

Indications of Spin-Charge Separation in the 2D Extended t - J Model

George B. Martins¹, Robert Eder², and Elbio Dagotto¹

¹National High Magnetic Field Lab and Department of Physics, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306

²Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Würzburg, Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg, Germany
(May 19, 2019)

The 2D extended t - J model is studied computationally in a broad region of parameter space, motivated by recent photoemission experiments for the undoped cuprate $\text{Ca}_2\text{CuO}_2\text{Cl}_2$ (F. Ronning et al., Science 282, 2067 (1998)). The one-hole ground state is shown to develop robust antiferromagnetic (AF) correlations between spins separated by the mobile hole (i.e. across the hole). This effect tends to decouple charge from spin, and the quasiparticle weight becomes negligible, particularly at momenta $(0; \pi)$ and $(\pi; 0)$. Studies with more holes show precursors of metallic stripe formation, with holes sharing their individual spin arrangements, and AF-correlations generated across the stripe.

PACS numbers: 74.20.-z, 74.20.Mn, 75.25.Dw

Electronic strong correlations are widely believed to be crucial for the explanation of the anomalous properties of high-temperature superconductors. Several aspects of the cuprate phenomenology are indeed contained in the two-dimensional (2D) t - J model, including tendencies towards d -wave pairing upon hole-doping. However, pioneering angle-resolved photoemission (ARPES) studies by Wells et al. [1] on the insulating compound $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_2\text{Cl}_2$ revealed important discrepancies between experimental data and t - J model predictions [2] near momenta $(\pi; 0)$ and $(0; \pi)$, of much relevance for the doped cuprates. Moreover, recent ARPES experiments by Ronning et al. [3] for $\text{Ca}_2\text{CuO}_2\text{Cl}_2$ reported indications of a hole dispersion with $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -characteristics even in such an undoped compound, a remarkable and unexpected result. Clearly the one-hole case must be better understood before addressing a finite hole density.

In addition, 2D t - J model studies have not been able to stabilize the metallic stripes proposed as an explanation of neutron scattering experiments, with individual stripes affecting only one CuO chain with hole density $n=0.5$ [4]. Instead, a pattern with stripes involving two adjacent chains has emerged using the DMRG method with suitable boundary conditions [5]. Since the study of stripes in the 2D t - J model seems a subtle problem, it is important to develop new scenarios to generate metallic stripes upon doping to guide the interpretation of experimental results. With a similar motivation, Laughlin [6] recently argued for the possible existence of a new, but still unknown, fixed-point in an extended parameter space that could influence on the behavior of holes in antiferromagnets. Observing a new fixed-point is potentially important for a proper analysis of the cuprates.

To search for theories beyond those currently available, a systematic analysis of the "extended" t - J model should be carried out. In this model, next-nearest-neighbor (NNN) hopping terms at distances $\sqrt{2}a$ (t^0) and $2a$ (t^0) are added to the standard t - J model, which only contains a nearest-neighbor amplitude t (a is the lattice spacing). The importance of NNN-hoppings to repro-

duce ARPES results was discussed by Nazarenko et al. [7], Eder et al. [8], Kim et al. [9], and addressed by other groups [10]. Tohyama et al. also remarked the importance of NNN-amplitudes [11]. The optimal values of t^0/t ; t^0/t (-0.35 and 0.25 , respectively [8]) are compatible with band structure calculations [12]. It is currently accepted that the extended t - J model produces a quasiparticle dispersion in excellent agreement with ARPES data. However, intuition is still lacking on the effect of these amplitudes on the behavior of holes.

The main motivation of this paper is to contribute to the clarification of the physics contained in the 2D extended t - J model at low hole-density. Pursuing such goal, several surprises have been found. The most interesting is the stabilization by NNN-hoppings of a dynamically generated complex structure around mobile holes containing robust AF-correlations "across-the-hole" (AH) (Fig. 1a). Similar correlations were observed in the standard t - J model on ladders [13] and they have also been noticed using small clusters with NNN-hoppings [14]. However, the physical origin of the AH-correlations, the full spin arrangement around the hole, and specially its consequences, remain to be identified. In particular, due to the AH-structure here it is argued that the vicinity of the hole carries a small spin, correlated with a tiny quasiparticle (q.p.) weight Z in the one-particle spectral function. This is suggestive of spin-charge separation in two-dimensions, at least at short distances, a phenomenon searched for since the early proposals of high- T_c theories. The present results suggest that this effect may be relevant at the couplings currently believed to be realistic for the cuprates.

Our analysis starts with the observation that the one-hole ground-state q.p. weight Z in the t - J model vanishes only in the limit when $J/t \rightarrow 0$ since the size of the spin-1/2 spin-polaron around the hole, regulated by string excitations, diverges as $J \rightarrow 0$ [2]. However, even at $J/t = 0$ a state with vanishing Z is not obtained since in this limit the one-hole ground-state becomes ferromagnetic (FM) due to the Nagaoka mechanism. However, ad-

ditional hole-hopping term may stabilize a more exotic ground-state since the extra hole-mobility can scramble severely the spin background near the hole, avoiding the localizing tendencies of the string excitations.




FIG. 1. (a) Schematic representation of the AF-bonds across the hole (solid lines) of the extended t - J model. Shown are π sites, with the hole at the center; (b) Lines of constant Z corresponding to momentum $(\pi; 0)$, obtained using a 20-sites cluster with one-hole, a grid of 16 points in parameter space, and smooth interpolations among them, providing sufficient accuracy for our qualitative discussion. $t^0 = t^0$ is fixed to -1.4. Points A, B, C and D are mentioned in the text (B corresponds to the currently accepted coupling for the cuprates). In the inset, Z for an 18-sites cluster and $k = (\pi; \pi)$ is shown vs. $|t^0/t|$ at $J/t = 0.125$; (c) Energy of a hole on a 20-sites cluster for the available momenta, relative to the one-hole ground-state energy. $t^0/t = 0.35$; $t^0/t = +0.25$ and J/t 's are indicated. The momenta are in units of $\pi/5$.

To analyze such a possibility, Z has been calculated in the extended t - J model. Results are shown in Fig. 1b, using Exact Diagonalization (ED) techniques on 18- and 20-sites clusters [2]. The ratio t^0/t was fixed to 1.4 in most of our study, as suggested by fits of ARPES dispersions for $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_2\text{Cl}_2$ [8]. From Fig. 1b it is clear that adding amplitudes $t^0/t < 0$, $t^0/t > 0$ drastically reduces Z at $k = (\pi; 0)$, making it virtually negligible in large regions of parameter space. The inset of Fig. 1b shows that similar results are obtained close to $k = (\pi; \pi)$, although the reduction of Z with increasing NNN-hoppings is much stronger at $(\pi; 0)$. The shape of the constant- Z lines seems mainly regulated by the strength of t^0/t relative to J , reasonable since t is renormalized to J by AF-uctuations [2], while t^0/t are only partially renormalized since they connect same sublattice sites. Note that the region of very small J/t of the t - J model, hidden by the FM instability, is substantially expanded by the addition of NNN-hoppings.

The remarkable isotropy around $(\pi; \pi)$ found in ARPES experiments [1,3] for $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_2\text{Cl}_2$ is reproduced in the extended t - J model [7,10], and Fig. 1c for a 20-sites lattice confirms that the four available momenta near $(\pi; \pi)$ indeed have lower energy than $(\pi; 0)$, once the proper set of NNN-hoppings [8] is used. How-

ever, Fig. 1c also shows that similar results are found at smaller couplings $J/t = 0.05$, keeping the NNN-hoppings the same [15]. This suggests that a quasi-isotropic dispersion around $(\pi; \pi)$ may exist in the broad parameter region with small Z , probably due to the effects discussed in Ref. [6]. In this respect, points B, C and D of Fig. 1b share similar properties, different from the traditionally studied regime of point A. This will be a recurrent conclusion of the results analyzed below.




FIG. 2. Spin-correlations in the mobile hole reference frame obtained using a 20-sites cluster with one-hole, and $k = (\pi; 0)$. (a) corresponds to $J/t = 0.4$ and $t^0/t = 0$, while (b) is for $J/t = 0.2$, $t^0/t = 0.35$, $t^0/t = +0.25$. The width of the lines is proportional to the strength of the AF-bonds. Only AF-correlations at distances a and $2a$ are shown; (c) Strength of the AF-correlation across the hole S_{AH} for the ground-state of one-hole on a 20-sites cluster with $k = (\pi; 0)$. A positive (negative) result represents an AF (FM) correlation. Shown are data in the x-direction (open) and y-direction (full). The points A; B; C; D (Fig. 1b) and E correspond to $(J/t = 0.4; t^0/t = 0.0; t^0/t = 0.0)$, $(0.4; 0.35; +0.25)$, $(0.2; 0.35; +0.25)$, $(0.05; 0.35; +0.25)$, and $(0.05; 1; +1)$, respectively; (d) Same as (c) but on a 18-sites cluster with $k = (\pi; \pi)$. Here x- and y-directions are equivalent.

To understand the drastic reduction of Z after adding NNN-hoppings, consider in Figs 2a-b the spin correlations in the hole reference frame. Shown are results for a 20-sites cluster exactly solved and momentum $(\pi; 0)$, of importance for the cuprates. Results are presented for points A and C of Fig. 1b. Similar results have been obtained using the ORBA technique [16] on clusters of size 4×8 with 1.5×10^6 states, and also with ED on 16-sites clusters, suggesting that finite-size effects are small and local effects dominate. Fig. 2a shows that in the absence of NNN-hoppings the spin correlations present simple AF tendencies [17]. The hole carries a spin polaron and Z is finite [2]. However, including extra hoppings the spin correlations are qualitatively different (Fig. 2b). Note the presence of AF-correlations across the hole, in both directions. The hole motion induces a coupling between sites of the same sublattice. It is important to note that the across-the-hole AF-bond is not isolated but it is supple-

mented in both directions by other robust AF-bonds resembling dynamically generated 1D Heisenberg segments along each axis (Fig 2b), individually weakly coupled to the rest of the spins. Figs 2c,d show the strength of the across-the-hole AF-bond at several points in parameter space. Comparing with Fig 1b, it is apparent that the stronger those correlations are, the weaker Z is.

The robust AF-correlations among pairs of spins near the hole suggest that the total spin-1/2 of the one-hole problem, defined on an even number of sites cluster, may not be located near the hole. This can be analyzed by calculating the local spin $h_{i,i}^z$ at site i in the mobile hole reference frame, with the overall constraint $\sum_i h_{i,i}^z = 1/2$. At $J=t=0.4$, $t^0=t^0=0$, Fig 3a shows $h_{i,i}^z$ around the mobile hole for $k=(\pi;0)$. Here the spin distribution is nontrivial and some results are even negative along the direction of movement of the hole. However, the large spin next to the hole along the y -axis suggests that spin and charge are bounded. On the other hand, at $J=t=0.1$, $t^0=t^0=t$ (Fig 3b), here shown as an extreme but illustrative example, $h_{i,i}^z$ is considerably more spread than in Fig 3a. A robust AH-spin arrangement near the hole appears correlated with local spin-charge separation tendencies, which is intuitively reasonable.




FIG. 3. (a) $h_{i,i}^z$ around a mobile hole (open circle) on a 4×4 cluster with one hole, total spin z -projection $+1/2$, $J=t=0.4$, $t^0=t^0=0$, and $k=(\pi;0)$. The area of the circles is proportional to $h_{i,i}^z$. The gray circles denote a negative $h_{i,i}^z$; (b) Same as (a) but for $J=t=0.1$, $t^0=t^0=t$; (c) Schematic representation of the 3- and 9-site clusters mentioned in the text, the latter at $J=t=0.1$, $t^0=t=0.35$ and $t^0=t=0.25$. The hole is at the center and the solid lines represent the ground-state dominant AF-bonds, which are stable in a broad region of parameter space.

To gain insight into the AH-state, consider first just 3 sites in an open chain, containing one hole, one spin-up and one down. Since J is not crucial in this analysis simply use $J=0$, and allow for the hole to move at distance a (2a) with amplitude t (t^0). There are only 6 states in the subspace considered here, and two eigenstates are in close competition. One has FM-correlations (Nagaoka state) and the lowest energy for $t^0 < 0$. However, if $t^0 > 0$ a spin-singlet state, which naturally contains robust AH-bonds, is stabilized (Fig 3c) since $t^0 > 0$ penalizes ferromagnetism [18]. Then, the extra $t^0 < 0$ and $t^0 > 0$

hoppings have the important role of favoring the singlet state over the competing FM-state [19,20].

Further semi-quantitative insight can be gained from the analysis of a 9-site cluster with open boundary conditions (Fig 3c). Here there are 630 states in the zero total- S^z subspace, and characteristics already similar to those found in the numerical analysis of Figs 2a-b were observed in its solution. The ground state of this small cluster is dominated by a hole at the center, in a large region of parameter space. The similarities of the ground-state correlations on 9-sites and on larger clusters suggest, once again, that the effects discussed here are mainly local in space and they can be observed in simple toy models.

Qualitative understanding of the AH-state formation can be obtained from the 1D-Hubbard model at $U=t=1$ [21]. In this limit holes and spins fully decouple and the wave function in the spin sector corresponds to that of a 1D-Heisenberg chain involving all spins, as if the holes were absent from the problem. In other words, a state such as $|j::+ + 0 + 0 + ::i$, with AF-bonds across the holes, has an important weight in the ground state. Explicit calculations performed as part of this effort have indeed shown that the 1D $t-J-t^0-t^0$ model presents AH-bonds quite similar to those in Fig 2b. However, if a similar procedure is attempted in 2D, i.e. the effective removal of the hole from the system by linking the two vertical and horizontal neighboring spins with a Heisenberg coupling J , frustration cannot be avoided. Then, a straightforward generalization to 2D of the 1D results is expected to fail. However, the numerical results shown here lead us to suggest that a compromise can be found between the tendencies to produce a charge-spin decoupling and the frustration that prevents it. This can be achieved by creating 1D-like spin arrangements in both directions, as shown in Fig 2b. Each of these dynamically generated "chains" individually resemble the results found in the 1D $U=t=1$ Hubbard model [21]. By this procedure, spin and charge can locally decouple in 2D, as suggested by the computational results.

The spin arrangement around the 2D hole reported here is different from previous alternatives discussed in the literature. Although averaging spin correlations around the hole would only indicate a reduction of antiferromagnetism in favor of a "spin-liquid" state, the spin arrangement in this region has more structure than a mere bubble of weakly interacting spins would have. In addition, while naively it may appear that the AH-bond could be described as a spin-singlet formation in a short-range resonant-valence-bond (RVB) state, Fig 2b shows that it is better to represent it as an AF-bond part of a 1D Heisenberg segment, an unexpected result in a 2D system. Note also that moving holes using NNN-hoppings in a RVB-state would tend to leave the "length" of the short spin-singlets at just a, an effect opposite to what was reported here, namely NNN-hoppings were found to enhance the AH-bonds. Then, the AH-state represents a new paradigm for the visualization of the spin distortion around a hole in an AF background.




FIG. 4. (a) AF-correlations corresponding to the 4×4 two-hole ground-state with PBC and $J/t = 0.4$, $t^0/t = 0.35$, $t^{\infty}/t = +0.25$, for the case when the mobile holes (open circles) are projected to be at distance $2a$ along the x-axis. The bond width is proportional to the strength of the AF-correlation; (b) Same as (a) but with the holes at distance a ; (c) Same as (a) but with the holes at larger distance; (d) $N(q)$ for a two-hole 4×4 cluster. Squares, circles and triangles are results for $(J/t = 0.4, t^0/t = 0, t^{\infty}/t = 0)$, $(0.4; 0.35; 0.25)$, and $(0.1; 0.35; 0.25)$, respectively.

In Figs. 4a-c results are shown for two holes at point B of Fig. 1b, the realistic couplings for the cuprates [8,9]. Fig. 4a contains the spin arrangement for two holes on a 4×4 cluster with PBC and at distance $2a$, obtained from the zero-momentum ground-state using a suitable projector operator. With one hole at the origin, the most likely position for the second hole is precisely at distance $2a$, unlike for $t^0/t^{\infty} = 0$ which is dominated by distance a . The row where the holes are located is clearly different from the rest, resembling a 4×1 cluster with two holes. This suggests that holes tend to move in a 1D-path with density 0.5. These 1D-paths resemble metallic stripes, conceptually different from the insulating stripes with one-hole per site found near the large J/t phase separated region of the standard t - J model when $1/r$ -Coulomb interactions are added [22]. Fig. 4b is a natural consequence of Fig. 4a, when holes move using the hopping t . An interesting property of Fig. 4a-b is the arrangement of the spins not on the 4×1 stripe. They form robust AF-bonds across the path of the two holes, as observed experimentally [4] and in ladder studies [13]. This effect is not present for holes with low-mobility near phase separation, but in the context discussed here it is natural since it evolves from the AF-bonds of individual holes. Fig. 4c corresponds to holes not along a 1D-path, carrying the surrounding environments of isolated holes. Fig. 4d contains the Fourier-transform of the charge correlations $N(q)$, enhanced at $(\pi/2, \pi/2)$ due to correlations Figs. 4a,b. Calculations for four holes on 16-sites show patterns similar to those in Fig. 4. In particular, the configuration with holes forming a square of side $2a$ has a substantial weight in the ground-state and contains two of the 1D-like paths of Fig. 4a, running in each direction.

It is important to remark that for the standard t - J model with J/t between 0.1 and 0.5 and $t^0 = t^{\infty} = 0$ the correlations across-the-stripe are actually FM on the 16-sites cluster, although the spin correlation among the two spins of the two-holes 4×1 row is still AF and robust. The NNN-hoppings are needed to stabilize a structure with characteristics similar to those observed in experiments [4]. Actually, results quantitatively similar to Figs. 4a-c were obtained also at point C of Fig. 1b, and even in an extreme case such as $J/t = 0.1$, $t^0 = -t$, $t^{\infty} = t$.

Summarizing, here it has been reported that a mobile hole in the extended t - J model generates a complex spin arrangement in its vicinity containing AF-bonds across the hole. This is correlated with a small Z and indications of spin-charge separation. Studies of two and four holes provided a glimpse of a possible new mechanism for metallic stripe formation, which does not rely on phase separation tendencies and it is operative at small J/t .

The authors thank M. Vojta and T. Tohyama for many useful discussions. NSF support (DMR-9814350 and NMF-L In-House DMR-9527035) is acknowledged.

-
- [1] B. O. Wells et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 964 (1995).
 - [2] E. Dagotto, Rev. Mod. Phys. 66, 763 (1994).
 - [3] F. Ronning et al., Science 282, 2067 (1998).
 - [4] J. M. Tranquada et al., Nature 375, 561 (1995).
 - [5] S. W. White and D. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 1272 (1998).
 - [6] R. Laughlin, cond-mat/9709195, preprint. See also R. Laughlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 1726 (1997).
 - [7] A. Nazarenko et al., Phys. Rev. B 51, 8676 (1995).
 - [8] R. Eder et al., Phys. Rev. B 55, R3414 (1997).
 - [9] C. Kim et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 4245 (1998).
 - [10] For a complete list of references see Refs. [7-9].
 - [11] T. Tohyama and S. Maekawa, Phys. Rev. B 49, 3596 (1994).
 - [12] O. Andersen et al., J. Phys. Chem. Solids 56, 1573 (1995).
 - [13] S. W. White and D. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. B 55, 6504 (1997).
 - [14] T. Tohyama, private communication.
 - [15] This conclusion has also been reached using analytical techniques (M. Vojta, private communication).
 - [16] E. Dagotto et al., Phys. Rev. B 58, 12063 (1998).
 - [17] J. Bonca, P. P. Rebovsek, and I. Sega, Phys. Rev. B 39, 7074 (1989); E. Dagotto, A. Moreo and T. Barnes, Phys. Rev. B 40, 6721 (1989).
 - [18] Note that for one-hole in the t - J model the fermionic character of the electrons is not crucial. If bosons are considered, then the spin-singlet state is stable for $t^0 < 0$.
 - [19] This can be easily confirmed by an analysis of the Nagaoka theorem when the NNN-couplings are introduced.
 - [20] Similar results can be obtained on a 5-site cluster (Fig. 1a). For $t^0 < 0$ and $t^{\infty} > 0$, and in a broad window of J/t , the ground-state is dominated by a hole located at the center with robust AF-bonds.
 - [21] M. Ogata and H. Shiba, Phys. Rev. B 41, 2326 (1990).
 - [22] U. Low et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 1918 (1994).