader that every symmetric matrix A can be diagonalized by an orthogonal matrix M (e.g., [88]): MAM^{-1} is diagonal. Further, if A has pairwise distinct eigenvalues, then *all* matrices that diagonalize A have the form DM , where D is any invertible diagonal matrix. In our particular case, $\mathcal{P}_{b',\alpha}$ diagonalizes a symmetric matrix with pairwise distinct eigenvalues, thus,

$$M = D\mathcal{P}_{b',\alpha} = D\text{DCT-3}_nE^{-1} \quad (104)$$

is orthogonal for a suitable diagonal matrix D that normalizes the row vectors of $\mathcal{P}_{b',\alpha}$ to have length 1. The matrix M is a Fourier transform, but not a polynomial transform, for the signal model Φ' .

Signal models for all orthogonal DTTs. In the general case of a DTT, i.e., a $\phi(x)$ in (97), conjugation, $E\phi(x)E^{-1}$, with the matrix

$$E = \text{diag}(\sqrt{\beta_2}, 1, \dots, 1, \sqrt{\beta_3})$$

makes $\phi(x)$ symmetric and we get the following theorem.

Theorem 17 (Signal models for orthogonal DTTs) Let DTT_n be any of the 16 DTTs with signal model $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi)$ where $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ with p one of the polynomials in Lemma 11, and

$$\Phi : \mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum_{0 \leq k < n} s_k C_k.$$

Let $\phi(x)$ be the associated shift matrix given by (97). Further, let β_2, β_3 be the values in (97) for $\phi(x)$. If DTT'_n is the orthogonal version of DTT_n, then DTT'_n is a Fourier transform

for the signal model $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi')$ with

$$\Phi' : \mathbf{s} \mapsto s_0 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta_2}} C_0 + \sum_{1 \leq k < n-1} s_k C_k + s_{n-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta_3}} C_{n-1}.$$

We call the signal models Φ' *symmetric* in the following sense.

Definition 18 (Symmetric signal model) Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi)$ be a finite shift-invariant signal model with $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$, and let ϕ be the afforded representation of \mathcal{A} . We call this model *symmetric*, if $\phi(h)$ is symmetric for all filters $h \in \mathcal{A}$.

Note that if $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}$, then the model is symmetric if and only if $\phi(x)$ is symmetric. (If \mathcal{A} is smaller than \mathcal{M} , then $x \notin \mathcal{A}$; we will have an example of this situation later.)

The above discussion explains how to easily obtain, for a given DTT, the diagonal matrix E that scales from the right. The matrix D is then obtained from the norms of the DTT's row vectors using basic trigonometric identities.

The adjustment of the signal model is necessary, because the matrices $\phi(x)$ in (97) are not all symmetric due to their boundary conditions. Closer inspection shows that among the four types of boundary conditions only one, namely $s_{-1} = s_1$ on the left, and $s_n = s_{n-2}$ on the right, causes this problem. Thus, the only DTTs that need an adjustment of the signal model are those in the first row or column in Table IX. All other DTTs need to be scaled only from the left as can be confirmed from Table XII.

G. Duality

We observed before that the right b.c.'s for the DTTs are precisely the mirrored versions of the left b.c.'s, a fact that meets our intuition since the DTTs are based on symmetric space models. However, the construction of the module $\mathbb{C}[x]/p$ for a given DTT (see Theorem 12) deals differently with the left b.c. (which determines the choice of the base sequence C) and the right b.c. (which determines p); thus, we obtain different DTTs for a given pair of b.c.'s and for its mirrored counterpart. The associated pair of DTTs occurs in positions in Table IX that are mirrored at the main diagonal. We call such a pair *dual* and show that dual DTTs have a very close relationship.

Definition 19 (Duality) Let DTT and DTT' be at mirrored positions in Table IX, i.e., at positions (i, j) , (j, i) , $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$, respectively. We call DTT and DTT' *dual* to each other. The DTTs on the main-diagonal are called self-dual. Dual DTTs have the same associated regular module $\mathbb{C}[x]/p$.

To derive the relationship between dual DTTs, we use DCT-3_n and DST-3_n as an example. The module $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p$ associated with DCT-3_n carries the left b.c. $s_{-1} = s_1$, determined by the T -basis $b = (T_0, \dots, T_{n-1})$, and the right b.c. $s_n = 0$, determined by the equation $p = T_n = 0$. The zeros of T_n are given by $\alpha = (\cos(k+1/2)\pi/n \mid 0 \leq k < n)$. Now, we consider the same module \mathcal{M} , but choose a different basis, namely $b' = (U_{n-1}, \dots, U_0)$. Our goal is to construct

the following diagram; $\mathbb{C}[x]/p$ with basis b' is the top left module.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}[x]/p & \xrightarrow{J_n} & \mathbb{C}[x]/p \\ \downarrow \text{DCT-3}_n & & \downarrow \text{DST-3}_n \\ \bigoplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k) & \xrightarrow{D_n} & \bigoplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k) \end{array}$$

We know how to decompose \mathcal{M} with b' , namely by first reversing the order of the basis with a permutation J_n (the identity matrix with the columns in reversed order) to obtain the top right module, which in turn is decomposed by DST-3_n, where the decomposed module has the basis $(1/f(\alpha_k) \mid 0 \leq k < n)$, where $f = \sin \theta$, $\cos \theta = x$ (see Theorem 12).

On the other hand, \mathcal{M} with b' affords the same representation as \mathcal{M} with b . To see this, we list b and b' together with their b.c.'s; the vertical lines indicate the boundaries of the signal scope:

$$\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} T_{-1} = T_1 & T_0 & \dots & T_{n-1} & T_n = 0 \\ U_n = U_{n-2} & U_{n-1} & \dots & U_0 & U_{-1} = 0 \end{array}$$

Note that $T_n = 0$, expressed in U -polynomials, becomes $U_n = U_{n-2}$, which is the right b.c. of DST-3 and the mirror image of the left b.c. $T_{-1} = T_1$ of DCT-3. Observe, e.g., that in \mathcal{M} $xU_{n-1} = (U_n + U_{n-2})/2 = U_{n-2}$ and $xT_0 = (T_{-1} + T_1)/2 = T_1$, i.e., x has the same effect on b as on b' .

Since b' affords the same representation as b , \mathcal{M} with b' is also decomposed by the DCT-3 (left column in the diagram); it remains to determine the basis in the decomposed module. Note that DCT-3 is a polynomial transform for \mathcal{M} and b , but not for \mathcal{M} and b' .

In fact, using

$$U_{n-1}T_i = (U_{n-1-i} + U_{n-1+i})/2 \equiv U_{n-1-i} \pmod{T_n},$$

which is due to the signal extension of DST-3_n, we get

$$b' = U_{n-1}b.$$

This shows that the basis in the decomposed module (bottom left) is given by the numbers

$$U_{n-1}(\alpha_k) = \sin(k+1/2)\pi/f(\alpha_k) = (-1)^k/f(\alpha_k),$$

where f is the scaling function of DST-3 (see above). This completes our diagram with $D_n = \text{diag}_{0 \leq k < n}((-1)^k)$. As an equation, we get

$$\text{diag}_{k=0}^{n-1}((-1)^k) \cdot \text{DCT-3}_n = \text{DST-3}_n \cdot J_n. \quad (105)$$

Analogous computations verify the same identity for all pairs of dual DTTs. Interestingly, the diagonal D_n is in all cases the same. Also, we note that $J_n^2 = D_n^2 = I_n$.

Theorem 20 (Duality Relationship) Let DTT_n and DTT'_n be a pair of dual DTTs. Then

$$\text{diag}_{0 \leq k < n}((-1)^k) \cdot \text{DTT}_n = \text{DTT}'_n \cdot J_n,$$

with corresponding diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{C}[x]/p & \xrightarrow{J_n} & \mathbb{C}[x]/p \\
 \downarrow \text{DTT}_n & & \downarrow \text{DTT}'_n \\
 \bigoplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k) & \xrightarrow{\text{diag}((-1)^k)} & \bigoplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k)
 \end{array}$$

As an important consequence of Theorem 20, dual DTTs have the same arithmetic complexity.

In the literature, the special case of the duality (as defined by us) between DCT-3 and DST-3 was the subject of [89].

H. Groups of DTTs and Relationships

In Section VIII-G we introduced the concept of dual DTTs, which necessarily have the same associated module $\mathbb{C}[x]/p$. However, in Table IX, we also have DTTs with the same module, which are not dual. An example is given by the DCTs of type 3 and 4 with module $\mathbb{C}[x]/T_n$. In fact, closer inspection of Table IX shows that, barring linear factors, each of the four types of Chebyshev polynomials occurs exactly four times as p . For example, $p = T_n$ occurs for all four DCTs and DSTs of type 3 and 4. Thus, we have a natural classification of the 16 DTTs into four groups of four each. We call these groups, depending on p , T -group, U -group, V -group, and W -group. In particular, dual DTTs are in the same group.

Further inspection shows that, in each group, all possible left and right b.c.'s are present. Thus the DTTs in one group have (almost) the same module, but with different bases. Thus, we can translate DTTs in the same group into each other using a base change. Further, because of Table VII, the resulting base change matrices are sparse, i.e., require $O(n)$ operations for multiplication.

Before we give two instructive examples, we remind the reader that decomposing a regular module $\mathbb{C}[x]/p$ by a polynomial transform implies that in each one-dimensional irreducible summand $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k)$ the basis $(x^0) = (1)$ is chosen; other choices of base elements $a_k \neq 1$ lead to a scaled polynomial transform (see Theorem 4). The DTTs are scaled polynomial transforms; their polynomial counterparts are denoted by $\overline{\text{DTT}}$ (see Definition 13). We have $\text{DTT} = \overline{\text{DTT}}$ if and only if DTT is a DCT of odd type.

Example: DCT, type 3 and 4. First, we consider DCT-3_n and DCT-4_n, which are both in the T -group, i.e., the associated module is $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/T_n$. The difference is in the choice of bases.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{DCT-3}_n : \quad & \mathbb{C}[x]/T_n, \quad b = (T_0, \dots, T_{n-1}), \\
 \text{DCT-4}_n : \quad & \mathbb{C}[x]/T_n, \quad b' = (V_0, \dots, V_{n-1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using

$$T_\ell = (V_\ell + V_{\ell-1})/2 \quad (106)$$

from Table VII and $V_{-1} = V_0$, the corresponding base change

matrix S'_n for $b \rightarrow b'$ is given by

$$S'_n = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & & & \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & 1 \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (107)$$

We denote the zeros of T_n by $\alpha_k = \cos(k + 1/2)\pi/n$. As a consequence of the above, we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{C}[x]/T_n & \xrightarrow{S'_n} & \mathbb{C}[x]/T_n \\
 \downarrow \text{DCT-3}_n & & \downarrow \overline{\text{DCT-4}}_n \\
 \bigoplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k) & \xrightarrow{I_n} & \bigoplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k)
 \end{array} \quad (108)$$

which implies the equation

$$\text{DCT-3}_n = \overline{\text{DCT-4}}_n \cdot S'_n.$$

Note that we have I_n in the bottom row of (108) since both DCT-3 and $\overline{\text{DCT-4}}$ are polynomial transforms and thus use the same basis $(1, \dots, 1)$ in the decomposed module.

Introducing the scaling diagonal

$$D_n = \text{diag}_{0 \leq k < n}(\cos(2k + 1)\pi/(4n))$$

of the DCT-4 (see Table IX), we get

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{C}[x]/T_n & \xrightarrow{S'_n} & \mathbb{C}[x]/T_n \\
 \downarrow \text{DCT-3}_n & & \downarrow \text{DCT-4}_n \\
 \bigoplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k) & \xrightarrow{D_n} & \bigoplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k)
 \end{array}$$

or, as an equation,

$$D_n \cdot \text{DCT-3}_n = \text{DCT-4}_n \cdot S'_n. \quad (109)$$

We use (109) to also show how formal manipulation derives new relationships from known ones. Since it is known that the DCT-4 is more expensive to compute than the DCT-3, we multiply (109) by $(S'_n)^{-1}$ to get

$$D_n \cdot \text{DCT-3}_n \cdot (S'_n)^{-1} = \text{DCT-4}_n. \quad (110)$$

We can multiply by $(S'_n)^{-1}$ using $n - 1$ recursive additions, but this produces a critical path of length $n - 1$. To solve the problem, we invert both sides of (110) using $\text{DCT-3}_n^{-1} = 2/n \cdot \text{diag}(2, 1, \dots, 1) \cdot \text{DCT-2}_n$ and $\text{DCT-4}_n^{-1} = 2/n \cdot \text{DCT-4}_n$ (follows from their orthogonal versions in Table XII and Table VIII). It turns out that some factors cancel each other, and we get

$$S_n \cdot \text{DCT-2}_n \cdot \frac{1}{2} D_n^{-1} = \text{DCT-4}_n \quad (111)$$

with

$$S_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & & & \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & 1 \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (112)$$

Transposing (111) yields

$$\frac{1}{2}D_n^{-1} \cdot \text{DCT-3}_n \cdot S_n^T = \text{DCT-4}_n, \quad (113)$$

where we used that $\text{DCT-2}_n^T = \text{DCT-3}_n$ and that DCT-4_n is symmetric (Section VIII-B); (113) is a clear improvement over (110) obtained by inversion-transposition.

Example: DCT, type 1 and 2. As a second example, we derive a relationship between DCT-1_{n+1} and DCT-2_n , which, without the module framework, is less obvious to derive. Note the difference in size ($n+1$ versus n) to obtain comparable modules, which are

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DCT-1}_{n+1} : & \quad \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^2-1)U_{n-1}, \quad b = (T_0, \dots, T_n), \\ \text{DCT-2}_n : & \quad \mathbb{C}[x]/(x-1)U_{n-1}, \quad b' = (V_0, \dots, V_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

To translate a DCT-1_{n+1} into a DCT-2_n , we have to partially decompose the module $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^2-1)U_{n-1}$ using the Chinese remainder theorem,

$$\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^2-1)U_{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x]/(x-1)U_{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x+1).$$

As bases in these three modules we choose, from left to right, b , b' , and (1). We compute the corresponding base change matrix by using (106) and $T_\ell \bmod (x+1) = T_\ell(-1) = (-1)^\ell$ from Lemma 47, iii) in Appendix III. The result is

$$B_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & & & \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & -2 & 2 & \cdot & \cdot & \end{bmatrix}.$$

The last column uses the b.c. $V_n = V_{n-1}$. Consequently, we get

$$\text{DCT-1}_{n+1} = (\overline{\text{DCT-2}_n} \oplus I_1) \cdot B_{n+1}.$$

Using the scaling diagonal $D_n = \text{diag}_{0 \leq k < n}(\cos k\pi/(2n))$ of the DCT-2 , this can be translated into

$$(D_n \oplus I_1) \cdot \text{DCT-1}_{n+1} = (\text{DCT-2}_n \oplus I_1) \cdot B_{n+1}, \quad (114)$$

or, as a commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^2-1)U_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{B_{n+1}} & \mathbb{C}[x]/(x-1)U_{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x+1) \\ \downarrow \text{DCT-1}_{n+1} & & \downarrow \text{DCT-2}_n \oplus I_1 \\ \bigoplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \cos \frac{k\pi}{n}) & \xrightarrow{D_n \oplus I_1} & \bigoplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \cos \frac{k\pi}{n}) \end{array}$$

Transposition of (114) yields a relationship between DCT-1_{n+1} and DCT-3_n .

Base change theorem. Using base changes between DTTs of the same group, combined with transposition, and inversion, we get the following theorem. Note that the sparsity of the base change matrix is guaranteed by Table VII.

Theorem 21 (Base Change Theorem) By a base change in the associated module, all DTTs of type 1–4, and all DTTs of type 5–8 can be translated into each other using $O(n)$ arithmetic operations.

I. Real and Rational Signal Model

In Section VI-G, we showed the effect of reducing the base field from \mathbb{C} to \mathbb{R} in the finite time model. Doing the same in the finite space models, in contrast, does not incur any change, since all considered polynomials factorize completely over \mathbb{R} . Thus, the real finite space models will share all the properties from their complex counterparts.

The situation is different, if we further restrict the base field to \mathbb{Q} . The question becomes how the polynomials in Table IX factor over \mathbb{Q} . This question is answered in [90] and can be used to derive rational versions of the DTTs. An application could be the derivation of algorithms, but we did not pursue this direction.

IX. FINITE SKEW C-TRANSFORM AND SKEW DTTs

In this section we introduce a new class of transforms that is closely related to the DTTs. We call these transforms *skew DTTs*. More specifically, the skew DTTs correspond to and generalize the DTTs in the T -group, i.e., those with associated module $\mathbb{C}[x]/T_n$, which are the DCTs and DSTs of type 3 and 4.

We introduce the skew DTTs for the following reasons:

- They are interesting from a signal processing point of view. As the DTTs, they provide a finite space model, their associated boundary conditions are simple, and their signal extension is 2-monomial (defined below) and also eventually periodic.
- They are necessary building blocks in the Cooley-Tukey FFT type DTT algorithms that we will derive and present in detail in our next paper on the algebraic theory of signal processing, [14]. The special case of DCT type 3 (and 2) algorithms and the first skew DCT were introduced in [13].

We follow our usual structure and derive first the signal model and then the associated Fourier transform.

A. Building the Signal Model

Shift, Linear Extension, Realization. The model we create is based on the finite space shift and thus its derivation follows the exact same steps as the derivation of the finite space model in Section VIII-A. The model we create now generalizes the signal model for the DTTs in the T -group, i.e., the DCTs and DSTs of type 3 and 4 (see Table IX). The generalization is done by modifying the right b.c.'s and thus the right signal extension as we explain next.

Boundary condition and signal extension. In the previous finite space model, we chose the right b.c.'s to ensure a monomial signal extension. Now, we just state the boundary conditions and derive the signal extension later.

The goal is to modify the right b.c. of the DCTs and DSTs of type 3 and 4 such that the associated module is given by $\mathbb{C}[x]/(T_n - \cos r\pi)$, $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, $0 \leq r \leq 1$. In this case we can read off the b.c.'s from Table IX:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DCT-3}_n : \quad T_n &= \cos r\pi, \\ \text{DST-3}_n : \quad U_n &= U_{n-2} + 2 \cos r\pi, \\ \text{DCT-4}_n : \quad V_n &= -V_{n-1} + 2 \cos r\pi, \\ \text{DST-4}_n : \quad W_n &= W_{n-1} - 2 \cos r\pi. \end{aligned} \quad (115)$$

Thus, we obtain four equal modules $\mathbb{C}[x]/(T_n - \cos r\pi)$ with T -basis, U -basis, V -basis, W -basis, respectively.

In the general case $r \neq 1/2$, these b.c. lead to no monomial signal extension, since this property defines the signal models for the 16 DTTs. However, it is intriguing that the signal extension is “almost” monomial in the following sense.

Definition 22 Using the notation from Definition 7, we call a signal extension

$$s_k = \sum_{i \in I} \beta_{k,i} s_i, \quad \text{for } k \notin I,$$

2-monomial if for each k the sum has at most 2 summands.

Now, we can explicitly state the signal extensions in these four cases.

Lemma 23 The module $\mathbb{C}[x]/(T_n - \cos r\pi)$ with T -, U -, V -, or W -basis has a 2-monomial signal extension. More precisely, the signal extension is displayed in Table XIII using the same method as in Figure 12 and Table X. The occurring constants are $c_k = T_k(\cos r\pi) = \cos kr\pi$ and $u_k = U_k(\cos r\pi)$. The constants u_k scale the entire line they are adjacent to with the exception of the signal extension for the DCT-3(r) (top line), where the bottom values (bullets) are scaled by c_k .

Proof: The proof uses induction and the two-term recurrence of the Chebyshev polynomials. We show the induction step for the case of a V -basis in a boundary case. According to Table XIII,


$$\begin{aligned} V_{kn-2} &= u_{k-1}V_{n-2} - u_{k-2}V_1, \\ V_{kn-1} &= u_{k-1}V_{n-1} - u_{k-2}V_0. \end{aligned}$$

We compute V_{kn} using the Chebyshev recurrence and the b.c. $V_n = -V_{n-1} - 2 \cos r\pi$,

$$\begin{aligned} V_{kn} &= 2xV_{kn-1} - V_{kn-2} \\ &= u_{k-1}(V_{n-2} + V_n) - u_{k-2}(V_0 + V_1) \\ &\quad - u_{k-1}V_{n-2} + u_{k-2}V_1 \\ &= u_{k-1}(-V_{n-1} + 2 \cos r\pi V_0) - u_{k-2}V_0 \\ &= -u_{k-1}V_{n-1} + u_k V_0 \end{aligned}$$

where we used $2 \cos r\pi \cdot u_{k-1} - u_{k-2} = u_k$, which is again the recurrence for Chebyshev polynomials. The result coincides with Table XIII, as desired. ■

TABLE XIII
(RIGHT) SIGNAL EXTENSIONS FOR THE FOUR SKEW DTTs.



Signal model: finite skew C -transform. Consider the regular module $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(T_n - \cos r\pi)$ with $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $0 \leq r \leq 1$. Let $C \in \{T, U, V, W\}$. The *finite skew C -transform* is the mapping

$$\Phi : \mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum_{0 \leq k < n} s_k C_k \in \mathcal{M},$$

and is a signal model for $V = \mathbb{C}^n$. As in the finite C -transform, the basis in the algebra is, independent of C , the T -basis: $\mathcal{A} = \{h = \sum_{0 \leq k < n} h_k T_k\}$. For $r = 1/2$ or $\cos r\pi = 0$, the skew C -transform reduces to its ordinary counterpart.

B. Spectrum and Fourier Transform

To compute the spectrum and a Fourier transform for the regular module $\mathbb{C}[x]/(T_n - \cos r\pi)$ with the four different bases, we need to determine the zeros of $T_n - \cos r\pi$ and fix a proper ordering.

Lemma 24 Let $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, $0 \leq r \leq 1$. We have the factorization

$$T_n - \cos r\pi = 2^{n-1} \prod_{0 \leq i < n} (x - \cos \frac{r+2i}{n}\pi), \quad (116)$$

which determines the zeros of $T_n - \cos r\pi$. We order the zeros as $\alpha = (\cos r_0\pi, \dots, \cos r_{n-1}\pi)$, such that $0 \leq r_i \leq 1$, and $r_i < r_j$ for $i < j$. The list α is given by the concatenation

$$\alpha = \bigcup_{0 \leq i < n/2} (\cos \frac{r+2i}{n}\pi, \cos \frac{2-r+2i}{n}\pi)$$

for n even, and by

$$\alpha = \left(\bigcup_{0 \leq i < \frac{n-1}{2}} (\cos \frac{r+2i}{n}\pi, \cos \frac{2-r+2i}{n}\pi) \right) \cup (\cos \frac{r+n-1}{n}\pi)$$

for n odd. In the particular case of $r = 1/2$ or $\cos r\pi = 0$, we thus have $\alpha = (\cos(i+1/2)\pi/n \mid 0 \leq i < n)$ as in Table XVI.

Proof: The zeros of $T_n - \cos r\pi$ are proved using the closed form of T_n . The ordering of α is shown by inspection. We omit the details. ■

In words, the list α arises from the list $\gamma = (\cos(r+2i)\pi/n \mid 0 \leq i < n)$ in (116) by interleaving the first half of γ with the reversed (and reduced modulo π) second half of γ .

Lemma 24 gives also the spectrum of \mathcal{M} , which we will not state explicitly. Instead we now formally define the skew DTTs.

Definition 25 (Skew DTTs) Let $p = T_n - \cos r\pi$, $0 \leq r \leq 1$, and $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p$ with basis $b = (C_0, \dots, C_{n-1})$, where C is one of T, U, V, W . Let $\alpha = (\cos r_i \pi)_{0 \leq i < n}$ denote the list of zeros of p in the order specified in Lemma 24. We denote the associated polynomial transforms $\mathcal{P}_{b,\alpha}$ for \mathcal{M} by $\overline{\text{DCT-3}}_n(r), \overline{\text{DST-3}}_n(r), \overline{\text{DCT-4}}_n(r), \overline{\text{DST-4}}_n(r)$, for $C = T, U, V, W$, respectively. Further, we define for each of these four $\overline{\text{DTT}}_n(r)$ the associated *scaled* polynomial transforms

$$\text{DTT}_n(r) = \text{diag}_{0 \leq i < n}(f(\cos r_i \pi)) \cdot \overline{\text{DTT}}_n(r),$$

where f is the scaling function associated with (ordinary) DTT (see Table IX). We call these transforms *skew DTTs*. If $r = 1/2$, then $\overline{\text{DTT}}_n(1/2) = \overline{\text{DTT}}_n$ and $\text{DTT}_n(1/2) = \text{DTT}_n$ in all four cases. In the case of the $\text{DCT-3}_n(r) = \overline{\text{DCT-3}}_n(r)$, we will omit the bar for the skew versions.

Equivalently we can define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DCT-3}_n(r) &= [\cos r_k \ell \pi]_{0 \leq k, \ell < n}, \\ \text{DST-3}_n(r) &= [\sin r_k (\ell + 1) \pi]_{0 \leq k, \ell < n}, \\ \text{DCT-4}_n(r) &= [\cos r_k (\ell + 1/2) \pi]_{0 \leq k, \ell < n}, \\ \text{DST-4}_n(r) &= [\sin r_k (\ell + 1/2) \pi]_{0 \leq k, \ell < n}. \end{aligned}$$

As an example, we consider the $\text{DCT-4}_3(1/3)$. Using Lemma 24, the zeros of $T_3 - \cos(\pi/3) = T_3 - 1/2$ are given by $\alpha = (\cos(\pi/9), \cos(5\pi/9), \cos(7\pi/9))$. We get

$$\text{DCT-4}_3(1/3) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \frac{1}{18} \pi & \cos \frac{1}{6} \pi & \cos \frac{5}{18} \pi \\ \cos \frac{5}{18} \pi & \cos \frac{5}{6} \pi & \cos \frac{11}{18} \pi \\ \cos \frac{7}{18} \pi & \cos \frac{5}{6} \pi & \cos \frac{1}{18} \pi \end{bmatrix}.$$

C. Diagonalization Property

The representation ϕ afforded by $\mathbb{C}[x]/(T_n - \cos r\pi)$ with the four C -bases, evaluated at the shift operator x , is obtained from (97) and (115). Thus, the shift matrix $\phi(x)$ arises from (97) by adding in the upper right corner $\beta(r) = \cos r\pi$ for $\text{DCT-3}(r)$, and $\beta(r) = 2 \cos r\pi$ for the other skew transforms. We obtain

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 & 1 & & & \beta(r) \\ \beta_2 & 0 & 1 & & \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & . & \\ & & 1 & . & 1 \\ & & & . & 0 & \beta_3 \\ & & & & 1 & \beta_4 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (117)$$

The values for the β_i coincide with the non-skew case given in Table XI. As a consequence, in the four cases,

$$\text{DTT}(r) \cdot \phi(x) \cdot \text{DTT}(r)^{-1} = \text{diag}(\alpha),$$

with α denoting the zeros of $T_n - \cos r\pi$ provided by Lemma 24.

We do not explicitly state a convolution theorem, which can be obtained from Theorem 6.

D. Translation into Non-Skew DTTs

Each of the skew DTTs can be translated into their non-skew counterpart using a sparse x-shaped matrix.

Lemma 26 Let $\text{DTT}_n(r)$ be a skew DTT. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DTT}_n(r) &= \text{DTT}_n \cdot X_n^{(*)}(r), \quad \text{and} \\ \overline{\text{DTT}}_n(r) &= \overline{\text{DTT}}_n \cdot X_n^{(*)}(r). \end{aligned}$$

Here, $X_n^{(*)}(r)$ depends on the DTT and takes the following forms, indicated by $*$ $\in \{C3, S3, C4, S4\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} X_n^{(C3)}(r) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & c_1 & & & s_{n-1} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & s_1 & & & c_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}, \\ X_n^{(S3)}(r) &= \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & & & -s_{n-1} & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ -s_1 & & & c_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & c_n \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

with $c_\ell = \cos(1/2 - r)\ell\pi/n$ and $s_\ell = \sin(1/2 - r)\ell\pi/n$.

$$X_n^{(C4)}(r) = \begin{bmatrix} c'_0 & & & s'_{n-1} \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \\ s'_0 & & & c'_{n-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

with $c'_\ell = \cos(1/2 - r)(2\ell + 1)\pi/(2n)$ and $s'_\ell = \sin(1/2 - r)(2\ell + 1)\pi/(2n)$. For $\text{DST-4}(r)$, the sines s'_ℓ in $X_n^{(C4)}(r)$ are multiplied by -1 .

In all four cases, if the lines intersect, the numbers are added at the intersecting position.

Proof: Follows by direct computation, using the definitions of the matrices and $\cos(x)\cos(y) = (\cos(x+y) + \cos(x-y))/2$. ■

The 2×2 blocks in the translation matrices $X_n(r)$ are not rotations, which implies that the skew DTTs are not “almost” orthogonal in the sense of (102). However, using Lemma 26, we can easily invert skew DTTs by inverting $X_n(r)$.

E. Translation between Skew DTTs

All skew $\text{DTT}(r)$ share the same associated module, but different bases. Thus they can be translated into each other by a base change similar to the ordinary DTTs in Section VIII-H. As in that section, we consider the skew DCTs, type 3 and 4 as an example. The base change matrix S'_n we computed in

(107) did not depend on the right b.c. Thus, the diagram (108) generalizes, for arbitrary r , as

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{C}[x]/(T_n - \cos r\pi) & \xrightarrow{S'_n} & \mathbb{C}[x]/(T_n - \cos r\pi) \\
 \downarrow \text{DCT-3}_n(r) & & \downarrow \overline{\text{DCT-4}}_n(r) \\
 \bigoplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k) & \xrightarrow{I_n} & \bigoplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k)
 \end{array} \quad (118)$$

The first difference occurs when we extend (118) to the non-polynomial $\text{DCT-4}_n(r)$, since the scaling diagonal depends on r . Let $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$ denote the zeros of $T_n - \cos r\pi$ and f the scaling function of DCT-4 and let $D_n(r) = \text{diag}_{0 \leq k < n}(f(\alpha_k))$. Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{C}[x]/(T_n - \cos r\pi) & \xrightarrow{S'_n} & \mathbb{C}[x]/(T_n - \cos r\pi) \\
 \downarrow \text{DCT-3}_n(r) & & \downarrow \text{DCT-4}_n(r) \\
 \bigoplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k) & \xrightarrow{D_n(r)} & \bigoplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k)
 \end{array}$$

or, as an equation,

$$D_n(r) \cdot \text{DCT-3}_n(r) = \text{DCT-4}_n(r) \cdot S'_n, \quad (119)$$

which generalizes (109).

In Section VIII-H, we continued by inverting (and transposing) this equation, using the fact that the DTTs are almost orthogonal, to derive the different relationship (113), which requires a smaller number of operations. As mentioned in Section IX-D, the skew DCTs are not “almost” orthogonal in the sense of (102). However, it is still desirable to invert (119), since we will need it later when we derive fast algorithms [14]. For this purpose we first define the proper “inverse” skew DTTs. The definition is motivated by and a generalization of the equations

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{DCT-3}_n^{-1} &= 2/n \cdot \text{diag}(1/2, 1, \dots, 1) \cdot \text{DCT-2}_n \\
 \text{DST-3}_n^{-1} &= 2/n \cdot \text{diag}(1, 1, \dots, 1/2) \cdot \text{DST-2}_n
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\text{DTT}_n^{-1} = n/2 \cdot \text{DTT}_n^T = n/2 \cdot \text{DTT}_n$$

for $\text{DTT} = \text{DCT-4}, \text{DST-4}$.

Definition 27 (Inverse Skew DTTs) We define the *inverse skew DTTs* by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{iDCT-3}_n(r) &= n/2 \cdot \text{diag}(2, 1, \dots, 1) \cdot \text{DCT-3}_n(r)^{-1}, \\
 \text{iDST-3}_n(r) &= n/2 \cdot \text{diag}(1, 1, \dots, 2) \cdot \text{DST-3}_n(r)^{-1}, \\
 \text{iDCT-4}_n(r) &= n/2 \cdot \text{DCT-4}_n(r)^{-1}, \\
 \text{iDST-4}_n(r) &= n/2 \cdot \text{DST-4}_n(r)^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $r = 1/2$, we have $\text{iDCT-3}_n(1/2) = \text{DCT-2}_n$, $\text{iDST-3}_n(1/2) = \text{DST-2}_n$, $\text{iDCT-4}_n(1/2) = \text{DCT-4}_n$, $\text{iDST-4}_n(1/2) = \text{DCT-4}_n$.

Note that Definition 27 does not provide direct knowledge about the matrix entries of the iDTTs. These, however, can be computed using Lemma 26. For example

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{iDCT-3}_n(r) &= (X_n^{(C3)}(r))^{-1} \cdot \text{DCT-2}_n, \\
 \text{iDCT-4}_n(r) &= (X_n^{(C4)}(r))^{-1} \cdot \text{DCT-4}_n,
 \end{aligned} \quad (120)$$

and similarly for DST-3 and DST-4. Note that $(X_n^{(*)}(r))^{-1}$ has in all four cases the same x-shaped pattern as $X_n(r)$. Namely, the four inverses are derived from

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos a & \sin b \\ \sin a & \cos b \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\cos(a+b)} \begin{bmatrix} \cos b & -\sin b \\ -\sin a & \cos a \end{bmatrix}.$$

For example,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (X_n^{(C3)}(r))^{-1} &= \\
 \frac{1}{\cos(1/2 - r)\pi} &\begin{bmatrix} c_n & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & c_{n-1} & & & -s_{n-1} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & -s_1 & & & c_1 \end{bmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Definition 27, we can now invert (109) to get a generalization of (111),

$$S_n \cdot \text{iDCT-3}_n(r) \cdot \frac{1}{2} D_n(r)^{-1} = \text{iDCT-4}_n(r),$$

where S_n is given in (112).

X. ALTERNATIVE INFINITE SPACE MODEL

In the realization of the space model in (82), we set the space shift operator to $q = x$, which implied that the space marks were realized by the Chebyshev polynomials, $t_n = C_n$. This, in turn, produced a left boundary, and thus the need for left boundary conditions. Interestingly, and in contrast to the time model, it is possible to choose a different realization, which we will develop in this section. The visualization of this model will match Figure 15, which seems desirable, but we will encounter the different problem of collapsing frequency responses.

A. Building the Signal Model

Shift, linear extension, realization. We start with the same shift, the space shift, and the same linear extension as in Section VII-A. In that section, the realization of the space model follows by setting the shift operator $q = x$, which implied $t_n = C_n$, the Chebyshev polynomials. Now we choose a different realization, namely by setting the space marks to $t_n = x^n$ as in the time case. Necessarily, the shift now takes the form

$$q = \frac{x^{-1} + x}{2}. \quad (121)$$

The corresponding k -fold space shift can be obtained in two different ways. From (81) we can directly read off that $q_k =$

TABLE XIV
THE DIFFERENT REALIZATIONS IN THE SPACE MODEL AND THE
ALTERNATIVE SPACE MODEL.

| | space marks | shift operator | k -fold shift operator |
|----------------------------|-------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| space model | C_n | x | $T_k(x)$ |
| alternative space model | x^n | $\frac{x^{-1} + x}{2}$ | $\frac{x^{-k} + x^k}{2}$ |

$(x^{-k} + x^k)/2$. Or, we use Lemma 9 to get $q_k = T_k(q) = (x^{-k} + x^k)/2$ as can be seen from the power form of T_k in (152) in Appendix III. Table XIV contrasts the two different realizations of the abstract space model.

As a result, we get as signal space $\mathcal{M} = \{s = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} s_n x^n\}$, and as filter space $\mathcal{A} = \{h = \sum_{k \geq 0} h_k (x^{-k} + x^k)/2\}$. Filtering is well-defined for $\mathbf{s} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathbf{h} \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N})$.

Signal model. The alternative infinite space model $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi)$ is given by the algebra of symmetric filters $\mathcal{A} = \{h = \sum_{k \geq 0} h_k (x^{-k} + x^k)/2\}$ with $\mathbf{h} \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ and the signal module \mathcal{M} consists of Laurent series s with $\mathbf{s} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. The mapping Φ is, as in the time case, the z -transform:

$$\mathbf{s} \mapsto s = S(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} s_n x^n \in \mathcal{M}.$$

The important difference to the z -transform is the algebra, which is now smaller: it consists only of the *symmetric* filters. As an aside, this motivates why a signal model requires that we explicitly specify the algebra (see Definition 1).

B. Spectrum and Fourier Transform

The impact of a smaller algebra when compared with the time case becomes evident when we compute the spectrum, which we do next. Since the set of operating filters, the symmetric filters, is smaller than in the time case, every eigenfunction $E_\omega(x)$ in the time case is also an eigenfunction in the space model under consideration. This implies that the Fourier transform for \mathcal{M} can be chosen as in the time case

$$\Delta : s = S(x) \mapsto (S(e^{j\omega}))_{\omega \in [0, 2\pi]}.$$

Accordingly, the frequency response of the filters $h = H((x^{-1} + x)/2) \in \mathcal{A}$ at frequency ω , or, in algebraic terms, the irreducible representation ϕ_ω afforded by the one-dimensional module generated by $E_\omega(x)$ becomes

$$\phi_\omega : H((x^{-1} + x)/2) \mapsto H(\cos j\omega).$$

This shows that pairs of conjugate frequencies $\omega, \bar{\omega}$ afford the same representation

$$\phi_\omega = \phi_{\bar{\omega}},$$

i.e., produce the same frequency response. As we explain later, this property of collapsing frequency responses carries over to the finite-dimensional case, where it may serve as an explanation why certain transforms work in practice better than others on “space signals,” e.g., images. Further, it shows that there is a larger degree of freedom in choosing a Fourier transform, since in any of the two-dimensional eigenspaces spanned by $E_\omega(x), E_{\bar{\omega}}(x)$ we can choose *any* basis.

C. Visualization

The visualization of the associated signal model is given in Figure 15, i.e., no boundary is intrinsic to this model and thus it seems more natural at first glance. However, this model has the different problem of collapsing frequency responses as explained in the previous section.

D. Remarks

As a summary, we observe that different realizations of the same abstract model may be possible, which motivates the concept of realization. Different realizations may have different properties and shortcomings. For example, in the case just studied, we trade the need for a left boundary by the collapsing of conjugate frequencies. We have no proof that these two realizations of the space model we considered are the only ones possible. However, regardless of the chosen realization, the Chebyshev polynomials come into play as a consequence of Lemma 9.

XI. ALTERNATIVE FINITE SPACE MODEL: RDFTS AND DHTS

In Section X, we discussed an alternative realization of the infinite space model. In contrast to the original realization of the infinite space model presented in Section VII, the alternative realization does not require a left boundary, but has the counterpart of collapsing frequencies, i.e., the spectrum consists of two-dimensional eigenspaces. As a consequence, the Fourier transform was no longer uniquely determined since in each of these two-dimensional spaces any basis can be chosen.

In this section, we discuss this alternative realization briefly in the finite-dimensional case and derive the corresponding transforms. We will see that, similar to the infinite case, there will be the problem of collapsing frequencies and thus a larger degree of freedom in choosing the Fourier transform. This degree of freedom interestingly leads, for different reasons, practically to the same class of transforms that arose as real Fourier transforms for the finite time model in Section VI-G.

A. Building the Signal Model

Shift, linear extension, realization. The alternative realization of the signal model in Section X led, as in the time case, to the z -transform. Thus, the corresponding finite signal modules also coincide with the finite time case, namely, they are given by $\mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ with basis $b = (x^0, x^1, \dots, x^{n-1})$. The question of the monomial signal extension in this case has already been settled in Lemma 8, namely by requiring $p(x) = x^n - a$, where $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $a \neq 0$, is any complex constant. As an aside, this emphasizes that the signal extension is a property of the module (including the chosen basis) only, and independent of the chosen operating algebra.

The difference to its time counterpart lies in the operating algebra of filters; the modules are in both cases equal, namely $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - a)$. In the time model, this module was regular, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}$, since \mathcal{A} contained the time shift operator $q = x$, which generates the entire algebra \mathcal{A} . In

the alternative space model, however, the shift operator is given by $q = (x^{-1} + x)/2$; see (121). The algebra for the space model may now, as in the infinite case, be smaller than $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - a)$, namely consisting only of those filters that are expressible as a polynomial in q . In algebraic terms, the algebra for the alternative finite space model is the subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - a)$ generated by q :

$$\mathcal{A} = \langle (x^{-1} + x)/2 \rangle \leq \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - a).$$

Note that x^{-1} is well-defined in $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - a)$ (since $a \neq 0$) and given by $x^{-1} = x^{n-1}/a$. The question now is whether \mathcal{A} is equal to or smaller than $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - a)$, in particular, for the most interesting case $a = 1$. As we show next, the answer depends on a , and, in the case where \mathcal{A} is smaller, it is an algebra belonging to a DCT.

Lemma 28 (Algebras for the alternative finite space model)

The subalgebra $\mathcal{A} = \langle (x^{-1} + x)/2 \rangle$ of $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - a)$ is equal to $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - a)$ if and only if $a \neq \pm 1$. If $a = \pm 1$, then the structure of \mathcal{A} is given by:

$$\mathcal{A} \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}[x]/(T_m - T_{m-2}), & m = \frac{n}{2} + 1, \quad n \text{ even}, a = 1 \\ \mathbb{C}[x]/T_m, & m = \frac{n}{2} + 1, \quad n \text{ even}, a = -1 \\ \mathbb{C}[x]/(T_m - T_{m-1}), & m = \frac{n+1}{2}, \quad n \text{ odd}, a = 1 \\ \mathbb{C}[x]/(T_m + T_{m-1}), & m = \frac{n+1}{2}, \quad n \text{ odd}, a = -1 \end{cases},$$

which are the algebras associated to the DCTs of types 1,3,5,7, respectively. The number m is their respective dimension.

Proof: A straightforward way to compute the algebra is to consider the sequence of powers $1, q, q^2, \dots$, and to determine when they become linearly dependent. We choose a different way by considering the sequence of k -fold space shift operators (the natural basis in the space model) $T_k(q) = (x^{-k} + x^k)/2$, $k \geq 0$, and focus on the case where n is even. Using $x^{-k} = x^{n-k}/a$, we get the following n elements in $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - a)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & 1, \frac{\frac{1}{a}x^{n-1} + x}{2}, \frac{\frac{1}{a}x^{n-2} + x^2}{2}, \dots, \\ & \frac{\frac{1}{a}x^{n/2+1} + x^{n/2-1}}{2}, \frac{1 + \frac{1}{a}x^{n/2}}{2}, \frac{\frac{1}{a}x^{n/2-1} + x^{n/2+1}}{2}, \\ & \dots, \frac{\frac{1}{a}x + x^{n-1}}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

The next element would be $(1/a + a)/2$, which makes the set certainly linearly dependent (also due to the length exceeding n). The question is when this set is linearly independent. We observe that the powers x^k and x^{n-k} always occur together. Thus the set is linearly dependent if and only if there is an $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ such that for any k ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{a}x^{n-k} + x^k &= \alpha(x^k + \frac{1}{a}x^{n-k}) \\ \Leftrightarrow a &= \pm 1. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if $a = \pm 1$, then only the first $n/2 + 1$ polynomials $T_0(q), T_1(q), \dots, T_{n/2}(q)$ are linearly independent. We focus on the case $a = 1$ in which $T_{n/2+1}(q) = T_{n/2-1}(q)$. This shows that

$$\mathcal{A} \cong \mathbb{C}[q]/(T_m(q) - T_{m-2}(q)), \quad m = n/2 + 1,$$

as desired. From Table IX, we see that this algebra is associated to the DCT-1_m. The cases $a = -1$ and n odd are derived analogously. ■

Signal model. In summary, we obtain a signal model for $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ given by

$$\Phi: \mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum_{0 \leq k < n} s_k x^k \in \mathcal{M},$$

with module $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - a)$, and \mathcal{A} is given by Lemma 28. The natural basis in \mathcal{A} consists of the k -fold space shift operators $T_k((x^{-1} + x)/2) = (x^{-k} + x^k)/2$.

B. Spectrum and Fourier transform

Lemma 28 shows that for $a = \pm 1$, the algebra \mathcal{A} is of smaller dimension than the module, namely $n/2 + 1$ (or $(n+1)/2$). Because of Lemma 3, \mathcal{A} has only $n/2 + 1$ different irreducible representations. Thus, as in the infinite case, we have the problem of collapsing frequencies: the n spectral components (eigenspaces) of \mathcal{M} produce only $n/2 + 1$ (or $(n+1)/2$) different frequency responses (irreducible representations). More precisely, focusing on $a = 1$, \mathcal{M} decomposes as in the time case (regular case) as

$$\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \omega_n^0) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \omega_n^{n-1}).$$

We set $\mathcal{M}_k = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \omega_n^k)$. The irreducible modules \mathcal{M}_k are mutually different (as vector spaces), but their frequency responses are not. Namely, because of

$$(x^{-1} + x)/2 \cdot x^0 \equiv \cos 2k\pi/n \pmod{(x - \omega_n^k)}$$

the spectral components \mathcal{M}_k and \mathcal{M}_{n-k} afford the same irreducible representation $\phi_k = \phi_{n-k}$, i.e., produce the same frequency response. In other words, conjugate frequencies in the (regular) time model collapse to the same frequency if \mathcal{M} is viewed only as an \mathcal{A} -module, $\mathcal{A} < \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - 1)$, and not as a regular module.

As a consequence of the previous section, there is a larger degree of freedom in choosing a Fourier transform for the \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} . In the time model, the generic Fourier transform for the regular module \mathcal{M} was given by

$$\mathcal{F} = D \cdot \text{DFT}_n,$$

where D was the degree of freedom: any invertible diagonal determined by the choice of bases in the irreducible modules \mathcal{M}_k . In the present case, the degree of freedom is in choosing bases in the two-dimensional spaces $\mathcal{M}_k \oplus \mathcal{M}_{n-k}$, which afford the same frequency response, and the remaining one-dimensional spaces \mathcal{M}_0 and $\mathcal{M}_{n/2}$ (if n is even). Regarding the Fourier transform, this leads to a similar situation as in Section VI-G, but for different reasons. In Section VI-G, we reduced the base field, which caused pairs of conjugate spectral components to fuse to real spectral components of dimension 2. In the present case, the base field is still \mathbb{C} , but the smaller algebra causes pairs of conjugate frequency responses to become equal, i.e., the spectral components still have all dimension 1, but afford the same representation.

As a result, the generic Fourier transform is given by any matrix of the form

$$\mathcal{F} = X \cdot \text{DFT}_n, \quad (122)$$

where X is any invertible matrix of the x-shaped form (70). The difference between (122) and (69) is that every matrix in (69) is one in (122) but not vice-versa, since the matrix in (69) has to be real valued. As a consequence, the RDFT and the DHT, defined in Section VI-G, are Fourier transforms for the finite alternative space model.

C. Visualization

We visualize the alternative finite space model for $a = \pm 1$ using Definition 2 by the graph in Figure 19 that has the shift matrix (123) below as adjacency matrix. The graph is similar to Figure 13 but is undirected, i.e., all edges are now in both directions. If $a \neq \pm 1$, then the edge from x^{n-1} to x^0 has weight a , while the reverse edge has weight $1/a$.




Fig. 19. Visualization of the alternative space model for $a = \pm 1$.

D. Diagonalization Properties

Let ϕ be the representation afforded by the \mathcal{A} -module $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - 1)$ and consider the shift operator $q = (x^{-1} + x)/2$. It is

$$\phi(q) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & a \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & & \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \ddots & \\ & & 1 & \ddots & 1 \\ \frac{1}{a} & & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (123)$$

which should be compared to (97); the inner structure is the same, determined by the space shift, but the boundary conditions are different. Further, $\phi(q)$ and thus the signal model Φ , is symmetric (see Definition 18) if and only if $a = \pm 1$.

From the above discussion, we know already that, for $a = \pm 1$, $\phi(q)$ has duplicate eigenvalues in contrast to the matrix in (97). In particular, for $a = 1$, we get the diagonalization property

$$\mathcal{F}\phi(q)\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \text{diag}(1, \cos \frac{2\pi}{n}, \cos \frac{4\pi}{n}, \dots, \cos \frac{4\pi}{n}, \cos \frac{2\pi}{n}),$$

where the value in the middle of the diagonal depends on whether n is even or odd. In this equation, \mathcal{F} can be chosen, e.g., as DFT_n , RDFT_n , DHT_n . The generic matrix diagonalized by this transform is given by $\phi(h)$, $h \in \mathcal{A}$. The structure of $\phi(h)$ for $a = 1$ is symmetric circulant.

As a summary, the RDFT and the DHT diagonalize symmetric circulant matrices and “almost” diagonalize (i.e., reduce to x-shape) circulant matrices, shown in (76).

XII. THE GENERIC NEAREST NEIGHBOR MODEL

Signal models based on the time shift operator (51) and, as we have shown, the space shift operator (80) are widely used in signal processing, reflected by the common use of the DFT and the DTTs. After recognizing that the concept of a shift is by no means restricted to the time shift used in classical signal processing theory, it is natural to start exploring other shift operators, their associated infinite and finite signal models, and their use in signal processing. In this section we briefly discuss a generalization of the space shift: the generic nearest neighbor (GNN) shift²⁶. Based on this shift, we first derive the infinite and the finite signal model, following the same steps as used before in the derivation of the time and space models.

A. Building the Infinite GNN Signal Model

Definition of the GNN shift. As before, we denote the abstract shift operator by q and define the generic nearest neighbor shift by

$$q \diamond t_n = a_n t_{n-1} + b_n t_n + c_n t_{n+1}, \quad (124)$$

which is depicted in Figure 20.

We require that $a_n, c_n \neq 0$. As usual, the t_n denotes “space marks”²⁷. Note that, in contrast to the time and space shift, (124) is *variant* since the coefficients depend on n .




Fig. 20. The generic nearest neighbor shift $q \diamond t_n$.

In this generic case, it is not clear how to define a k -fold GNN shift. A natural choice would be a polynomial $p_{k,n}$ of degree k such that $p_{k,n}(q)t_n$ is a linear combination of only t_{n-k} and t_{n+k} (the marks at distance k from t_n). However, this is not possible in the general case as can be shown by direct computation (compute $t_n, q \diamond t_n, q^2 \diamond t_n, q^3 \diamond t_n$; it is not possible to linearly combine them so that the result has only t_{n-k} and t_{n+k} as summands).

Linear extension. As usual, we linearly extend the operation of q to the entire set $\mathcal{M} = \{s = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} s_n t_n\}$. Since

²⁶We could call it the Markov shift for obvious reasons and call the resulting model a Markov model. Since this term is already taken, we refrain from doing so. We will discuss the relationship between the GNN model and Gauss-Markov random fields in Section XVI.

²⁷The word “space” is used in lack of a better term; the model is only “space” in the sense that the shift connects to both neighbors.

we do not have a notion of k -fold GNN shifts, it is not clear which basis to choose in the associated algebra of filters.

Realization: orthogonal polynomials. We realize the model by setting $q = x$, which leads to the recurrence

$$P_{n+1} = \frac{x-b_n}{c_n} P_n - \frac{a_n}{c_n} P_{n-1}, \quad (125)$$

which also motivates the requirement $c_n \neq 0$. Normalizing $P_0 = 1$ and $\deg(P_1) = 1$ defines a sequence of polynomials orthogonal with respect to some moment functional (Favard's theorem), [87, p. 21]. Conversely, every sequence of orthogonal polynomials obeys a recurrence of the form (125) [87]. The recursion (125) can be run in the negative direction (since we required $a_n \neq 0$) to obtain the P_n for $n < 0$. Every such P_n is again a polynomial and can thus be expressed as a linear combination in the P_n , $n \geq 0$. As a consequence, we consider only right-sided sequences, and $\mathcal{M} = \{s = \sum_{n \geq 0} s_n P_n\}$. Consequently, \mathcal{A} also contains only right-sided sequences.

The sequence P_n , $n < 0$ is the left signal extension, and expressing P_{-1} in P_0, P_1 is the left b.c.

Signal model. As a result, we obtain an infinite discrete signal model for a vector space $V \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ given by the P -transform

$$\Phi : \mathbf{s} = (s_0, s_1, \dots) \mapsto \sum_{k \geq 0} s_k P_k.$$

Choosing $V = \ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ ensures that $\Phi(\mathbf{s})$ defines a function on the interval of orthogonality of the P_n . How much V can be enlarged depends on P , see [60]. The algebra of filters is a set of right sided series, but as said above, it is not clear which basis polynomials to choose.

Finally, we conjecture that it is not possible to establish monomial left b.c. unless $P_k \in \{T_k, U_k, V_k, W_k\}$. Note that $P_k = x^k$ cannot be included here since we required $a_n \neq 0$ in the recurrence (125).

We did not pursue the question of the exact form of the Fourier transform, and we also omit the visualization of the P -transform.

B. Building the Finite GNN Signal Model

Shift, linear extension, realization. As before a straightforward realization leads to the set of all polynomials

$$\sum_{0 \leq k < n} s_k P_k,$$

which is the vector space $\mathbb{C}_n[x]$, but not a module, since $xP_{n-1} \notin \mathbb{C}_n[x]$. To solve this problem we need a right b.c.

Boundary condition and signal extension. We can choose any right b.c. $P_n = \sum_{0 \leq k < n} \beta_k P_k$, but the relevant question is which one is desirable. We cannot expect to establish a monomial signal extension as in the special case of the Chebyshev polynomials.

We argue that a natural choice is the b.c. $P_n = 0$, since it achieves three crucial properties.

- P_n is separable, a general property of orthogonal polynomials [85, p. 44], which ensures one-dimensional spectral components.
- The associated Fourier transform is “almost” orthogonal.

- The Fourier transform has a fast algorithm.

The last two points are discussed in greater detail below.

In summary, we obtain the regular module $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/P_n(x)$ with P -basis.

Signal model. The corresponding signal model is given by the *finite P -transform*

$$\Phi : \mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum_{0 \leq k < n} s_k P_k \in \mathbb{C}[x]/P_n(x),$$

where $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/P_n(x)$ is regular, i.e., $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}$. We call this signal model also the *finite GNN model*.

C. Spectrum and Fourier Transform

We obtain the (polynomial) Fourier transform for the regular module $\mathbb{C}[x]/P_n(x)$ with basis $b = (P_0, \dots, P_{n-1})$ as a special case of (41) and Theorem 4. We assume that $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$ are the (mutually distinct as mentioned above) zeros of P_n . Thus, the Fourier transform is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta : \mathbb{C}[x]/P_n(x) &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{0 \leq k < n} \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k), \\ s = s(x) &\mapsto (s(\alpha_0), \dots, s(\alpha_{n-1})). \end{aligned} \quad (126)$$

In matrix form, we get the polynomial Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}_{b,\alpha} = [P_\ell(\alpha_l)]_{0 \leq k, \ell < n}. \quad (127)$$

D. Diagonalization Properties

If ϕ is the representation of \mathcal{A} afforded by \mathcal{M} , then \mathcal{F} diagonalizes any matrix $\phi(h)$, $h \in \mathcal{A}$. In particular, the shift matrix $\phi(x)$ is tridiagonal:

$$\phi(x) = \begin{bmatrix} b_0 & a_1 & & & \\ c_0 & b_1 & a_2 & & \\ & c_1 & b_2 & \cdot & \\ & & c_2 & \cdot & a_{n-2} \\ & & & \cdot & b_{n-2} & a_{n-1} \\ & & & & c_{n-2} & b_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (128)$$

where b_0, c_0 depend on the left b.c., or equivalently on P_1 . Thus,

$$\mathcal{F}\phi(x)\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \text{diag}(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}).$$

A convolution theorem follows directly from Theorem 6 and is not explicitly stated.

E. Orthogonal Transform

The (polynomial) Fourier transform \mathcal{F} for the regular module $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/P_n$ with basis $b = (P_0, \dots, P_{n-1})$ in (127) is almost orthogonal in the sense that there exist diagonal matrices D, E such that $D\mathcal{F}E$ is orthogonal. We showed this general result before in Theorem 16 and used it to derive the matrices D, E to obtain the orthogonal DTTs. We further showed that if a DTT is a Fourier transform for a signal model Φ , then the corresponding orthogonal DTT is a Fourier transform for a slightly adjusted signal model Φ' (Theorem 17), which arises from Φ by a scaling of the module basis, i.e., a base change with a diagonal matrix E .

The derivation for the DTTs in Section VIII-F transfers directly to the finite GNN model. Let \mathcal{F} be the Fourier transform

in (127) for the finite GNN model $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi)$ with shift matrix $\phi(x)$ in (128). Further, let D, E be diagonal matrices computed using the Christoffel-Darboux formula (Theorem 15), to make

$$\mathcal{F}' = D\mathcal{F}E^{-1}$$

orthogonal (E^{-1} is chosen to obtain the form in equation (104)). Then, $E\phi(x)E^{-1}$ is symmetric. Further, if $E = \text{diag}(e_0, \dots, e_{n-1})$, then \mathcal{F}' is a Fourier transform for the signal model $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi')$, with

$$\Phi' : \mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum_{0 \leq k < n} s_k \frac{1}{e_k} P_k.$$

This model is symmetric (see Definition 18), since, if ϕ' is its afforded representation, then the matrix $\phi'(x)$, and thus all matrices $\phi'(h)$, $h \in \mathcal{A}$, are symmetric.

As we mentioned before, this general construction of orthogonal transforms from orthogonal polynomials has been proposed in signal processing by [86], where it was called Gauss-Jacobi procedure and the resulting transforms Gauss-Jacobi transforms. Our theory identifies these transforms as Fourier transforms for finite GNN signal models and provides the associated filters, spectrum, boundary conditions, signal extensions, and other concepts and properties.

A few special cases, corresponding to special choices of orthogonal polynomials, have been proposed in the signal processing literature including for the Laguerre polynomials [91], for the Hermite polynomials [92], and for the so-called discrete orthogonal polynomials [93], [94], [95].

With our algebraic theory, we get immediately all important concepts associated to these transform including an understanding of the underlying signal model, the notion of filtering, and several properties of the transforms.

F. Fast Algorithms

Although fast algorithms are not the subject of this paper, and will be considered in [14], we want to mention that every polynomial transform constructed as above from orthogonal polynomials has a fast algorithm that allows its computation using only $O(n \log^2(n))$ operations (see [63], or the numerically more stable version in [64]). These algorithms are based on the three-term recurrence characteristic for orthogonal polynomials. Note that this cost is slightly worse than for the special case of the DTTs, which are known to have a complexity of $\Theta(n \log(n))$. This implies that DTT algorithms are due to special properties of the Chebyshev polynomials, a fact that we will confirm in a different paper, [14], in which we extend our algebraic theory to the derivation and discovery of fast algorithms.

XIII. OVERVIEW OF FINITE SIGNAL MODELS

In Table XV we list all the finite signal models, and their associated Fourier transforms, that we introduced in this paper. The table is divided into complex time models, real time models, complex/real space models (in contrast to time, for space the restriction to a real base field does not change the spectrum or the Fourier transform), and complex/real GNN models. In each row, we list in the first three columns the

signal model as $(\Phi, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A})$, in the fourth column the associated unique polynomial Fourier transform, and in the fifth column possibly other relevant Fourier transforms for the model. Note that the notion of polynomial transform only exists for regular modules of separable polynomial algebras; thus, the real time models and the alternative space models have no polynomial transforms (in the table indicated as n.a.).

Orthogonal transforms. Each of the listed transforms has an orthogonal counterpart, which is in each case obtained by proper scaling of rows or columns. In some cases, this scaling requires an adjustment (namely a scaling of the module basis) of the signal model. This is the case for certain DCTs/DSTs (Section VIII-F) and for the GNN transforms (Section XII-E) based on general orthogonal polynomials.

Discrete trigonometric transforms. In this paper, we have used the term discrete trigonometric transforms (DTTs) to denote the 16 DCTs and DSTs. In the literature, the DTTs are often considered as the entire class of transforms whose entries are expressible using cosines and sines, which includes also the DFT, DHT, and RDFT. To our best knowledge, Table XV contains all 1-D trigonometric transforms (in this sense) that have been introduced in the literature, and extends this class by the RDFTs of types 2–4, the polynomial DCTs and DSTs, and the four types of skew DCTs and DSTs. Further, we suggest to rename the (rarely occurring) DWTs of type 1–4 to be called DHTs of type 1–4.

The Fourier transforms for the GNN model are not trigonometric transforms and should not be considered as such.

XIV. HIGHER-DIMENSIONAL SIGNAL MODELS

In Section II-D, we identified the equivalence (under some weak assumptions) of signal models with shift-invariant systems (or filters) and commutative algebras \mathcal{A} . More specifically, we asserted that if \mathcal{A} consists exclusively of FIR filters, then \mathcal{A} is necessarily a polynomial algebra, i.e., of the form

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[\bar{x}] / \langle p_1(\bar{x}), \dots, p_k(\bar{x}) \rangle \quad (129)$$

where $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ and the p_i are polynomials in n variables. Usually, the generators of this algebra are chosen as x_1, \dots, x_n , which are the shift operators in this algebra. The whole discussion in this paper focused on the case of only one shift operator $x = x_1$, which corresponds to signal models for sampled 1-D signals. In this case, $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$, which, as a regular module, provides the underlying signal model for most 1-D transforms including the DFT and the DTTs.

In signal processing, m -D signals are usually processed with m -D versions of 1-D transforms. In matrix form, if \mathcal{F} is some 1-D transform, such as the DFT or a DCT, its m -D counterpart is simply the m -fold tensor (or Kronecker) product of \mathcal{F} with itself,

$$\mathcal{F} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}. \quad (130)$$

The resulting transform is called “separable,” since it operates independently along the different dimensions, according to the

TABLE XV

OVERVIEW OF ALL FINITE SIGNAL MODELS AND ASSOCIATED FOURIER TRANSFORMS DISCUSSED IN THIS PAPER. ALL THESE FOURIER TRANSFORMS (EXCEPT THOSE FOR THE GNN MODEL) ARE TRIGONOMETRIC TRANSFORMS.

| Time (complex): complex finite z-transform | | | | Section VI-B |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Φ | \mathcal{M} | \mathcal{A} | $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}_{b,\alpha}$ | other \mathcal{F} |
| $\mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum s_k x^k$ | $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - a)$ | regular | $\text{DFT}_n \cdot D$ | — |
| | $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - 1)$ | regular | $\text{DFT}_n = \text{DFT-1}_n$ | DFT-2_n |
| | $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n + 1)$ | regular | DFT-3_n | DFT-4_n |
| Time (real): real finite z-transform | | | | Section VI-G |
| Φ | \mathcal{M} | \mathcal{A} | $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}_{b,\alpha}$ | other \mathcal{F} |
| $\mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum s_k x^k$ | $\mathbb{R}[x]/(x^n - 1)$ | regular | n.a. | $\text{RDFT}_n = \text{RDFT-1}_n$ |
| | $\mathbb{R}[x]/(x^n - 1)$ | regular | n.a. | RDFT-2_n |
| | $\mathbb{R}[x]/(x^n - 1)$ | regular | n.a. | $\text{DHT}_n = \text{DHT-1}_n$ (DWT-1_n) |
| | $\mathbb{R}[x]/(x^n - 1)$ | regular | n.a. | DHT-2_n (DWT-2_n) |
| | $\mathbb{R}[x]/(x^n + 1)$ | regular | n.a. | RDFT-3_n |
| | $\mathbb{R}[x]/(x^n + 1)$ | regular | n.a. | RDFT-4_n |
| | $\mathbb{R}[x]/(x^n + 1)$ | regular | n.a. | DHT-3_n (DWT-3_n) |
| | $\mathbb{R}[x]/(x^n + 1)$ | regular | n.a. | DHT-4_n (DWT-4_n) |
| Space (complex/real): finite C-transform (C = T,U,V,W) | | | | Sections VIII-B, IX-B, XI-B |
| Φ | \mathcal{M} | \mathcal{A} | $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}_{b,\alpha}$ | other \mathcal{F} |
| $\mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum s_k T_k$ | $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^2 - 1)U_{n-2}$ | regular | $\text{DCT-1}_n = \overline{\text{DCT-1}}_n$ | — |
| | $\mathbb{C}[x]/T_n$ | regular | $\text{DCT-3}_n = \overline{\text{DCT-3}}_n$ | — |
| | $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x - 1)W_{n-1}$ | regular | $\text{DCT-5}_n = \overline{\text{DCT-5}}_n$ | — |
| | $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x + 1)V_{n-1}$ | regular | $\text{DCT-7}_n = \overline{\text{DCT-7}}_n$ | — |
| | $\mathbb{C}[x]/(T_n - \cos r\pi)$ | regular | $\text{DCT-3}_n(r) = \overline{\text{DCT-3}}_n(r)$ | — |
| $\mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum s_k U_k$ | $\mathbb{C}[x]/T_n$ | regular | $\overline{\text{DST-3}}_n$ | DST-3_n |
| | $\mathbb{C}[x]/U_n$ | regular | $\overline{\text{DST-1}}_n$ | DST-1_n |
| | $\mathbb{C}[x]/V_n$ | regular | $\overline{\text{DCT-7}}_n$ | DCT-7_n |
| | $\mathbb{C}[x]/W_n$ | regular | $\overline{\text{DST-5}}_n$ | DST-5_n |
| | $\mathbb{C}[x]/(T_n - \cos r\pi)$ | regular | $\overline{\text{DST-3}}_n(r)$ | $\text{DST-3}(r)_n$ |
| $\mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum s_k V_k$ | $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x - 1)W_{n-1}$ | regular | $\overline{\text{DCT-6}}_n$ | DCT-6_n |
| | $\mathbb{C}[x]/V_n$ | regular | $\overline{\text{DCT-8}}_n$ | DCT-8_n |
| | $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x - 1)U_{n-1}$ | regular | $\overline{\text{DCT-2}}_n$ | DCT-2_n |
| | $\mathbb{C}[x]/T_n$ | regular | $\overline{\text{DCT-4}}_n$ | DCT-4_n |
| | $\mathbb{C}[x]/(T_n - \cos r\pi)$ | regular | $\overline{\text{DCT-4}}_n(r)$ | $\text{DCT-4}(r)_n$ |
| $\mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum s_k W_k$ | $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x + 1)V_{n-1}$ | regular | $\overline{\text{DST-8}}_n$ | DST-8_n |
| | $\mathbb{C}[x]/W_n$ | regular | $\overline{\text{DST-6}}_n$ | DST-6_n |
| | $\mathbb{C}[x]/T_n$ | regular | $\overline{\text{DCT-4}}_n$ | DCT-4_n |
| | $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x + 1)U_{n-1}$ | regular | $\overline{\text{DST-2}}_n$ | DST-2_n |
| | $\mathbb{C}[x]/(T_n - \cos r\pi)$ | regular | $\overline{\text{DST-4}}_n(r)$ | $\text{DST-4}(r)_n$ |
| $\mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum s_k x^k$ | $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - 1)$ | $\langle (x^{-1} + x)/2 \rangle$ | n.a. | $\text{RDFT}_n = \text{RDFT-1}_n$ |
| | $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - 1)$ | $\langle (x^{-1} + x)/2 \rangle$ | n.a. | RDFT-2_n |
| | $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - 1)$ | $\langle (x^{-1} + x)/2 \rangle$ | n.a. | $\text{DHT}_n = \text{DHT-1}_n$ |
| | $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - 1)$ | $\langle (x^{-1} + x)/2 \rangle$ | n.a. | DHT-2_n |
| | $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n + 1)$ | $\langle (x^{-1} + x)/2 \rangle$ | n.a. | RDFT-3_n |
| | $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n + 1)$ | $\langle (x^{-1} + x)/2 \rangle$ | n.a. | RDFT-4_n |
| | $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n + 1)$ | $\langle (x^{-1} + x)/2 \rangle$ | n.a. | DHT-3_n |
| | $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n + 1)$ | $\langle (x^{-1} + x)/2 \rangle$ | n.a. | DHT-4_n |
| GNN (complex/real): finite P-transform (P orthogonal polynomials) | | | | Section XII-C |
| Φ | \mathcal{M} | \mathcal{A} | $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}_{b,\alpha}$ | other \mathcal{F} |
| $\mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum s_k P_k$ | $\mathbb{C}[x]/P_n$ | regular | no specific name | — |

formula

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F} &= (\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n^{m-1}}) \\ &\cdot (\mathbf{I}_n \otimes \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n^{m-2}}) \\ &\dots \\ &\cdot (\mathbf{I}_{n^{m-1}} \otimes \mathcal{F}). \end{aligned}$$

This also shows that the m -D version of \mathcal{F} can be computed using mn^{m-1} many 1-D \mathcal{F} 's. The question is: what is the underlying signal model, i.e., how to represent m -D transforms in our algebraic theory? Clearly, the signal model is shift-invariant, which implies that the algebra has to be of the form (129).

In this section, we explain that algebras for separable signal models are a special case of (129), and that the algebraic construction of a separable m -D signal model from its 1-D counterpart is a natural one. We focus our discussion on the 2-D case; the m -D case is completely analogous.

Separable module and algebra. Let $\mathcal{M}_x = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ be a regular module with basis $b_x = (p_0(x), \dots, p_{n-1}(x))$. The x in the subscript is the name of the variable or shift operator; we mention this since we will create copies with different variable names. Then, a corresponding regular module in two variables can be constructed as

$$\mathcal{M}_{x,y} = \mathcal{A}_{x,y} = \mathbb{C}[x,y]/\langle p(x), p(y) \rangle. \quad (131)$$

Note that this is a special case of (129) for $m = 2$, and, more important, the two polynomials $p(x), p(y)$ depend on different variables; this is equivalent to the separability. The natural basis $b_{x,y}$ for $\mathcal{M}_{x,y}$ is the cross product of the basis b_x with b_y , namely

$$\begin{aligned} b_{x,y} &= b_x \times b_y \\ &= (p_0(x)p_0(y), p_0(x)p_1(y), \dots, p_0(x)p_{n-1}(y), \\ &\quad p_1(x)p_0(y), p_1(x)p_1(y), \dots, p_1(x)p_{n-1}(y), \\ &\quad \dots, \\ &\quad p_{n-1}(x)p_0(y), p_{n-1}(x)p_1(y), \dots, p_{n-1}(x)p_{n-1}(y)), \end{aligned} \quad (132)$$

which shows that the dimensions multiply, i.e., $\mathcal{M}_{x,y}$ has dimension $n \cdot n = n^2$. Omitting one of the polynomials in (131), say $p(y)$, would make the module infinite-dimensional, since it would now contain any polynomial in y . Note that two shift operators operate on the above basis: x and y , the generators of $\mathcal{A}_{x,y}$.

Signal model. The regular module $\mathcal{M}_{x,y}$ provides a signal model for \mathbb{C}^{n^2} , whose elements we assume to be arranged in a two-dimensional array $\mathbf{s} = (s_{k,\ell})$:

$$\Phi : \mathbf{s} \mapsto \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k < n \\ 0 \leq \ell < n}} s_{k,\ell} p_k p_\ell.$$

Decomposition into a tensor product. Instead of working with (131), it is more convenient to exhibit the separable structure of $\mathcal{M}_{x,y}$, which is encapsulated algebraically by decomposing the module into a *tensor product*:

$$\mathcal{M}_{x,y} = \mathcal{M}_x \otimes \mathcal{M}_y,$$

with associated algebra $\mathcal{A}_{x,y} = \mathcal{A}_x \otimes \mathcal{A}_y$. The elements of a tensor product of vector spaces are generated by its natural basis $b_{x,y}$ in (132). Intuitively, the tensor decomposition decouples the two variables and makes explicit the separability. Since the tensor product is a “natural” construction in algebra, most relevant properties are also “naturally” derived from the 1-D counterpart.

Spectrum and Fourier transform. Let $p(x) = \prod_{0 \leq k < n} (x - \alpha_k)$. The Fourier transform of the regular module $\mathcal{M}_x \times \mathcal{M}_y$ is given by

$$\Delta : \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x) \times \mathbb{C}[y]/p(y) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{0 \leq k_1 < n \\ 0 \leq k_2 < n}} \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_{k_1}) \times \mathbb{C}[y]/(y - \alpha_{k_2}), \quad (133)$$

and for every signal the spectrum is computed as

$$s = s(x, y) \mapsto \Delta(s) = (s(\alpha_k, \alpha_\ell))_{0 \leq k, \ell < n},$$

using $s(x, y) \equiv s(\alpha_k, \alpha_\ell) \bmod \langle x - \alpha_k, y - \alpha_\ell \rangle$.

Note that the irreducible modules in (133) have all dimension $1 \cdot 1 = 1$. If \mathcal{F} is a Fourier transform for \mathcal{M}_x with basis b_x , then $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ is a Fourier transform for $\mathcal{M}_x \times \mathcal{M}_y$ with basis $b_x \times b_y$.

Representation. We evaluate the representation $\phi_{x,y}$ afforded by the regular module $\mathcal{M}_{x,y}$ with basis $b_{x,y}$ at the shift operators x and y . The operation of x on b_x yields the matrix $\phi_x(x)$. Thus, from the special form of $b_{x,y}$ in (132), we obtain

$$\phi_{x,y}(x) = \phi_x(x) \otimes \mathbf{I}_n,$$

and, analogously,

$$\phi_{x,y}(y) = \mathbf{I}_n \otimes \phi_y(y).$$

As usual, and obvious in this case, $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ diagonalizes both matrices.

Visualization. A visualization of the separable signal model is obtained by constructing the graph with adjacency matrix $\phi_{x,y}(x) + \phi_{x,y}(y) = \phi_{x,y}(x + y)$, which is exactly the direct product of the graph for the 1-D model given by the adjacency matrix $\phi_x(x)$ with itself. For example, for the model underlying the two-dimensional DCT of type 2 and size 8, which is used in the JPEG image compression standard, we obtain this way Figure 21 from the second graph in Figure 17. All horizontal lines are incurred by the x -shift, all vertical lines by the y -shift. The loops at the boundary visualize the symmetric b.c.'s of the DCT, type 2.

For the DFT, the direct product of the directed circle graph (Figure 13) with itself is a directed torus.

Summary on separability. As a summary, it is worth to emphasize again that the separable construction of m -D signal models is quite natural, given the equivalence of the following concepts:

- tensor product of algebras/regular modules
- \leftrightarrow tensor product of Fourier transforms
- \leftrightarrow direct product of visualizing graphs.

Non-separable signal models. Separable signal models are commonly used in signal processing for two main reasons:




Fig. 21. Visualization of the 2-D space model corresponding to the DCT, type 2.

- They produce models for square grids, which is by far the most common format for m -D signals such as images.
- The associated Fourier transforms and its fast algorithms are immediately available from the 1-D counterparts.

An interesting question is whether there are any interesting non-separable signal models. The above discussion shows that they would likely model a non-square grid. Indeed, using the algebraic theory, reference [3] derives a non-separable 2-D transform, called discrete triangle transform, that operates on a regular triangular grid. Further, also using algebraic methods, it can be shown that this transform possesses fast algorithms [15]. A more detailed paper is in preparation.

Remarks. In the case of one variable, or shift operator, we have argued before that the algebra has always the form $\mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$. This was due to $\mathbb{C}[x]$ being a Euclidean ring, which allows us to always reduce $\mathbb{C}[x]/\langle p_1(x), p_2(x) \rangle$ to $\mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$, with $p = \gcd(p_1, p_2)$. In the m -D case (129) with more than one variable $m > 1$, this is in general no longer the case, i.e., the number k of polynomials “factored out” in (129) can be arbitrarily large. If $k < m$, then the dimension of \mathcal{A} is infinite; if $k \geq m$ the dimension depends on the choice of the p_i . The investigation of these multivariate polynomial algebras is the subject of the theory of varieties [62].

XV. MARKOV MODELS, GRAPHS, MATRICES, AND SIGNAL MODELS

The construction of the time model, the space model, and the generic nearest neighbor (GNN) model in Sections V, VII, and XII, respectively, consisted of two essential steps:

- 1) The introduction of (time/space) marks t_n , the abstract shift operator q , and its operation on the t_n .
- 2) Extension to linear combinations of marks on the one hand to form the signal module, and to linear combinations of multiple shift operators on the other hand to form the filter algebra.

The second step is a common mathematical procedure and the effect, of course, is that we obtain a *linear* signal model. The question remains to identify the mathematical nature of the first step, and we will give a partial answer by relating this step, under certain assumptions, to the definition of a discrete Markov chain. Afterwards, we will discuss the general relationship between the concepts of finite-state Markov chains, weighted graphs, signal models, and square matrices.

A. Discrete Markov Chains

We start with the definition of a discrete Markov chain, following [96].

Definition. We assume a system S of a discrete number of mutually exclusive states, abstractly denoted by $t_n, n \in I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$. At an initial instant of time, $k = 0$, the uncertainty of the state is described by a random variable X_0 , whose distribution $(a_{0,n})_{n \in I}$ describes the probability of S being in state $t_n, n \in I$. The system is now observed at regular time instances $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and the state distribution evolves according to a fixed stochastic rule. Namely, if at time k , the system is in state t_n , then the probability that at time $k+1$ the system is in state t_m is $p_{m,n}$, independent of the states at previous time instances $0, \dots, k-1$:

$$p_{m,n} = \text{prob}(X_{k+1} = t_m | X_k = t_n).$$

For simplicity, we assume that for any n there are only finitely many $p_{m,n} \neq 0$. Under these conditions the sequence X_0, X_1, \dots is called a *Markov chain with discrete state space and of discrete time*. Further, we require that $p_{m,n}$ is independent of k , which means that the chain is *homogeneous*. We always assume discrete time and homogeneity and just say *discrete-time Markov chain*. If the set of states t_n , i.e., $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ is finite, we call it a *finite Markov chain*.

A discrete Markov chain is completely described by its initial distribution and its transition probability matrix

$$Q = [p_{m,n}]_{m,n \in I}.$$

If the distribution of X_k is the row vector $a_k = (a_{k,n})_{n \in I}$, then the distribution of X_{k+1} is $a_{k+1} = a_k Q$.

The matrix Q is *stochastic*, i.e., all entries are non-negative, all column sums are equal to 1, and no row contains only zeros.

Markov chains and signal models. We relate a signal model as constructed in Sections V, VII, and XII to a discrete Markov chain by relating the (time/space) marks to the states and the shift matrix to the transition probability matrix Q .

| signal model | discrete Markov chain |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| (time/space) marks t_n | states t_n |
| matrix $\phi(q)$ for shift operator q | probability transition matrix Q |

For example, in the infinite discrete-time model (Figure 11), $\phi(q)$ is an infinite matrix with 1's on the lower diagonal and zeros elsewhere, and is thus stochastic, i.e., a candidate Q . The states are the time marks t_n . Thus, the discrete time model is a Markov chain with the following intuitive interpretation: if the discrete time is at time t_n (state t_n), then, independently of the past, it is with probability 1 in the next observation at time t_{n+1} .

Similarly, the matrix $\phi(q)$ corresponding to the space model (Figure 14) is stochastic, but this time the transition from a location t_n in space is with probability 1/2 to t_{n-1} or t_{n+1} , respectively. This also motivates why we defined the shift with the scaling 1/2 (even though omitting the scaling would have led to an equivalent, modified version of Chebyshev polynomials), namely, to obtain a stochastic matrix.

In the generic next neighbor shift (Figure 20), the situation is slightly different. To interpret the model as a Markov chain, it is necessary, that $a_n, b_n, c_n \geq 0$. In this case, we can then

normalize by dividing the n th column of $\phi(q)$ by $a_n + b_n + c_n$ to make the matrix stochastic.

We have seen that the realization may modify a model, as in the case of the infinite space model given by the C -transform, see Figure 16. For the W -transform, negative numbers occur in $\phi(q)$, which destroys the connection to Markov chains in the above sense. In the other cases, after possible normalization, we obtain again a discrete Markov chain.

Similar observations hold for *finite* signal models, which correspond to finite Markov chains (finite number of states). For example, among the shifts corresponding to the 16 DTTs, negative numbers occur for the last row and the last column of Table IX, as can be seen from (97) and Table XI. The other 9 DTTs correspond to signal models that can be interpreted as Markov chains.

Conclusion. The above discussion is somewhat philosophical, but the connection is still rigorous in a mathematical sense. Note that a discrete Markov chain does not imply linearity, which means a non-linear signal model could possibly be built from it.

Also we want to note that the above Markov chain interpretation is different from a stochastic process or random field. In a random field, random variables describe uncertainty in the *signal* and they take values in \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . In the above interpretation, the random variables explain the uncertainty in the *location* (in time or space) and they take values t_n . In other words, random fields model the signal values s_n , whereas in the discussion in this section Markov chains model the signal indices n . Under certain assumptions to be discussed in Section XVI-A, random fields are equivalent to signal models.

B. Markov Models, Graphs, Matrices, and Polynomial Algebras

Above we discussed the relationship between signal models and discrete Markov chains. Besides this relation, we repeatedly visualized signal models using graphs (using Definition 2) or by the shift matrix $\phi(x)$, i.e., the coordinate matrix for the respective shift. Since x generates \mathcal{A} , it contains most if not all information about the signal model.

We investigate in this section the exact connection between these four concepts. We focus on the case of a finite shift-invariant signal model provided by a module $\mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$. The four concepts are:

- a square matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$;
- a weighted graph with n vertices;
- a finite Markov chain with n states (see Section XV-A);
- a shift-invariant signal model $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi)$ with $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$.

The relationship is displayed in Figure 22 and discussed next. Every solid arrow in Figure 22 signifies that one can translate the source concept into the target concept. The dashed arrows mean that this translation depends on a condition, which is then given next to this arrow. As central concept, we use the square matrix A .

Matrix and weighted graph. Every weighted graph uniquely determines an adjacency matrix. Conversely, every




Fig. 22. The connection between square matrices A , weighted graphs, regular signal models, and finite Markov chains.

matrix A can be viewed as the adjacency matrix of a weighted graph.

Matrix and Markov chain. As discussed in the previous Section XV-A, every Markov chain uniquely determines a probability transition matrix. Conversely, a given matrix A can be viewed as a probability transition matrix only if $A \geq 0$ (all entries non-negative) and no row is equal to zero. In this case the columns can be scaled to have sum 1 to yield a stochastic matrix.

Matrix and regular signal model. Given a regular signal model with $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$, \mathcal{M} uniquely determines the shift matrix $A = \phi(x)$. The converse is the subject of the following lemma.

Lemma 29 Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. There exists a polynomial $p(x)$ of degree n and a basis of $\mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ such that $\phi(x) = A$ if and only if the minimal polynomial $m_A(x)$ and the characteristic polynomial $p_A(x)$ of A coincide:

$$m_A(x) = p_A(x).$$

In this case, $p(x) = p_A(x)$.

Proof: Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and let $m_A(x) = p_A(x)$. In this case A is similar to the companion matrix C of $p_A(x) = x^n + \sum_{0 \leq i < n} \beta_i x^i$ (see [88, p. 187, Theorem 4]), which is shown in (49). In other words, $A = TCT^{-1}$ for a suitable invertible matrix T . Defining $p = p_A$ and $b = (1, x, \dots, x^{n-1})$ as basis in $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$, we have $\phi(x) = C$. A base change in \mathcal{M} with T yields the result.

For the converse, let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and assume that $m_A(x) \neq p_A(x)$ and that $A = \phi(x)$ for some module $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ and basis b . Let J be the Jordan normal form of A , $J = TAT^{-1}$. If ϕ' is the representation afforded by \mathcal{M} with basis $b' = Tb$, then $J = \phi'(x)$. Since $m_A \neq p_A$, A has eigenspace of at least dimension 2, say, for eigenvalue λ . Locating these

in J , there are $p_k, p_\ell \in b'$, $p_k \neq p_\ell$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} xp_k &= \lambda p_k \text{ and } xp_\ell = \lambda p_\ell \\ \Leftrightarrow (x - \lambda)p_k &\equiv (x - \lambda)p_\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ \Leftrightarrow (x - \lambda)p_k &= (x - \lambda)p_\ell = p \\ \Leftrightarrow p_k &= p_\ell \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

Finally, if $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ and $\phi(x) = A$, then, since ϕ is a homomorphism of algebras, $0 = \phi(p(x)) = p(\phi(x)) = p(A)$. Thus $m_A|_p$ and $m_A = p_A$ yields $p = p_A$. ■

For example, Lemma 28 shows that for $a = 1$ and an even size n , the matrix (123) has the minimal polynomial $T_{n/2+1} - T_{n/2-1}$ of degree $n/2 + 1 < n$. Thus, we cannot realize the matrix as a $\phi(x)$. And indeed, in the alternative space model, it is realized as $\phi((x^{-1} + x)/2)$, where ϕ is the finite time model, which is regular. However, this means we can still create a signal model that has (123) as a shift matrix, but the algebra is *smaller* than the module, i.e., the module or the model is not regular.

Matrix and non-regular signal model. The previous discussion opens a new question: namely, given a matrix A , under which condition is it possible to realize $A = \phi(q(x))$, where ϕ is the regular representation of a suitably chosen regular module $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ with basis b . This relaxed requirement (when compared to Lemma 29) allows now for non-regular modules, i.e., those with an algebra *smaller* than the module; namely, the algebra is now a subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ generated by a suitable element $q(x)$.

To answer this question, we first have to understand, under which condition a polynomial $q(x) \in \mathcal{A}$ generates a proper subalgebra of $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$. We only consider the case of a separable p .

Lemma 30 Let $p(x)$ be a separable polynomial with zeros $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$, $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$, and let $q(x) \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $q(x)$ generates the algebra \mathcal{A} , if and only if q , viewed as a mapping on α , is injective. In other words, if $q(\alpha_i) \neq q(\alpha_j)$ for $i \neq j$.

Proof: The key to the proof is to apply the CRT to \mathcal{A} and investigate the subalgebra generated by q in the decomposed domain. The CRT yields

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x) \cong \bigoplus_{0 \leq k < n} \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \alpha_k)$$

(now viewed as an isomorphism of algebras). The subalgebra $\langle q(x) \rangle$ generated by $q(x)$ is equal to \mathcal{A} if and only if $x \in \langle q(x) \rangle$, i.e., if and only if there is a polynomial $r(x)$ such that $r(q(x)) \equiv x \pmod{p(x)}$. In the decomposed domain, this condition translates into the existence of a polynomial $r(x)$ that maps the list $(q(\alpha_0), \dots, q(\alpha_{n-1}))$ onto the list $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$. This is possible if and only if q is injective on α . ■

Back to the previous example, from Lemma 28, we have $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - 1)$, and $q(x) = (x^{-1} + x)/2 = (x^{n-1} + x)/2$. Since $q(x)$ maps conjugate zeros of $x^n - 1$ to the same value (namely to their real part):

$$q(\omega_n^k) = q(\omega_n^{n-k}) = \cos(2k\pi/n),$$

q generates a proper subalgebra. This mapping to the same value corresponds precisely to the effect of collapsing frequency responses discussed in Section XI-B, which can now be stated more precisely. Namely, if a regular signal model $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ is given, where p is separable with list of zeros α , and if the algebra of filters is reduced to the subalgebra generated by $q(x)$, then the frequency responses collapse precisely as determined by the mapping

$$(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \mapsto (q(\alpha_0), \dots, q(\alpha_{n-1})).$$

Before we extend Lemma 29, we need another auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 31 Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with minimal polynomial $m_A(x)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \mathbb{C}[x]/m_A(x) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, \\ x &\mapsto A. \end{aligned}$$

is a representation of \mathcal{A} , i.e., a homomorphism of algebras.

Proof: Follows from $m_A(A) = 0$. ■

This lemma provides the algebra \mathcal{A} for any matrix A selected as shift matrix. The remaining question is under which conditions there exists a module of the form $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ that affords the representation in Lemma 31? This is answered in the next lemma, again only considering a separable p .

Lemma 32 Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. There exists a module $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ with separable p and with regular representation ϕ (w.r.t. a suitably chosen basis b) such that $\phi(q(x)) = A$, if and only if A is diagonalizable.

In other words, every diagonalizable matrix can become a shift matrix, where the shift generates a subalgebra $\mathcal{A} = \langle q(x) \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$. The module affording the representation is $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$.

In this case

$$\mathcal{A} \cong \mathbb{C}[x]/m_A(x),$$

where m_A is the minimal polynomial of A .

Proof: First assume a regular module $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ is given with separable p and basis b and afforded representation ϕ . Then $\phi(x)$ and thus $\phi(q(x))$ is diagonalized by any Fourier transform for \mathcal{M} (Theorem 5).

For the converse assume $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is diagonalizable with list of eigenvalues $\beta = (\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{n-1})$, which may contain duplicates. Consider an arbitrary regular module $\mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ with separable p , basis b , and afforded representation ϕ . Let $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$ be the list of zeros of p . Since α has no duplicates, there is a polynomial q of degree at most $n - 1$ with $q(\alpha_k) = \beta_k$. Thus $\phi(q(x)) = q(\phi(x))$ is similar to A : $B\phi(q(x))B^{-1} = A$ with a suitable invertible matrix B . A base change in \mathcal{M} yields the result.

The second assertion follows from Lemma 31. ■

The proof is constructive and shows the large degree of freedom in choosing the module: any $\mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)$ with separable polynomial p .

XVI. GAUSS-MARKOV RANDOM FIELDS AND SIGNAL MODELS

Signal processing usually adopts either a deterministic point of view with linear transforms and filtering as the basic processing tools or a stochastic perspective where signals are modeled as stochastic processes or random fields. We have argued elsewhere [55] that there is an intimate connection between these two seemingly disparate approaches. This connection establishes that linear transforms like the discrete Fourier transform, the discrete cosine transform, or the discrete sine transform are the Karhunen-Loève transforms (KLT) associated with a discrete time (or causal) or discrete space (or noncausal) random field. In this section we show how this connection is articulated by the algebraic theory of signal processing: how the signal model in Definition 1 relates to Gauss-Markov random fields (GMRF) and how Fourier transforms, as the generic diagonalizing transforms in the algebraic approach, relate to the KLT of suitably defined random fields. We start by introducing Gauss-Markov random fields and KLTs in Section XVI-A. Then we consider two examples, again modeling time and space, that show similarities and differences between the algebraic and the stochastic signal model. In Section XVI-C, we extend this connection to generic results connecting the stochastic and the algebraic approaches. We focus on *finite*, real-valued signal models.

A. Gauss-Markov Random Fields

Random fields. Consider the n random variables s_0, \dots, s_{n-1} that follow the difference equation

$$s_k = a_{k,k-m}s_{k-m} + \dots + a_{k,k} s_k + \dots + a_{k,k+m}s_{k+m} + \nu_k, \quad (134)$$

for $0 \leq k < n$. We call $\{s_k\}_{0 \leq k < n}$ a *noncausal* or *acausal* random field, or random field for short. The $a_{k,\ell} \in \mathbb{R}$ are the field parameters and the ν_k are random variables representing the error or noise in the representation. The noise ν_k is assumed here to be zero mean Gauss, which, because of the linearity in (134), makes $\{s_k\}_{0 \leq k < n}$ a Gauss random field. The noise and signal covariances will be specified below. Each signal value s_k depends on at most m right and left neighbors up to the uncertainty in ν_k . If, for all k , $a_{k,k+1} = \dots = a_{k,k+m} = 0$, and the noise is white, then the random field is *causal* and usually called a *process* instead of a field. If in (134), the $a_{k,\ell}$ do not depend on k , then the field is called *homogeneous*, or *stationary* if it is a process. At the boundaries, indices outside the signal index scope $0 \leq k < n-1$ occur in (134), which poses the need for m left and m right boundary conditions that express s_{-1}, \dots, s_{-m} and s_n, \dots, s_{n+m-1} as linear combinations of s_0, \dots, s_{n-1} . We assume that the boundary conditions (b.c.) are already implicit in (134), i.e., the values $a_{k,\ell}$ have been adjusted accordingly.

After the b.c.'s are fixed, the n equations (134) can be combined into vector form. Namely, the s_k and ν_k are collected

into random vectors \mathbf{s} , $\boldsymbol{\nu}$, respectively, to get

$$\mathbf{s} = A\mathbf{s} + \boldsymbol{\nu}, \quad A = [a_{k,\ell}]_{0 \leq k, \ell < n}, \quad \text{or} \\ (\mathbf{I}_n - A)\mathbf{s} = \boldsymbol{\nu}. \quad (135)$$

This is the initial representation of a random field we will work with.

GMRF: MMSE estimate. We now discuss the covariance structure of $\boldsymbol{\nu}$, i.e., its covariance matrix $\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$, to make the field \mathbf{s} a GMRF and to derive from (134) the minimum mean square error (MMSE) representation of the GMRF. We distinguish several cases. For simplicity we assume that $\mathbf{I}_n - A$ has full rank, i.e., does not have the eigenvalue 0.

Case 1: $\mathbf{I}_n - A$, i.e., A , is symmetric and $\mathbf{I}_n - A$ is positive definite. We assume that the $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is correlated noise with covariance matrix $\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ given by

$$\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \sigma^2(\mathbf{I}_n - A).$$

Then, it is well known, e.g., [80], that (134), or (135), is the MMSE representation of an m th-order GMRF and that the covariance $\Sigma_{\mathbf{s}}$ of the field \mathbf{s} , and the field and noise cross covariance $\Sigma_{\mathbf{s},\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{\mathbf{s}} &= \sigma^2(\mathbf{I}_n - A)^{-1}, \\ \Sigma_{\mathbf{s},\boldsymbol{\nu}} &= \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n. \end{aligned} \quad (136)$$

The cross-covariance $\Sigma_{\mathbf{s},\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ being diagonal reflects the orthogonality between the field \mathbf{s} and the noise $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ in the MMSE representation.

Case 2: $\mathbf{I}_n - A$, i.e., A , is symmetric, and $\mathbf{I}_n - A$ has negative eigenvalues. We now assume that the noise is white with covariance

$$\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n.$$

The MMSE representation of the field follows from (135) by multiplying both sides by $\mathbf{I}_n - A^T = \mathbf{I}_n - A$. The GMRF MMSE representation hence becomes

$$(\mathbf{I}_n - A)^2 \mathbf{s} = (\mathbf{I}_n - A)\boldsymbol{\nu}. \quad (137)$$

The GMRF covariance is now

$$\Sigma_{\mathbf{s}} = \sigma^2(\mathbf{I}_n - A)^{-2}. \quad (138)$$

Case 3: $\mathbf{I}_n - A$, i.e., A , is not symmetric. In this case, we assume the noise in (135) to be white with covariance

$$\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n. \quad (139)$$

The MMSE representation follows from (135) by multiplying both sides with $\mathbf{I}_n - A^T$. As a result we get the signal covariance to be

$$\Sigma_{\mathbf{s}} = \sigma^2(\mathbf{I}_n - A)^{-1}(\mathbf{I}_n - A^T)^{-1}. \quad (140)$$

KLT. The Karhunen-Loève transform (KLT) is defined in statistics as the linear transform that diagonalizes the covariance of a random vector. For an m -th order GMRF, the KLT is a unitary matrix F such that

$$F \Sigma_{\mathbf{s}} F^T = F \Sigma_{\mathbf{s}} F^{-1} \text{ is diagonal}, \quad (141)$$

where $\Sigma_{\mathbf{s}}$ is given by (136) or (138) for a symmetric A , and by (140) for a non-symmetric A .

The transformed random vector $F\mathbf{s}$ has a diagonal covariance matrix, i.e., the KLT decomposes, via a base change, a signal into its statistically independent components.

We adopt the terminology in [80] and refer to the inverse covariance matrix as the *potential* matrix; it follows that the KLT diagonalizes the potential matrix. As suggested in [80], we will mostly work with the potential matrix.

B. Examples: Discrete Time and Space

To understand the similarities and differences between an algebraic signal model and a corresponding stochastic model, or random field, we consider two examples: discrete time and discrete space. The general connection is presented afterwards.

The correspondence between algebraic and stochastic modeling is established in an intuitive way by relating the matrix A in (135) to the shift, or shift matrix, in the algebraic model.

Discrete space. We construct a random field that expresses the spatial structure given by the space shift (Figure 14) or the visualization of the space model in Figure 15. It is given by the first-order homogeneous GMRF

$$s_k = a(s_{k-1} + s_{k+1}) + \nu_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (142)$$

The noise $\{\nu_k\}$ has the appropriate covariance structure as discussed above. The parameter a could be chosen as $1/2$ (as in the space shift), but we will see that the exact choice is not crucial.

To construct a finite-length GMRF for the index scope $0 \leq k < n$, we need to introduce b.c.'s that express s_{-1} and s_n as linear combinations of the s_k , $0 \leq k < n$. Unfortunately, there are no clear guidelines as to which b.c.'s are natural choices. In the literature, these choices are related to the b.c.'s used in solving partial differential equations [80], [56]. In the algebraic finite space model, we chose the b.c.'s to yield a monomial signal extension, but for the GMRF in (142), we don't have a notion of signal extension beyond s_{-1} and s_n , since there is no underlying algebraic structure.

We now relate (142) to the finite space model to be able to draw from the algebraic theory. We choose as an example a pair of b.c.'s that is associated to a finite space model, namely $s_{-1} = s_0$ and $s_n = s_{n-1}$, which leads to

$$A = a \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & & & \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & & \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & & \\ & & & 1 & \cdot & 1 \\ & & & & \cdot & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (143)$$

Clearly, A , and thus $I_n - A$, in (135) is symmetric, so we can be in either Case 1 or Case 2 above. To find out which case, we first determine when $I_n - A$ is positive definite. To answer this question, we apply our algebraic theory. Namely, from Table IX and using (97), we know that $I_n - A = \phi(1 - 2ax)$, where ϕ is the representation afforded by the regular module $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x-1)U_{n-1}$ with V -basis. The zeros of $(x-1)U_{n-1}$ are given by $\cos(k\pi/n)$, $0 \leq k < n$ (Table XVI in

Appendix III). Using (95), the eigenvalues of $I_n - A$ are hence given by the evaluations of $1 - 2ax$ at these zeros:

$$(1 - 2a \cos(k\pi/n)), \quad 0 \leq k < n.$$

It follows that the matrix $I_n - A$ is positive definite (Case 1) if and only if $-1/2 \leq a < 1/2$. By (136), the covariance of the field \mathbf{s} is $\Sigma_{\mathbf{s}} = (I_n - A)^{-1}$ in this case. The KLT in this case is also provided by the algebraic theory and is unique up to a unitary diagonal matrix D . It is given by the orthogonal DCT-2_n.

If $I_n - A$ has negative eigenvalues (Case 2), i.e., $|a| > 1/2$, then the covariance of \mathbf{s} is provided by (138) as $\Sigma_{\mathbf{s}} = (I_n - A)^{-2}$. Thus, the orthogonal DCT-2 is also a KLT in this case, but may not be unique (up to a diagonal), since $(I_n - A)^2$ may have duplicate eigenvalues. The cases in which this occurs can be computed explicitly by again using (95), which provides these eigenvalues as the evaluation of $(1 - 2ax)^2$ at $\cos(k\pi/n)$, $0 \leq k < n$.

We can ask what other GMRFs have the DCT-2 as the KLT. To address this question, we consider *all* matrices diagonalized by DCT-2—these are exactly the matrices $A = \phi(h)$, where $h \in \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x-1)U_{n-1}$. In particular, for a fixed $m < n$, let $h = 2a_1T_1 + 2a_2T_2 + \dots + 2a_mT_m$. Then $A = \phi(h)$ is naturally expressed in terms of $\phi(T_k)$, where T_k are the k -fold space shift operators, and so A is an m -banded matrix (only the m upper and lower diagonals are non-zero) besides the entries due to the signal extension. The matrix $I_n - A$ is positive definite, if and only if $1 - h(x)$ takes only positive values at $\cos(k\pi/n)$, $0 \leq k < n$. Under these conditions, h determines a homogeneous m th-order GMRF with monomial b.c.'s $s_{-i-1} = s_i$ and $s_{n+i} = s_{n-1-i}$, $0 \leq i < m$, and the KLT is the DCT-2 as desired.

In this example, up to now, we considered only the matrix A in (143). We derived the conditions on a under which the DCT-2 is the KLT for a homogeneous symmetric m th-order GMRF with monomial b.c.'s $s_{-i-1} = s_i$ and $s_{n+i} = s_{n-1-i}$, $0 \leq i < m$. We can adapt this discussion to all 16 DTTs, as long as we make sure that the corresponding A is symmetric. In our algebraic theory, this leads to the *symmetric* signal models (Definition 18) associated to the orthogonal DTTs (see Section VIII-F). As explained in that section, some of the 16 symmetric models may not be strictly homogeneous, i.e., (142) may need to be adjusted for $k = 0, 1$ or $k = n-2, n-1$.

In summary, we obtain the following theorem, which completes the result from [55].

Theorem 33 (DTTs as KLTs) Let $1 \leq m < n$. Every DTT_n is a KLT for the homogeneous m th-order GMRF

$$s_k = a_1(s_{k-1} + s_{k+1}) + \dots + a_m(s_{k-m} + s_{k+m}) + \nu_k,$$

with monomial b.c.'s given by the signal extension of the algebraic signal model associated to the respective DTT.

In the alternative finite space model (Section XI), we identified also the periodic boundary conditions $s_{-1} = s_{n-1}$ and $s_n = s_0$ as possible choice for a monomial signal extension.

The matrix A then becomes

$$A = a \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & & \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdot & \\ & & 1 & \cdot & 1 \\ & & & \cdot & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & & & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (144)$$

which is also symmetric. If we choose $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - 1)$ with basis $(1, x, \dots, x^{n-1})$ and ϕ is the representation of $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}$ afforded by \mathcal{M} , then $I_n - A = \phi(1 - a(x^{-1} + x))$. The zeros of $x^n - 1$ are the n th roots of unity, and hence the eigenvalues of $I_n - A$ are the evaluations of $1 - a(x^{-1} + x)$ at these zeros:

$$(1 - 2a \cos(2k\pi/n)), \quad 0 \leq k \leq n/2.$$

It follows, similar to above, that $I_n - A$ is positive definite if and only if $-1/2 \leq a < 1/2$ for odd n , and $-1/2 < a < 1/2$ for even n . Also, similar to above, we can extend this discussion to higher order GMRFs by setting $A = \phi(1 - a_1(x^{-1} + x^1) - \dots - a_m(x^{-m} + x^m))$, $m \leq n/2$. The algebraic theory now also establishes the set of all KLTs for the GMRF in this case as the set of matrices

$$X \cdot \text{RDFT}'_n,$$

where RDFT'_n is the orthogonal RDFT, and X is any unitary x -shaped matrix of the form (70).

Theorem 34 (DFT and real DFTs as KLTs) Let $1 \leq m \leq n/2$. The orthogonal DFT, RDFT, and DHT are KLTs for the homogeneous m th-order GMRF

$$s_k = a_1(s_{k-1} + s_{k+1}) + \dots + a_m(s_{k-m} + s_{k+m}) + \nu_k,$$

with periodic b.c.'s.

As mentioned before, from a strict computational point of view the choice should be the RDFT in this case.

In summary, the concepts of “algebraic space model” and associated “homogeneous symmetric GMRF” are (essentially) equivalent. As a consequence, every orthogonal Fourier transform for the former is a KLT for the latter and vice-versa.

For the algebraic *time* model, or *causal* GMRF, the situation is different as we explore next.

Discrete time. Again, we consider first an example, before dealing with the general case in the next subsection. We proceed as in the case of discrete space above. The structure of the time-shift (Figure 11) is described by the first-order *causal* stationary GMRF (or stochastic process)

$$s_k = a s_{k-1} + \nu_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (145)$$

The parameter a is left undetermined at this point. The noise ν_k is white.

To construct a GMRF for the finite index scope $0 \leq k < n$, we need to define b.c.'s for s_{-1} and s_n . We choose the periodic

b.c. $s_{-1} = s_n$ identified by the algebraic theory and get as A in (135) a scaled cyclic shift matrix:

$$A = a \cdot \begin{bmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (146)$$

Since A , and thus $I_n - A$, is not symmetric, we are in Case 3. The covariance of the signal \mathbf{s} is given by (140) as $\Sigma_s = (I_n - A)^{-1}(I_n - A^T)^{-1}$, which is guaranteed to have no negative eigenvalues, regardless of the value of a . Now, however, in contradistinction to the finite space model of the previous example, there is a difference between the algebraic model and the associated GMRF. Namely, between the Fourier transform (for the algebraic model), which diagonalizes A , and the KLT (for the GMRF), which diagonalizes Σ_s , or the potential matrix $(I_n - A^T)(I_n - A)$. In general, these diagonalization problems are distinct.

However, with the particular A in (146), we still have a close relationship. Since A in (146) is orthogonal,

$$\Sigma_s^{-1} = (I_n - A)(I_n - A^T) = (1 + a^2)I_n - A', \quad (147)$$

where A' is the matrix in (144). Since $A' = A + 1/a^{n-2}A^{n-1}$, every matrix that diagonalizes A also diagonalizes A' , and hence Σ_s . In other words, every unitary Fourier transform for the finite algebraic time model (with periodic b.c.'s) is a KLT for its GMRF counterpart. The converse does not hold in general. For example, the (orthogonal) RDFT is a KLT, but not a Fourier transform.

As an aside, (147) shows that every KLT for the GMRF (145) is a KLT for the GMRF (142), if, for both, periodic b.c.'s are chosen. In other words, the causal GMRF (145) and the noncausal GMRF (142) with periodic boundary conditions are essentially equivalent.

The above examples for discrete *space* and discrete *time* convey the essential relationship between the algebraic signal model with shift matrix A and the GMRF with matrix A in (135). Next, we consider the general case.

C. GMRFs and Signal Models

We now consider the relation between the statistical model and the algebraic approach in the general case. The connection is established by relating the matrix A in (135) to the shift matrix in the algebraic model, and is provided by Lemmas 29 and 32. The diagonalization property of the KLT in (141) will be related to the diagonalization property of the Fourier transform in Theorem 5.

Equivalence in the symmetric case. We identify under which conditions GMRFs and signal models are equivalent.

Theorem 35 The following two concepts of signal models are equivalent: A GMRF with symmetric matrix A in (135) and a symmetric algebraic signal model $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi)$ with shift matrix $\phi(x) = A$. Further:

- If $I_n - A$ is positive definite, the KLT for the GMRF is an orthogonal Fourier transform for the signal model, and vice-versa.

- If $I_n - A$ has negative eigenvalues, then every orthogonal Fourier transform is a KLT for the GMRF, but, in general, the converse does not hold. Namely, if $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}[x]/p(x)$, then the converse holds if and only if the mapping $x \mapsto x^2$ is injective on the zeros of p .

Proof: The proof is straightforward. The main point is what we noted above that diagonalizing A is equivalent (and accomplished by the same matrices) as diagonalizing $\sigma^2(I_n - A)^{-1}$ in (141).

Let a GMRF with symmetric matrix A be given, and let $I_n - A$ be positive definite. Then, by (136), a given KLT F diagonalizes $\Sigma_s = (I_n - A)^{-1}$, or, equivalently, A . Since A is symmetric it is diagonalizable and we can apply Lemma 32 to obtain a signal model with $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}[x]/p(x)$, $\mathcal{A} = \langle q(x) \rangle < \mathbb{R}[x]/p(x)$, and $\phi(q(x)) = A$. Since F diagonalizes A , it has to be an orthogonal Fourier transform for this signal model.

Conversely, let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi)$ with $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}[x]/p(x)$ be a real, symmetric signal model, and let $\mathcal{A} < \mathbb{R}[x]/p(x)$ be generated by $q(x)$. Set $A = \phi(q(x))$. Then there is an $a > 0$ such that $I_n - aA$ is positive definite, and aA defines a GMRF via (135) with covariance $\Sigma_s = (I_n - aA)^{-1}$. Further, the set of all Fourier transforms is the set of all matrices diagonalizing A or aA . Since aA is symmetric, it has an orthogonal Fourier transform, which is thus a KLT for the GMRF defined by aA .

To prove the final assertion, we note that, if $I_n - aA$ has negative eigenvalues, the covariance of the GMRF s defined by A is given by (138) as $\Sigma_s = (I_n - A)^{-2}$. Thus, the KLT is characterized by the diagonalization of $(I_n - A)^{-2}$. It follows that every orthogonal Fourier transform for the algebraic signal model (which diagonalizes A) is a KLT, but not vice-versa. From Lemma 30 it follows that equivalence holds if and only if x^2 is injective on the zeros of p . ■

Theorem 35 can be applied to port concepts from the algebraic theory to the theory of GMRFs.

- In Section XVI-B we applied the algebraic theory to identify the “good” b.c.’s for an m th-order homogeneous GMRF and obtained the 16 DTTs as associated KLTs. Further, every DTT was identified as a KLT for GMRFs of order $1 \leq m < n$ with respect to these b.c.’s. Finally, these m th-order GMRF could be conveniently written in terms of

$$A = \phi\left(\sum_{1 \leq k \leq m} h_k T_k\right).$$

- We obtain a classification of all possible (variant) symmetric 1st-order GMRFs, which correspond precisely to the symmetric GNN models (Section XII-E). In particular, this guarantees the existence of fast, $O(n \log^2(n))$, algorithms for all KLTs for these GMRFs (Section XII-F). Further, as in the previous item, since the KLT diagonalizes the shift matrix $A = \phi(x)$, it diagonalizes all matrices $\phi(q(x))$ in the generated algebra, thus a KLT for a 1st-order GMRF is automatically also a KLT for all higher order GMRFs with suitable b.c.’s.
- In Section XV, we established the connection between signal models, graphs, and Markov chains. This connection now extends to GMRFs. For example, a GMRF with symmetric matrix A in (135) is equivalent to an

undirected weighted graph. More interestingly, we obtain that for $A \geq 0$ a GMRF, which models the signal values as random variables, is equivalent to a Markov chain, which models the signal *indices* as random variables.

Connection in the non-symmetric case. Theorem 35 cannot be extended to the general case of a non-symmetric matrix A , since diagonalization of A (as done by the Fourier transform for the signal model for which A is a shift matrix) is different from the diagonalization of the potential matrix $(I_n - A)(I_n - A^T)$ (as done by the KLT for the GMRF defined by A ; w.l.o.g. we omitted σ^2). Also, A is not necessary diagonalizable, whereas the symmetric matrix $(I_n - A)(I_n - A^T)$ always is. An example for this situation was the time model in Section XVI-B, but in that case, there was still a connection between the Fourier transform and the KLT. We establish a general condition under which this connection holds.

First, we remind the reader of the following known property [88, p. 272].

Lemma 36 Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Then A^T is a polynomial in A ,

$$A^T = q(A),$$

if and only if A is normal, i.e., $AA^T = A^T A$.

Note that normal matrices are precisely those matrices that can be diagonalized by a unitary matrix [88, p. 273]. In particular, in the real case, (scaled) orthogonal and symmetric matrices are normal.

Now we can extend Theorem 35.

Theorem 37 Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ normal, i.e., $A^T = q(A)$. Further, let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi)$ be the signal model for which A is the shift matrix, and which is obtained from Lemma 32. Then, this model has a unitary Fourier transform, and every such unitary Fourier transform is a KLT for the GMRF defined by A via (135). The converse holds if and only if the polynomial

$$(1 - x)(1 - q(x))$$

generates \mathcal{A} , or equivalently (Lemma 30), if $(1 - x)(1 - q(x))$ is an injective mapping on the zeros of p .

Proof: Let a real, normal matrix A be given, which implies $A^T = q(A)$ for a suitable polynomial q . Further, let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi)$, $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}[x]/p(x)$, be a signal model for which A is the shift matrix, obtained by using Lemma 32. Note that this lemma is applicable, since A as a normal matrix is diagonalizable. Since A is normal, the signal model has a unitary Fourier transform \mathcal{F} . Since \mathcal{F} diagonalizes A , it also diagonalizes $(I_n - A)(I_n - q(A))$ as a polynomial in A . Thus, \mathcal{F} is a KLT for the GMRF defined by A .

Conversely, the diagonalization of $(I_n - A)(I_n - q(A))$ implies the diagonalization of A only if the polynomial $(1 - x)(1 - q(x))$ generates \mathcal{A} . Using Lemma 30, this is the case if this polynomial is injective on the zeros of p . ■

Theorem 37 tells us that, for normal A , there may be more KLTs for the GMRF than (unitary) Fourier transforms for the associated signal model generated by A . This implies that a KLT may be *cheaper* in terms of arithmetic cost than any

of these Fourier transforms. This was precisely the case for the time model with periodic b.c.'s in Section XVI-B. The algebraic signal model requires as Fourier transform the DFT, whereas for the associated GMRF, the RDFT or DHT was sufficient. Indeed, the polynomial q in this case is $q(x) = x^{n-1}$, and the mapping $(1-x)(1-x^{n-1})$ maps conjugate roots of unity to the same value and is hence not injective.

We restricted the discussion of the relationship between GMRFs and signal models in our definition (134) to the finite case, similar to Section XV. As a consequence, we did not discuss the asymptotic equivalence of a GMRF or a signal model to its infinite counterpart. However, in the case of a 1st-order GMRF corresponding to the GNN model, the method in [86] provides a general recipe for asymptotic analysis based on orthogonal polynomials, thus, fitting into our framework. As a special case, [86] considers the DCT, type 2. The analysis shows, in our language, the role of the signal extension associated to this transform. In particular, it seems the monomiality of the signal extension is crucial for convergence. However, with Theorems 33 and 34 in mind, it appears that the appropriateness of the chosen b.c.'s should have a stronger impact on the transform's performance than its asymptotic properties.

XVII. CONCLUSIONS

We briefly summarize the main contributions of the paper and then briefly discuss the further development of the algebraic theory of signal processing that will be the subject of future publications.

The algebraic foundation of signal processing. The first main goal of the paper is to establish the algebraic structure and generalize the fundamental concepts of signal processing. Towards this, we determined the following:

- We introduced the *signal model* as a triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \Phi)$ of a filter algebra, a signal module, and a bijective linear map. We explained how the *representation theory* of algebras provides the main ingredients for signal processing including the notions of filtering, spectrum, frequency response, and Fourier transform.
- We recognized the fundamental role of the *shift* as a suitably chosen generator of the filter algebra and provided a general recipe to derive infinite and finite signal models from the shift. We used this procedure to derive many different signal models for time and space, infinite and finite, complex and real, and showed that in each case all important signal processing concepts are well-defined and available.
- In particular, by doing so, we generalized the z -transform, which is a special case of a signal model, and introduced the C -transform as the equivalent of the z -transform for space models.
- We identified the equivalence between shift-invariant systems and commutative algebras.

Discrete time and space and trigonometric transforms.

As the second main contribution of this paper and a first application of the algebraic theory of signal processing, we explained how to derive infinite and finite signal models for

time and space, and, in doing so, we discovered practically all existing trigonometric transforms and completed this class with a few new transform not introduced before. We showed that each trigonometric transform is the Fourier transform for either a time or a space model. The most important results include the following:

- We showed that finite and infinite signal processing are instantiations of the same general theory, and explained how to derive finite signal models from their infinite counterparts.
- The need for boundary conditions in the finite case proved to be central to our theory. In particular, the paper makes the role of boundary conditions and their relation to signal extensions and signal models completely transparent and explains the importance of choosing a simple, that is, monomial, signal extension.
- We showed that time and space signal processing are instantiations of the same theory by deriving infinite and finite *space* models in complete analogy, albeit with noted important differences, to their time counterparts. As a major insight, we showed that the 16 DCTs and DSTs are, in a rigorous sense, the space analogue of the DFT.
- We extended the idea of space models to generic next neighbor (GNN) models and showed how their realization naturally connects to the theory of orthogonal polynomials.

Algebraic signal models and GMRFs. Finally, as our third main contribution, we established the equivalence (under certain conditions) between shift-invariant signal models, graphs, and Markov chains, and, perhaps most importantly, Gauss-Markov random fields. The connection between these concepts makes it possible to look at signal models from different perspectives (an important example is the visualization of a signal model that we defined) and to understand the inherent limitations of the models and thus the signal processing they provide. Further, we showed the similarities and differences between our signal models and stochastic Gauss-Markov models. An important insight was the connection between the Fourier transform and the Karhunen-Loève transform.

Evolution of the algebraic theory of signal processing.

This paper shows that signal processing is algebraic in nature. In other words, the goal is not to impose a mathematical theory on existing signal processing theories, but rather to expose the mathematical structure that is the essence or foundation of signal processing. In other words, the paper shows that many apparently distinct concepts are instantiations of the same concepts, for example such as the trigonometric transforms as a special examples of Fourier transforms.

The algebraic theory of signal processing can be used to derive new results in signal processing and fast algorithms for computing linear transforms. Already in this paper, besides the classification of all existing trigonometric transforms, we introduced several new transforms. In a future paper [14] we use the algebraic theory of signal processing to classify and discover fast algorithms. We have started this algebraic theory of fast algorithms already in [51], [12]. Besides that, we have already used the algebraic theory to derive new signal models

and new signal processing schemes. An example is a signal model, and its associated Fourier transform, for non-separable signal processing on a 2-D triangular grid [3], [15]. We are confident that the further development and the application of the algebraic theory of signal processing will lead to many other new applications.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. J. Nussbaumer, *Fast Fourier Transformation and Convolution Algorithms*, Springer, 2nd edition, 1982.
- [2] Robert M. Gray, *Probability, Random Processes, and Ergodic Properties*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.
- [3] M. Püschel and M. Rötteler, "The Discrete Triangle Transform," in *Proc. ICASSP*, 2004.
- [4] M. Püschel and M. Rötteler, "Fourier Transform for the Spatial Quincunx Lattice," in *Proc. ICIP*, 2005.
- [5] P.J. Nicholson, "Algebraic theory of finite Fourier transforms," *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, vol. 5, pp. 524–547, 1971.
- [6] S. Winograd, "On computing the discrete Fourier transform," *Mathematics of Computation*, vol. 32, pp. 175–199, 1978.
- [7] L. Auslander, E. Feig, and S. Winograd, "Abelian semi-simple algebras and algorithms for the discrete Fourier transform," *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, vol. 5, pp. 31–55, 1984.
- [8] Th. Beth, *Verfahren der Schnellen Fouriertransformation [Methods for the Fast Fourier Transform]*, Teubner, 1984.
- [9] C. Van Loan, *Computational Framework of the Fast Fourier Transform*, Siam, 1992.
- [10] R. Tolimieri, M. An, and C. Lu, *Algorithms for Discrete Fourier Transforms and Convolution*, Springer, 2nd edition, 1997.
- [11] R. Tolimieri, M. An, and C. Lu, *Mathematics of Multidimensional Fourier Transform Algorithms*, Springer, 2nd edition, 1997.
- [12] M. Püschel and J. M. F. Moura, "The algebraic approach to the discrete cosine and sine transforms and their fast algorithms," *SIAM Journal of Computing*, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 1280–1316, 2003.
- [13] M. Püschel, "Cooley-Tukey FFT like algorithms for the DCT," in *Proc. ICASSP*, 2003, vol. 2, pp. 501–504.
- [14] M. Püschel and J. M. F. Moura, "Algebraic signal processing theory: 1-d cooley-tukey type algorithms, part I," In preparation.
- [15] M. Püschel and M. Rötteler, "Cooley-Tukey FFT like fast algorithms for the discrete triangle transform," in *Proc. 11th IEEE DSP Workshop*, 2004.
- [16] Kalman R. E., Falb P. L., and Arbib M. A., *Topics in Mathematical System Theory*, McGraw-Hill, 1969.
- [17] G. Basile and G. Marro, "Controlled and conditioned invariant subspaces in linear system theory," *J. Optimization Theory Applications*, vol. 3, pp. 306–315, 1969.
- [18] W. M. Wonham and A. S. Morse, "Decoupling and pole assignment in linear multivariable systems: A geometric approach," *SIAM J. Control and Optimization*, vol. 8, pp. 1–18, 1970.
- [19] J. C. Willems and S. K. Mitter, "Controllability, observability, pole allocation, and state reconstruction," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 16, pp. 582–595, 1971.
- [20] Robert deB. Johnston, *Linear Systems Over various Rings*, Ph.D. thesis, Massachusetts Institute of technology, Cambridge, MA, 1973.
- [21] Paul A. Fuhrman, "Algebraic system theory: An analyst's point of view," *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, vol. 301.
- [22] Paul A. Fuhrman, *A Polynomial Approach to Linear Algebra*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [23] J. W. Cooley and J. W. Tukey, "An algorithm for the machine calculation of complex Fourier series," *Math. of Computation*, vol. 19, pp. 297–301, 1965.
- [24] M. T. Heideman, D. H. Johnson, and C. S. Burrus, "Gauss and the History of the Fast Fourier Transform," *Archive for History of Exact Sciences*, vol. 34, pp. 265–277, 1985.
- [25] G. G. Apple and P. A. Wintz, "Calculation of Fourier transforms on finite abelian groups," *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. IT-16, pp. 233–234, 1970.
- [26] T. W. Cairns, "On the fast Fourier transform on finite abelian groups," *IEEE Trans. on Computers*, vol. C-21, pp. 569–571, 1971.
- [27] S. Winograd, "On the multiplicative complexity of the discrete Fourier transform," *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 32, pp. 83–117, 1979.
- [28] S. Winograd, *Arithmetic Complexity of Computation*, Siam, 1980.
- [29] L. Auslander, E. Feig, and S. Winograd, "The multiplicative complexity of the discrete Fourier transform," *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, vol. 5, pp. 87–109, 1984.
- [30] R. E. Edwards, *Fourier Series: A Modern Introduction*, vol. I, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1967.
- [31] R. E. Edwards, *Fourier Series: A Modern Introduction*, vol. II, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1967.
- [32] W. Rudin, *Fourier Analysis on Groups*, Number 12 in Interscience tracts in pure and applied mathematics. Interscience, New York, 1962.
- [33] M. G. Karpovsky, "Fast Fourier transforms on finite non-abelian groups," *IEEE Trans. on Computers*, vol. C-26, pp. 1028–1030, 1977.
- [34] Th. Beth, "On the computational complexity of the general discrete Fourier transform," *Theoretical Computer Science*, vol. 51, pp. 331–339, 1987.
- [35] M. Clausen, *Beiträge zum Entwurf schneller Spektraltransformationen (Habilitationsschrift)*, Univ. Karlsruhe, 1988.
- [36] M. Clausen, "Fast generalized Fourier transforms," *Theoretical Computer Science*, vol. 67, pp. 55–63, 1989.
- [37] M. Clausen and U. Baum, *Fast Fourier Transforms*, BI-Wiss.-Verl., 1993.
- [38] D. Maslen and D. Rockmore, "Generalized FFTs – a survey of some recent results," in *Proceedings of IMACS Workshop in Groups and Computation*, 1995, vol. 28, pp. 182–238.
- [39] D. Rockmore, "Fast Fourier analysis for abelian group extensions," *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, vol. 11, pp. 164–204, 1990.
- [40] P. Diaconis and D. Rockmore, "Efficient computation of the Fourier transform on finite groups," *Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 3(2), pp. 297–332, 1990.
- [41] D. Maslen and D. Rockmore, "Double coset decompositions and computational harmonic analysis on groups," *Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications*, vol. 6, no. 4, 2000.
- [42] P. Diaconis, "A generalization of spectral analysis with applications to ranked data," *Annals of Statistics*, vol. 17, pp. 949–979, 1989.
- [43] P. Diaconis, *Group Representations in Probability and Statistics*, Lecture Notes—Monograph Series. IMS, 1988.
- [44] J. R. Driscoll and D. M. Healy Jr., "Computing Fourier transforms and convolutions on the 2-sphere," *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, vol. 15, pp. 203–250, 1994.
- [45] R. Foote, G. Mirchandani, D. Rockmore, D. Healy, and T. Olson, "A wreath product approach to signal and image processing: Part i—multiresolution analysis," *IEEE Trans. on Signal Processing*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 102–132, 2000.
- [46] G. Mirchandani, R. Foote, D. Rockmore, D. Healy, and T. Olson, "A wreath product approach to signal and image processing—part ii: Multiresolution analysis," *IEEE Trans. on Signal Processing*, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 749–767, 2000.
- [47] Howard L. Resnikoff and Raymond O. Wells, *Wavelet Analysis*, Springer, 1998.
- [48] A. Shokrollahi, B. Hassibi, B. M. Hochwald, and W. Sweldens, "Representation theory for high-rate multiple-antenna code design," *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. 47, no. 6, pp. 2335–2367, 2001.
- [49] T. Minkwitz, *Algorithmentsynthese für lineare Systeme mit Symmetrie*, Ph.D. thesis, Universität Karlsruhe, Informatik, 1993.
- [50] T. Minkwitz, "Algorithms explained by symmetry," *Lecture Notes on Computer Science*, vol. 900, pp. 157–167, 1995.
- [51] S. Egner and M. Püschel, "Automatic generation of fast discrete signal transforms," *IEEE Trans. on Signal Processing*, vol. 49, no. 9, pp. 1992–2002, 2001.
- [52] S. Egner and M. Püschel, "Symmetry-based matrix factorization," *Journal of Symbolic Computation, special issue on "Computer Algebra and Signal Processing"*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 157–186, 2004.
- [53] M. Püschel, "Decomposing monomial representations of solvable groups," *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 561–596, 2002.
- [54] G. Steidl and M. Tasche, "A polynomial approach to fast algorithms for discrete Fourier-cosine and Fourier-sine transforms," *Mathematics of Computation*, vol. 56, no. 193, pp. 281–296, 1991.
- [55] J. M. F. Moura and M. G. S. Bruno, "DCT/DST and Gauss-Markov fields: Conditions for equivalence," *IEEE Trans. on Signal Processing*, vol. 46, no. 9, pp. 2571–2574, 1998.
- [56] G. Strang, "The discrete cosine transform," *SIAM Review*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 135–147, 1999.
- [57] N. Jacobson, *Basic Algebra I*, W. H. Freeman and Co., 1974.
- [58] G. James and M. Liebeck, *Representations and Characters of Groups*, Cambridge Univ. Pr., 1993.
- [59] W. C. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Representation Theory of Finite Groups*, Interscience, 1962.

- [60] B. S. Kashin and A. A. Saakyan, *Orthogonal Series*, American Mathematical Society, 1989.
- [61] Irwin W. Sandberg, "A representation theorem for linear systems," *IEEE Trans. on Circuits and Systems—1: Fundamental Theory and Applications*, vol. 45, no. 5, pp. 578–580, 1998.
- [62] D. Cox, J. Little, and D. O'Shea, *Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms*, Springer, 1997.
- [63] J. R. Driscoll, D. M. Healy Jr., and D. Rockmore, "Fast discrete polynomial transforms with applications to data analysis for distance transitive graphs," *SIAM Journal Computation*, vol. 26, pp. 1066–1099, 1997.
- [64] D. Potts, G. Steidl, and M. Tasche, "Fast algorithms for discrete polynomial transforms," *Mathematics of Computation*, vol. 67, no. 224, pp. 1577–1590, 1998.
- [65] Henri J. Nussbaumer and Philippe Quandalle, "Fast computation of discrete Fourier transforms using polynomial transforms," *IEEE Trans. on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*, vol. ASSP-27, no. 2, pp. 169–181, 1979.
- [66] Th. Kailath and V. Olshevsky, "Displacement structure approach to polynomial Vandermonde and related matrices," *Linear Algebra and Applications*, vol. 261, pp. 49–90, 1997.
- [67] G. Bongiovanni, P. Corsini, and G. Frosini, "One-dimensional and two-dimensional generalized discrete Fourier transform," *IEEE Trans. on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*, vol. ASSP-24, no. 2, pp. 97–99, 1976.
- [68] S. A. Martucci, "Symmetric convolution and the discrete sine and cosine transforms," *IEEE Trans. on Signal Processing*, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 1038–1051, 1994.
- [69] V. Britanak and K. R. Rao, "The fast generalized discrete Fourier transforms: A unified approach to the discrete sinusoidal transforms computation," *Signal Processing*, vol. 79, pp. 135–150, 1999.
- [70] V. Y. Pan, *Structured Matrices and Polynomials*, Birkhäuser Springer, 2001.
- [71] Th. Beth, W. Fumy, and W. Mühlfeld, "Zur algebraischen diskreten Fourier-Transformation [on the algebraic discrete Fourier transform]," *Archiv der Mathematik*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 238–244, 1983.
- [72] J. Hong, M. Vetterli, and P. Duhamel, "Basefield transforms with the convolution property," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 82, no. 3, pp. 400–412, 1994.
- [73] Th. Beth, "Generating fast Hartley transforms," in *Proceedings URSI-ISSSE*, 1989, pp. 688–692.
- [74] R. N. Bracewell, "Discrete Hartley transform," *J. Optical Society America*, vol. 73, no. 12, pp. 1832–1835, 1983.
- [75] Z. Wang, "Harmonic analysis with a real frequency function. i. aperiodic case," *Appl. Math. Comput.*, vol. 9, pp. 53–73, 1981.
- [76] Z. Wang, "Harmonic analysis with a real frequency function. i. periodic and bounded cases," *Appl. Math. Comput.*, vol. 9, pp. 153–163, 1981.
- [77] Z. Wang, "Harmonic analysis with a real frequency function. i. data sequence," *Appl. Math. Comput.*, vol. 9, pp. 245–255, 1981.
- [78] Z. Wang and B. R. Hunt, "The discrete W transform," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 16, pp. 19–48, 1985.
- [79] R. V. L. Hartley, "A more symmetrical Fourier analysis applied to transmission problems," *Proc. IRE*, vol. 30, pp. 144–150, 1942.
- [80] J. M. F. Moura and Nikhil Balram, "Recursive structure of noncausal Gauss Markov random fields," *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 334–354, March 1992.
- [81] G. Alexits, *Convergence Problems of Orthogonal Series*, Pergamon Press, 1961.
- [82] N. Ahmed, T. Natarajan, and K. R. Rao, "Discrete cosine transform," *IEEE Trans. on Computers*, vol. C-23, pp. 90–93, 1974.
- [83] Th. Kailath and V. Olshevsky, "Displacement structure approach to discrete trigonometric transform based preconditioners of G. Strang and T. Chan type," *Calcolo*, vol. 33, pp. 191–208, 1996.
- [84] V. Sánchez, P. García, A. M. Peinado, J. C. Segura, and A. J. Rubio, "Diagonalizing properties of the discrete cosine transforms," *IEEE Trans. on Signal Processing*, vol. 43, no. 11, pp. 2631–2641, 1995.
- [85] G. Szegő, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., 3rd edition, 1967.
- [86] Y. Yemini and J. Pearl, "Asymptotic properties of discrete unitary transforms," *IEEE Trans. on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. PAMI-1, no. 4, pp. 366–371, 1979.
- [87] T. S. Chihara, *An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials*, Gordon and Breach, 1978.
- [88] F. R. Gantmacher, *Matrix Theory*, vol. I, Chelsea, 1959.
- [89] Z. Wang, "A fast algorithm for the discrete sine transform implemented by the fast cosine transform," *IEEE Trans. on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*, vol. ASSP-30, no. 5, pp. 814–815, 1982.
- [90] M. O. Rayes, V. Trevisan, and P. S. Wang, "Factorization of Chebyshev polynomials," Tech. Rep. ICM-199802-0001, Kent State University, 1998.
- [91] G. Mandyam and N. Ahmed, "The discrete Laguerre transform: derivation and applications," *IEEE Trans. on Signal Processing*, vol. 44, no. 12, pp. 2925–2931, 1996.
- [92] J.-B. Martens, "The Hermite transform—theory," *IEEE Trans. on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*, vol. 38, no. 9, pp. 1595–1605, 1990.
- [93] M. F. Aburdene and J. E. Dorband, "Unification of Legendre, Laguerre, Hermite, and binomial discrete transforms using Pascal's matrix," *Multi-dimensional Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 301–305, 1994.
- [94] R. A. Haddad and A. N. Akansu, "A new orthogonal transform for signal coding," *IEEE Trans. on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*, vol. 36, no. 9, pp. 1404–1411, 1988.
- [95] R. Mukundan, S. H. Ong, and P. A. Lee, "Image analysis by tchebichef moments," *IEEE Trans. on Image Processing*, vol. 10, no. 9, pp. 1357–1363, 2001.
- [96] V. I. Romanovsky, *Discrete Markov Chains*, Wolters-Noordhoff, 1970.
- [97] T. J. Rivlin, *The Chebyshev Polynomials*, Wiley Interscience, 1974.

APPENDIX I ALGEBRAIC BACKGROUND

Algebraic definitions. We provide here the formal definitions of the most important algebraic concepts used in this paper.

Definition 38 (Algebra) A \mathbb{C} -algebra \mathcal{A} is a ring that is at the same time a \mathbb{C} -vector space, such that the addition in the ring and the addition in the vector space coincide. In addition, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $g, h \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\alpha(gh) = (\alpha g)h = g(\alpha h)$$

has to hold.

Definition 39 (Module) Let \mathcal{A} be a \mathbb{C} -algebra. A (left) \mathcal{A} -module is a \mathbb{C} -vector space \mathcal{M} that permits an operation

$$\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}, \quad (a, m) \mapsto am,$$

which satisfies, for $a, b, 1 \in \mathcal{A}$ and $m, n \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\begin{aligned} a(m+n) &= am + an \\ (a+b)m &= am + bm \\ (ab)m &= a(bm) \\ 1m &= m. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 40 (Homomorphism of algebras) Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} be \mathbb{C} -algebras. A homomorphism is a mapping $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ that satisfies, for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(a+b) &= \phi(a) + \phi(b) \\ \phi(ab) &= \phi(a)\phi(b) \\ \phi(\alpha a) &= \alpha\phi(a). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 41 (Homomorphism of modules) Let \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} be \mathcal{A} -modules. A homomorphism is a mapping $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ that satisfies, for $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $m, n \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(m+n) &= \phi(m) + \phi(n) \\ \phi(am) &= a\phi(m). \end{aligned}$$

Vector spaces. We assume the reader is familiar with standard linear algebra and only define the notion of direct sum that we use in this paper.

Definition 42 (Direct sum of vector spaces) Let V be a vector space, and let $U, W \leq V$ be subvector spaces with $V = U + W$ and $U \cap W = \{0\}$. Then $V = U + W = U \oplus W$ is called the *inner* direct sum of U and W . Every element $v \in V$ can now be uniquely represented as $v = u + w$, $u \in U, w \in W$. We now consider the *outer* direct sum. Represent v as the pair (u, w) and define addition and scalar multiplication componentwise. We denote the set of these pairs also as $U \oplus W$ and call it the *outer* direct sum of U and W . So the outer direct sum of two vector spaces is their Cartesian product with componentwise addition and scalar multiplication. Every inner direct sum corresponds to an outer direct sum, but the latter is more general, since it can be applied to any pair of vector spaces not contained in a common larger vector space.

Chinese remainder theorem. The most commonly used form of the Chinese remainder theorem (CRT) is for the ring of integers. It states that if $n = pq$, $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, then

$$\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}. \quad (148)$$

Here, $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the ring of integers $\{0, \dots, n-1\}$ with addition and multiplication modulo n , and \times denotes the Cartesian product with elementwise operation. The isomorphism in (148) is given by, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$,

$$\phi: k \mapsto (k \bmod p, k \bmod q).$$

In words, the CRT states that “computing (addition and multiplication) modulo n is equivalent to computing in parallel modulo p and modulo q .”

The CRT also holds for the ring, or algebra, of polynomials $\mathbb{C}[x]$. In this case $\mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)\mathbb{C}[x]$ denotes the ring, or algebra, of polynomials of degree less than n with addition and multiplication modulo $p(x)$. These *polynomial algebras* are discussed in detail in Section III. We write for short

$$\mathbb{C}[x]/p(x) = \mathbb{C}[x]/p(x)\mathbb{C}[x].$$

Theorem 43 (Chinese remainder theorem for polynomials)

Let $p(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$, and let $p(x) = r(x)s(x)$ with $\gcd(r(x), s(x)) = 1$. Then

$$\mathbb{C}[x]/p(x) \cong \mathbb{C}[x]/r(x) \oplus \mathbb{C}[x]/s(x).$$

This isomorphism is given by the mapping

$$q(x) \mapsto (q(x) \bmod r(x), q(x) \bmod s(x)).$$

Note that, for polynomial algebras, we write \oplus instead of \times in (148), since they carry the additional structure of a vector space, and thus the Cartesian product is equivalent to the outer direct sum in Definition 42.

The Chinese remainder theorem can be generalized to the algebra of polynomials in several variables $\mathbb{C}[\bar{x}] =$

$\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_k]$, but the theory is in general more involved. In this case the “factor algebras” have the form

$$\mathbb{C}[\bar{x}]/(p_1(\bar{x})\mathbb{C}[\bar{x}] + \dots + p_\ell(\bar{x})\mathbb{C}[\bar{x}]),$$

which will write for short as

$$\mathbb{C}[\bar{x}]/\langle p_1(\bar{x}), \dots, p_\ell(\bar{x}) \rangle.$$

Graphs. We introduce some basic notions for graphs that we use in this paper.

Definition 44 (Graph) A weighted, directed graph \mathcal{G} is a triple (V, E, w) , where V is the set of *vertices*, $E \subset V \times V$ the set of directed *edges*, given by ordered pairs, and $w: E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a *weight function* that assigns to each edge a complex number.

Each graph is uniquely described by its square adjacency matrix $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ defined as follows. $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ is a complex $|V| \times |V|$ matrix, in which rows and columns are indexed with V . At position $(v, w) \in V \times V$, the matrix has the entry $\omega(v, w)$, if $(v, w) \in E$, and 0 else.

APPENDIX II MODULE PROPERTY OF ℓ^p

The following theorem shows that $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, is an $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ -module. An analogous statement holds when \mathbb{Z} is replaced by \mathbb{N} . We provide the proof for completeness.

Theorem 45 Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$ is an $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ -module with the operation being convolution of sequences.

Proof: Before we start the proof, we remind the reader of Hölder’s inequality, which for sequences takes the following form. Let $s \in \ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$ and $t \in \ell^q(\mathbb{Z})$, where $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Then

$$\|s \cdot t\|_1 \leq \|s\|_p \|t\|_q,$$

where “ \cdot ” is the pointwise product. We begin the proof of the theorem and denote convolution by “ \star .” Let $s \in \ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$ and $h \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$. It is clear that the convolution $t = h \star s$ exists and that the result is in $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$, since $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ and h is BIBO stable. To prove the Theorem, what we need to show is that $t = h \star s$ is also in $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$. Using Hölder’s inequality in the third step, we get for the n th output

$$\begin{aligned} |t_n| &= \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} h_k s_{n-k} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |s_{n-k}| |h_k|^{1/p} \cdot |h_k|^{1-1/p} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |s_{n-k}|^p |h_k| \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |h_k| \right)^{1-1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Raising to the p -th power on each side and summing over \mathbb{Z} yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |t_n|^p &\leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |s_{n-k}|^p |h_k| \right) \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |h_k| \right)^{p-1} \right) \\
&= \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |h_k| \right)^{p-1} \cdot \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |s_{n-k}|^p |h_k| \right) \\
&= \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |h_k| \right)^{p-1} \cdot \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |h_k| \cdot \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |s_{n-k}|^p \\
&= \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |h_k| \right)^p \cdot \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |s_n|^p
\end{aligned}$$

which yields the desired result. In the second step we pulled out the last factor in the sum since it does not depend on n . In the third step we exchanged the order of summation in the second sum (which is absolute convergent). ■

APPENDIX III CHEBYSHEV POLYNOMIALS

Chebyshev polynomials, and the more general class of orthogonal polynomials, have many interesting properties and play an important role in different areas of mathematics, including statistics, approximation theory, and graph theory. An excellent introduction to the theory of orthogonal polynomials can be found in the books of Chihara, Szegő, and Rivlin [87], [85], [97]. In this section we give the main properties of Chebyshev polynomials that we will use in this paper.

We call every sequence $C = (C_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z})$ of polynomials that satisfies the three-term recurrence

$$C_{n+1}(x) = 2xC_n(x) - C_{n-1}(x) \quad (149)$$

a sequence of *Chebyshev polynomials* (C stands for Chebyshev). Using (149), the sequence C is uniquely determined by the initial polynomials C_0, C_1 . The most important—and commonly known—are the Chebyshev polynomials of the *first kind*, denoted by $C_n = T_n$ and determined by $T_0 = 1$ and $T_1 = x$. We provide a few examples:

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
T_{-2} & T_{-1} & T_0 & T_1 & T_2 & T_3 \\
\hline
2x^2 - 1 & x & 1 & x & 2x^2 - 1 & 4x^3 - 3x
\end{array}$$

For $x \in [-1, 1]$, T_n can be written in closed (or parameterized) form as

$$T_n = \cos n\theta, \quad \cos \theta = x. \quad (150)$$

The closed form exhibits the *symmetry property*,

$$T_{-n} = T_n, \quad (151)$$

and can be used to readily derive the zeros of T_n , and to show that $T = (T_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z})$ is orthogonal on $(-1, 1)$ with respect to the weight function $w(x) = (1 - x^2)^{-1/2}$, i.e.,

$$\int_{-1}^1 T_n(x) T_m(x) w(x) dx = 0, \quad \text{for } n \neq m.$$

We will occasionally use another parameterization of T_n , which we call power form, given by

$$T_n = \frac{u^n + u^{-n}}{2}, \quad \frac{u + u^{-1}}{2} = x. \quad (152)$$

By substituting $u = e^{j\theta}$ we obtain (150).

In this paper, we also consider the Chebyshev polynomials of the second, third, and fourth kind, denoted by U_n, V_n, W_n , respectively, that arise from different initial polynomials C_0, C_1 . Each of these sequences exhibits a symmetry property similar to (151) and is orthogonal on the interval $(-1, 1)$ with respect to a weight $w(x)$. Furthermore, U_n, V_n, W_n can be written in closed form. These properties are summarized in Table XVI.

In addition, we will need the following properties that are shared by all sequences of Chebyshev polynomials including T, U, V, W (see [87]).

Lemma 46 Let $C = (C_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z})$ be a sequence of Chebyshev polynomials. Then the following holds:

- i) The sequence C is determined by any two successive polynomials C_n, C_{n+1} .
- ii) $\deg(C_0) = 0, \deg(C_1) = 1 \Rightarrow \deg(C_n) = n$, for $n \geq 0$.
- iii) $C_n = C_1 \cdot U_{n-1} - C_0 \cdot U_{n-2}$.
- iv) $T_k \cdot C_n = (C_{n+k} + C_{n-k})/2$.

In this paper, we consider only Chebyshev polynomials C that satisfy $C_0 = 1$, and $\deg(C_1) = 1$.

The following properties of the four kinds of Chebyshev polynomials introduced above are a direct consequence of their relationship to cosine and sine function and trigonometric identities. The proof is straightforward by induction and is omitted.

- Lemma 47**
- i) The leading coefficient of T_n is 2^{n-1} ; the leading coefficient of U_n, V_n, W_n is 2^n .
 - ii) $T_n(1) = 1, U_n(1) = n + 1, V_n(1) = 1, W_n(1) = 2n + 1$
 - iii) $T_n(-1) = (-1)^n, U_n(-1) = (-1)^n(n + 1), V_n(-1) = (-1)^n(2n + 1), W_n(-1) = (-1)^n$

APPENDIX IV DIRECT DERIVATION OF ORTHOGONAL DTTs

In Section VIII-F we derived and explained the orthogonal versions of 4 of the 16 DTTs by using the Christoffel-Darboux formula (Theorem 15). To do the same for the other 12 DTTs, we need to derive variants of (100). We use the DCT-1 as an example; the other DTTs are handled similarly.

The DCT-1 has the associated module $\mathbb{C}[x]/(T_n - T_{n-2})$ and base vectors T_k . The zeros of $T_n - T_{n-2} = 2(x^2 - 1)U_{n-2}$ are $\alpha_k = \cos k\pi/(n - 1)$, $0 \leq k < n$. Since the goal is to make the top case ($x \neq y$) in (100) vanish for the zeros of $T_n - T_{n-2}$, it seems natural to substitute P_n in (100) by $T_n - T_{n-2}$ to obtain the expression

$$\begin{aligned}
A(x, y) = & \frac{T_{n-1}(y)(T_n(x) - T_{n-2}(x)) - T_{n-1}(x)(T_n(y) - T_{n-2}(y))}{x - y},
\end{aligned}$$

which vanishes for any choice $x = \alpha_i, y = \alpha_j, i \neq j$. Manipulation, including the use of the recurrence (149) for T_n , yields

$$\begin{aligned}
A(x, y) &= 2B(x, y) - 2T_{n-1}(x)T_{n-1}(y), \text{ with} \\
B(x, y) &= \frac{T_{n-1}(y)T_n(x) - T_n(y)T_{n-1}(x)}{x - y},
\end{aligned}$$

TABLE XVI

FOUR SERIES OF CHEBYSHEV POLYNOMIALS. THE RANGE FOR THE ZEROS IS $0 \leq k < n$. IN THE TRIGONOMETRIC CLOSED FORM $\cos \theta = x$ AND IN THE POWER FORM $(u + u^{-1})/2 = x$.

| polynomial | $n = 0, 1$ | closed form | power form | symmetry | zeros | weight $w(x)$ |
|------------|------------|--|---|---------------------|---|---------------------------|
| T_n | $1, x$ | $\cos(n\theta)$ | $\frac{u^n + u^{-n}}{2}$ | $T_{-n} = T_n$ | $\cos \frac{(k+\frac{1}{2})\pi}{n}$ | $(1-x^2)^{-1/2}$ |
| U_n | $1, 2x$ | $\frac{\sin(n+1)\theta}{\sin \theta}$ | $\frac{u^{n+1} - u^{-(n+1)}}{u - u^{-1}}$ | $U_{-n} = -U_{n-2}$ | $\cos \frac{(k+1)\pi}{n+1}$ | $(1-x^2)^{1/2}$ |
| V_n | $1, 2x-1$ | $\frac{\cos(n+\frac{1}{2})\theta}{\cos \frac{1}{2}\theta}$ | $\frac{u^{n+1/2} + u^{-(n+1/2)}}{u^{1/2} + u^{-1/2}}$ | $V_{-n} = V_{n-1}$ | $\cos \frac{(k+\frac{1}{2})\pi}{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ | $(1+x)^{1/2}(1-x)^{-1/2}$ |
| W_n | $1, 2x+1$ | $\frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})\theta}{\sin \frac{1}{2}\theta}$ | $\frac{u^{n+1/2} - u^{-(n+1/2)}}{u^{1/2} - u^{-1/2}}$ | $W_{-n} = -W_{n-1}$ | $\cos \frac{(k+1)\pi}{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ | $(1+x)^{-1/2}(1-x)^{1/2}$ |

or

$$B(x, y) = A(x, y)/2 + T_{n-1}(x)T_{n-1}(y). \quad (153)$$

$B(x, y)$ is the original expression in the top case of (100). Equation (153) explains how to adjust (100) to yield orthogonality of the transform, namely, by subtracting $c_n T_{n-1}(x)T_{n-1}(y)$ on both sides to get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{0 \leq k < n} \mu_k^{-1} T_k(x)T_k(y) - c_n T_{n-1}(x)T_{n-1}(y) \\ = \begin{cases} c_n/2 \cdot A(x, y), & x \neq y, \\ c_n \cdot q(x), & x = y, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$q(x) = T_{n-1}(x)T'_n(x) - T_n(x)T'_{n-1}(x) - T_{n-1}(x)T_{n-1}(x).$$

To obtain the actual numbers, we compute $\mu_0 = \pi$, $\mu_k = \pi/2$, $k > 0$. Since μ_k^{-1} occurs in all summands, we can drop π . From Lemma 47, i) (Appendix III), $\beta_n = 2^{n-1}$, and thus $c_n = 1$, for $n > 1$. It remains to evaluate $q(x)$ at $\alpha_k = \cos k\pi/(n-1)$, using $T'_n = nU_{n-1}$. To obtain $q(\alpha_k)$ we use for $k \neq 0, n-1$ the closed forms of T_n, U_n (Table XVI), and Lemma 47, ii) else. We get

$$q(\alpha_k) = \begin{cases} n-1 & k \neq 0, n-1, \\ 2(n-1) & k = 0, n-1. \end{cases}$$

Similar to (101), we set

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \text{diag}_{0 \leq k < n} (q(\alpha_k)^{-1}) \\ &= \text{diag}\left(\frac{1}{2(n-1)}, \frac{1}{n-1}, \dots, \frac{1}{n-1}, \frac{1}{2(n-1)}\right) \\ E &= \text{diag}(\mu_k^{-1}) \\ &= \text{diag}(1, 2, \dots, 2, 1) \end{aligned}$$

and $\sqrt{D} \cdot \overline{\text{DCT-I}} \cdot \sqrt{E}$ is orthogonal (Table XII).