Dynamical Properties of the One-Dimensional Supersymmetric t-J Model: A View from Elementary Excitations

Yasuhiro Saiga* and Yoshio Kuramoto¹

Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo, Roppongi 7-22-1, Minato-ku, Tokyo 106-8666

1 Department of Physics, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578

(Received)

Dynamical properties, such as dynamical spin and charge structure factors and single-particle spectral functions, are studied for the one-dimensional supersymmetric t-J model with inverse-square interaction. Exact diagonalization and the recursion method are used for finite systems up to 16 sites. A simple rule is proposed to understand the supermultiplet structure in the excitation spectrum. Numerical calculations show that the dynamical spin structure factor is independent of the electron density in the region where only two spinons contribute. In the electron removal spectrum from half-filling, close correspondence is found between the dominant contribution from three-spinon plus one-holon states in the t-J model and the two-spinon excitations in the Haldane-Shastry model. Comparison is made with dynamics of the nearest-neighbor supersymmetric t-J model.

KEYWORDS: dynamical spin structure factor, dynamical charge structure factor, single-particle spectral function, $t ext{-}J$ model, supersymmetry, inverse-square interaction, nearest-neighbor interaction, exact diagonalization, recursion method

§1. Introduction

One-dimensional (1D) electron systems show strong quantum fluctuations, and have been investigated intensively both theoretically and experimentally. Most of real quasi-1D systems have localized electrons and are regarded as spin systems. Recently some systems with doped holes have also been studied experimentally. For example, Yb₄As₃ has a small number of carriers, and shows a heavy-fermion behavior in resistivity, magnetic susceptibility and specific heat.¹⁾ The spin dynamics in Yb₄As₃ probed at low temperature suggests that the nature of low-energy spin excitations is similar to that of the 1D antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model.^{2,3)} On the other hand, a compound Sr₁₄Cu₂₄O₄₁ consists of two-leg Cu₂O₃ ladders and edge-sharing CuO₂ chains. The number of holes in the chain is estimated to be 60% of the number of Cu ions.⁴⁾ One may ask how the existence of holes affects dynamical properties of quasi-1D systems. In the presence of both spin and charge degrees of freedom, one can expect much richer physics as compared with spin-only systems.

Recent angle-resolved photoemission measurements have revealed the existence of spin-charge separation in quasi-1D systems. In Mott insulators such as ${\rm SrCuO_2}^{5,6}$ and ${\rm Sr_2CuO_3}^{,7}$ angle-resolved photoemission data show two distinct dispersions in the energy-momentum space. One is assigned to the holon band, and the other is regarded as the spinon band. This is an indication of the spin-charge separation. More recently, an angle-resolved photoemission study on ${\rm PrBa_2Cu_3O_7}$ has been performed.⁸ Signals from the doped ${\rm CuO_3}$ chain re-

veal the spinon and holon bands in the range of momenta from 0 to $\pi/4$ in units of the reciprocal lattice parameter. This indicates that the CuO₃ chain is nearly quarter-filled. In order to investigate theoretically dynamics of these systems with holes, one can take the 1D $t\text{-}J \mod 1^{9,10}$ as the simplest model. To understand the photoemission data, in particular, study of hole propagators in the $t\text{-}J \mod 1$ model is necessary. 11,12,13,14,15,16,17

For the t-J model with nearest-neighbor interaction and small J/t, which is ordinarily adopted, dynamical correlation functions are rather intricate. This complexity comes from the fact that Landau quasi-particles are no longer well-defined elementary excitations. It is known that elementary excitations in 1D t-J model obey fractional statistics. In this context, the long-range supersymmetric t-J model¹⁸⁾ is suited for extracting the essential dynamical properties since the elementary excitations do not interact except for the generalized exclusion principle. 19) For the long-range t-J model, the excitation contents are composed of spinons, holons and antiholons; the number of elementary excitations contributing to dynamics is *finite* due to the high symmetry of the model.²⁰⁾ Ha and Haldane proposed regions of nonvanishing spectral weight in the energy-momentum space, which is called the "compact support", for various dynamical correlation functions.²⁰⁾ Recently, exact results for the spectral weight itself have been partially derived.^{21,22)} However, full analytic solution of the dynamical correlation function is not yet found.

In this paper, we clarify the dynamical properties of the 1D supersymmetric t-J model with long-range interaction. We calculate the dynamical spin and charge structure factors and the single-particle spectral functions for systems up to 16 sites with various hole num-

^{*} E-mail: saiga@ginnan.issp.u-tokyo.ac.jp

bers via exact diagonalization and the recursion method. Using the asymptotic Bethe-ansatz equations and the skew Young diagram, we reexamine the excitation contents proposed by Ha and Haldane. The relationship between the calculated dynamical quantities and the excitation contents is investigated. The results of dynamical quantities are compared with those for the nearest-neighbor supersymmetric t-J model, which is solvable by the Bethe ansatz.

The remainder of this paper is arranged as follows. In $\S 2$ we consider two boundary conditions for the long-range t-J model: the periodic boundary condition and the antiperiodic one. Particularly, the antiperiodic boundary condition is nontrivial for the long-range t-J model. In $\S 3$ we show results of various dynamical quantities and interpret them in terms of elementary excitations. Finally we give the summary of this study and discuss the results in $\S 4$.

§2. Model and Boundary Conditions

We consider the 1D t-J model

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i < j}^{N} \sum_{\ell = -\infty}^{\infty} \left[-t_{ij\ell} \sum_{\sigma} \left(\tilde{c}_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_{j+\ell N,\sigma} + \text{H.c.} \right) + J_{ij\ell} \left(\mathbf{S}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{j+\ell N} - \frac{1}{4} n_{i} n_{j+\ell N} \right) \right], \qquad (2.1)$$

with even number N of sites and average electron number \bar{n} per site. Here $\tilde{c}_{i\sigma} = c_{i\sigma}(1 - n_{i,-\sigma})$ is the annihilation operator of an electron with spin σ at site i with the constraint of no double occupation. The summation with respect to ℓ has been introduced to treat the system in a ring geometry.^{24,25)} Two types of the interaction are considered:

(i) the nearest-neighbor (NN) type, i.e., $t_{ij\ell} = t\delta_{j,i+1}\delta_{\ell,0}$; (ii) the inverse-square (IS) type, i.e., $t_{ij\ell} = t/(x_i - x_j - \ell N)^2$

The Hamiltonian in the cases (i) and (ii) are written as \mathcal{H}_{NN} and \mathcal{H}_{IS} , respectively.

For the NN t-J model, it is conventional to choose the periodic boundary condition $c_{j+N,\sigma}=c_{j\sigma}$ for $N_{\rm e}=4m+2$ with $N_{\rm e}$ being the electron number and m an integer, ²⁶⁾ and the antiperiodic boundary condition $c_{j+N,\sigma}=-c_{j\sigma}$ for $N_{\rm e}=4m$. This leads to the nondegenerate ground state which is a singlet with zero total momentum. The Hamiltonian is written out as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{NN}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[-t \sum_{\sigma} \left(\tilde{c}_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_{i+1,\sigma} + \text{H.c.} \right) + J \left(\mathbf{S}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i+1} - \frac{1}{4} n_{i} n_{i+1} \right) \right], \qquad (2.2)$$

where $\tilde{c}_{N+1,\sigma} = \tilde{c}_{1\sigma}$ for $N_{\rm e} = 4m+2$, and $\tilde{c}_{N+1,\sigma} = -\tilde{c}_{1\sigma}$ for $N_{\rm e} = 4m$; in addition, $S_{N+1} = S_1$ and $n_{N+1} = n_1$ in any case.

For the IS t-J model, on the other hand, the effect of the boundary condition is nontrivial. When the periodic boundary condition $c_{j+\ell N,\sigma} = c_{j\sigma}$ are imposed, both transfer energy and exchange energy become the sine-inverse-square type given by¹⁸⁾

$$t_{ij}^{(p)} = tD(x_i - x_j)^{-2},$$
 (2.3)

$$J_{ij} = JD(x_i - x_j)^{-2}, (2.4)$$

where $D(x_i - x_j) = (N/\pi) \sin[\pi(x_i - x_j)/N]$. Under the antiperiodic boundary condition $c_{j+\ell N,\sigma} = (-1)^{\ell} c_{j\sigma}$, on the other hand, the transfer energy $t_{ij}^{(a)}$ is given by

$$t_{ij}^{(a)} = t \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\ell}}{(x_i - x_j - \ell N)^2}$$
$$= tD(x_i - x_j)^{-2} \cos[\pi(x_i - x_j)/N]. \quad (2.5)$$

This corresponds to the special case of the twisted boundary condition. $^{25,27)}$

We want to consider the spin and charge dynamics from the nondegenerate ground state. Hence for $N_{\rm e}=4m+2$ we choose the periodic boundary condition, and for $N_{\rm e}=4m$ we impose the antiperiodic one. Consequently, we consider the following Hamiltonian as the t-J model with IS interaction:

$$\mathcal{H}_{IS} = \sum_{i < j}^{N} \left[-\tilde{t}_{ij} \sum_{\sigma} \left(\tilde{c}_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_{j\sigma} + \text{H.c.} \right) + J_{ij} \left(\mathbf{S}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{j} - \frac{1}{4} n_{i} n_{j} \right) \right], \tag{2.6}$$

where J_{ij} is given by eq. (2.4), and

$$\tilde{t}_{ij} = \begin{cases} t_{ij}^{(p)} & \text{for } N_{e} = 4m + 2, \\ t_{ij}^{(a)} & \text{for } N_{e} = 4m. \end{cases}$$
 (2.7)

When we calculate the single-particle spectral functions from a less-than-half-filled ground state, we start from the initial state with $N_{\rm e}=4m+2$ and therefore use $\tilde{t}_{ij}=t_{ij}^{\rm (p)}$. We always take the supersymmetric case t=J/2 in the following calculation.

The dynamical quantities we consider in this paper are the followings: the dynamical spin structure factor $S(q,\omega)$, the dynamical charge structure factor $N(q,\omega)$, and the single-particle spectral function $A(k,\omega)$. These quantities are defined as follows:

$$S^{zz}(q,\omega) = \sum_{\nu} |\langle \nu | s_{q}^{z} | 0 \rangle|^{2} \, \delta(\omega - E_{\nu} + E_{0}), \quad (2.8)$$

$$N(q,\omega) = \sum_{\nu} |\langle \nu | n_{q} | 0 \rangle|^{2} \, \delta(\omega - E_{\nu} + E_{0}), \quad (2.9)$$

$$A^{-}(k,\omega) = \sum_{\nu} |\langle \nu ; N_{e} - 1 | \tilde{c}_{k\sigma} | 0 ; N_{e} \rangle|^{2}$$

$$\times \delta(\omega + E_{\nu}(N_{e} - 1) - E_{0}(N_{e})), \quad (2.10)$$

$$A^{+}(k,\omega) = \sum_{\nu} |\langle \nu ; N_{e} + 1 | \tilde{c}_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} | 0 ; N_{e} \rangle|^{2}$$

$$\times \delta(\omega - E_{\nu}(N_{e} + 1) + E_{0}(N_{e})), \quad (2.11)$$

where $s_q^z = N^{-1/2} \sum_{\ell} s_{\ell}^z \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}q\ell}$, $n_q = N^{-1/2} \sum_{\ell} (n_{\ell} - \bar{n}) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}q\ell}$, and $\tilde{c}_{k\sigma} = N^{-1/2} \sum_{\ell} \tilde{c}_{\ell\sigma} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}k\ell}$; q (or k) and ω represent the momentum transfer and the excitation energy, respectively. $|\nu\rangle$ denotes an eigenstate of \mathcal{H} with energy E_{ν} with E_0 being the ground-state energy. These

dynamical quantities can be written in the form of a continued fraction.²⁸⁾ We truncate the continued fraction after 100-200 iterations. In our calculation, poles and intensities have accuracies of 7 digits and 4-5 digits, respectively.²⁹⁾

§3. Dynamical Properties and Elementary Excitations

3.1 Ha-Haldane's support

Before presenting our results on dynamical properties, we briefly review the compact supports for various correlation functions for the IS supersymmetric t-J model. The compact support means a finite region where the spectral function is nonzero. To draw the support, one needs three pieces of information:²⁰⁾ dispersion relations of related elementary excitations, excitation contents of the intermediate states for each correlation function, and selection rules. The dispersion relations for the right(left)-going spinon ($s_{R(L)}$), holon ($h_{R(L)}$) and antiholon (\bar{h}) in the thermodynamic limit are given by

$$\epsilon_{\rm Sp/L}/t = -p(p \mp v_{\rm s}^0), \tag{3.1}$$

$$\epsilon_{\rm h_{R(L)}}/t = p(p \pm v_{\rm c}^0), \tag{3.2}$$

$$\epsilon_{\bar{\mathbf{h}}}/t = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(v_{\rm c}^0 \right)^2 - p^2 \right],$$
(3.3)

where $v_{\rm s}^0=\pi$ and $v_{\rm c}^0=\pi(1-\bar{n})$. The range of momentum permissible for each elementary excitation depends on the electron density \bar{n} . The right (left) spinons and holons are allowed in $0 \le p \le k_{\rm F}$ ($-k_{\rm F} \le p \le 0$), where $k_{\rm F}=\pi\bar{n}/2$. The antiholons propagate in the region $-v_{\rm c}^0\le p\le v_{\rm c}^0$. The excitation contents, which mean all possible states excited from the ground state by a local operator, are determined with the help of the numerical results. Finally, the selection rules are found empirically, also with use of the numerical information.

3.2 Derivation of excitation contents

Although exact expression of the matrix element, or the form factor, is not yet obtained, one can determine the excitation contents by comparing numerically obtained levels with those in the asymptotic Bethe-ansatz equations. In this subsection, we explain how to derive the excitation contents corresponding to each energy level.

Let us take the completely up-polarized state as the reference state and consider M+Q pseudo-particles which represent M electrons with spin down and Q holes. Note that these pseudo-particles are not spinons and holons. The asymptotic Bethe ansatz leads to the following equation:^{30,31)}

$$\frac{E}{t} = \frac{\pi^2}{3} Q \left(1 - \frac{1}{N^2} \right) + \sum_{\mu=1}^{M+Q} \bar{\epsilon}(p_\mu), \tag{3.4}$$

where $\bar{\epsilon}(p) = p(p-2\pi)/2$. The pseudomomenta p_{μ} are determined by the following equations:

$$p_{\mu}N = 2\pi J_{\mu} - \pi \sum_{i=1}^{Q} \text{sgn}(p_{\mu} - q_i)$$

$$+\pi \sum_{\nu=1}^{M+Q} \operatorname{sgn}(p_{\mu} - p_{\nu}),$$
 (3.5)

$$2\pi I_i = \pi \sum_{\mu=1}^{M+Q} \operatorname{sgn}(q_i - p_\mu), \tag{3.6}$$

where $J_{\mu} \in [(M+1)/2, N-(M+1)/2]$ for $\mu=1,2,\cdots,M+Q$, and $I_i \in [-(M+Q)/2,(M+Q)/2]$ for $i=1,2,\cdots,Q$. The quantum numbers J_{μ} and I_i are integers or half-integers, and are arranged in the ascending order. We assume that all p_{μ} and q_i are distinct from each other. For given p_{μ} , we specify $j(\mu)$ such that $q_{j(\mu)} < p_{\mu} < q_{j(\mu)+1}$ in the case of $0 < j(\mu) < Q$, $j(\mu) = 0$ if $p_{\mu} < q_1$ and $j(\mu) = Q$ if $q_Q < p_{\mu}$. Then we obtain

$$\frac{N}{2\pi}p_{\mu} = J_{\mu} + \mu - \frac{M+1}{2} - j(\mu), \tag{3.7}$$

from eqs. (3.5) and (3.6). Note that $\tilde{p}_{\mu} \equiv N p_{\mu}/(2\pi)$ is always integral.

Identification of excitation contents proceeds as follows: First, we give arbitrary configurations of J_{μ} and I_i in the subspace with fixed M and Q and obtain a set of pseudomomenta $\{p_{\mu}\}$. Second, using the set $\{p_{\mu}\}\$, we find the total energy E, the total momentum $P = \sum_{\mu=1}^{M+Q} p_{\mu} \pmod{2\pi}$, and the sequence of occupied state (represented by 1) and empty state (represented by 0) in the pseudomomentum space. This sequence is referred to as the motif. The first and last entries of the motif are always set to 0. We note that $\bar{\epsilon}(p_{\mu})$ takes the maximum value (= 0) for $p_{\mu}=0$ and 2π . Finally, the sequence (i.e., the motif) is assigned to an eigenstate with the same energy and momentum obtained by exact diagonalization. Let us give an example. For (N, Q, M) = (4, 2, 0), permissible values of J_{μ} are 1/2, 3/2, 5/2 and 7/2, while those of I_i are -1,0and 1. We consider the case of $\{J_{\mu}\}=\{3/2,7/2\}$ and $\{I_i\} = \{-1,0\}$. Then we obtain $\{\tilde{p}_{\mu}\} = \{1,3\}$, so that the motif becomes 01010.

The IS supersymmetric t-J model has the supermultiplet structure, i.e., enormous degeneracies in the excitation spectrum.³¹⁾ However, the motif itself does not provide us information on the degeneracy. To extract the information, the skew Young diagram method³²⁾ is very useful. The motif and the skew Young diagram have a one-to-one correspondence. The correspondence goes as follows: To begin with, we write the first box. Then we read a motif from the left, neglecting the first and last entries of the motif; if we encounter 1 (0), we add a box over (on the right of) the last box. We now propose a simple rule to reproduce the supermultiplet structure of the IS t-J model by generalizing the known rule for the SU(m) symmetry.³²⁾ Namely one puts an index for the internal degrees of freedom on each box of a skew Young diagram. In the present case we represent an up-spin by 1, a down-spin by 2, and a hole by \circ . The order of them is defined as 1 < 0 < 2. We introduce the following rule: [i] a < b if b is lower-adjacent to a; however, consecutive o's are allowed in a column. Note that the numbers 1 and 2 can appear at most once in a column.

[ii] $a \ge b$ if b is left-adjacent to a; however, consecutive

o's are forbidden in a row.

For the 4-site IS t-J model with the fixed number of holes, the degeneracy based on this rule is consistent with the numerical result for all eigenvalues. We note that this rule enables us to decompose the degeneracy for each number of holes. For instance, the motif 01010 for N=4 has the energy $E/t=\pi^2(5Q-12)/16$ and the momentum P=0. After translating this motif into the skew Young diagram, we find that the number of ways to put 1, 2 or \circ on each box is 12, according to [i] and [ii] above (see Fig. 1). The 12-fold degeneracy is decomposed as follows: nondegenerate for Q=0, 4-fold degenerate for Q=1, 5-fold for Q=2, and 2-fold for Q=3. These results agree with those via numerical diagonalization: $(S_{\rm tot}=0)^1$ for Q=0, $(S_{\rm tot}=1/2)^2$ for Q=1, $(S_{\rm tot}=0)^2 \oplus (S_{\rm tot}=1)^1$ for Q=2, and $(S_{\rm tot}=1/2)^1$ for Q=3.

We note that the degeneracy in the spectrum has also been studied for the $SU(m)^{32}$ and SU(m|n) supersymmetric³³) Polychronakos spin chains, which are a variant of the $1/r^2$ -type model. The SU(1|2) specialization of the latter chain has the same supersymmetric Yangian symmetry as the IS t-J model. Thus one may expect that the supermultiplet structures in the spectrum are the same for both models. We have checked the following for N=4: If the first term of eq. (3.4) is subtracted from an energy level by exact diagonalization of the t-J model, the degeneracy of the energy level is consistent with that for the SU(1|2) supersymmetric Polychronakos spin chain.³³) The subtraction is necessary since the first term of eq. (3.4) breaks the global SU(1|2) supersymmetry.

Now, we can extract the excitation contents from the motif. According to Ha and Haldane, $^{20)}$ a spinon (with spin 1/2 and no charge) is represented by two consecutive zeros, and a holon (with charge —e and no spin) by two consecutive ones. For less-than-half-filling, the motif of the ground state with no magnetization is 01010...10|111...111|01...01010, which has (N+1) sequence. The central region [(Q+1) consecutive ones] is regarded as a "pseudo Fermi sea (PFS)". A hole in the PFS (i.e., zero in the center region) is called an antiholon (with charge +2e and no spin). Spinons and holons are excited in the left and/or right region. For example, a motif 00110|11111101|10100 should read $(s_L, h_L) + \bar{h} + (h_R, s_R)$.

When we derive the excitation contents in this way, however, exceptional situations occur in some cases. For motifs such as 01010|1111101|01100 and 01010|1111110|11010, which are actually present for 16 sites with 6 holes, excitation contents according to ref. 20 become $\bar{h} + (h_R, s_R)$ [case (a)] and $\bar{h} + h_R$ [case (b)], respectively. These contents do not fulfill the charge and/or spin conservations, and the latter does not fulfill the semionic statistics of holons. This suggests that the excitation contents by Ha and Haldane²⁰ do not always hold true for finite systems. In contrast, without holes, i.e., for the Haldane-Shastry (HS) spin model,^{34,35} we can always read two spinons from the motif for all states that contribute to $S(q,\omega)$ even for finite systems ($N \leq 16$).

Excitation contents for a finite system can be extracted also by the skew Young diagram. We first determine the PFS of the diagram from the corresponding motif. Note that the PFS consists of Q boxes. We regard \circ outside the PFS as a holon, and a row of a 1-2 pair in the PFS as an antiholon. Single 1 or 2 in a column, both of which do not make a pair, is regarded as a spinon; it does not matter whether the column includes o or not. Then, in the case (a), some of the diagrams with the entries of 1, 2 and \circ can read (s_L, h_L) + \bar{h} + (h_R, s_R). One of them is shown in Fig. 2(a). In the case (b), on the other hand, we find from Fig. 2(b) that only 1 of a 1-2 pair in a row is present in the PFS. We shall call such a part of the 1-2 pair in the PFS a "half-antiholon (\bar{h}^*)". This quasi-particle is assumed to have charge +e, no spin and semionic statistics. Thereby the diagram in the case (b) reads $h^* + h_R$, which satisfies both charge and spin conservations and the statistical feature. In the case where an antiholon in the Ha-Haldane's excitation contents is replaced by a half-antiholon, a holon should be simultaneously subtracted from the contents. As the number of sites increases with hole density kept constant, the effect of the edges in the PFS should become negligible. This means that a half-antiholon has zero energy. In fact, for $N(q,\omega)$, the energy levels with contents $h^* + h_R$ are almost along the holon dispersion for $q \leq k_{\rm F}$ in the compact support. Thus only Ha-Haldane's contents should survive in the thermodynamic limit.

We notice that the spinons and holons identified above do not satisfy the statistical matrix deduced from a microscopic derivation of the exact thermodynamics³⁶⁾ at least for N=4. Nevertheless, these quasi-particles obey the semionic statistics and exhaust the Hilbert space for the t-J model. This reflects the fact that a different set of quasi-particle picture is available in spanning the complete Hilbert space.

For a dynamical correlation function of the finite-size IS t-J model, an energy level with the Ha-Haldane's excitation contents has not always finite intensity. For example, let us take an eigenvalue with $(q,\omega)=(\pi,1.09662t)$ for (N,Q,M)=(12,2,5). This eigenvalue has 4-fold degeneracy: $(S_{\rm tot}=0)^1\oplus(S_{\rm tot}=1)^1$, and its motif 00101|101|10100 leads to $(s_{\rm L},h_{\rm L})+\bar{h}+(h_{\rm R},s_{\rm R})$. Nevertheless, there is finite intensity for $S(q,\omega)$ but no intensity for $N(q,\omega)$. This implies existence of additional selection rules for $N(q,\omega)$.

3.3 $S(q,\omega)$: dynamical spin structure factor

We address to the spectral weight itself for various correlation functions in the remaining part of this section. Let us begin with the results of $S(q,\omega)$ for the IS t-J model. Figures 3(a) and 3(b) show the results in the 16-site chain for electron densities $\bar{n}=0.875$ (14 electrons) and 0.5 (8 electrons), respectively. The solid lines show dispersion relations of elementary excitations for the compact support. These figures reveal clearly the compactness of the support for the excitation at arbitrary filling; there is no intensity outside the outermost dispersion lines. For two holes, the main intensity is in the low-energy region. As the hole density becomes larger, the peak frequency at each q seems

to approach the antiholon dispersion which runs over $k_{\rm F} \leq q \leq \pi$. For $\bar{n}=0.5$ in Fig. 3(b), poles with dominant intensity are nearly along the antiholon dispersion over $0.25 \leq q/\pi \leq 1$.

Let us look at poles and intensities more carefully. A surprising feature is that poles and intensities in the two-spinon region agree with those for the HS model within the numerical accuracy. We have confirmed this fact for $N \leq 16$ with various \bar{n} . It leads to the following conjecture: independent of \bar{n} , the exact expression of $S(q,\omega)$ in the $2s_{\rm R}$ and $2s_{\rm L}$ regions is identical with that for the HS model.³⁷⁾ i.e.,

$$S(q,\omega) = 1 / \left\{ 4 \left[(\omega - \omega_{L-}(q))(\omega - \omega_{L+}(q)) \right]^{1/2} \right\},$$
(3.8)

where $\omega_{L-}(q) = tq(\pi - q)$ and $\omega_{L+}(q) = t(q - \pi)(2\pi - q)$. This strong spin-charge separation in $S(q, \omega)$ should be a manifestation of the supersymmetric Yangian symmetry of the present model. Note that in the NN case such strong separation does not occur.

In the region including holons and antiholons, on the other hand, the ω -dependence of $S(q,\omega)$ is more complicated. Possible reasons for this complication are:

- For a finite system, the intermediate states of $S(q, \omega)$ are not always $(s_L, h_L) + \bar{h} + (h_R, s_R)$ states, in contrast with the claim by Ha and Haldane.²⁰⁾ As mentioned in §3.2, the intermediate states include the states with contents $(s_L, h_L) + \bar{h}^* + s_R$ and the mirror ones $(L \leftrightarrow R)$.
- It is likely that the intensities of states with different excitation contents merge to a single pole.

Now we consider the static spin structure factor S(q), which is obtained by integration of $S(q,\omega)$ over ω . Independent of the IS t-J model, Gebhard and Vollhardt³⁸⁾ calculated S(q) associated with the Gutzwiller wave function³⁹⁾ as follows:

$$S(q) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{4} \ln\left(1 - \frac{q}{\pi}\right), & \text{for } 0 \le q \le 2k_{\text{F}}, \\ -\frac{1}{4} \ln(1 - \bar{n}), & \text{for } 2k_{\text{F}} \le q \le \pi. \end{cases}$$
(3.9)

It was then demonstrated that the ground-state wave function of the IS t-J model is identical to the Gutzwiller one. Thus eq. (3.9) turns out to be the exact expression of S(q) for the IS t-J model. For $0 \le q \le k_{\mathrm{F}}$, integration of eq. (3.8) over ω reproduces the former of eq. (3.9). This supports our conjecture on $S(q,\omega)$ in the two-spinon region . In Fig. 4 we show the results of S(q) for N=16 for various fillings.

To compare with results for the IS model, we show the counterparts for the NN model. Figures 5(a) and 5(b) show the results of $S(q,\omega)$ for two holes and eight holes in the 16-site chain, respectively. For two holes, the global features are similar to those for the IS model. However, there are two remarkable differences:

- [A] Contribution of higher-order spinons, holons and antiholons to $S(q, \omega)$ spreads over the high-energy region.
- [B] Even below the spinon dispersion, there are appreciable intensities for $0 \le q \le k_{\rm F}$. This corresponds to the lower holon dispersion in the NN model.⁴⁰⁾

For eight holes, i.e., quarter-filling [see Fig. 5(b)], the

region with main intensity in the (q, ω) -plane is rather different from the region with finite intensity for the IS type. This is attributed to the change of elementary excitations with increasing hole density in the NN model.⁴¹⁾

3.4 $N(q,\omega)$: dynamical charge structure factor

We discuss the results of $N(q,\omega)$ for the IS t-J model. Figures 6(a) and 6(b) show the results for 16 sites and various electron densities. All poles with finite intensity are inside the corresponding support which is indicated with the solid lines in the figures. For two holes in Fig. 6(a), a typical example of lightly hole-doped case, the following features are observed:

- The peak frequency of $N(q,\omega)$ for each q is at the upper edge of the continuum.
- The intensity of lowest-energy at $q = 2\pi 4k_{\rm F} = \pi/4$ is stronger than that at $q = 2k_{\rm F} = 7\pi/8$ for $\bar{n} = 0.875$.

As the hole density is increased, these features disappear gradually.

According to Ha and Haldane,²⁰⁾ the intermediate states of $N(q,\omega)$ consist of states with contents $\bar{h} + 2h_R$, the mirror ones, and the ones with contents $(s_L, h_L) + \bar{h} + (h_R, s_R)$. We look at the intensity in the pure $\bar{h} + 2h_R$ region, which does not overlap with the region including spinons. It is found that the intensity in the region increases monotonically with ω . We note that $N(q,\omega)$ in the region $q \leq k_F$ has recently been computed analytically.²²⁾

Next, we discuss the static charge structure factor N(q). Like S(q), the exact expression of N(q) is derived by Gebhard and Vollhardt.³⁸⁾ We define the following functions:⁴²⁾

$$N_1(x) = x - \frac{x}{2} \ln \frac{(1 - \bar{n} + x)}{(1 - \bar{n})}, \tag{3.10}$$

$$N_2(x) = x - \frac{x}{2} \ln \frac{(1 + \bar{n} - x)}{(1 - \bar{n})}$$

$$+\ln(1+\bar{n}-x),$$
 (3.11)

$$N_3(x) = 2 - 2\bar{n} + \frac{x}{2} \ln \frac{(\bar{n} - 1 + x)}{(1 - \bar{n} + x)}, \quad (3.12)$$

$$N_4 = 2\bar{n} + \ln(1 - \bar{n}),\tag{3.13}$$

$$N_5(x) = 2 - 2\bar{n} + \frac{x}{2} \ln \frac{(\bar{n} - 1 + x)}{(1 + \bar{n} - x)}$$

$$+\ln(1+\bar{n}-x).$$
 (3.14)

With use of these equations, when $0 < \bar{n} \le 1/2$, one has

$$N(q) = \begin{cases} N_1(q/\pi), & \text{for } 0 \le q \le 2k_{\rm F}, \\ N_2(q/\pi), & \text{for } 2k_{\rm F} \le q \le 4k_{\rm F}, \\ N_4, & \text{for } 4k_{\rm F} \le q \le \pi. \end{cases}$$
(3.15)

For $1/2 \le \bar{n} \le 2/3$, one has

$$N(q) = \begin{cases} N_1(q/\pi), & \text{for } 0 \le q \le 2k_{\text{F}}, \\ N_2(q/\pi), & \text{for } 2k_{\text{F}} \le q \le 2\pi - 4k_{\text{F}}, \\ N_5(q/\pi), & \text{for } 2\pi - 4k_{\text{F}} \le q \le \pi, \end{cases}$$
(3.16)

and when $2/3 \leq \bar{n} < 1$,

$$N(q) = \begin{cases} N_1(q/\pi), & \text{for } 0 \le q \le 2\pi - 4k_{\rm F}, \\ N_3(q/\pi), & \text{for } 2\pi - 4k_{\rm F} \le q \le 2k_{\rm F}, \\ N_5(q/\pi), & \text{for } 2k_{\rm F} \le q \le \pi. \end{cases}$$

$$(3.17)$$

It is clear that for $\bar{n}=1/2$ and 2/3 there are *two* different momentum regimes, otherwise there are *three* regimes. For $0 < \bar{n} \le 1/2$ and $4k_{\rm F} \le q \le \pi$, we find the following relation between N(q) and S(q):

$$N(q) + 4S(q) = 2\bar{n}. (3.18)$$

The sum N(q)+4S(q) represents the density correlation between the same species of spin.⁴²⁾ The fact that this quantity coincides with the noninteracting value $2\bar{n}$ seems to be related to a hidden symmetry of the model. Figure 7 shows the numerical results of N(q) for 16 sites and various fillings.

Finally, we compare the results of $N(q,\omega)$ for the NN model with those for the IS model. Figures 8(a) and 8(b) show the results for the 16-site NN t-J model with two holes and eight holes, respectively. For two holes, charge dynamics for the NN interaction shares the global feature of the IS case. However, there is a remarkable difference in contribution of higher-order spinons, holons, and antiholons. This corresponds to [A] mentioned for $S(q,\omega)$ in the previous subsection. For eight holes, the excitation spectrum with main intensity deviates strongly from the compact support for the IS interaction. This is due to modification of elementary excitations.⁴¹⁾

3.5 $A(k,\omega)$: electron removal from half-filling

We examine the electron removal spectrum $A^{-}(k,\omega)$ from half-filling. For the IS t-J model, excitation contents consist of one-spinon plus one-holon (1s1h) states and three-spinon plus one-holon (3s1h) states in the absence of antiholons.²⁰⁾ Figure 9 shows the result of $A^{-}(k,\omega)$ for 16 sites. Note that $\omega = E_0(N_{\rm e}) - E_{\nu}(N_{\rm e} - 1)$ is negative [see eq. (2.10)]. The origin of energy is taken to be the ground-state energy at half-filling, and therefore the lowest energy at $k = \pi/2$ is equal to the chemical potential $\mu^- = E_0(N_e) - E_0(N_e - 1)$ for the finite-size system. We notice that μ^- and μ^+ given by $E_0(N_e + 1) - E_0(N_e)$ are discontinuous in the gapped system; in the present case (i.e., Mott insulators with infinite energy for double occupation) μ^+ cannot be defined. The region with finite intensity is compared with the support for the one-particle Green function in the high-density limit $\bar{n} \to 1$ (the solid lines of Fig. 9). In the thermodynamic limit, $\mu = \partial E_0/\partial N_e$ becomes $-\pi^2 t/12$ (the broken line of Fig. 9). This value is consistent with -0.822467t extrapolated from the numerical results for N=4, 8, 12 and 16. The excitation at k=0 has the energy $-\pi^2 t/3$, which agrees with the extrapolated value (-3.28987t) from the numerical calculation for N=4-16.

Let us turn now to the magnitude of the intensity. Recently, Kato has obtained the exact expression of the 1s1h contribution for $A^-(k,\omega)$.^{21,43)} For a finite system, the 1s1h contribution $G_{1\text{s1h}}(\tilde{x},\tilde{t})$ to the hole propagator

at half-filling is given by⁴³⁾

$$G_{1\text{s1h}}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) = \frac{1}{2N}$$

$$+\frac{4(-1)^{\tilde{x}}}{\pi N^2}\sum_{K=0}^{N/2-2}\sum_{I=0}^{N/2-K-2}\frac{\Gamma[N/2-K+1/2]\Gamma[K+1/2]}{\Gamma[N/2-K]\Gamma[K+1]}$$

$$\times e^{-i\tilde{t}E_{IK}}\cos(2\pi x(I+K+1)/N), \tag{3.19}$$

where $E_{IK} = 2\pi^2(2I^2 - 2IM_0 - 2K^2 + 2KM_0 + I + K - M_0 - 1/6)/N^2 + \pi^2/3$ with $M_0 = N/2 - 1$ (K and I being integers), and $\Gamma[\cdots]$ is the gamma function. From this result, we can read the momentum transfer k, the excitation energy ω from the half-filled ground state, and the form factor M_{1s1h} as follows:

$$k = 2\pi(I + K + 1)/N - \pi, \pmod{2\pi},$$
 (3.20)

$$\omega = E_{IK},\tag{3.21}$$

$$M_{1s1h} = \frac{2}{\pi N} \cdot \frac{\Gamma[N/2 - K + 1/2]\Gamma[K + 1/2]}{\Gamma[N/2 - K]\Gamma[K + 1]}. (3.22)$$

The 1s1h contribution for N=16 is shown in Fig. 10(a). It is found that at $k=\pi$, the 1s1h state does not contribute at all.²¹⁾

Analytical expression of the 3s1h contribution is not yet derived. We can derive numerically the 3s1h contribution by subtracting the 1s1h contribution [Fig. 10(a)] from the total spectral weight [Fig. 9]. We show the 3s1h contribution for N=16 in Fig. 10(b). Note that there are some cases where the 1s1h and 3s1h contributions merge into a single pole; for example, $(k,\omega)=(\pi/4,-3.43123t)$ and $(3\pi/8,-4.89625t)$.

Figure 10(b) gives us the following information about the 3s1h contribution:

- The 3s1h contribution is present even in the region of the (k, ω) -plane where the 1s1h states dominate.
- The 3s1h contribution has the peak intensity for each k near the lower boundary of the 1s1h contribution. This lower boundary for $0 \le k \le \pi$ corresponds to the spinon dispersion at half-filling.

For each momentum, the pole positions of 3s1h states with dominant intensity [the centers of black circles in Fig. 10(b)] find correspondence with the two-spinon excitations in the HS model. Namely, the values of $-(\omega - \omega_0)$ agree with the pole positions of $S(q, \omega)$ for the HS model, where ω_0 denotes the position of the single pole at k=0. However, those intensities are always weaker than the counterparts for the HS model. These facts mean the following: The 3s1h state with dominant intensity consists of two moving spinons together with another spinon with zero energy and a holon with the maximal energy. The intensity is suppressed as compared with the two-spinon intensity, namely $S(q,\omega)$ in the HS model, because of addition of a further spinon and a holon. Nevertheless the rough feature of the intensity distribution resembles $S(q,\omega)$ in the HS model.

Next we study the spectrum of electron removal in the NN t-J model, for which the result is shown in Fig. 11. Like the IS model, the number of poles for k=0 is only one. The remarkable differences are as follows:

[a] There are many poles with small intensity outside

the 3s1h continuum, although part of those poles are not apparent in the figure.

[b] The branch of the lowest energy for $0 \le k \le \pi$ is the cosine-like function, in contrast with the quadratic function for the IS model.

For the electron removal from half-filling, the elementary excitation picture on the basis of spinons and holons applies only for the low-energy region in the NN supersymmetric t-J model. The difference between the NN case and the IS case appears in the dispersion relations of spinons and holons. Taking account of the spin and charge conservations, possible excitations for $A^-(k,\omega)$ consist of the $N_{\rm sp}$ -spinon plus one-holon states where $N_{\rm sp}=1,3,5,\cdots,N-1$. In the IS case the $N_{\rm sp}>3$ contribution vanishes.

3.6 $A(k,\omega)$: single-particle spectral function at less-than-half-filling

As the single-particle excitation from the ground state for $\bar{n} < 1$, we consider the electron removal spectrum $A^-(k,\omega)$ and the electron addition one $A^+(k,\omega)$. The results for 16 sites with 14 electrons and 6 electrons are shown in Figs. 12(a) and 12(b), respectively. The chemical potential for the finite-size system is defined as $\mu^- = E_0(N_{\rm e}) - E_0(N_{\rm e} - 1)$ for the electron removal, and as $\mu^+ = E_0(N_{\rm e} + 1) - E_0(N_{\rm e})$ for the electron addition. In the thermodynamic limit, μ^- and μ^+ should be equal to μ given by

$$\mu/t = -\frac{1}{12}\pi^2(3\bar{n}^2 - 6\bar{n} + 4).$$
 (3.23)

This is obtained by differentiating the ground-state energy E_0^{18} with respect to N_e . The broken line of Fig. 12 indicates the value given by eq. (3.23). The support by Ha and Haldane is shown by the solid lines. Our result for N=16 reproduces their support.

As the hole density becomes larger, the main intensity accumulates along the edge of the compact support and across the chemical potential line. This curve corresponds approximately to the energy band in the low-density limit $\bar{n} \to 0$. The intensity of $A^+(k,\omega)$ increases with increasing ω at each k. On the other hand, the ω -dependence of $A^-(k,\omega)$ is more intricate. The complexity arises because more elementary excitations contribute to $A^-(k,\omega)$. Namely, the excitation contents for $A^+(k,\omega)$ consist of $(s_L, h_L) + \bar{h}$ and the mirror states, while $(s_L, h_L) + \bar{h} + 2(s_R, h_R)$ and the mirror states contribute to $A^-(k,\omega)$.²⁰

Now we consider the sum rule. Because double occupation at each site is excluded in the t-J model, the following sum rule holds:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \left[A^{+}(k,\omega) + A^{-}(k,\omega) \right] = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\bar{n}, \qquad (3.24)$$

independent of k (see Appendix). Integration of $A^-(k,\omega)$ over ω yields the momentum distribution for spin σ , which can be defined as,

$$n_{\sigma}(k) = \langle 0|\tilde{c}_{k\sigma}^{\dagger}\tilde{c}_{k\sigma}|0\rangle,$$
 (3.25)

where $|0\rangle$ denotes the ground state. For the IS t-J model, the exact expression of $n_{\sigma}(k)$ is given by an infinite se-

ries. 44

Before closing this subsection, let us compare with the single-particle spectral functions of the NN t-J model. In Figs. 13(a) and 13(b) we show the results of $A^{\pm}(k,\omega)$ for 16 sites with two holes and ten holes, respectively. The prominent difference from the IS case is the curvature of the branch with dominant intensity representing either a particle or a hole in the band picture. Again, we find contributions of higher-order spinons, holons and antiholons in the high-energy region in the numerical data. For $A^{\pm}(k,\omega)$ with two holes, the area of appreciable intensity is similar to the compact support in the corresponding IS case. In $A^{-}(k,\omega)$ with ten holes, the region with main intensity shifts closer to the chemical potential⁴¹) than that for the IS model.

§4. Summary and Discussion

We have investigated the dynamical properties of the 1D supersymmetric t-J model for the two types of the interaction. The main results are summarized as follows:

- (1) For the inverse-square type, the dynamical spin structure factor is independent of the electron density in the spectral region where only two spinons contribute.
- (2) Concerning the electron removal spectrum from half-filling for the inverse-square type, the three-spinon plus one-holon states with dominant intensity have the correspondence with two-spinon excitations in the Haldane-Shastry model.
- (3) For the nearest-neighbor type with lightly doped holes, the region with dominant intensity for a dynamical correlation function is similar to the corresponding compact support of the inverse-square type. However, the regions for the two types become different as the hole density is increased.

The feature (1) is an indication of the strong spincharge separation in the zero-magnetic-field dynamics for the long-range supersymmetric t-J model. In applied field, the strong spin-charge separation appears also in the charge dynamics.^{22,45)} We will report detailed results on the dynamics in nonzero magnetic field in a separate paper.

The feature (3) suggests the following conjecture about the dynamics for the nearest-neighbor type: In the thermodynamic limit, the most relevant states for a correlation function would be composed of the excitation contents (i.e., the minimal sets) by Ha and Haldane,²⁰⁾ provided the electron density is near half-filling. For large hole density, however, this does not hold. For the inversesquare type, the dynamics is characterized by spinons, holons and antiholons at arbitrary filling. On the other hand, for the nearest-neighbor type, proper picture of elementary excitations changes gradually with increasing hole density. Namely the Ha-Haldane's excitation contents no longer apply even approximately to the case with a large hole density. This is because the spinons and holons obeying the fractional statistics interact with each other. The charge carried by the holon and antiholon excitations in the nearest-neighbor case is rather different from that in the inverse-square case, even for quarter filling. 46)

Acknowledgments

We would acknowledge fruitful discussions with Y. Kato, T. Yamamoto and M. Arikawa. The numerical calculations were performed partly at the Supercomputer Center of the Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo. This work was supported by CREST from the Japan Science and Technology Corporation.

Appendix: Sum Rule of $A(k, \omega)$

In this appendix we prove eq. (3.24). Integration of $A^+(k,\omega) + A^-(k,\omega)$ over ω reduces to the quantity

$$\langle 0|\tilde{c}_{k\sigma}\tilde{c}_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} + \tilde{c}_{k\sigma}^{\dagger}\tilde{c}_{k\sigma}|0\rangle.$$
 (A·1)

Note that the tilde implies exclusion of double occupation at each site. The Fourier transform:

$$\tilde{c}_{k\sigma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j} \tilde{c}_{j\sigma} e^{-ikj}, \quad \tilde{c}_{j\sigma} = c_{j\sigma} (1 - n_{j,-\sigma}), \quad (A\cdot 2)$$

leads to

$$\tilde{c}_{k\sigma}\tilde{c}_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} + \tilde{c}_{k\sigma}^{\dagger}\tilde{c}_{k\sigma} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j,j'} (c_{j\sigma}c_{j'\sigma}^{\dagger} + c_{j'\sigma}^{\dagger}c_{j\sigma})$$

$$\times (1 - n_{j,-\sigma})(1 - n_{j',-\sigma})e^{ik(j'-j)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j} (1 - n_{j,-\sigma})^{2}$$

$$= 1 - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j} n_{j,-\sigma}.$$
(A·3)

Here we have used the anticommutation relation of a fermionic operator $c_{j\sigma}$. The expectation value of the second term of eq. (A·3) by $|0\rangle$ becomes $\bar{n}/2$ since the numbers of electrons with σ and $-\sigma$ are equal in the singlet ground state.

This proof relies only on the condition that double occupation is excluded at each site. Thus eq. (3.24) holds irrespective of the interaction range and the value of J/t in the t-J model.

- A. Ochiai, T. Suzuki and T. Kasuya: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 59 (1990) 4129.
- [2] M. Kohgi, K. Iwasa, J.-M. Mignot, A. Ochiai and T. Suzuki: Phys. Rev. B 56 (1997) R11388.
- [3] M. Kohgi, K. Iwasa, J.-M. Mignot, N. Pyka, H. Kadowaki, A. Ochiai, H. Aoki and T. Suzuki: Physics of Strongly Correlated Electron Systems, JJAP Series 11, (ed. T. Komatsubara et al., Publication Office, Japanese Journal of Applied Physics, 1999), pp.111.
- [4] S. A. Carter, B. Batlogg, R. J. Cava, J. J. Krajewski, W. F. Peck, Jr. and T. M. Rice: Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996) 1378.
- [5] C. Kim, A. Y. Matsuura, Z. -X. Shen, N. Motoyama, H. Eisaki, S. Uchida, T. Tohyama and S. Maekawa: Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996) 4054.
- [6] C. Kim, Z. -X. Shen, N. Motoyama, H. Eisaki, S. Uchida, T. Tohyama and S. Maekawa: Phys. Rev. B 56 (1997) 15589.
- [7] H. Fujisawa, T. Yokoya, T. Takahashi, S. Miyasaka, M. Kibune and H. Takagi: Solid State Commun. 106 (1998) 543.
- [8] T. Mizokawa, C. Kim, Z. -X. Shen, A. Ino, A. Fujimori, M. Goto, H. Eisaki, S. Uchida, M. Tagami, K. Yoshida, A. I. Rykov, Y. Siobara and S. Tajima: preprint.
- [9] T. Tohyama, P. Horsch and S. Maekawa: Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 980.
- [10] S. Zhang, M. Karbach, G. Müller and J. Stolze: Phys. Rev.

- B 55 (1997) 6491.
- [11] S. Sorella and A. Parola: J. Phys. Condens. Matter 4 (1992) 3589.
- [12] S. Maekawa, T. Tohyama and S. Yunoki: Physica C 263 (1996) 61.
- [13] T. Tohyama and S. Maekawa: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 65 (1996) 1902.
- [14] K. Penc, K. Hallberg, F. Mila and H. Shiba: Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996) 1390.
- [15] K. Penc, K. Hallberg, F. Mila and H. Shiba: Phys. Rev. B 55 (1997) 15475.
- [16] J. Favand, S. Haas, K. Penc, F. Mila and E. Dagotto: Phys. Rev. B 55 (1997) R4859.
- [17] R. Eder and Y. Ohta: Phys. Rev. B 56 (1997) 2542.
- [18] Y. Kuramoto and H. Yokoyama: Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 (1991) 1338.
- [19] F. D. M. Haldane: Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 (1991) 937.
- [20] Z. N. C. Ha and F. D. M. Haldane: Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 (1994) 2887
- [21] Y. Kato: Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 5402.
- [22] M. Arikawa, T. Yamamoto, Y. Saiga and Y. Kuramoto: to be published.
- [23] B. Sutherland: Phys. Rev. B 12 (1975) 3795.
- [24] B. Sutherland: Phys. Rev. A 4 (1971) 2019.
- [25] T. Fukui and N. Kawakami: Phys. Rev. B 54 (1996) 5346.
- [26] M. Ogata, M. U. Luchini, S. Sorella and F. F. Assaad: Phys. Rev. Lett. 66 (1991) 2388.
- [27] J. T. Liu and D. F. Wang: Phys. Rev. B 56 (1997) 2312.
- [28] E. R. Gagliano and C. A. Balseiro: Phys. Rev. Lett. 59 (1987) 2999
- [29] We can know the numerical accuracy in the special case where an exact result is obtained in the finite system; for example, the one-spinon plus one-holon contribution to $A^-(k,\omega)$ from half-filling (see §3.5).
- [30] N. Kawakami: Phys. Rev. B 45 (1992) 7525.
- [31] D. F. Wang, J. T. Liu and P. Coleman: Phys. Rev. B 46 (1992) 6639.
- [32] A. N. Kirillov, A. Kuniba and T. Nakanishi: Commun. Math. Phys. 185 (1997) 441.
- [33] K. Hikami and B. Basu-Mallick: preprint (math-ph/9904033).
- [34] F. D. M. Haldane: Phys. Rev. Lett. **60** (1988) 635.
- [35] B. S. Shastry: Phys. Rev. Lett. **60** (1988) 639.
- [36] Y. Kato and Y. Kuramoto: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 65 (1996) 1622.
- [37] F. D. M. Haldane and M. R. Zirnbauer: Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 (1993) 4055.
- [38] F. Gebhard and D. Vollhardt: Phys. Rev. B 38 (1988) 6911.
- [39] M. C. Gutzwiller: Phys. Rev. Lett. 10 (1963) 159.
- [40] P. Schlottmann: J. Phys. Condens. Matter 5 (1993) 313.
- [41] P. -A. Bares, G. Blatter and M. Ogata: Phys. Rev. B 44 (1991) 130.
- [42] H. Yokoyama and M. Ogata: Phys. Rev. B 53 (1996) 5758.
- [3] Y. Kato: preprint (cond-mat/9806202).
- [44] W. Metzner and D. Vollhardt: Phys. Rev. B 37 (1988) 7382.
- [45] Y. Saiga: Thesis (Tohoku University, 1999).
- [46] Z. N. C. Ha: Quantum Many-Body Systems in One Dimension (World Scientific, Singapore, 1996).

Fig. 1. Enumeration of all possible ways to put an index on each box of the skew Young diagram corresponding to the motif 01010. The entries of the numbers 1, 2 and ○ obey the rules [i] and [ii].

Fig. 9. $A(k,\omega)$ of the IS supersymmetric t-J model with 16 sites at half-filling. The intensity of each pole is proportional to the area of the circle. The solid lines show dispersion relations of elementary excitations for the compact support. The broken line indicates the chemical potential given by $-\pi^2 t/12$.

Fig. 2. Skew Young diagrams corresponding to the motifs (a) 01010|1111101|01100 and (b) 01010|1111110|11010. In the case (a), there are other possibilities to put numbers or ∘ than the one shown in the left figure, while the right figure gives a unique combination in the case (b).

Fig. 10. (a) The 1s1h contribution and (b) the 3s1h contribution to $A(k,\omega)$ of the IS supersymmetric t-J model with 16 sites at half-filling. The intensity of each pole is proportional to the area of the circle. The solid lines show dispersion relations of elementary excitations for the compact support. The broken line indicates the chemical potential given by $-\pi^2 t/12$. The meaning of black circles is explained in the text.

Fig. 3. $S(q,\omega)$ of the IS supersymmetric t-J model with 16 sites and (a) 2 holes and (b) 8 holes. The intensity of each pole is proportional to the area of the circle. The solid lines show dispersion relations of elementary excitations for the compact support.

Fig. 4. S(q) of the IS supersymmetric t-J model with 16 sites for various fillings.

Fig. 11. $A(k,\omega)$ of the NN supersymmetric t-J model with 16 sites at half-filling. The intensity of each pole is proportional to the area of the circle.

Fig. 5. $S(q,\omega)$ of the NN supersymmetric t-J model with 16 sites and (a) 2 holes and (b) 8 holes. The intensity of each pole is proportional to the area of the circle.

Fig. 6. $N(q,\omega)$ of the IS supersymmetric t-J model with 16 sites and (a) 2 holes and (b) 8 holes. The intensity of each pole is proportional to the area of the circle. The solid lines show dispersion relations of elementary excitations for the compact support.

Fig. 12. $A(k,\omega)$ of the IS supersymmetric t-J model with 16 sites at (a) $\bar{n}=7/8$ (14 electrons) and (b) $\bar{n}=3/8$ (6 electrons). The intensity of each pole is proportional to the area of the circle. The circles with dark and light shades correspond to $A^-(k,\omega)$ and $A^+(k,\omega)$, respectively. The solid lines show dispersion relations of elementary excitations for the compact support. The broken line indicates the chemical potential given by eq. (3.23).

Fig. 7. N(q) of the IS supersymmetric t-J model with 16 sites for various fillings.

Fig. 8. $N(q,\omega)$ of the NN supersymmetric t-J model with 16 sites and (a) 2 holes and (b) 8 holes. The intensity of each pole is proportional to the area of the circle.

Fig. 13. $A(k,\omega)$ of the NN supersymmetric t-J model with 16 sites at (a) $\bar{n}=7/8$ (14 electrons) and (b) $\bar{n}=3/8$ (6 electrons). The intensity of each pole is proportional to the area of the circle. The circles with dark and light shades correspond to $A^-(k,\omega)$ and $A^+(k,\omega)$, respectively.