# **Detecting User Engagement in Everyday Conversations**

Chen Yu

Department of Computer Science University of Rochester Rochester, NY 14627 USA

yu@cs.rochester.edu

### Abstract

This paper presents a novel application of speech emotion recognition: estimation of the level of conversational engagement between users of a voice communication system. We begin by using machine learning techniques, such as the support vector machine (SVM), to classify users' emotions as expressed in individual utterances. However, this alone fails to model the temporal and interactive aspects of conversational engagement. We therefore propose the use of a multilevel structure based on coupled hidden Markov models (HMM) to estimate engagement levels in continuous natural speech. The first level is comprised of SVM-based classifiers that recognize emotional states, which could be (e.g.) discrete emotion types or arousal/valence levels. A high-level HMM then uses these emotional states as input, estimating users' engagement in conversation by decoding the internal states of the HMM. We report experimental results obtained by applying our algorithms to the LDC EMO-TIONAL PROSODY and CALLFRIEND speech corpora.

## 1. Introduction

Wireless personal communication systems, such as the mobile phone network, continue to advance rapidly in terms of technology as well as breadth of deployment. However, even with all of these advances, almost all mobile voice communication still takes place using the telephone call model that originated in the 19th Century. Field studies of current wireless communication technologies in use (e.g., [1]) show that users gravitate toward communication patterns that are more lightweight, dynamic and spontaneous than those seen with non-mobile technologies. We are designing new voice communication systems that are intended to fit better into these new patterns of use. In each case, the communication system uses machine learning techniques to model the state of social interaction in the voice channel, and then adapts the channel to facilitate interaction.

In this paper, we describe the machine learning component of one such adaptive communication system. The system relies on estimates of the level of the users' *engagement* in an ongoing remote conversation. One use of these engagement estimates is to increase or decrease the "richness" of a communication session in an automatic and seamless way. For example, if two users are speaking in a push-to-talk (half-duplex audio) session and become highly engaged, the system could automatically switch over to a telephony (duplex audio) connection. Similarly, if the two participants become even more engaged in the telephone conversation, the system could then add a video channel. (A longer discussion can be found in [1].)

One can attempt to capture an instantaneous notion of conversational participants' feelings by analyzing speech as it Paul M. Aoki and Allison Woodruff

Palo Alto Research Center 3333 Coyote Hill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304 USA {aoki,woodruff}@acm.org

passes through the voice channel. In addition to carrying linguistic information, the manner in which speech is delivered provides many acoustic cues indicating the speaker's emotions and attitudes toward the topic, the dialogue partner, the situation, etc. In this work, we attempt to develop a computer system to extract such non-linguistic information from users' speech. In doing so, we build on previous work relating emotions to speech. The correlations between acoustic features (e.g., prosody) and emotional states have been studied in speech production and phonetics for many years (for a review, see [2]). Recently, there has been growing interest in automatic emotion recognition from speech, with diverse approaches being applied. For example, Dellaert et al. [3] implemented a method based on the majority voting of subspace specialists to classify acted spoken utterances into four emotion types; Batliner et al. [4] provided a comparative study of recognizing two emotion types, "neutral" and "anger," expressed by actors and naive subjects; Schröder et al. [5] analyzed the correlation between various acoustic features and three abstract emotional characteristics in a collection of broadcast media recordings; and Lee et al. [6] combined acoustic features with the recognition of emotionally salient words to categorize utterances as negative or non-negative. A good review of automatic emotion recognition can be found in [7].

The work reported here is novel in two ways. First, we adapt prior work on emotion recognition to the estimation of conversational engagement. Second, we formulate the problem of estimating engagement from speech as one that has multiple inputs – that is, it considers acoustic, temporal, and interactional information. This formulation follows from a recognition that conversation is a sequentially-organized, social process, and that a speaker's display of affect (including the acoustic cues in speech) is, in large part, part of a trajectory of conversational actions that includes all of the participants. Empirical research in conversation analysis has (qualitatively) demonstrated many linkages between emotional displays and conversational engagement (see, e.g., [8, 9]).

The central idea, then, is that an operational model of engagement in everyday conversation should directly reflect the fact that a participant's current engagement state is influenced by his/her previous engagement state (temporal continuity), his/her current emotional state (as reflected by affective display, which is speech here), and the other participants' engagement states (as expressed through interaction). Aside from theoretical aspects, there are two (potential) practical advantages of considering these multiple inputs. First, we may be able to get better accuracy because we can compensate for transient noise in one input in the integration process. Second, in the case that some information is not available, we may still be able to compute



Figure 1: Overview of a multilevel structure for engagement detection.

users' engagement based on partial information. For example, when a given user listens silently to the current speaker's talk, a method depending solely on the acoustic features of speech cannot estimate the listener's engagement. In our method, however, we can use the listener's previous engagement level and the speaker's current engagement level to estimate the listener's current engagement level.

In light of the above analysis, we propose a multilevel structure using support vector machine (SVM) and hidden Markov model (HMM) techniques as shown in Figure 1. The first level of the architecture is comprised of SVM classifiers that use acoustic features as input and predict emotional states of users, which could be (e.g.) discrete emotion types or arousal/valence levels. Those emotional states are utilized as input to the higher level HMM. This models a user's emotional state and engagement in conversation as a dynamic, continous process. In addition, we apply the coupled HMM (CHMM) technique to capture joint behavior of participants and model the influence of individual participants on each other. In this way, the method decodes users' engagement states in conversations by seamlessly integrating low-level prosodic, temporal and cross-participant cues. In the rest of the paper, Section 2 presents our method of speech emotion recognition and Section 3 describes engagement detection based on CHMM. The experimental results are reported and discussed in Section 4.

### 2. Speech emotion recognition

The first level classifies emotions through certain attributes of spoken communication. As in any classification problem, we need to define appropriate target classes and then find features that can be used to classify accurately. We discuss each in turn. **Classes.** In the literature on spoken emotion recognition, there are two main approaches to classifying emotional states [7, 2]. In this work, we apply both.

A given emotional state can be characterized using a set of common-sense labels, or *discrete emotion types*, such as "happy" or "sad." The various spoken emotion recognition studies often use very different sets, both for theoretical and practical reasons (e.g., only a few discrete emotion types may be of interest in a given application). In this work, we focus on seven discrete emotion types: hot anger, panic, sadness, happy, interest, boredom and no emotion.

Emotions can also be characterized in terms of *continuous dimensions*. The dimensions define a space in which any given emotional state can be located; if the dimensions are discretized into *levels*, producing an multidimensional array of possible characterizations, we define a finite set of classes. In this work, we explore the use of the two most commonly considered dimensions, *arousal* and *valence*. Arousal refers to the degree of intensity of affect and ranges from sleep to excitement. Valence describes the pleasantness of the stimuli, such as positive (happy) and negative (sad).

We now turn to features. The practical motivations of this work require us to build a speaker-independent emotion detection system that has to deal with speaker variability in speech. People's willingness to display emotional responses and the way that they convey affective messages using speech vary widely across individuals. Thus, we need to find a set of robust features that are not only closely correlated with emotional categories but also invariant across different speakers.

**Candidate features.** We first segment continuous speech into spoken utterances. Then we use the PRAAT software package to extract the prosodic and energy profiles of each spoken utterance, which carry a large amount of information of emotion. Next, seven kinds of acoustic features are extracted from each spoken utterance:

- Fundamental frequency (F0/pitch): mean, maximum, minimum, standard deviation, range, 25-percentile, and 75percentile.
- Derivative of pitch (rate of change): mean, maximum, minimum, standard deviation, and range of derivative; mean and standard deviation of absolute derivative.
- Duration of pitch: ratio of duration of voiced and unvoiced regions, mean of frames of voiced regions, standard deviation of frames of voiced regions, number of voiced regions, ratio of frames of voiced and unvoiced regions, maximal frames of voiced duration and mean of the maximum pitch in every region.
- Energy: mean, standard deviation, maximum, median, and energy in frequency bands (<200Hz, 200-300Hz, 300-500Hz, 500-1KHz, 1k-2kHz and >2kHz).
- Derivative of energy (rate of change): mean, standard deviation, maximum, median, and minimum.
- Duration of energy in non-silent regions: mean of the number of frames, standard deviation of the number of frames, ratio of non-silent frames, and maximum of the number of frames.
- Formants: first three formant frequencies (F1, F2, F3), and their bandwidths.

**Feature selection.** Now we have multidimensional features for each spoken utterance. The curse of dimensionality in highdimensional classification is well-known in machine learning, which indicates that pruning the irrelevant features holds more promise for a generalized classification. We transformed the original feature space into a lower dimensional space using the RELIEFF algorithm for feature selection.

As mentioned above, we want to develop a speakerindependent emotion recognition system which needs to deal with speaker variation. However, since the acoustic features of male and female speakers differ considerably, we divided users by gender and used different feature sets for the two groups. For example, the top seven features for arousal level classification are as follows:

• Male: range of F2, range of pitch, maximum of pitch, energy >2000Hz, maximum of voiced durations, standard de-

viation of derivative of energy, and maximum of energy.

• Female: mean of pitch, range of derivative of pitch, mean duration of voiced regions, energy <200Hz, ratio of number of silent vs. non-silent frames, maximum of pitch, and maximum of energy.

**Classification.** We used the SVM algorithm to classify acoustic features, which projects feature vectors in a higher dimension and constructs a hyperplane as the decision surface so that the margin of separation between positive and negative examples is maximized. Specifically, we used c-SVM with polynomial kernels and combined several pairwise binary SVM classifiers to build multi-class classification by using the one-against-all method [10].

# 3. User engagement recognition

User engagement measures the commitment to interaction. Thus, it describes how much a participant is interested in and attentive to a conversation. To detect this internal state of a participant, the central idea of our method is that user engagement in conversations has temporal characteristics that cannot be inferred solely from low-level perceptual features, such as acoustic features. However, some user states, such as arousal levels, not only can be directly estimated from acoustic features but also are inherently correlated with user engagement. In light of this, we propose a multilevel structure in which low-level classifiers use acoustic features extracted from raw speech signals to categorize spoken utterances in several dimensions (e.g., arousal levels). The outputs of those classifiers are then used as the observations of the high-level HMM. The HMM is comprised of five hidden states which correspond to the degree of engagement in conversations and model temporal continuity of user engagement.

In addition, we applied a CHMM to describe the mutual influence of participants' engagement states. In the CHMM, each chain has five hidden states corresponding to engagement levels. The observations are emotional states as received from the low-level classifier. For example, in the specific CHMM used in Sec. 4.3, the observations are arousal levels.

**Training.** Given the sequences of arousal levels and engagement levels of participants, the CHMM training procedure needs to estimate three kinds of probabilities:

- $p(o_i|s_j)$  is the probability of observing arousal level *i* in state *j* which is a multinomial distribution and can be learned by simply counting the expected frequency of arousal levels in state *j*.
- p(s\_j^m | s\_i^m) is the transition probability of taking the transition from state i to state j in chain m.
- *p*(s<sub>j</sub><sup>m</sup>|s<sub>i</sub><sup>n</sup>) is the cross-participant influence probability of taking the transition to state *j* in chain *m* being in state *i* in chain *n*.

Note that currently the observations are discrete values (discretized arousal levels, etc.) and are modeled by multinomial distributions. (We could use Gaussian mixture models for continuous CHMM observations if we were to use low-level classifiers that provided probabilistic categories.)

**Testing.** In the testing phase, acoustic features are fed into the low-level SVM classifiers and the output arousal states are fed into the high-level CHMM. The decoded state sequences of the CHMM are obtained using the Viterbi algorithm and indicate the engagement states of participants. Formally, assume that the CHMM consists of two chains corresponding to two participants in a conversation, and let  $s_t^1$  and  $s_t^2$  be the engagement states of participant 2 at time t separately.  $o_t^1$ 

and  $o_t^2$  are the observations (arousal levels, etc.) of participants. The model predicts the current state  $s_t^1$  based on its own previous state  $s_{t-1}^1$ , cross-channel influence  $s_{t-1}^2$  and new observation of arousal level  $o_t^1$ . Specifically, the probability of the combination of two participant's states are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} p(s_t^1, s_t^2) \ = \ p(s_t^1 | s_{t-1}^1) p(s_t^2 | s_{t-1}^2) p(s_t^1 | s_{t-1}^2) p(s_t^2 | s_{t-1}^1) \\ p(o_t^1 | s_t^1) p(o_t^2 | s_t^2) \end{aligned}$$

In this way, our method is able to estimate two participants' engagement states simultaneously given raw speech data in the conversation.

### 4. Experiments and results

The evaluation of computational emotion recognition is challenging for several reasons. First, data from real-life scenarios is difficult to acquire; much of the research on emotion in speech is based on recordings of actors/actresses who simulate specific emotional states. Second, emotional categories are quite ambiguous in their definitions, and different researchers propose different sets of categories. Third, even when there is agreement on a clear definition of emotion, labeling emotional speech is not straightforward. In a conversation, a speaker can be thought of as encoding his/her emotions in speech and listeners can be thought of as decoding the emotional information from speech. However, the speaker and listeners may not agree on the emotion expressed or perceived in an utterance. Similarly, different listeners may infer different emotional states given the same utterance. All of these factors make data collection, evaluation of emotion, and engagement recognition much more complicated compared to other statistical pattern recognition problems, such as visual object recognition and text mining, in which ground truth can be clearly determined.

#### 4.1. Data and data coding

In this work, we used two English-language speech corpora obtained from the Linguistic Data Consortium (LDC):

The LDC EMOTIONAL PROSODY corpus was produced by professional actors/actresses expressing 14 discrete emotion types. There are approximately 25 spoken utterances per discrete emotion type. In our experiments, we focused on seven discrete emotion types that are most important in our application: hot anger, panic, sadness, happy, interest, boredom and no emotion. In the experiments reported here, half of the utterances were used for training and the other half for testing.

The LDC CALLFRIEND corpus was collected by the consensual recording of social telephone conversations between friends. We selected four dialogues that contained a range of affect and extracted usable subsets of approximately 10 minutes from each. Segmenting the subsets into utterances produced a total of 1011 utterances from four female speakers and 877 utterances from four male speakers. Five labelers were asked to listen to the individual utterances and provide four separate labels for each utterance: discrete emotion type as a categorical value, and numerical values (on a discretized 1–5 scale) for each of arousal, valence and engagement. We based the final labels for each utterance on the consensus of all the labelers. Again, these experiments used half of the utterances for training and half for testing.

#### 4.2. Results of emotion recognition in utterances

Table 1 provides examples of the SVM recognition accuracy for emotional states of individual spoken utterances. The table illustrates how accuracy varied with the classifier type (i.e., varying numbers of discrete emotion types and arousal/valence levels), whether the classifier was trained/tested using data in a speaker-dependent (SD) or speaker-independent (SI) manner, and whether the classifer was trained/tested on data from the EMOTIONAL PROSODY (EP) or CALLFRIEND (CF) corpora. For example, with regard to discrete emotion types, recognition rates for 5 types (comparison (a)) show that, for the same feature extraction and machine learning method, the performance with acted speech (EP) in SD mode is better than that with spontaneous speech (CF) in SI mode (75% vs. 51%). Given that many studies of speech emotion recognition do focus on acted speech and speaker-dependent recognition, this comparison indicates that results under such artificial conditions cannot be assumed to generalize to a real-life, speaker-independent scenario. Looking at both types of classifiers, the difference between 5 and 7 discrete emotion types (see (b)) and between 3 and 5 arousal levels (see (c)) illustrate that increasing the number of classes can have a negative effect on the classification accuracy. Finally, we see two interesting results regarding emotional dimensions alone. First, recognition rates of valence levels are not as good as those obtained for arousal (see (d)), which is consistent in principle with the related psychological studies [5]. Second, the accuracy at recognizing arousal levels (see (c)) is reasonably good using spontaneous speech data and SI mode.

Table 1: SVM classification accuracy on utterances.

classifier	mode	EP	CF	
7 discrete types	SD	69%	-	$\left( h \right)$
5 discrete types	SD	75%	62%	$\begin{cases} (0) \\ \end{array} $
5 discrete types	SI	60%	51%	$\left\{ a\right\} $
5 arousal levels	SI	-	58%	
3 arousal levels	SI	-	67%	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} (c) \\ \end{array} \right\}_{(d)}$
3 valence levels	SI	-	54%	$\int (a)$

#### 4.3. Results of engagement detection in continuous speech

Table 2 shows the results of applying three speaker-independent methods to the assessment of users' engagement states on a 1-5 scale (in which a random choice of state would produce 20% accuracy). We first trained an SVM classifier to categorize spoken utterances directly, based only on prosodic features, and obtained 47% accuracy. A much better result (61%) was achieved by using the multilevel structure. The improvement indicates that low-level prosodic features in speech are only incomplete indicators of users' engagement states and that we benefit from using an HMM model that encodes the inherently continuous dynamics of users' engagement states. Next, we included cross-participant influence by using the CHMM and achieved a smaller improvement in performance. This is not surprising for a first attempt; different pairs of conversational participants will have somewhat different dynamics, and it is less likely that we could completely encode this complex interaction using a simple, speaker-independent model and limited training data. Considering that the data we used are from spontaneous speech in real telephone calls and the method does not encode any speaker-dependent information, the results are reasonably good and promising.

Table 2: Engagement detection accuracy in continuous speech.

random	isolated SVM	HMM	coupled HMM
(20%)	47%	61%	63%

# 5. Conclusions

In this work, we proposed to use affective information encoded in speech to estimate users' engagement in computer-mediated voice communications systems for mobile computing. To our knowledge, this is the first work that attempts to estimate users' engagement in spoken conversation. We tested this idea by developing a machine learning system that can perform engagement detection in everyday dialogue and achieved reasonably good results.

The main technical contribution of this work is a method for estimating users' engagement based on a novel multilevel structure. Compared with previous studies that focus on classifying user's emotional states based on individual utterances, our method models the emotion recognition and engagement detection problem in a continuous manner. In addition, we encode the joint behavior of the participants in a conversation using a CHMM. We demonstrated that our method achieved much better results than the one based solely on low-level acoustic signals of individual spoken utterances. A natural extension of the study reported here is to extract additional types of affective information from speech, such as linguistic information, and then include them as the observation data of the high-level HMM to improve the overall performance of engagement detection.

# 6. References

- A. Woodruff and P. M. Aoki, "How push-to-talk makes talk less pushy," in *Proc. ACM SIGGROUP Conf.* ACM, 2003, pp. 170–179.
- [2] K. R. Scherer, "Vocal communication of emotion: A review of research paradigms," *Speech Communication*, vol. 40, no. 1–2, pp. 227–256, 2003.
- [3] F. Dellaert, T. Polzin, and A. Waibel, "Recognizing emotion in speech," in *Proc. 4th ICSLP*. IEEE, 1996, pp. 1970–1973.
- [4] A. Batliner, K. Fisher, R. Huber, J. Spilker, and E. Noth, "Desperately seeking emotions: Actors, wizards, and human beings," in *Proc. ISCA Workshop on Speech and Emotion.* ISCA, 2000.
- [5] M. Schröder, R. Cowie, E. Douglas-Cowie, M. Westerdijk, and S. Gielen, "Acoustic correlates of emotion dimensions in view of speech synthesis," in *Proc. 7th EU-ROSPEECH.* ISCA, 2001, pp. 87–90.
- [6] C. M. Lee, S. Narayanan, and R. Pieraccini, "Combining acoustic and language information for emotion recognition," in *Proc. 7th ICSLP*. ISCA, 2002, pp. 873–876.
- [7] R. Cowie, E. Douglas-Cowie, N. Tsapatsoulis, G. Votsis, S. Kollias, W. Fellenz, and J. G. Taylor, "Emotion recognition in human-computer interaction," *IEEE Signal Processing Mag.*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 32–80, 2001.
- [8] M. Selting, "Emphatic speech style with special focus on the prosodic signalling of heightened emotive involvement in conversation," *J. Pragmatics*, vol. 22, no. 3–4, pp. 375–408, 1994.
- [9] M. H. Goodwin and C. Goodwin, "Emotion within situated activity," in *Communication: An Arena of Development*, N. Budwig, I. C. Uzgiris, and J. V. Wertsch, Eds. Ablex, 2000, pp. 33–54.
- [10] C.-W. Hsu and C.-J. Lin, "A comparison of methods for multi-class support vector machines," *IEEE Trans. Neural Networks*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 415–425, 2002.