

# About the finding of independent vertices of a graph

Anatoly D. Plotnikov

## Abstract

We examine the Maximum Independent Set Problem in an undirected graph.

The main result is that this problem can be considered as the solving the same problem in a subclass of the weighted normal twin-orthogonal graphs.

The problem is formulated which is dual to the problem above. It is shown that, for trivial twin-orthogonal graphs, any of its maximal independent set is also maximum one.

## 1 Statement of the problem

Consider the class  $L$  of undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges with weighted vertices.

Assume that there is a graph  $G = (X, \Gamma, M) \in L$ , where  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  be the set of the graph vertices,  $\Gamma$  is the mapping  $X$  into  $X$ , and  $M = \{\mu(x_1), \dots, \mu(x_n)\}$  is the set of the non-negative integers – weights of the graph vertices. If  $X_1 = \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}\} \subset X$  then  $\Gamma X_1 = \Gamma x_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup \Gamma x_{i_m}$ .

A graph  $G = (X, \Gamma, M) \in L$  is called *isometric* if  $\mu(x_i) = \mu(x_j)$  ( $i \neq j$ ) for all  $x_i, x_j \in X$ .

For any  $A \subset X$  we shall designate

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{\forall x_i \in A} \mu(x_i).$$

As a *problem*  $Z$ , given on a graph  $G = (X, \Gamma, M) \in L$ , we shall call the problem of finding of vertex set  $U \subset X$  such that satisfies conditions

$$U \cap \Gamma U = \emptyset, \tag{1}$$

$$U \cup \Gamma U = X \tag{2}$$

and supplies the maximum of a function

$$\mu(U). \quad (3)$$

Any vertex set  $U \subset X$ , satisfying the condition (1), is called *independent*. An independent set  $U \subset X$ , satisfying (2), is called the *maximal independent set (MIS)* of the graph  $G$ .

A MIS  $\hat{U} \subset X$ , supplying the maximum of the function (3), is called the *maximum independent set (MMIS)* of the graph  $G$  (the optimum solution of the problem  $Z$ ).

The problem  $Z$  has the different applications [1, 4, 5, 3]. It has the special significance in Computation Complexity Theory, as it is NP-complete [2]. From the point of view of applications, the significance of any NP-complete problem is that it can be considered as a mathematical model of all discrete problems.

The existing methods for solving of the problem  $Z$  (as a rule, in an isometric graph) consist in basic in finding all MIS of the graph  $G \in L$  and selection of them the maximum independent set [1, 3].

The inefficiency of such approach to solving the problem  $Z$  is proved by that the maximum number of the MISs  $\sigma_G(n)$ , a graph  $G \in L$  can has, is equal to  $\sigma_G(n) = \gamma(s)3^{r-1}$  [1, 3], where  $n = 3r + s$ ,  $\gamma(0) = 3$ ,  $\gamma(1) = 4$ ,  $\gamma(2) = 6$ . Hence, complexity of any algorithm, based on searching of all MIS of a graph  $G$ , can not have an evaluation better than  $O(3^{n/3})$ .

With the problem  $Z$ , given on a graph  $G$ , it is usually connected a problem of finding the maximum complete subgraph (the maximal clique) in the additional graph  $\overline{G} = (X, \overline{\Gamma}, M) \in L$  as the subset of vertices  $\hat{U} \in X$ , inducing the maximum clique of a graph  $\overline{G}$ , is MMIS of a graph  $G$  [1].

Notice that the Maximum Clique Problem is a maximize problem, and from the point of view of the approach, accepted in Operations Research, is not dual to the problem  $Z$ .

Unfortunately, the difficulties, connected with finding MMIS of a graph  $G \in L$ , can not be overcome by development of a polynomial algorithm, enabling to find approximate solution of the problem  $Z$  with a guaranteed deviation from the optimum solution [2]. Therefore, for development of the solution methods of the problem  $Z$ , it is necessary either to try to create an algorithm, discovering its exact solution (in this case it will be proven that  $P = NP$ ), or to find the exact solution of the problem for separate subclasses of graphs of  $L$  (the majority authors go to the last way).

The main result of the given work is that the problem  $Z$ , given on an arbitrary graph  $G \in L$ , can be considered as the solving the same problem in subclass of the normal conjugate-orthogonal graphs. A problem is formu-

lated that is dual to the problem  $Z$ . It is shown that, for trivial conjugate-orthogonal graphs, any of its MIS is also a MMIS.

## 2 A normal graph

Divide a set of all vertices of a graph  $G = (X, \Gamma, ) \in L$  into classes  $j$  ( $j = \overline{1, s}$ ) such that if  $i_1, i_2 \in K_j$ , then  $\Gamma_{i_1} = \Gamma_{i_2}$ . The set of all such classes of the graph is designated by  $H_G$ .

**Theorem 2.1** *If  $i_1 \in U$  and  $i_1 \in j$  then  $j \in U$ , where  $U$  be a MIS of a graph  $G = (X, \Gamma, )$ .*

Assume the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied and we allow that there exists a vertex  $i_2 \in j$  such that  $i_2 \notin U$  ( $i_1 \neq i_2$ ).

As  $\Gamma_{i_2} = \Gamma_{i_1}$  then  $i_2 \notin \Gamma U$  owing to (1). But, it takes into considering (2), we have  $i_2 \in U$ . The contradiction have obtained. Q.E.D.

**Theorem 2.2** *For any vertex  $i \in (i = \overline{1, n})$  of a graph  $G = (X, \Gamma, )$*

$$\Gamma x_i = \bigcup_r K_{j_r} \quad (K_{j_r} \in H_G)$$

It is clear that the vertex set  $\Gamma x_i$  can be divided into the classes  $K'_{j_1}, \dots, K'_{j_t}$  as it is mentioned above. Assume that these classes of vertices are distinct from similar vertex classes of the graph  $G$ , that is,  $K'_{j_r} \subset K_{j_r}$  and  $K'_{j_r} \neq K_{j_r}$  ( $= \overline{1, t}$ ).

It follows from here that there are the vertices  $k_1 \in K'_{j_r} \subset K_{j_r}$  and  $k_2 \in K_{j_r} \setminus K'_{j_r}$  such that  $k_1 \in \Gamma x_i$  and  $k_2 \notin \Gamma x_i$ .

As vertices  $k_1, k_2 \in K_{j_r}$  then  $\Gamma_{k_1} = \Gamma_{k_2}$  by the definition. If  $k_1 \in \Gamma x_i$  then  $i \in \Gamma_{k_1}$ , it signifies,  $i \in \Gamma_{k_2}$ . Then we have  $k_2 \in \Gamma x_i$ . The contradiction have obtained. Q.E.D.

Thus, it is established that  $\Gamma x_i$ , for any vertex  $i \in (i = \overline{1, n})$  of a graph  $G = (X, \Gamma, )$ , be an union of some classes  $K_{j_r} \in H_G$ .

**Corollary 2.1** *For any class  $j \in H_G$  of a graph  $G = (X, \Gamma, )$*

$$\Gamma K_j = \bigcup_r K_{j_r} \quad (K_{j_r} \in H_G)$$

A graph  $G_1 = (X_1, \Gamma_1, ) \in L$  is called *normal* if for any two vertices  $y_{j_1}, y_{j_2} \in X_1$  ( $j_1 \neq j_2$ ) the relation  $\Gamma y_{j_1} \neq \Gamma y_{j_2}$  takes place.

Obviously, that for any graph  $G = (X, \Gamma, M) \in L$  can be found a mapping  $\phi: G_1 = \phi(G)$ , where  $G_1 = (X_1, \Gamma_1, M_1) \in L$  be the normal graph. Thus,




Fig. 1: Normalization of the graph

$G_1 = \phi(G)$  if  $y_j = \phi(K_j)$  ( $K_j \in H_G$ ) and  $\Gamma_1 y_j = \phi(\Gamma K_j)$ ,  $\mu(y_j) = \mu(K_j)$  for all  $y_j \in X_1$  ( $j = \overline{1, s}$ ).

Fig. 1 (a) shows the graph  $G \in L$  with the unit weights of its vertices, and Fig. 1 (B) shows the normal graph  $G_1$  that corresponds it (weights of its vertices are put in brackets).

Designate by  $L_H$  the set of all normal graphs with the weighted vertices that correspond graphs of the class  $L$ .

Further, speaking about a graph  $G = (X, \Gamma, )$ , we shall mean that  $G \in L_H$ . Besides, we assume that  $\text{Card} X = n$ .

### 3 A twin-orthogonal graph

Let  $G = (X, \Gamma, ) \in L_H$ .

The adjacent vertices  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  of the graph  $G$  is called *orthogonal* if for all vertices  $x_{i_1} \in \Gamma x_1 \setminus \{x_2\}$  and  $x_{i_2} \in \Gamma x_2 \setminus \{x_1\}$ , when they exist, the relation are fulfilled:

$$\Gamma x_1 \subset \Gamma x_{i_2}, \quad \Gamma x_2 \subset \Gamma x_{i_1}. \quad (4)$$

**Theorem 3.1** *If at least one of adjacent vertices  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  of a graph  $G$  is dangling then the vertices  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are orthogonal.*

Really, suppose, for example, a vertex  $x_1 \in X$  of a graph  $G$ , adjacent with a vertex  $x_2 \in X$ , is dangling. Hence,  $\Gamma_1 = \{x_2\}$ .

Then we shall have  $\Gamma_1 \setminus \{x_2\} \neq \emptyset$  and  $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma x_{i_2}$  for all  $x_{i_2} \in \Gamma_2 \setminus \{x_1\}$  when  $\Gamma x_2 \setminus \{x_1\} \neq \emptyset$  (as  $x_2 \in \Gamma x_{i_2}$ ). Q.E.D.

**Theorem 3.2** *Let  $U \subset$  be an arbitrary MIS of a graph  $G = (X, \Gamma, M)$ . If  $x_1, x_2 \in$  is the orthogonal vertices of  $G$  either  $_1 \in U$  or  $_2 \in U$ .*

Assume that the conditions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied, and suppose that  $x_1, x_2 \in \Gamma U$ . Then there exists at least vertex  $_3 \in \Gamma x_1$  such that  $x_3 \in U$ , and at least vertex  $_4 \in \Gamma x_2$  such that  $x_4 \in U$ .

By the condition (4), for the orthogonal vertices  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ , we shall have  $x_3 \in \Gamma_4$  and  $x_4 \in \Gamma x_3$ , that is, the vertices  $x_3, x_4 \in U$  are adjacent. We have received the contradiction. Q.E.D.

A graph  $\tilde{G} = (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Gamma}, \tilde{M}) \in L_H$  is called *twin-orthogonal* if graph vertices can divide into pairs of the orthogonal vertices. It is clear that  $rd() = n = 2k$ , where  $k$  is a non-negative integer.




Fig. 2: A twin-orthogonal graph

Fig. 2 is represented of a twin-orthogonal graph with the unit weights of the vertices.

We shall be to say that the twin-orthogonal graph  $\tilde{G} = (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Gamma}, \gamma)$  corresponds a graph  $G = (X, \Gamma, )$ , if:

- a)  $X \subset \tilde{X}$ ;
- b)  $\mu(x_i) = 0$  for any vertex  $x_i \in \tilde{X} \setminus X$ ;
- c) any MIS  $U \subset X$  of  $G$  can be obtained from some MIS  $\tilde{U} \subset \tilde{X}$  of  $\tilde{G}$  by removal of all vertices  $x_i \in \tilde{X}$  such that  $x_i \notin X$ .

It is easy to see that one of twin-orthogonal graphs  $\tilde{G} = (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Gamma}, \gamma)$ , corresponding a graph  $G = (X, \Gamma, M)$ , can be constructed as follows.

Let  $X_1 \subset V$  be the set of all vertices of the graph  $G$ , not being orthogonal for one vertex of this graph. We join a set of vertices  $X_2 = \{x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+p}\}$  ( $p = rd(X_1)$ ) to the graph  $G$ , and each of vertices  $x_k \in X_2$  we connect by an edge with one and only one of vertices  $x_j \in X_1$ . We assume that  $\mu(x_k) = 0$  for all  $k \in X_2$ .

It is clear that, as a result, a twin-orthogonal graph  $\tilde{G} = (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Gamma}, \tilde{\gamma})$  will be obtained that is induced on a vertex set  $\tilde{V} = V \cup X_2$ , where  $\tilde{\Gamma}x_i = \Gamma_i$  for any vertex  $i \in V \setminus X_1$ ,  $\tilde{\Gamma}x_j = \Gamma_j \cup \{x_k\}$  for all  $x_j \in X_1$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}x_h = \{x_j\}$  for all  $x_k \in X_2$ .

It is easy to be convinced that the constructed twin-orthogonal graph  $\tilde{G}$  corresponds the initial graph  $G$ .

More simple way for a construction of the twin-orthogonal graph  $\tilde{G} = (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Gamma}, \tilde{\gamma})$ , corresponding a graph  $G = (X, \Gamma, M)$ , is based on Theorem 3.1.

We shall join a vertex set  $X_1$  ( $rd(X_1) = rd(X)$ ) to a graph  $G$  such that each vertex  $x_j \in X_1$  we shall connect by an edge with one and only one of vertices  $x_i \in X$ . We assume  $\mu(x_j) = 0$  for all  $x_j \in X_1$ . As a result, obviously, it be also obtained a twin-orthogonal graph  $\tilde{G} = (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Gamma}, \tilde{\gamma})$  induced on a set of vertices  $\tilde{V} = V \cup X_1$ , where  $\tilde{\Gamma}_i = \Gamma_i \cup \{x_j\}$  for any vertex  $i \in V$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}x_j = \{x_i\}$  for all  $j \in X_1$ .

**Theorem 3.3** *If  $\tilde{U} \subset \tilde{V}$  be an optimum solution of the problem  $Z$  on the twin-orthogonal graph  $\tilde{G}$ ,  $\tilde{\Gamma}_i = \Gamma_i \cup \{x_j\}$  corresponding a graph  $G = (X, \Gamma, M)$  then an optimum solution  $\hat{U} \subset V$  of the problem  $Z$ , given on the graph  $G$ , can be obtained by removal from  $\tilde{U}$  of all vertices  $x_i \in \tilde{U}$  such that  $x_i \in X_1$ , and, besides,  $\mu(\hat{U}) = \mu(\tilde{U})$ .*

It follows from the definition of a twin-orthogonal graph  $\tilde{G}$ , corresponding a graph  $G$ . Q.E.D.

## 4 Some properties of a twin-orthogonal graph

Let  $L_0$  be the set of the normal twin-orthogonal graphs.

**Theorem 4.1** *If  $U_1, U_2 \subset V$  be the different MISs of a twin-orthogonal graph  $G = (X, \Gamma, M) \in L_0$  then  $rd(U_1) = rd(U_2) = k$ , where  $rd(U) = n - 2k$ ,  $k$  be a non-negative integer.*

It follows from Theorem 3.2. Q.E.D.

A twin-orthogonal graph  $G = (X, \Gamma, M)$  is called *trivial* if for any orthogonal vertices  $x_i, x_j \in V$  the relation is fulfilled:  $\mu(x_i) = \mu(x_j)$ .

**Theorem 4.2** *If  $G = (X, \Gamma, M)$  be a trivial twin-orthogonal graph then any MIS is also MMIS.*

It follows from Theorems 3.2 and 4.1.

Q.E.D.

**Theorem 4.3** *If  $x_1, x_2 \in$  be the orthogonal vertices of a graph  $G = (, \Gamma, )$  then any pair of vertices from a set  $\Gamma x_1 \setminus \{x_2\} \neq \emptyset$  ( $\Gamma x_2 \setminus \{x_1\} \neq \emptyset$ ) is not orthogonal.*

Assume that the conditions of Theorem 4.3 are satisfied, and we suppose that the vertices  $i_1, i_2 \in \Gamma x_1 \setminus \{x_2\}$  are orthogonal. Then we have  $i_1 \in \Gamma x_{i_1}$ , and  $i_1 \in \Gamma_{i_2}$ , that is, the relation (4) are not fulfilled for vertices  $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2} \in$ . We have obtained the contradiction. Q.E.D.

**Corollary 4.1** *If the vertices  $i_1, x_2 \in$  of a graph  $G = (X, \Gamma, )$  are orthogonal then they do not form a three-vertex clique with any vertex  $i \in$  ( $i \neq 1, i \neq 2$ ).*

**Corollary 4.2** *If the vertices  $i_1, i_2 \in$  of a graph  $G = (X, \Gamma, )$  are orthogonal then  $(\Gamma x_1 \setminus \{x_2\}) \cap (\Gamma x_2 \setminus \{x_1\}) = \emptyset$ .*

## 5 A dual problem

Further, for convenience, any two orthogonal vertices of a graph  $G = (X, \Gamma, ) \in L_0$  we shall designate by  $i$  and  $i^*$ .

A graph  $G^* = (X, \Gamma^*, M) \in L_0$ , obtained from a graph  $G = (X, \Gamma, ) \in L_0$  by renaming of pairs of orthogonal vertices, is called *conjugate* for the graph  $G$ .

Thus, any orthogonal vertices  $i, i^* \in$  are adjacent in graphs  $G$  and  $G^*$ . The vertices  $i, j \in X$ , if they are not orthogonal in the graph  $G$ , are adjacent in the graph  $G^*$  if and only if corresponding vertices  $x_i^*, x_j^* \in X$  are adjacent in the graph  $G$ .

Obviously, that  $(G^*)^* = G$ .

A problem of finding of a vertex set  $U \subset$  of a graph  $G^* = (X, \Gamma^*, )$ , satisfying conditions (1), (2) and supplying the minimum of the function (3), we shall call *dual* to the problem  $Z$ .

A MIS  $\tilde{U} \subset X$ , supplying the minimum of the function (3), is called the *minimum independent set of vertices (MNMIS)* of a graph  $G^*$ .

The following statements are proved easily.

**Theorem 5.1** *If  $U \subset$  be a MIS of a graph  $G = (X, \Gamma, M)$  then  $\Gamma U = \setminus U$  be a MIS of the conjugate graph  $G^* = (X, \Gamma^*, )$ .*

**Theorem 5.2** *If  $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{MIS}$  of a graph  $G = (X, \Gamma, )$  then  $\mu(U_1) \geq \mu(U_2)$  if and only if  $\mu(\Gamma U_1) \leq \mu(\Gamma U_2)$ .*

The following theorem is a corollary of Theorems 5.1 and 5.2.

**Theorem 5.3**  *$MIS \hat{U} \subset \mathcal{MIS}$  is MNMIS of a graph  $G = (X, \Gamma, )$  if and only if  $\Gamma \hat{U} = \setminus \hat{U}$  is MNMIS of a conjugate graph  $G^* = (X, \Gamma^*, )$ .*

**Theorem 5.4** *Let  $\hat{U}, \check{U} \subset \mathcal{MIS}$  and MNMIS of a graph  $G = (X, \Gamma, M)$  respectively. Then a relation takes place*

$$0 \leq \mu(\hat{U}) - \mu(\check{U}) \leq \sum_{\forall x_i, x_i^* \in X} |\mu(x_i) - \mu(x_i^*)|.$$

It is also easy to be convinced in a validity of this statement.

## References

- [1] N. Christofides. *Graph theory (An Algorithmic Approach)*. Academic press, New York, 1975.
- [2] M. R. Garey and D. S. Johnson. *Computers and Intractability*. W.H.Freeman and Company, San Francisco, 1979.
- [3] V. A. Gorbato. *Foundation of Discrete mathematics (in Russian)*. High school, Moscow, 1986.
- [4] V. V. Noskov. The maximum independent sets and constructive coding problems. *Problemy kibernetiki (in Russian)*, 36:33–54, 1979.
- [5] S. M. Starobinets. On an algorithm for finding the maximum stable set of a graph. *Izvestia Akademii Nauk SSSR. Tehnicheskaya kibernetika (in Russian)*, (5):135–140, 1972.