## On the possibility of a superfluid transition in a Fermi-gas of neutral particles at ultra-low temperatures

M.Yu. Kagan<sup>a,1</sup>, M.A. Baranov<sup>b</sup>, Yu. Kagan<sup>b</sup>, D.S. Petrov<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> P.L.Kapitza Institute for Physical Problems, Moscow 117334, Russia

<sup>b</sup> Russian Research Center "Kurchatov Institute", Moscow 123182, Russia

## Abstract

We predict a possibility of triplet Cooper pairing in a Fermi-gas of neutral particles in a confined geometry of magnetic traps at ultra-low temperatures. We evaluate a superfluid transition temperature and analyze the difference between pairing in free space and in confined geometry. We also consider in details a case of fermionic  $^{6}$ Li.

Keywords: Ultra-low temperatures; Bose-Einstein condensation; Magnetic trap

One of the most important events of the past several years in condensed matter physics was the discovery of Bose-Einstein condensation of the alkali elements <sup>87</sup>Rb [1], <sup>7</sup>Li [2] and <sup>23</sup>Na [3] in confined geometry of magnetooptical traps. In the present paper we consider the fundamental possibility of achieving superfluid instability of a different type — with respect to Cooper pair formation in a non-ideal atomic Fermi-gas with a large scattering length. We shall consider both the case of an attractive scattering length a < 0 (for <sup>6</sup>Li  $a = -2.3 \cdot 10^3$  Å < 0 [4]) and a repulsive case a > 0.

We consider a multi-component Fermi-gas [5] with short range interaction and equal mases of each component. For a Fermi-gas in a magnetic trap each component has a hyperfine origin and

corresponds to different  $J_z$ -projection of nuclear spin **J**. Hence the number of the components  $\nu = 2J + 1$ . Note that for <sup>6</sup>Li J = 1 and thus  $\nu = 3$ .

For an attractive scattering length a < 0 the pairing occurs in the *s*-wave channel (l = 0) [6]. According to the Pauli principle, Cooper pairs in this case can only be formed by the atoms which are in different hyperfine states. Therefore the critical temperature  $T_c$  of the transition is very sensitive to the difference in the concentrations of the two hyperfine components. When the densities are identically equal, the standard BCS mechanism of Cooper pairing yields [6]:

$$T_{c0} = 0.28\varepsilon_F \exp\left(-\lambda^{-1}\right),\tag{1}$$

where  $\lambda = 2a|p_F|/\pi$  is the gas parameter.

However, already for  $\Delta n/n > T_{c0}/\varepsilon_F$  the *s*-wave pairing is completely suppressed. For <sup>6</sup>Li one has  $T_{c0} \approx 30 \text{ nK}$  for an atom density  $n = 4 \cdot 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ [6] and the existence of *s*-wave pairing requires [7]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Corresponding author. Present address: P.L.Kapitza Institute for Physical Problems, Kosysin str. 2, Moscow 117334, Russia. E-mail: kagan@kapitza.ras.ru

 $\Delta n/n < 3\cdot 10^{-2}.$ 

For positive scattering length (a > 0) the swave pairing is completely impossible. However, even in this case Fermi gas becomes superfluid at a low enough temperatures due to Kohn-Luttinger mechanism of Cooper pairing [8]. This mechanism gives rise to an unconventional p-wave pairing, analogous to A<sub>1</sub> phase of superfluid <sup>3</sup>He [5]  $(l = 1, J_{1z} = J_{2z})$ . The Pauli principle in this case allows us to have Cooper pairs formed by two particles that are in the same hyperfine states, whereas the particles in other hyperfine states participate only in the formation of the effective pairing interaction. To be more specific, the effective interaction is given by a sum of loop diagrams

$$V_{\text{eff}}(q_1) = (-1) \left(\frac{4\pi a}{m}\right)^2 \sum_{j=2}^{\nu} \Pi_{jj}(q_1), \qquad (2)$$

where

$$\Pi_{jj}(q_1) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 p}{(2\pi^3)} \frac{n_j(p) - n_j(p+q_1)}{\varepsilon_j(p) - \varepsilon_j(p+q_1)}$$

is the polarization operator for the *j*-th component. When the densities of the  $\nu$  components are close to each other  $n_1 \approx n_2 \approx \ldots \approx n_{\nu}$ , the critical temperature of *p*-pairing is the same for each component and does not depend upon the sign of *a*:

$$T_{c1} \sim \varepsilon_{F1} \exp\left\{-\frac{13}{(\nu-1)\lambda_1^2}\right\},\tag{3}$$

where  $\lambda_1 = 2ap_{F1}/\pi$ .

For <sup>6</sup>Li the *p*-wave critical temperature  $T_{c1} \sim 10^{-8}$  K for densities  $n_1 \approx n_2 \approx n_3 \sim 10^{13}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> adjusted with the accuracy  $\Delta n/n \sim 0.1$ . To increase the *p*-wave critical temperature further we must exploit a strongly non-monotonic dependence of  $T_{c1}$  upon the density ratio  $n_1/n_j$ . In paper [5] it was predicted that this dependence has a pronounced and extended maximum at  $n_1/n_j \approx 3$  ( $p_{F1}/p_{Fj} \approx 1.4$ ). In an optimal situation when  $n_1 \approx 3n_2 \approx \ldots \approx 3n_{\nu}$  a critical temperature of the component 1 reads:

$$T_{c1} = \varepsilon_{F1} \exp\left\{-\frac{7}{(\nu-1)\lambda_{\text{eff}}^2}\right\},\tag{4}$$

where  $\lambda_{\text{eff}}^2 = (2a/\pi)^2 p_{F1} p_{Fj}$ .

For <sup>6</sup>Li this formula yields  $T_{c1} \sim 10^{-7}$  K for  $n_1 \sim 10^{14}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>. Note that even for these high densities  $\lambda_{\text{eff}} \leq 1$  and we can still satisfy stability conditions for an attractive case.

All our results are obtained in a spatially homogeneous situation. In a confined geometry of a magnetic trap we must satisfy a condition  $\xi < R_{\varepsilon_F}$ . This condition means that the size of Cooper pair  $\xi = \hbar v_F/T_c$  is much smaller than the characteristic size of a trap. For a parabolic trap [7]  $R_{\varepsilon_F} = v_F/\Omega$ , where  $\Omega$  is a level spacing. Hence, our results are valid in a semi-classical limit where  $\Omega < T_c < \varepsilon_F$ .

## Acknowledgement

M.Yu.K. acknowledges financial support of President Eltzin's grant 96-15-96942.

## References

- M.H. Anderson, J.R. Ensher, M.R. Matthews et al, Science 269 (1995) 198.
- [2] C.C. Bradley, C.A. Sackett, J.J. Tollett, R.G. Hulet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 1687.
- [3] K.B. Davis, M.O. Mewes, M.R. Andrews et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 (1995) 10.
- [4] P.A. Ruprecht, H.J. Holland, K. Burnett, M. Edwards, Phys. Rev. A 51 (1995) 4704.
- [5] M.A. Baranov, M.Yu. Kagan, Yu. Kagan, JETP Lett. 64 (1996) 301.
- [6] L.P. Gor'kov, T.K. Melik-Barkhudarov, Sov. Phys. JETP, 40 (1961) 1452, H.T.C. Stoof, M. Houbiers, C.A. Sakett, R.G. Hulet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 (1996) 10.
- [7] M.A. Baranov, D.S. Petrov, Phys. Rev. A 58 (1998) R801.
- [8] M.A. Baranov, A.V. Chubukov, M. Yu.Kagan, Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. B 6 (1992) 2471.