A Hartree-Fock *ab initio* band-structure calculation employing Wannier-type orbitals

Martin Albrecht, Alok Shukla, Michael Dolg, Peter Fulde, Max-Planck-Institut für Physik komplexer Systeme, Nöthnitzer Str. 38, D-01187 Dresden, Germany

Hermann Stoll

Universität Stuttgart,
Institut für Theoretische Chemie, D-70550 Stuttgart, Germany

June 28, 2018

Abstract

An ab initio Wannier-function-based approach to electronic ground-state calculations for crystalline solids is outlined. In the framework of the linear combination of atomic orbitals method the infinite character of the solid is rigorously taken into account. The Hartree-Fock ground-state energy, cohesive energy, lattice constant and bulk modulus are calculated in a fully ab initio manner as it is demonstrated for sodium chloride, NaCl, using basis sets close to the Hartree-Fock limit. It is demonstrated that the Hartree-Fock band-structure can easily be recovered with the current approach and agrees with the one obtained from a more conventional Bloch-orbital-based calculation. It is argued that the advantage of the present approach lies in its capability to include electron correlation effects for crystalline insulators by means of well-established quantum chemical procedures.

1 Introduction

Calculations of ground-state properties as well as band-structure calculations are usually done in the so-called Bloch-orbital approach that employs itinerant orbitals, which are delocalized all over the infinite crystal[1]. The wavefunctions obtained, in a single-determinantal framework, naturally fall into irreducible representations according to the translational symmetry of the system. Thus this method is well adapted to the problem at hand. The state-of-the-art of Hartree-Fock (HF) calculations following this approach is represented by the CRYSTAL program[2]. On the other hand, to go beyond the HF approach means to allow for electron correlation which is a more or less local phenomenon. Here, the use of delocalized Bloch orbitals might not be the optimum choice.

Of course, the latter remark only applies to wavefunction-based methods aimed at explicitly including electron correlation at a microscopic level. In contrast to that, density-functional theory (DFT) implicitly incorporates correlation into a local potential/energy-density, formally still at the independent-particle level[3]. Very often DFT band-structures are, without rigorous theoretical justification, directly identified with the eigenvalue spectrum of the Kohn-Sham (KS) equations. A better theoretical foundation has been achieved using the GW approximation which has been developed

for calculating quasi-particle excitations in semiconductors and insulators[4], but still the approximations are not fully controlled.

Wavefunction-based methods for solids suggested in literature so far are either of the Quantum-Monte-Carlo [5] or configuration-interaction (CI) type. In the latter case, one tries to explicitly exploit the local nature of the correlations by drawing advantage of the highly developed and accurate ab initio quantum-chemical methods[6]. Various kinds of such a 'local ansatz' in the framework of nonorthogonal orbitals have been proposed by Stollhoff and Fulde [7] almost two decades ago and yielded a considerable amount of useful results since then. More recently the scheme of local increments [8] was formulated using the computationally more attractive orthogonal orbital sets as well as standard quantum chemical methods like coupled-cluster (CC). The scheme has been applied quite successfully for the determination of groundstate properties of a large variety of systems, including elementary [9] and III-V semiconductors[10] as well as ionic compounds[11]. First successful applications to correlated band-structure calculations for valence bands have also been reported [12, 13]. However, the main drawback of the incremental scheme, both for ground-state properties as well as band-structures, is the derivation of the correlation increments from finite-cluster instead of infinitesolid calculations.

By consistently and rigorously taking into account the periodicity of the lattice, at all stages of the calculations, we now strive to provide an improved procedure. In two recent papers[14, 15], henceforth denoted as I and II, we demonstrated the possibility of calculating ground-state properties of ionic solids by a procedure which has the novel feature that it directly yields localized HF orbitals, as the result of a self-consistent-field (SCF) calculation in the linear combination of atomic orbitals (LCAO) framework. In this paper, we extend our considerations in a two-fold way. In the first place, we focus on the Hartree-Fock *ab initio* band-structure. Secondly, we enhance the numerical stability of our approach, so that calculations of localized orbitals become also possible for extended state-of-the-art basis sets approaching the HF limit. The localized orbitals at hand, we plan to include correlations in future investigations by means of the incremental scheme.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2.1 we briefly repeat the theory within a restricted Hartree-Fock (RHF) framework. A more detailed description is given in paper II. Section 2.2 gives the formulae needed to obtain the band-structure by our approach. Numerical results for the ground-

state energy, the lattice constant, the bulk modulus and the band-structure of NaCl are presented in Section 3. Finally, Section 4 contains the conclusions.

2 Theory

2.1 Hartree-Fock Equations

Our aim is to directly determine localized (Wannier-type) orbitals for the solid. Denoting by $|\alpha(\mathbf{R}_j)\rangle$ the Wannier orbitals of a unit cell located at lattice vector \mathbf{R}_j , the set $\{|\alpha(\mathbf{R}_j)\rangle; \alpha=1, n_c; j=1, N\}$ spans the occupied HF space of the solid. (Here, n_c is the number of orbitals per unit cell, and $N(\to \infty)$ is the total number of unit cells in the solid.) Translational symmetry requires

$$|\alpha(\mathbf{R}_i)\rangle = \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{R}_i)|\alpha(\mathbf{0})\rangle,$$
 (1)

where $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{R}_i)$ is an operator which represents a translation by the lattice vector \mathbf{R}_i , and $|\alpha(\mathbf{0})\rangle$ denotes the orbitals of an (arbitrarily chosen) reference cell with lattice vector $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{0}$. Assuming now the $|\alpha(\mathbf{R}_i)\rangle$ to be orthogonal:

$$<\alpha(\mathbf{0})|\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{R}_k)|\beta(\mathbf{0})>=\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{0k},$$
 (2)

the closed-shell HF energy (per unit cell) for the solid can be written as

$$E = 2\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_c} \langle \alpha(\mathbf{0})|T|\alpha(\mathbf{0}) \rangle + 2\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_c} \langle \alpha(\mathbf{0})|U|\alpha(\mathbf{0}) \rangle$$

$$+ \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n_c} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (2 \langle \alpha(\mathbf{0})\beta(\mathbf{R}_j)|\alpha(\mathbf{0})\beta(\mathbf{R}_j) \rangle - \langle \alpha(\mathbf{0})\beta(\mathbf{R}_j)|\beta(\mathbf{R}_j)\alpha(\mathbf{0}) \rangle)$$

$$+ E_{nuc}. \tag{3}$$

Here, T and U denote the kinetic-energy and electron-nucleus potentialenergy operators, respectively, $\langle \alpha \beta | \alpha \beta \rangle$ and $\langle \alpha \beta | \beta \alpha \rangle$ represent the usual two-electron Coulomb and exchange integrals, and E_{nuc} is the interaction energy (per unit cell) of the nuclei. The divergent averages of U, E_{nuc} , and the Coulomb term are already assumed to have been eliminated from Eq. 3. Minimization of the $|\alpha(\mathbf{R}_j)\rangle$, under the orthogonality condition, Eq. 2, can be shown to lead to the following (modified) Hartree-Fock equations

$$(T + U + \sum_{\beta} (2J_{\beta} - K_{\beta}) + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{N}} \sum_{\gamma} \lambda_{\gamma}^{k} |\gamma(\mathbf{R}_{k})\rangle \langle \gamma(\mathbf{R}_{k})|) |\alpha(\mathbf{0})\rangle = \epsilon_{\alpha} |\alpha(\mathbf{0})\rangle,$$
(4)

to be solved in the limit $\lambda_{\gamma}^k \to \infty$. The results are not sensitive to the actual values of the λ_{γ}^k , as long as they are large enough; in our calculations, we chose $\lambda_{\gamma}^k = 10^4 H$. J_{β} and K_{β} are the conventional Coulomb and exchange operators

$$J_{\beta}|\alpha\rangle = \sum_{j} \langle \beta(\mathbf{R}_{j})|\frac{1}{r_{12}}|\beta(\mathbf{R}_{j})\rangle |\alpha\rangle K_{\beta}|\alpha\rangle = \sum_{j} \langle \beta(\mathbf{R}_{j})|\frac{1}{r_{12}}|\alpha\rangle |\beta(\mathbf{R}_{j})\rangle ;$$
(5)

as discussed in the earlier papers I,II, U, J, K involve infinite lattice sums which are rigorously performed, in our treatment, apart from suitable truncation criteria. For solving Eq. 4 self-consistently, we employ a finite-basis-set LCAO expansion, with the basis set describing the $|\alpha(\mathbf{0})>$ extending over all atoms of a neighborhood \mathcal{N} of the reference unit cell. \mathcal{N} should consist of all unit cells with basis functions having non-negligible overlap to those of the reference cell; clearly, the choice of \mathcal{N} will also be dictated by the system under consideration—the more delocalized the electrons of the system are, the larger \mathcal{N} will need to be. In our calculations we have typically chosen \mathcal{N} to include up to third-nearest neighbor unit cells.

2.2 Band Structure

After solving the HF equations of the solid for localized Wannier-type orbitals $|\alpha(\mathbf{R}_j)\rangle$, we have at our disposal, among other results, the converged Fock matrix in the AO basis:

$$F_{p0,qj} = \langle p(\mathbf{0})|T + U + \sum_{\beta} (2J_{\beta} - K_{\beta})|q(\mathbf{R}_{j}) \rangle.$$
 (6)

(The matrix elements are easily obtained from Eq. 4, by subtracting off the last (one-electron) term.)

The band-structure involves matrix elements of the Fock operator in k-space. The k-space transform of the AOs $|p(\mathbf{R}_i)| > i$ s

$$|p(\mathbf{k})\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\mathbf{R}_{j}} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{R}_{j}} |p(\mathbf{R}_{j})\rangle.$$
 (7)

This immediately renders the transformation rule for operators:

$$Q_{pq}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\mathbf{R}_{i}} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{R}_{j}} \langle p(\mathbf{0})|Q|q(\mathbf{R}_{j})\rangle.$$
 (8)

Inserting Q = F (from Eq. 6) and Q = 1, leads to the **k**-space Fock and overlap matrices, $F_{pq}(\mathbf{k})$ and $S_{pq}(\mathbf{k})$, respectively. A final diagonalization in **k**-space, i.e. solving the generalized eigenvalue equation

$$\sum_{q} F_{pq}(\mathbf{k}) C_{q\alpha}(\mathbf{k}) = \epsilon_{\alpha}(\mathbf{k}) \sum_{q} S_{pq}(\mathbf{k}) C_{q\alpha}(\mathbf{k})$$
(9)

yields the desired quasiparticle energies for a given k point.

3 Calculations and results

We have performed an all-electron calculation for the NaCl crystal adopting the experimental face-centered cubic (fcc) structure. The unit cell was defined with sodium at the (0,0,0) position and chlorine at (0,0,a/2), where a is the lattice constant. In the following, we will repeatedly compare our results to those obtained using the Bloch-orbital-oriented approach of the Torino group reported in [17] for the ground-state properties. For the bandstructure, we performed calculations using the most recent version CRYS-TAL95 of the Torino group [2]. In order to establish a strict comparison, we used their most recent basis sets[17], i.e. (15s7p)/[4s3p] for Na and (19s11p)/[5s4p] for Cl. The p functions were constructed from Gaussian lobes[16] which yield an approximate form of Cartesian-type basis functions. The number of basis functions per unit cell was 30. To satisfy the orthogonality requirement between wavefunctions located in neighboring cells, a neighborhood of 42 unit cells was taken into account according to the previous section, which corresponds to up to third-nearest neighbors in the fcc crystal. Thus the number of basis functions associated with the Wannier orbitals is as large as 1290. Note, however, that exploiting equivalence of integrals connected by lattice translations effectively reduces the computational effort for setting up the Fock matrix so that it is not larger than in a corresponding Bloch-orbital-based approach.

In order to establish a firm basis for a comparison of total energies obtained within the Bloch-orbital-based approach used in CRYSTAL95 and

our present method using Wannier functions we had to guarantee that the Coulomb and exchange series in both programs are evaluated with the same accuracy. The program CRYSTAL95 offers several input parameters for this purpose. In the current work we chose for ITOL1, ITOL3, ITOL4 and ITOL5 the values of 7, 7, 7 and 15, respectively, and corresponding thresholds in our program. This combination of parameters is believed to give well-converged results and ensures an accuracy of $\approx 1.0 \times 10^{-7}$ atomic units (a.u.) in the Fock matrix elements. The resulting accuracy of the total energy is ≈ 1 milliHartree per atom [2]. In fact, at the HF equilibrium lattice constant the total energies obtained with CRYSTAL95 and our approach agree within one mH. A similar good agreement was also observed earlier for other systems (cf. I and II).

For the derivation of the ground-state properties of NaCl, we calculated the energy per unit cell for various lattice parameters near the equilibrium value (table 1). We fitted these data points to a cubic polynomial to obtain the bulk modulus, the equilibrium lattice constant and the equilibrium energy. Our results are listed in table 2 together with the corresponding numbers obtained by the Torino group with their CRYSTAL program package and the experimental values [17]. The lattice energy was calculated with respect to the separated Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions. To make the comparison with the results of the Torino group meaningful, we used the same HF energies of -161.67001 a.u. (Na⁺) and -459.54320 a.u. (Cl⁻), which can be easily reproduced using the basis set reported by them [17]. As can be seen in table 2, the agreement of our results for the lattice constant and the cohesive energy with the values obtained by the Torino group is excellent. For the bulk modulus the disagreement is less than 1 GPa and might at least partially be attributed to the use of lobe vs. cartesian basis functions in the two approaches, as will be discussed below.

The band-structure, evaluated at the experimental lattice constant of a=10.526au, is shown in Fig. 1 for two chosen high symmetry directions in the first Brillouin zone. Displayed are the highest three valence bands (the upper one being degenerate) as well as the lowest three conduction bands. The zero of energy was chosen to coincide with the highest valence point. For the sake of comparison, results obtained by using the program package CRYSTAL95 of the Torino group with the same basis set are plotted as dotted curve together with the results of the present work (solid line). We observe an excellent overall agreement when comparing the curves obtained by the

two approaches. For the sake of transparency we also give numerical values of the quasiparticle energies at the specified symmetry points in table 3.

As for the deviations between our results and the ones obtained with CRYSTAL95, a remark concerning a technical detail is in order here. As can be seen from table 3, deviations between the two approaches are ≤ 2 mH for the valence bands, whereas for the conduction bands differences of up to some ten mH arise for the highest band at the L point, which is well outside the overall agreement in the total energy per unit cell given above. This can be traced back to the usage of Gaussian lobe basis functions in our calculations. To confirm this point we made test calculations on the NaCl molecule comparing results with cartesian Gaussian and Gaussian lobe basis functions. The comparison of the single-particle energies reveals the same features as in the case of the solid. A deviation of some tenth of a mH for the highest occupied states is contrasted by a deviation of up to 13 mH in the excited states. This helps to clarify the slight deviations in table 3. We want to point out, however, that the use of Gaussian lobe functions does not imply any approximations in our HF scheme, but merely corresponds to the use of alternative basis functions.

4 Conclusions

An *ab initio* Hartree-Fock approach for insulating crystals yielding directly orbitals in a chemically intuitive localized representation has been applied to band-structure calculations as well as the determination of the lattice constant, cohesive energy and bulk modulus of sodium chloride NaCl. The close agreement between the results obtained using the present approach, and corresponding ones obtained using the conventional Bloch-orbital-based HF approach, demonstrates that the two schemes are entirely equivalent. The advantage of our method is that by taking into account local excitations from the Hartree-Fock reference state using conventional quantum-chemical methods, one can go beyond the mean-field level and study the influence of electron correlations on, e.g., on the binding energy and the band-structure of a solid, in an entirely *ab initio* manner. Work along these lines is currently underway in our laboratory.

References

- [1] For an excellent review see C. Pisani, R. Dovesi and C. Roetti, Lecture Notes in Chemistry, Vol. 48, Hartree-Fock ab initio Treatment of Crystalline Systems, Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- [2] R. Dovesi, C. Pisani, C. Roetti, M. Causa and V.R. Saunders, CRYS-TAL88, Quantum Chemistry Program Exchange, Program No. 577, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, 1989; R. Dovesi, V.R. Saunders and C. Roetti, CRYSTAL92 User Document, University of Torino, Torino, and SERC Daresbury Laboratory, Daresbury, UK, 1992; R. Dovesi, V. R. Saunders, C. Roetti, M. Causa, N. M. Harrison, R. Orlando, E. Apra, CRYSTAL95 User's Manual, University of Torino, Torino, 1996.
- [3] for a monograph on the topic see, e.g., R. M. Dreizler, E. K. U. Gross, Density Functional Theory, Springer, New York, 1990; a review article was given by M. C. Payne, M. P. Teter, D. C. Allan, T. A. Arias, J. D. Joannopoulos, Rev. Mod. Phys. 64 (1992) 1045. 1045; for an application to band-structure calculations of semiconductors see the results of U. Schmid and N. E. Christensen given in our Ref. [4]
- [4] see, e.g., R. Hott, Phys. Rev. B 44 (1991) 1057.
- [5] S. Fahy, X. W. Wang, and S. G. Louie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61 (1988) 1631; X.-P. Li, D. M. Ceperley, and R. M. Martin, Phys. Rev. B 44 (1991) 10929; L. Mitáš, in: Electronic Properties of Solids Using Cluster Methods, T. A. Kaplan, S. D. Mahanti (Eds.), Plenum Press, New York, 1995.
- [6] For a review of quantum-chemical methods and their applications to solid-state theory see P. Fulde, Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences, Vol. 100, Electron Correlations in Molecules and Solids, Springer, Berlin, 1995.
- [7] G. Stollhoff and P. Fulde, J. Chem. Phys. 73 (1980) 4548.
- [8] H. Stoll, Chem. Phys. Lett. 191 (1992) 548; H. Stoll, Phys. Rev. B 46 (1992) 6700.
- [9] B. Paulus, P. Fulde, and H. Stoll, Phys. Rev. B 51 (1995) 10572.

- [10] B. Paulus, P. Fulde, and H. Stoll, Phys. Rev. B 54 (1996) 2556; S. Kalvoda, B. Paulus, P. Fulde, and H. Stoll, Phys. Rev. B 55 (1997) 4027; B. Paulus, F.-J. Shi, and H. Stoll, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 9 (1997) 2745; M. Albrecht, B. Paulus and H. Stoll, Phys. Rev. B 56 (1997) 7339.
- [11] K. Doll, M. Dolg, P. Fulde and H. Stoll, Phys. Rev. B 52 (1995) 4842;
 K. Doll, M. Dolg, and H. Stoll, Phys. Rev. B 54 (1996) 13529;
 K. Doll, M. Dolg, P. Fulde and H. Stoll, Phys. Rev. B 55 (1997) 10282;
 K. Doll and H. Stoll, Phys. Rev. B 56 (1997) 10121.
- [12] J. Gräfenstein, H. Stoll, P. Fulde, Chem. Phys. Lett. 215 (1993) 610.
- [13] J. Gräfenstein, H. Stoll, P. Fulde, Phys. Rev. B 55 (1997) 13588.
- [14] A. Shukla, M. Dolg, H. Stoll and P. Fulde, Chem. Phys. Lett. 262 (1996) 213.
- [15] A. Shukla, M. Dolg, H. Stoll and P. Fulde, Phys. Rev. B (1998), in press.
- [16] H. Preuss, Z. Naturf. A 11 (1956) 823; J. L. Whitten, J. Chem. Phys. 39 (1963) 349.
- [17] M. Prencipe, A. Zupan, R. Dovesi, E. Apra, V. R. Saunders, Phys. Rev. B 51 (1995) 3391.
- [18] V. S. Urusov and V. V. Blinov, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim. 2 (1970) 278.
- [19] S. Haussmühl, Z. Phys. 159 (1960) 223.
- [20] The Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 67th ed., R. C. Weast (Ed.), CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1986.

Table 1: Total energies (per unit cell) obtained using our approach for NaCl, for different values of lattice constants. Lattice constants are in units of \mathring{A} , and energies are in atomic units.

Lattice Constant	Total Energy
5.65	-621.4930916
5.693	-621.4934776
5.736	-621.4936939
5.779	-621.4937934
5.822	-621.4935761
5.865	-621.4933206
5.95	-621.4929614

Table 2: Equilibrium lattice constants (in Å), bulk moduli (in GPa), equilibrium energies (in atomic units), and lattice energies (in kcal/mol) for NaCl, obtained using our approach and the one reported by the Torino group[17]. Relevant experimental data are also given for comparison.

Quantity	Method	Results	
	This Work	5.785	
Lattice Constant	Torino	5.80	
	Exp	5.57^{a}	
	This Work	23.15	
Bulk Modulus	Torino	22.3	
	Exp	28.6^{b}	
	This work	-621.494	
Equilibrium Energy	Torino	-621.495	
	This Work	176.1	
Lattice Energy	Torino	176.1	
	Exp	189.2^{c}	

 $[^]a$ Ref. [18].

^b Ref. [19].

^c Ref. [20].

Table 3: Comparison of the quasiparticle energies at high symmetry points for the first three occupied and unoccupied bands. Row "a" contains the values given by CRYSTAL95, row "b" the corresponding values of this work. The energies at the gamma-point are chosen to be zero. All results are in atomic units

		1	2	3	4	5	6
L	a	-0.094	-0.014	-0.014	0.746	0.773	1.096
	b	-0.095	-0.014	-0.014	0.743	0.774	1.047
Γ	a	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.536	1.126	1.126
	b	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.531	1.133	1.133
X	a	-0.084	-0.031	-0.031	0.787	0.799	0.974
	b	-0.086	-0.032	-0.032	0.779	0.800	0.978




Figure 1: Hartree-Fock band structure for NaCl. The highest three valence bands (the upper one being degenerate) as well as the lowest three conduction bands are displayed. The solid line shows results of the present work. For comparison, the results obtained by CRYSTAL95 are shown as dotted lines.