

Punctuated equilibrium in an evolving bacterial population

Indranath Chaudhuri and Indrani Bose
 Department of Physics,
 Bose Institute,
 93/1, Acharya Prafulla Chandra Road,
 Calcutta-700 009, India.

Abstract

Recently, Lenski et al have carried out an experiment on bacterial evolution. Their findings support the theory of punctuated equilibrium in biological evolution. We show that the M=2 Bak-Sneppen model can explain some of the experimental results in a qualitative manner.

There are two major schools of thought in evolutionary biology: gradualism which implies continuous evolutionary changes and the theory of punctuated equilibrium (PE) which states that evolutionary activity occurs in bursts. Long periods of ‘stasis’ are followed by short periods of rapid changes. Recent exhaustive studies of fossil beds lend support to the second theory [1]. In a remarkable but controversial experiment, Lenski et al [2, 3] studied an evolving bacterial population for approximately 10,000 generations. They inoculated a flask of low sugar broth with a dollop of bacteria. At the end of a day, a bit of the bacterial broth was siphoned into a fresh flask of food to keep the cells growing and dividing. Every 15 days, a sample bacterial population was frozen for later analysis. After four years, data for 10,000 generations were available. Lenski et al found evidence of PE when they measured the average cell size every 100 generations. The relative fitness, a measure of the increase in the growth rate of the descendant population over that of the ancestral population, also increases in a step-like manner. Further, the average cell size and the mean fitness appear to be correlated.

Bak and Sneppen (BS) [4] have earlier proposed a model of biological evolution which exhibits PE in evolutionary activity in the so-called self-organised critical (SOC) state. There is a modified version of the BS model known as the M-trait BS model [5, 6] in which several biological species are considered, each of which is characterised by M traits, instead of just one

trait (fitness) as in the original BS model. In this paper, we show that the $M=2$ BS model can explain the major results of Lenski et al's experiment in a qualitative manner.

In the BS model, each site of a one-dimensional (1d) lattice represents a particular species. We make a slight modification to this model. We divide the bacterial population into N categories. Each category contains bacteria of similar characteristics. The N categories correspond to the N sites in the lattice. Two traits, namely, cell size and fitness (related to the replication rate), are associated with the population at each site. The dynamics of evolution is the same as in the $M=2$ BS model. One assigns a random number (between 0 and 1) to each of the traits at all the N sites. At each time step, the site with the minimum random value for a trait is identified. 'Mutation' occurs to bring about a change in the trait. The minimum random number is replaced by a new random number. The random numbers associated with any one of the traits of the neighbouring sites are also replaced by new numbers. This is to take into account the linkage of neighbouring populations in food chain. The last two steps are repeated and averages are taken for both the traits locally (over 40 sites) and globally (over 2000 sites). Unlike in the original BS model, we calculate quantities from the very beginning and not after the SOC state is reached. The relative fitness (RF) is defined to be the ratio of current fitness and initial fitness at time $t=0$. In the actual experiment, fitness is related to the growth rate of the bacterial population via replication. In the following, we compare the results obtained by simulation with the experimental results of Lenski et al. Fig.1 shows RF versus time (generations) for both experiment and simulation. In simulation, the RF is calculated for the whole bacterial population by taking a global average over all sites. Fig.2 shows the same curves but, now in the experiment, readings are taken every 100 generations, in contrast to 500 generations in Fig.1. The step-like curve of the experiment is obtained in the simulation by taking a local average over 40 sites in calculating the RF. The data points are obtained every 100 time steps. Figs.3 and 4 show results similar to those in Figs.1 and 2 but now for the cell size (volume). Fig.5 shows the correlation between average cell size and mean fitness for both experiment and simulation. The correlation coefficients [7] are $r=0.954$ (experiment), $r=0.998$ (simulation). One finds that the experimental and simulation results are in qualitative agreement. Many issues, however, have to be clarified before a quantitative agreement can be obtained. Some of these are: the relationship between simulation time step

and bacterial generations, the specific manner in which competition for food determines the dynamics of evolution and the implication of the local versus global average in an actual experiment. The possibility of the bacterial population reaching a SOC state should also be investigated experimentally.

Acknowledgement

We thank Richard E. Lenski for permission to reproduce the original figure in Refs. [2] and [3]. One of the author I.C. is supported by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, India under Sanction No. 9/15(173)/96-EMR-I.

Figure Captions

Fig.1 Relative fitness versus time in experiment [3] and simulation. A global average is taken over 2000 sites of the lattice to obtain the data points in simulation.

Fig.2 Relative fitness versus time in experiment [3] and simulation. A local average is taken over 40 sites in simulation. the experimental data points are taken every 100 generations.

Fig.3 Average cell size versus time in experiment [3] and simulation (global average).

Fig.4 Average cell size versus time in experiment [2] and simulation (local average).

Fig.5 Average fitness versus average cell size in experiment [2] and simulation.

References

- [1] R.A.Kerr, Science 267, 1421 (1995)
- [2] S.F.Elena, V.S.Cooper and R.E.Lenski, Science 272, 1802(1996)
- [3] R.E.Lenski and M.Travisano, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 91, 6808 (1994)
- [4] P.Bak and K.Sneppen, Phys.Rev.Lett. 71, 4083 (1993)
- [5] P.Bak, 'How nature works : the science of self-organised criticality'
(Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996)
- [6] S.Boettcher and M.Paczuski, Phys.Rev.Lett. 76, 348 (1996)
- [7] S.C.Pearce, 'Biological Statistics : An Introduction' (McGraw-Hill, New York (1965))











