

Exactly Solvable Models of Generalized Spin Ladders

Sergio A. Ibeverio¹ and Shao-Ming Fei²

Institut für Angewandte Mathematik, Universität Bonn, D-5310 Bonn

and

Fakultät für Mathematik, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, D-4478 Bochum

Abstract

We study $S = \frac{1}{2}$ spin ladder systems with isotropic exchange interactions between spins on neighboring rungs. We show that a ladder with suitable diagonal and biquadratic interactions is integrable in the sense that the quantum Yang-Baxter equation holds and one has an infinite number of conserved quantities. We give the R-matrix and L-operator associated with the ladder model. The model can be solved by the Bethe Ansatz method. For the special "one spin down" case we give the exact solution. We also present some exact ground states.

PACS numbers: 75.10.Jm

¹SFB 237; BiBoS; CERMFIM (Locarno); Accademia, USI Mendrisio)

²Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing.

H eisenberg spin ladders and generalized spin ladders have attracted considerable attention in recent years, due to the developing experimental results on ladder materials and the hope to get some insight into the physics of metal-oxide superconductors [1]. Especially generalized ladders including other couplings beyond the simplest case of rung and leg exchange interpolate between a variety of systems and exhibit remarkably rich behavior [2-9]. In particular, it has been shown that the diagonal interactions may cause frustration and change the structure of the ground state [2, 3], while the biquadratic interactions, which can arise due to effective spin-spin interaction mediated by phonons in real magnetic systems [4], tend to produce dimerization and may lead to a phase transition into a "non-Haldane" spin liquid state with absence of magnon excitations [4, 5].

As spin ladders are generally not equivalent to spin chains with nearest neighbour interactions, till now little is known about integrable spin ladder models. In this letter we study generalized $S = \frac{1}{2}$ spin ladder systems with isotropic exchange interactions between two spins. In terms of quantum Yang-Baxter equations [10] we found some integrable ladder systems, integrable in the sense that the models have an infinite number of conserved quantities with explicit R-matrices satisfying the Yang-Baxter equation. We discuss an integrable ladder model with interactions between spins on neighbour rungs. This model has diagonal and biquadratic interactions. We solve this model for some special cases and present some exact ground states.

We consider a symmetric 16×16 matrix:

$$R(x) =$$

0																1
a_1																c_1
b_1	a_3	$9a_2$	$3a_2$													c_2
	$9a_2$	a_3	$3b_2$													c_3
		a_1														c_4
		$3a_2$	$3b_2$	a_5												c_5
				$16x$	$4a_2$											c_6
					$4a_2$	a_4										c_7
						a_5										c_8
							a_2									c_9
								$3a_2$								c_{10}
									$3b_2$							c_{11}
										a_3	$9a_2$					c_{12}
										$3a_2$	$9a_2$	a_3				c_{13}
																c_{14}
																c_{15}
																c_{16}
																c_{17}
																c_{18}
																c_{19}
																c_{20}
																c_{21}
																c_{22}
																c_{23}
																c_{24}
																c_{25}
																c_{26}
																c_{27}
																c_{28}
																c_{29}
																c_{30}
																c_{31}
																c_{32}
																c_{33}
																c_{34}
																c_{35}
																c_{36}
																c_{37}
																c_{38}
																c_{39}
																c_{40}
																c_{41}
																c_{42}
																c_{43}
																c_{44}
																c_{45}
																c_{46}
																c_{47}
																c_{48}
																c_{49}
																c_{50}
																c_{51}
																c_{52}
																c_{53}
																c_{54}
																c_{55}
																c_{56}
																c_{57}
																c_{58}
																c_{59}
																c_{60}
																c_{61}
																c_{62}
																c_{63}
																c_{64}
																c_{65}
																c_{66}
																c_{67}
																c_{68}
																c_{69}
																c_{70}
																c_{71}
																c_{72}
																c_{73}
																c_{74}
																c_{75}
																c_{76}
																c_{77}
																c_{78}
																c_{79}
																c_{80}
																c_{81}
																c_{82}
																c_{83}
																c_{84}
																c_{85}
																c_{86}
																c_{87}
																c_{88}
																c_{89}
																c_{90}
																c_{91}
																c_{92}
																c_{93}
																c_{94}
																c_{95}
																c_{96}
																c_{97}
																c_{98}
																c_{99}
																c_{100}

where $a_1 = 2(1 + 9x)$, $a_2 = (1 + x)$, $a_3 = 7 + 9x$, $a_4 = 2(3 + 5x)$, $a_5 = 1 + 17x$

and $x \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let V denote a 4-dimensional complex vector space. $R(x)$ takes values in $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V \otimes V)$ and satisfies the quantum Yang-Baxter equation [10]:

$$R_{12}(x)R_{23}(xy)R_{12}(x) = R_{23}(x)R_{12}(xy)R_{23}(x); \quad (1)$$

where R_{ij} denotes the matrix on the complex vector space $V \otimes V$, acting as $R(x)$ on the i -th and the j -th components and as the identity on the other components. Namely $R_{12} = R$, $R_{23} = 1 - R$ and 1 is the identity operator on V .

Let us set $H = V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes \dots \otimes V_N$, $N \geq N$. The corresponding L-operator acting on the

i-th space V_i , $i = 1; 2; \dots; N$, is a 4×4 matrix with entries

$$\begin{aligned}
(L_i(x))_{11} &= \frac{1}{2} (4(3x - 1) \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + c_1 (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & z \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} z & 0 \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix}) \\
&\quad + 6b_2 (\begin{smallmatrix} + & + \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} + & + \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix}) + 2(1 + 3x) \begin{smallmatrix} z & z \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix}) \\
(L_i(x))_{12} &= \frac{1}{2} (a_5 (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} z & z \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix}) + a_2 (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} z & z \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix})) \\
(L_i(x))_{13} &= \frac{1}{2} (a_2 (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} z & z \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix}) + a_5 (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} z & z \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix})) \\
(L_i(x))_{14} &= 2c_1 \begin{smallmatrix} + & + \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} \\
(L_i(x))_{21} &= \frac{1}{2} (a_3 (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & + \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} z & + \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix}) + 9a_2 (\begin{smallmatrix} + & 0 \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} + & z \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix})) \\
(L_i(x))_{22} &= \frac{1}{2} ((15x - 7) \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + 4(1 + x) (\begin{smallmatrix} z & 0 \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & z \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix}) \\
&\quad + 8a_2 (\begin{smallmatrix} + & + \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} + & + \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix}) - c_1 \begin{smallmatrix} z & z \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix}) \\
(L_i(x))_{23} &= \frac{1}{2} (3b_2 (1 + \begin{smallmatrix} z & z \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix}) + 2a_4 \begin{smallmatrix} + & + \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + 4a_2 (\begin{smallmatrix} + & 0 \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & z \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} z & 0 \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix})) \\
(L_i(x))_{24} &= \frac{1}{2} (9a_2 (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & z \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} z & 0 \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix}) + a_3 (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} z & z \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix})) \\
(L_i(x))_{31} &= \frac{1}{2} (9a_2 (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & + \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} z & + \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix}) + a_3 (\begin{smallmatrix} + & 0 \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} + & z \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix})) \\
(L_i(x))_{32} &= \frac{1}{2} (3b_2 (1 + \begin{smallmatrix} z & z \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix}) + 4a_2 (\begin{smallmatrix} + & + \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} z & 0 \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & z \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix}) + 2a_4 \begin{smallmatrix} + & + \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix}) \\
(L_i(x))_{33} &= \frac{1}{2} ((15x - 7) \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + 4(1 + x) (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & z \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} z & 0 \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix}) \\
&\quad + 8a_2 (\begin{smallmatrix} + & + \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} + & + \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix}) - c_1 \begin{smallmatrix} z & z \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix}) \\
(L_i(x))_{34} &= \frac{1}{2} (a_3 (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & z \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} z & 0 \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix}) + 9a_2 (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} z & z \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix})) \\
(L_i(x))_{41} &= 2c_1 \begin{smallmatrix} + & + \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} \\
(L_i(x))_{42} &= \frac{1}{2} (a_2 (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & + \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} z & + \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix}) + a_5 (\begin{smallmatrix} + & 0 \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} + & z \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix})) \\
(L_i(x))_{43} &= \frac{1}{2} (a_5 (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & + \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} z & + \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix}) + a_2 (\begin{smallmatrix} + & 0 \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} + & z \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix})) \\
(L_i(x))_{44} &= \frac{1}{2} (4(3x - 1) \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} - c_1 (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & z \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} z & 0 \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix}) \\
&\quad + 6b_2 (\begin{smallmatrix} + & + \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix} + \begin{smallmatrix} + & + \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix}) + 2(1 + 3x) \begin{smallmatrix} z & z \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix})
\end{aligned}$$

where $c_1 = a_1 = 2$, $\begin{smallmatrix} z & 0 \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix}$ (resp. $\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & z \\ 1;i & 2;i \end{smallmatrix}$), $i = 1; 2$, are Pauli matrices (resp. 2×2 identity matrix) acting on the space V_i . A direct calculation shows that $L_i(x)$ satisfies

$$R \begin{smallmatrix} x \\ y \end{smallmatrix} [L_i(x) \otimes L_i(y)] = [L_i(y) \otimes L_i(x)] R \begin{smallmatrix} x \\ y \end{smallmatrix}; \quad i = 1; 2; \dots; N; \quad (2)$$

where $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$, $y \neq 0$, \otimes is the tensor product of matrices. Let

$$T(x) = L_N(x) \otimes L_{N-1}(x) \otimes \dots \otimes L_1(x); \quad (3)$$

We have the fundamental commutation relations given by:

$$R \begin{smallmatrix} x \\ y \end{smallmatrix} [T(x) \otimes T(y)] = [T(y) \otimes T(x)] R \begin{smallmatrix} x \\ y \end{smallmatrix}; \quad (4)$$

Let $t(x) = T r_0 T^*(x)$, where $T r_0$ takes trace on the space of 4×4 matrix. According to (4) a system with Hamiltonian of the form $H_{x_0} = J \frac{d}{dx} \log t(x)|_{x=x_0}$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and real constant J , acting on H , has an infinite number of conserved quantities $t(x)$:

$$[H_{x_0}; t(x)] = 0; \quad 8x 2 \in \mathbb{C}; \quad (5)$$

where $[;]$ stands for the commutator. A system with Hamiltonian H_{x_0} is then by definition an integrable system. For arbitrary value of x_0 , H_{x_0} generally describes integrable models with long range interactions. These models can be exactly solved by using the algebraic Bethe Ansatz method.

Let P denote the permutation matrix on the ladder and $R(x) = P R(x)$. We see that $R(x)|_{x=1}$ is proportional to the permutation matrix P . Therefore for $x_0 = 1$, the Hamiltonian $H = H_1$ describes a system with nearest-neighbour interactions:

$$\begin{aligned} H &= J \frac{d}{dx} \log t(x)|_{x=1} = J \sum_{i=1}^{N^2} h_{i,i+1} \\ &= J \sum_{i=1}^{N^2} [5(S_{1,i} S_{i,i} + S_{1,i+1} S_{i,i+1}) + 3(S_{1,i} S_{i+1,i} + S_{2,i} S_{i,i+1}) \\ &\quad 3(S_{1,i} S_{i+1,i} + S_{2,i} S_{i,i+1}) - 12(S_{2,i} S_{i,i+1})(S_{1,i} S_{i,i+1}) \\ &\quad + 20(S_{1,i} S_{i,i})(S_{1,i+1} S_{i,i+1}) + 12(S_{1,i} S_{i,i+1})(S_{2,i} S_{i,i+1}) + \frac{57}{4}I - I]; \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where the periodic condition is assumed: $S_{N+1} = S_{1,1} = 1; 2$ and $S_{i,i} = (\begin{smallmatrix} x_{i,i} & y_{i,i} & z_{i,i} \end{smallmatrix}) = 2$ is the spin vector operator on V_i . Taking $S_{1,i}$ (resp. $S_{2,i}$) to be the spin operator on the first (resp. second) leg of the i -th rung of a ladder, and V_i to be the tensor space of these two spin operators, the Hamiltonian (6) describes an integrable spin ladder system with periodic boundary conditions. The model is SU(2)-symmetric, i.e., $[H; S^1] = 0$, where $S^1 = \sum_{i=1}^{N^2} (S_{1,i}^1 + S_{2,i}^1)$, $l = x; y; z$ are the total spin operators of the ladder.

Remark: Like the well known Ack-Kennedy-Lieb-Tasaki model [11], the model (6) has no free parameters (except for J). It is a ladder system with diagonal and biquadratic interactions, similar to the ones discussed in previous works [5, 9] but with the coupling constants suitably fixed.

To exactly solve the model (6) the analytic algebraic Bethe Ansatz method may be applied by using the commutation relations (4). Take the reference state $|0\rangle$ to be the state with all the spins up:

$$|0\rangle = |j''_{1,1}\rangle |j''_{2,1}\rangle |j''_{1,2}\rangle |j''_{2,2}\rangle \dots |j''_{1,N}\rangle |j''_{2,N}\rangle :$$

We have

$$t(x)|\psi\rangle = 2^N [(9x - 1)^N + 3^N (x - 1)^N]|\psi\rangle;$$

i.e. $|\psi\rangle$ is an eigenstate of H with eigenvalue $9JN=8$. Some degenerate eigenstates states can be obtained by applying the operator $S_z = S_x - iS_y$ to $|\psi\rangle$. Noting that $(L_i(x))_{12}|j''_{1,i}\rangle = |j''_{2,i}\rangle = (x - 1)|j''_{1,i}\rangle - |j''_{2,i}\rangle + (17x - 1)|j''_{1,i}\rangle - |j''_{2,i}\rangle$, $(L_i(x))_{13}|j''_{1,i}\rangle = |j''_{2,i}\rangle = (17x - 1)|j''_{1,i}\rangle - |j''_{2,i}\rangle + (x - 1)|j''_{1,i}\rangle - |j''_{2,i}\rangle$, the combinations of the products of $(T(x))_{12}$ and $(T(x))_{13}$ can be used to construct "Bethe Ansatz states" with an arbitrary number of spins down. The relations of (4) would then give the Bethe Ansatz equations. In this letter we simply give the exact results for the case with one spin down.

Let

$$|j_\#\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N (i) \dots |j''_{1,i}\rangle |j''_{2,i}\rangle |j''_{1,i}\rangle |j''_{2,i}\rangle |j''_{1,i+1}\rangle |j''_{2,i+1}\rangle \dots + \sum_{j=1}^N (i) \dots |j''_{1,j}\rangle |j''_{2,j}\rangle |j''_{1,j}\rangle |j''_{2,j}\rangle |j''_{1,j+1}\rangle |j''_{2,j+1}\rangle \dots \quad (7)$$

for some N -tuple sequences $(i)_{i=1,\dots,N}$, where here and in the following the products of states are understood as tensor ones. Substituting $|j_\#\rangle$ into the equation $H|j_\#\rangle = E|j_\#\rangle$ we get

$$(16E^0 - 18N + 10)(i) = 10(i) + 3((i-1)(i-1) + (i+1)(i+1)) \quad (8)$$

for $i = 2, \dots, N-1$, where $E^0 = E = J$, and

$$(16E^0 - 18N + 2)(1) = 2(1) + 3((2)(2) + (N)(N)); \quad (9)$$

$$(16E^0 - 18N + 18)(N) = 18(N) + 3((1)(1) + (N-1)(N-1)); \quad (10)$$

Therefore for $+(i) = -(i)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, (7) is an eigenstate with eigenvalue $E = 9JN = 8$, that is, if the spins on the i -th rung are in one of the triplet: $|j''_{1,i}\rangle |j''_{2,i}\rangle + |j''_{1,i}\rangle |j''_{2,i}\rangle$, and the rest spins are up, then the state is an eigenstate. There are N independent degenerate eigenstates of form (7) with $+(i) = -(i)$.

Another set of N independent solutions is given by $+(i) = -(i)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (8E^0 - 9N + 10)_{+(i)} &= 3(+ (i-1) + _+(i+1)); \quad i \notin 1, N \\ (8E^0 - 9N + 2)_{+(1)} &= 3(+ (2) + _+(N)); \\ (8E^0 - 9N + 18)_{+(N)} &= 3(+ (N-1) + _+(1)); \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

which leads to

$$E = J \left(\frac{9N}{8} - \frac{5}{4} + \frac{3}{4} \cos \right); \quad (12)$$

where $2 f\mathbb{C}$ satisfies the following equation:

$$\frac{6 \cos - 8 - 3e^{i(N-1)} + 3e^{i-1}}{(6 \cos + 8)(e^{-i(N-1)} - e^{i-1}) + 3(e^{i-2} - e^{i(N-2)} - 1)} = \frac{3(1 + \epsilon_1)}{3_2 (6 \cos + 8)_1} \quad (13)$$

where $\epsilon_1 = \frac{\sin(N-1)}{\sin}$, $\epsilon_2 = \frac{\sin(N-2)}{\sin}$. ϵ is given in terms of by

$$+ (m) = e^{im-1} + (1) + (+ (2)) e^{i-1} + (1) \frac{\sin(m-1)}{\sin}; \quad m = 3; \dots; N; \quad (14)$$

$$+ (2) = \frac{6 \cos - 8 - 3e^{i(N-1)} + 3e^{i-1}}{3(1 + \epsilon_1)} + (1); \quad (15)$$

in eq. (13) has $N-2$ real solutions and two imaginary solutions of the form $s + ic_1$ and ic_2 respectively for some non zero real constants c_1 and c_2 . These solutions give N independent eigenstates and N real eigenvalues.

Remark: The usual coordinate Bethe Ansatz like $+ (j) = A(k)e^{ikj} - A(-k)e^{-ikj}$ may also be applied to the system of equations (11). However this Ansatz only gives part of the solutions of the eigenvalues.

For $J < 0$, some exact ground states can be obtained by using the theorem in [12]. One only needs to diagonalize the two-site operator h_{ij} . h_{ij} has three eigenstates with eigenvalue $1=8$ and thirteen eigenstates with eigenvalue $9=8$. Set $j_1(i) = j''_{1;i} > j''_{2;i} >$, $j_2(i) = j\#_{1;i} > j\#_{2;i} >$, $j_3(i) = j''_{1;i} > j\#_{2;i} > + j\#_{1;i} > j''_{2;i} >$. Any quadratic product like $j(i) > j(j) >$, where $i = 1; 2; 3$, is an eigenstate of h_{ij} with eigenvalue $9=8$. Applying the theorem in [12] we have the following ground states for H :

$$\begin{aligned} j^1 &= \bigotimes_{m_1=1}^{N-1} j_1(1) > j_1(2) > \dots > j_m > \dots > j_1(N) >; \quad l = 0; 1; \dots; N; \\ j^2 &= \bigotimes_{i=1}^{N-1} (-1)^{i+1} j_1(1) > j_1(2) > \dots > j_i > \dots > j_1(N) >; \quad i = 2; 3; \\ j^3 &= \bigotimes_{i=1}^{N-1} (-1)^{i+1} j_3(1) > j_3(2) > \dots > j_1(i) > \dots > j_3(N) >; \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The ground state energy density per rung is $9J=8$, $J < 0$. The state $j_2^0 >$ (resp. $j_2^N >$) is just a state with all spins up (resp. down). $j_2^N >$ and $j_3^N >$ say that if all the spins on one rung are in one and the same of the triplet states, the state is a ground one. From

(16) some ground state properties and spin-spin, dimer-dimer correlation functions can be readily deduced.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank Dr. R.H. Yue for very helpful discussions.

References

- [1] See for a review E. Dagotto and T.M. Rice, *Science* 271, 618 (1996).
- [2] I. Boøe and S.G. Avery, *Phys. Rev. B* 48, 10653 (1993);
Y. Xian, *Phys. Rev. B* 52, 12485 (1995);
- [3] W. H. Zheng, V. Kotov, and J.O. itmaa, *Studies of 2-Chain Spin Ladder with Frustrating Second Neighbor Interactions*, cond-mat/9711006.
- [4] A.A. Nersesyan and A.M.Tsvetkov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 78, 3939 (1997).
- [5] A.K. Olezhuk and H.-J.M. Ikeska, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 80, 2709 (1998).
- [6] S. Bremer, A.K. Olezhuk, H.-J.M. Ikeska and U. Neugebauer, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 10, 1103 (1998).
- [7] A.K. Olezhuk and H.-J.M. Ikeska, *Phys. Rev. B* 56, R11 (1997).
- [8] S. Bremer, H.-J. M. Ikeska and U. Neugebauer, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 8, 7161 (1996).
- [9] A.K. Olezhuk and H.-J.M. Ikeska, *Finitely Correlated Generalized Spin Ladders*, cond-mat/9803176.
- [10] C.N. Yang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 19, 1312 (1967).
R.J. Baxter, *Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Physics*, Academic Press, New York 1982.
- [11] I. A. Gek, T. Kennedy, E.H. Lieb and H. Tasaki, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 115, 477 (1988).
- [12] S. Albeverio and S.M. Fei, *EuroPhys. Lett.* 41, 665 (1998).