## RNA secondary structure formation: a solvable model of heteropolymer folding

R. Bundschuh and T. Hwa

Department of Physics, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093-0319, U.S.A.

(March 4, 1999)

The statistical mechanics of heteropolymer structure formation is studied in the context of RNA secondary structures. A designed RNA sequence biased energetically towards a particular native structure (a hairpin) is used to study the transition between the native and molten phase of the RNA as a function of temperature. The transition is driven by a competition between the energy gained from the polymer's overlap with the native structure and the entropic gain of forming random contacts. A simplified Gō-like model is proposed and solved exactly. The predicted critical behavior is verified via exact numerical enumeration of a large ensemble of similarly designed sequences.

PACS numbers: 87.15.Aa, 05.40.-a, 87.15.Cc, 64.60.Fr

A biopolymer such as a DNA or protein is a heteropolymer. It consists of different types of monomers connected linearly in a specific order. Interactions among the monomers give each polymer a robust three dimensional structure on which its biological function depends. This sequence-to-structure relation is rather simple in the case of complementary DNA strands, but can be very complex in the case of proteins. The latter has been intensively studied in the last decade using many different approaches [1–4].

A number of important ingredients are involved in determining the structure of a heteropolymer. They include (i) thermal fluctuations which "denature" the polymer into a random coil at high temperatures, (ii) monomerspecific binding which freezes a random heteropolymer into a "glass" at low temperatures, and (iii) sequence correlation which biases the polymer into a certain specific (nonrandom) structure, commonly referred to as the "native" structure. The native structures are selected in nature by evolution, but can also be obtained artificially through sequence design [5,6]. The interplay of these ingredients leads to a number of phases depending on the environment (e.g., the temperature) and the extent of sequence correlations or design. The nature of these phases and the transitions among them have been discussed in the context of protein folding [2,3]. However, protein-like models are not ideal systems to study phase-related issues because proteins are rather short (typically under 500 monomers), and their thermodynamic limit is ambiguous: simply taking longer proteins leads to problems that are neither interesting nor relevant.

Here, we shall take the view that the statistical mechanics of a long heteropolymer governed by the competing interactions mentioned above are of interest in their own right, regardless of their immediate application to protein folding. We will study the molecule RNA, an interesting biopolymer which has a mixture of protein-like and DNA-like properties [7]. Due to the nature of the physical interaction between the monomers of a RNA, aspects of the RNA structure formation problem are considerably easier to treat than protein folding. Also, the thermodynamic limit is more meaningful for the RNA, which can contain over 50,000 monomers. In this paper, we will describe the simplest effect of sequence bias to the formation of RNA secondary structures (defined below). We will focus on the transition between a designed native structure and the RNA's "molten phase", a thermalized, collapsed phase which exists in an intermediate temperature regime in between denaturation and freezing. We will introduce a simplified *two-state* model to describe the effect of sequence bias, following N. Go's approach for proteins [8]. We will solve the model exactly, and derive the critical properties of the transition between the native and the molten phase. The applicability of our two-state model to the native-molten transition of designed heterogeneous RNA sequences is verified by direct numerical enumeration and finite-size scaling analysis.

RNA is a polynucleotide chain consisting of the four "bases" A, U, G, and C. Energy of the order of several  $k_BT$ 's can be gained by forming complementary pairs (i.e., A - U and C - G) and then stacking them in a double helical structure similar to a double-stranded DNA. In order to form these base pairs, the RNA will need to bend back onto itself at various locations, resulting in a number of helical segments. These helices are then arranged in a three dimensional, so-called "tertiary" structure, stabilized by the much weaker interaction between the helices. Due to this crucial separation of energy scales for the RNA, it is possible to distinguish between the formation of "secondary" and tertiary structure: a RNA secondary structure is a collection of base pairings, with the restriction that any two base pairs  $(i_1, j_1)$  and  $(i_2, j_2)$  have to be either "nested" (i.e.,  $i_1 < i_2 < j_2 < j_1$ ) or "independent" (i.e.,  $i_1 < j_1 < i_2 < j_2$ ) [9–11]. Interactions violating these rules lead to structural elements which typically cannot form simple double-helices. Thus, they are energetically or kinetically suppressed and deemed part of the tertiary structure. Each such secondary structure can be represented by a non-crossing arch diagram [see Fig. 1(a)], where a pairing between the bases i and j is indicated by a dashed line connecting i and j on a stretched backbone. Fig. 1(b) shows an alternative representation of the same structure; here the backbone is bent and the dashed lines are short, in order to convey a sense of the backbone topology. The regions with consecutive base pairings form the above mentioned double-helices.



FIG. 1. Representations of the secondary structure of a RNA: (a) a non-crossing arch diagram; (b) a helix diagram. The dashed lines indicate base pairings.

To study the thermodynamic ensemble of all possible secondary structures of a given RNA molecule, the energy of each structure needs to be specified. Here we shall take the simplest energy function, with v(b, b') for each pairing of the bases (b, b'), and  $v_0 = -s_0 T$  for each unpaired base mimicking the entropy gained from unbinding [12]. Accurate energy parameters including the effects of stacking, loops, etc. [11] should be used for predicting actual secondary structures of real RNA molecules. Due to their irrelevance [14] for the asymptotic properties studied here we neglect them for simplicity. In fact, our parameters v(b, b') should be viewed as *coarse-grained* quantities describing the energy of pairing two short *segments* of bases.

The class of RNA secondary structures is clearly hierarchical and belongs to the class of Hartree diagrams widely used in the self-consistent treatment of manybody quantum systems. [In this sense, one may regard the RNA secondary structure problem as the Hartree theory of the "full" (protein-like) heteropolymer problem.] The recursive nature of the diagrams allows efficient computation of the exact partition function of an arbitrary sequence: Consider a segment of bases from the positions i to j > i inclusive. The base at j can be either unbound or bound to any base  $k \in \{i, \ldots, j - 1\}$ . For the simple energy function we have adopted here, the partition function  $Z_{i,j}$  for this segment of bases then obeys

$$Z_{i,j} = Z_{i,j-1} + \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} Z_{i,k-1} \cdot e^{-\varepsilon_{k,j}/T} \cdot Z_{k+1,j-1}, \quad (1)$$

where we take  $\varepsilon_{i,j} \equiv v(b_i, b_j) - 2v_0$ . The partition function  $Z_{1,N}$  of a strand of length N can be computed recursively using (1) (with  $Z_{i,i} = Z_{i,i-1} = 1$ ) in  $O(N^3)$  time [11].

Before we discuss the effect of structure formation due to sequence bias, we first give a qualitative description of the behavior of an uncorrelated random RNA sequence [15]. The energetics of this system is determined by the mean  $\varepsilon_0(T)$  and standard deviation  $\delta\varepsilon$  of the pairing energies  $\varepsilon_{i,j}$ . Denaturation occurs at a temperature where  $\varepsilon_0(T_d) \approx 0$ , since for large and positive  $\varepsilon_0$ , the unbound state is preferred [14]. Below the denaturation temperature  $T_d$ , the bases of the RNA are mostly paired together. There, the system can take on two possible phases: At very low temperatures  $(T \ll \delta \varepsilon)$ , heterogeneity of the sequence is important, forcing the polymer to adopt the optimal base-pairings which minimize the total energy; this is the glass phase [15,16]. At intermediate temperatures  $(T_d > T \gtrsim \delta \varepsilon)$ , differences in the binding energy are less important while an average attraction between the monomers still exists. There, the entropy of forming different pairings becomes dominant, resulting in the molten phase. In a separate study [15], we will demonstrate the perturbative irrelevance of weak sequence inhomogeneity in the intermediate temperature regime, thereby establishing the stability and selfconsistency of the molten phase. Furthermore, as sequence heterogeneity is irrelevant in the molten phase, statistical properties in this phase can be obtained from (1) by simply taking  $\varepsilon_{i,j} = \varepsilon_0$ , where  $\varepsilon_0 < 0$  can be interpreted as an effective mean attraction. Here, we will take the existence of such a molten phase as a conjecture, and examine the effect of sequence bias.

To do so, we need to construct a sequence with a dominant native structure. For simplicity, we will take the native structure to be a hairpin with a long stem, a structure which has been studied experimentally for oligopeptides [17] and short RNA [18], although we will consider here the limit where the stem is long. In this native structure, the bases  $(1, 2L), (2, 2L - 1), \dots, (L, L + 1)$  of a length N = 2L sequence are paired. We call these the "native pairs" or "native contacts". Bias towards this structure can be "designed" into the sequence by choosing a *random* sequence for the bases 1 to L of the molecule and then taking the second half of the molecule (L + 1 to 2L) to be the exact reverse complement of the first half. The perfectly complementary native pairs then make the native structure the "ground state" of the system. Upon increasing temperature, the entropy of forming non-native pairings will compete with and hence weaken the effective bias of the native structure. Alternatively, this bias can be weakened by random "mutations" of the designed sequence. For sufficiently weak effective bias, the RNA can "melt" from its native structure into any of the denatured, molten, or glass phase, depending on the temperature and the strength of the bias.

To study the native-molten transition of the designed sequence analytically, we shall describe the pairing energies and the bias by a simple two-state model,

$$\varepsilon_{i,j} = \widetilde{\varepsilon} \,\delta_{i+j,2L+1} + \varepsilon_0,\tag{2}$$

for designed sequences of length 2L. The first term in (2) (with  $\tilde{\epsilon} < 0$ ) mimics the *additional* attraction of native pairs due to sequence design;  $|\tilde{\epsilon}|$  characterizes the "strength" of design which can be controlled by the "mutation" process mentioned above. The second term de-

scribes the average attraction of the "background" characteristic for the molten phase. The two-state model (2) is conceptually similar to the one introduced by N.  $G\bar{o}$  in the context of protein folding [8]. While  $G\bar{o}$  proposed this model to simplify the numerical simulation of lattice protein models, we will show that this model actually gives a quantitative description of the native-molten transition of the RNA secondary structure problem (1).

Our task now is to study the system defined by Eqs. (1) and (2). We begin with a description of the molten phase, with  $\tilde{\varepsilon}$  in (2) set to zero. This phase is described by a single parameter,  $q \equiv e^{-\varepsilon_0/T}$ . Due to the translational invariance of the uniform interaction, the partition function can be written as  $Z_{i,j} = Z_0(j - i + 2; q)$ . In terms of the Laplace transform  $\hat{Z}_0(\mu; q) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} Z_0(\ell; q) e^{-\mu\ell}$ , the recursion relation (1) takes on the simple form  $\hat{Z}_0^{-1} = e^{\mu} - 1 - q\hat{Z}_0$ . Inverse Laplace transforming this solution in the limit of large  $\ell$  using the saddle point method, one finds the asymptotic form [9,13]

$$Z_0(\ell;q) = A(q)\,\ell^{-\theta}e^{\mu_0(q)\ell} \tag{3}$$

with  $\theta = 3/2$  and  $\mu_0(q) = \log(1 + 2\sqrt{q})$ . Physically, the partition function describes the configurational entropy of forming different secondary structures. Each such structure can be viewed as a configuration of an annealed (and rooted) branched polymer [14,19], as Fig. 1 suggests. Note that the exponent  $\theta = 3/2$  is an important characteristic of the molten phase and will play a key role in what follows.

We now include the additional energetic bias  $\tilde{\varepsilon}$  in (2) due to sequence design. For a RNA sequence of 2L bases, observe that each secondary structure consists of a series of native pairings, e.g.,  $(i_1, 2L - i_1 + 1)$ ,  $(i_2, 2L - i_2 + 1)$ , etc., separated by "bubbles" of lengths  $\ell_k = i_{k+1} - i_k$ containing only non-native pairings of the intervening bases. Let the Boltzmann weight of each native pairing be  $\tilde{q} \equiv e^{-\tilde{\varepsilon}/T}$ , and let the restricted partition function describing all possible non-native pairings in a molten bubble of length  $\ell$  be  $W(\ell; q)$ . The total partition function  $Z(L+1;\tilde{q},q)$  for the model (2) can then be conveniently written in Laplace space as

$$\widehat{Z}(\mu; \widetilde{q}, q) = \widehat{W}(\mu; q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( q \widetilde{q} \widehat{W}(\mu; q) \right)^n$$
(4)

which is easily summed. Here,  $\widehat{W}(\mu;q)$  and  $\widehat{Z}(\mu;\tilde{q},q)$  are respectively the Laplace transform of  $W(\ell;q)$  and  $Z(L;\tilde{q},q)$ .  $\widehat{W}(\mu,q)$  is completely specified by Eq. (4) and the "boundary condition"

$$Z(L+1; \tilde{q} = 1, q) = Z_0(2L+1; q).$$
(5)

Before we proceed with an analytical solution of this system, let us observe that Eq. (4) is mathematically very similar to the equation derived by Poland and Scheraga [20] describing the *thermal denaturation* of perfectly complementary DNA double strands. The latter description in turn is a refined version of the *helix coil transi*tion proposed by Zimm [21] [Note however that while the helix-coil transition and the DNA denaturation transition consider *only* the interaction of native pairs, the RNA folding problem considered here includes interactions between bases far apart along the backbone of the chain.] The mathematical similarity can be made clearer if one assumes (as it will turn out to be the case) that the number of native pairings in each molten bubble is a negligible fraction of the total number of pairings, i.e.,  $W(\ell;q) \approx Z_0(2\ell-1;q)$ . Then, from the form of (3), one can think of  $W(\ell)$  as the Boltzmann weight of a "Gaussian polymer loop" of length  $\ell$  in *d*-dimensions, with the fictitious dimension d given by  $2\theta$ . Thus, the molten bubbles described by W are analogous to the denaturation bubbles in the standard denaturation problem, or to the coiled regions in the helix coil transition. They all represent the entropically favored phase but the origin of these entropies is quite different: The denaturation bubbles are formed by the configuration entropy gained by the unconstraint chains, while the molten bubbles are driven by the "branching entropy" of secondary structures within the molten phase. In any case, we expect that the native-molten transition belongs to the same universality class as the denaturation transition of Ref. [20], with  $d = 2\theta = 3$ .

The partition function  $Z(L; \tilde{q}, q)$  can actually be obtained *exactly* in the limit of large L, although the details are somewhat tedious [14]. First, by using Eqs. (4) and (5), an *exact* expression for  $\widehat{Z}(\mu; \widetilde{q}, q)$  for *arbitrary*  $\tilde{q}$  can be derived. It is straightforward to extract the reduced free energy  $f(\tilde{q}, q) \equiv -(\log Z)/L$  from its singularities. It is  $-2\mu_0(q)$  with  $\mu_0(q)$  as given in (3) for  $\tilde{q} \leq \tilde{q}_c$ and some other implicitly known function  $-\mu_1(\tilde{q}, q)$  for  $\widetilde{q} \geq \widetilde{q}_{c}$ . The critical point is at  $\widetilde{q}_{c} = (3\sqrt{1+2\sqrt{q}} - 1)^{-1}$  $1)/(\sqrt{1+2\sqrt{q}}-1) > 1$ . Expanding around  $\tilde{q}_c$  yields  $f(\tilde{q}) - f(\tilde{q}_c) \sim (\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}_c)^2$ , which implies a *continuous* phase transition with a *finite jump* in the specific heat at the critical point; thus, the specific heat exponent is  $\alpha = 0$ . From the free energy, we can easily compute the average fraction of native contacts,  $Q = -df/d\ln \tilde{q}$ , which constitutes the order parameter of the phase transition. In the thermodynamic limit, Q = 0 for  $\tilde{q} \leq \tilde{q}_{c}$  and Q = 1for  $\tilde{q} \gg \tilde{q}_{\rm c}$ . Close to the critical point,  $Q \sim (\tilde{q} - \tilde{q}_{\rm c})$ .

For strands of finite length L, this length always enters the saddle point equation involved in the inverse Laplace transform of  $\widehat{Z}(\mu)$  in the combination  $L(\widetilde{q} - \widetilde{q}_c)^{\nu}$  with  $\nu = 2$  [14]. The finite-size result can be cast into the form  $Q(L) = L^{-1/2} g \left[ (\widetilde{q} - \widetilde{q}_c) L^{1/2} \right]$  in the vicinity of the critical point. The scaling function g[y] can be computed exactly numerically, with  $g[y] \sim y$  for  $y \gg 1$  and  $g[y] \sim 1/y$ for  $y \ll -1$ , with  $g[y] \sim O(1)$  for  $|y| \ll 1$ . In order to verify whether the above critical behavior, as derived from the simplified system (1) and (2) describes the native-molten transition of designed *heterogeneous* sequences, we numerically iterated Eq. (1) for perfectly designed sequences [22]. The energies  $\varepsilon_{i,j}$ 's were chosen to be -1 for complementary pairs and 0 otherwise.



FIG. 2. Numerical results on RNA sequences with bias for a hairpin. (a) Specific heat as a function of temperature for different system sizes. The vertical line indicates the critical temperature; the inset sketches the same quantity from the exact solution of the Gō-like model. (b) Scaling plot of the fraction Q of native contacts with the exact solution for the scaling function g[y] of the Gō-like model as the solid line.

Fig. 2(a) shows the specific heat as a function of the temperature for perfectly designed sequences of 200 to 1600 bases averaged over an ensemble of 100 realizations of randomness. Direct extraction of critical exponents from this data is difficult due to the strong correctionto-scaling effects of the expected discontinuity ( $\alpha = 0$ ). However, for  $\alpha = 0$ , a good numerical estimate of the critical temperature  $T_c$  can be obtained from the common intersection point of the curves at different lengths. This is more clearly seen from the result of the Gō-like model (2); see inset of Fig. 2(a). The fraction Q of native contacts can then be used for a detailed scaling analysis. As shown in Fig. 2(b), the scaling plot of  $QL^{1/2}$  versus  $L^{1/2}(T_{\rm c}-T)/T_{\rm c}$  is consistent with the predicted critical behavior around the phase transition and is well described by the scaling function q[y] of the Go-like model.

To summarize, we analyzed the heteropolymer structure formation problem in the context of RNA secondary structures. The native-molten structural transition results from a competition between the energetic gain of native contacts and the "branching entropy" of the molten phase. Critical properties can be obtained exactly after introducing an approximate two-state model à la Gō; the validity of the approximation is verified by direct numerical calculation of designed sequences. Throughout this study, we have neglected the effect of the excludedvolume interaction [23]. This effect changes the value of the exponent  $\theta$  [24], hence changing the universality class or even the order of the phase transition in 3 dimensions as will be discussed elsewhere [14]; it however does not change the qualitative physics of the competing interactions discussed here. The latter should be accessible experimentally using the recently developed molecular beacon technique [25]. Finally, our study should also be relevant to the statistics of heteroduplex formation which occurs in the hybridization of partially complementary heterogeneous DNA strands [26]. In this regard, the structural transition discussed here resembles the physics of similarity detection discussed previously in the context of DNA sequence alignment [27].

We are grateful to D. Cule who was involved in the early stages of this study, and to discussions with M. Zuker, J.N. Onuchic and J.D. Moroz. RB is supported by a Hochschulsonderprogramm III fellowship of the DAAD and TH by a Beckman Young Investigator Award.

- [1] K.A. Dill et al., Protein Sci. 4, 561 (1995).
- [2] J.N. Onuchic, Z. Luthey-Schulten, and P.G. Wolynes, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 48, 545 (1997).
- [3] T. Garel, H. Orland, and E. Pitard, J. Phys. I 7, 1201 (1997).
- [4] E.I. Shakhnovich, Curr. Op. Struct. Biol. 7, 29 (1997).
- [5] V.S. Pande, A.Yu. Grosberg, and T. Tanaka, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **91**, 12976 (1994)
- [6] J.M. Deutsch and T. Kurosky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 323 (1996).
- [7] See, e.g., *The RNA World*, R.F. Gesteland and J.F. Atkins ed. (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, 1993).
- [8] N. Gō, J. Stat. Phys. **30**, 413 (1983).
- [9] M.S. Waterman, Adv. Math. Suppl. Studies 1, 167 (1978).
- [10] M. Zuker and D. Sankoff, Bull. Math. Biol. 46, 591 (1984).
- [11] J.S. McCaskill, Biopolymers **29**, 1105 (1990).
- [12] We have neglected here the additional logarithmic entropy cost associated with the formation of singlestranded *loops*. This loop entropy is crucial to denaturation [13,14], but is not relevant to this study of the nativemolten transition away from the denaturation phase.
- [13] P.G. de Gennes, Biopolymers 6, 715 (1968).
- [14] J.D. Moroz, R. Bundschuh, and T. Hwa (unpublished).
- [15] R. Bundschuh, K. Hamacher, and T. Hwa (unpublished).
- [16] P. Higgs, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 704 (1996).
- [17] V. Muñoz *et al.*, Nature **390**, 196 (1997).
- [18] E. Zuo et al., Biochemistry **29**, 4446 (1990).
- [19] T.C. Lubensky, J. Isaacson, and S.P. Obukhov, J. Physique 42, 1591 (1981).
- [20] D. Poland and H.A. Scheraga, J. Chem. Phys. 45, 1464 (1966).
- [21] B.H. Zimm, J. Chem. Phys. 33, 1349 (1960).
- [22] The sequence is chosen to have the strongest bias so that it is far away from the native-glass transition.
- [23] S.J. Chen and K.A. Dill, J. Chem. Phys. 103, 5802 (1995).
- [24] G. Parisi and N. Sourlas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 46, 871 (1981).
- [25] G. Bonnet, O. Krichevsky, and A. Libchaber, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95, 8602 (1998).
- [26] See, e.g., J.G. Wetmur, Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol. 26, 227 (1991).
- [27] T. Hwa and M. Lässig, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 2591 (1996).