

Quantum Hall effect at half-filled Landau level: Pairing of composite fermions

Takao Morinari

Department of Applied Physics, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan

(February 24, 2018)

Abstract

We discuss the possibility of the quantum Hall effect at half-filled Landau level in terms of the pairing of the composite fermions. In the absence of Coulomb energy, we show that the ground state of the system is described by the p -wave BCS pairing state of composite fermions. When the ratio $\alpha \equiv (e^2/\epsilon\ell_B)/\epsilon_F$ (ℓ_B : the magnetic length, ϵ_F : Fermi energy of the composite fermions) is larger than a critical value $\alpha_c \sim 8.2$ the gap of the pairing state vanishes. However, α remains less than α_c if $\hbar\omega_c \gg e^2/\epsilon\ell_B$ holds. Then in this situation it is possible that the pairing state which results in the quantum Hall effect occurs. The effect of the real spin degrees of freedom and the Zeeman energy is also discussed.

73.40.Hm, 71.10.Pm

The two-dimensional electron systems with partially filled Landau level have rich structures. One of them is the fractional quantum Hall effect observed at *odd* integer denominator Landau level filling factor [1]. The ground state of this system is the *incompressible* liquid [2]. Contrary to these *odd* denominator filling fraction, the quantization of the Hall conductance is *not* observed at *even* integer denominator filling fraction [3,4] except for the case of $\nu = 5/2$ [5,6]. The possibility of the quantum Hall effect at these filling fraction is still controversial problem [7].

One of the theoretical framework to understand the system with $\nu = 1/2$ is the composite fermion (CF) picture [8]. In this theory, electrons are mapped into CFs which have charge e and the fluxes $2\Phi_0$ ($\Phi_0 = ch/e$). Halperin, Lee, and Read (HLR) [9] studied this CF problem within the random phase approximation. At the mean field level, the fictitious fluxes attached to CFs cancel the external magnetic field and the system is described as the fermion system in the absence of the magnetic field. The system is, however, not an ordinary Fermi liquid due to the fluctuation of the Chern-Simons gauge field. Including this gauge field, the effective mass of CFs seems to diverge [9]. On the other hand, Greiter, Wen, and Wilczek (GWW) discussed the possibility of the pairing state of CFs at $\nu = 1/2$ [10]. However, GWW used an approximation that the number of CF's fluxes is small and they retained first order term for Chern-Simons gauge field and neglected the quadratic term and the Coulomb interaction term. Hence how neglected terms affect the pairing state is unclear and the condition of quantum Hall effect is not discussed [11].

In this paper, we discuss the possibility of the pairing state of CFs taking into account *all* interaction terms in the absence of the disorder. First, we derive the Hamiltonian which takes into account *all* interaction terms for CFs. From this Hamiltonian, we derive the gap equation for the spinless CFs and analyzing it, we show that the ground state of the system is the *p*-wave BCS [12] pairing state of CFs. With increasing the ratio $\alpha \equiv (e^2/\epsilon\ell_B)/\epsilon_F$, where ℓ_B is the magnetic length and ϵ_F is the Fermi energy of the composite fermions, the gap of the pairing state goes to zero. The pairing state occurs in the region of $\alpha < \alpha_c$, where $\alpha_c \sim 8.2$. The value of α is calculated by estimating the Fermi energy ϵ_F contained as the

parameter of CF theory. Using the result of HLR, α is ~ 6.7 if the condition $\hbar\omega_c \gg e^2/\epsilon\ell_B$ holds. This value of α is less than α_c . Hence if the condition $\hbar\omega_c \gg e^2/\epsilon\ell_B$ holds, the pairing state of CFs which results in the quantum Hall effect occurs. In GaAs samples, it will be realized in more strong magnetic field than one in present experiments. We also discuss the effect of the real spin degrees of freedom and the Zeeman energy and there we naturally understand the polarization of real spin.

To begin with, we derive the effective Hamiltonian for the BCS pairing of CFs. The second quantized Hamiltonian for the two-dimensional spinless electron system in the presence of an external uniform magnetic field perpendicular to the layer is given by

$$H = \int d^2\mathbf{r} \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \left[\frac{1}{2m}(-i\nabla + \mathbf{A})^2 - \mu \right] \psi(\mathbf{r}) + V_C, \quad (1)$$

where, $V_C = 1/2 \int d^2\mathbf{r}_1 \int d^2\mathbf{r}_2 (1/\epsilon|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|) \delta\rho(\mathbf{r}_1)\delta\rho(\mathbf{r}_2)$. Here we take the units $\hbar = c = e = 1$ and $\delta\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r})\psi(\mathbf{r}) - \bar{\rho}$ with $\bar{\rho}$ the average particle density. We introduce the generalized CF field operators [13] by

$$\phi(\mathbf{r}) = e^{-J(\mathbf{r})}\psi(\mathbf{r}), \quad \pi(\mathbf{r}) = \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r})e^{J(\mathbf{r})}, \quad (2)$$

where

$$J(\mathbf{r}) = 2 \cdot \int d^2\mathbf{r}' \rho(\mathbf{r}') \log(z - z') - \frac{1}{4\ell_B^2} |z|^2, \quad (3)$$

with $z = x + iy$. The factor 2 in the first term of r.h.s. of Eq. (3) denotes the number of fluxes attached to CFs. The operators $\phi(\mathbf{r})$ and $\pi(\mathbf{r})$ satisfy the fermion anticommutation relations.

In terms of these operators, the Hamiltonian is described by

$$H = \int d^2\mathbf{r} \pi(\mathbf{r}) \left(\frac{1}{2m} [(-i\nabla)^2 + \{-i\nabla, \delta\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r})\} + \{-i\nabla, i\hat{z} \times \delta\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r})\}] - \mu \right) \phi(\mathbf{r}) + V_C, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\delta\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r}) = 2 \int d^2\mathbf{r}' (\pi(\mathbf{r}')\phi(\mathbf{r}') - \bar{\rho}) \nabla \text{Im} \log(z - z'), \quad (5)$$

and $\{A, B\} = AB + BA$. Note that we have an irregular term which contains $\{-i\nabla, i\hat{z} \times \delta\mathbf{a}\}$, because we perform a *non-unitary* transformation described by Eq. (2) and Eq. (3). After performing the Fourier transformations, we obtain the effective Hamiltonian for the BCS-pairing of CFs;

$$H_{CF} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \xi_k \pi_k \phi_k + \frac{1}{2\Omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k}_1 \neq \mathbf{k}_2} V_{\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2} \pi_{\mathbf{k}_1} \pi_{-\mathbf{k}_1} \phi_{-\mathbf{k}_2} \phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}, \quad (6)$$

where $\xi_k = k^2/2m - \mu$ and,

$$V_{\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2} = -\frac{8\pi i}{m} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{k}_1 \times \mathbf{k}_2}{|\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2|^2} - \frac{4\pi}{m} \cdot \frac{k_1^2 - k_2^2}{|\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2|^2} + \frac{\pi\alpha}{4m} \cdot \frac{k_F}{|\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2|}, \quad (7)$$

with $\alpha = (e^2/\epsilon\ell_B)/\epsilon_F$. The second term of the r.h.s. of Eq. (7) is derived from the irregular term mentioned above. As we will show later, this term breaks the particle-hole symmetry.

Now we discuss the pairing of CFs. Though we derive the gap equation by the mean field theory, we replace the electron band mass with the CF's effective mass M in order to take into account the renormalization effect. The gap equation of the ground state is given by

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} = -\frac{1}{2\Omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k}' (\neq \mathbf{k})} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} \frac{\Delta_{\mathbf{k}'}}{E_{k'}}, \quad (8)$$

and

$$\overline{\Delta}_{\mathbf{k}} = -\frac{1}{2\Omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k}' (\neq \mathbf{k})} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^* \frac{\overline{\Delta}_{\mathbf{k}'}}{E_{k'}}, \quad (9)$$

where $E_k = \sqrt{\xi_k + \overline{\Delta}_{\mathbf{k}}\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}}$.

To analyze the ℓ -wave paring state, we set $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} = |\Delta_k| \exp(-i\ell\theta_{\mathbf{k}})$, where ℓ is an integer and $\theta_{\mathbf{k}}$ denotes the angle of vector $\mathbf{k}$. Because we discuss the pairing state of spinless fermions, ℓ must be taken as an odd integer. Substituting this expression into Eq. (8), we obtain

$$|\Delta_k| = -\frac{1}{2M} \left(\int_0^k dk' + \int_k^\infty dk' \right) \frac{k' |\Delta_{k'}|}{E_{k'}} I_\ell(k, k'), \quad (10)$$

where $I_\ell(k, k')$ is given by

$$I_\ell(k, k') = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi i} \left[2 \cdot \frac{e^{-i\ell\theta} \sin \theta}{(k^2 + k'^2)/2kk' - \cos \theta} - i \cdot \frac{k^2 - k'^2}{kk'} \cdot \frac{e^{-i\ell\theta}}{(k^2 + k'^2)/2kk' - \cos \theta} + \frac{i}{8} \alpha k_F \cdot \frac{e^{-i\ell\theta}}{\sqrt{k^2 + k'^2 - 2kk' \cos \theta}} \right]. \quad (11)$$

To get the nonzero $|\Delta_k|$, $I_\ell(k, k')$ must be positive. This is possible only in the case of $\ell > 0$, where

$$I_\ell(k, k') = \begin{cases} -4 \left(\frac{k}{k'}\right)^\ell + \frac{\alpha}{8} \cdot \frac{k_F}{k} \cdot \frac{k'/k}{\sqrt{1-(k'/k)^2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-(k'/k)^2+1}} & \text{for } k > k', \\ \frac{\alpha}{8} \cdot \frac{k_F}{k} \cdot \frac{k/k'}{\sqrt{1-(k/k')^2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-(k/k')^2+1}} & \text{for } k < k'. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

The difference of the behavior of $I_\ell(k, k')$ between the regime of $k < k'$ and $k > k'$ is caused by the second term of the r.h.s. of Eq. (7). The reason why we encounter this *non-symmetric* behavior of the gap equation is that we perform the *non-unitary* transformation.

To solve the gap equation, we introduce an approximation for $|\Delta_k|$;

$$|\Delta_k| = \begin{cases} \Delta_{k_F} (k/k_F)^\ell & \text{for } k < k_F, \\ 0 & \text{for } k > k_F. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

With this approximation, the gap equation is transformed into

$$2 \int_0^1 dx \frac{x^\ell}{\sqrt{(x-1)^2 + \Delta^2 x^\ell}} - \frac{\alpha}{8} \int_0^1 dx \frac{(1-x^2)^\ell}{\sqrt{x^4 + \Delta^2(1-x^2)^\ell}} \cdot \frac{1}{x+1} = 1, \quad (14)$$

where $\Delta \equiv \Delta_{k_F}/\epsilon_F$.

On the other hand, the ground state energy difference ($\equiv \delta E$) between the pairing state and no-pairing state is given by

$$\delta E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} |\xi_k| \left(1 - \frac{|\xi_k|}{E_k} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{|\Delta_k|^2}{E_k}. \quad (15)$$

We can show numerically that $\delta E \leq 0$ for $\Delta \geq 0$ and the larger Δ gives the lower δE .

Fig. 1 shows the α dependence of the gap Δ . In the region of $\alpha < \alpha_c$, where $\alpha_c \sim 8.2$, the pairing state which has the largest Δ is the component of $\ell = 1$. Hence the ground state of the system is the *p*-wave BCS pairing state in this region because the largest gap state has the lowest energy as mentioned above. On the other hand, in the region of $\alpha > \alpha_c$

all of the gaps vanish. Hence there are no pairing state. The answer to the question which case must be applied to the real system depends on the value of ϵ_F . In the Sec. IV of Ref. [9], HLR estimated the effective mass using a dimensional analysis, which holds in the limit of $\hbar\omega_c \gg e^2/\epsilon\ell_B$ and numerically obtained gaps of several fractional quantum Hall states. Using their analysis, α is estimated to ~ 6.7 . This value of α is lower than α_c . The ratio of $e^2/\epsilon\ell_B$ to $\hbar\omega_c$ is given by $(e^2/\epsilon\ell_B)/\hbar\omega_c \sim 4.85 \times 10^2 \times (m_b/m_e)/(\epsilon\sqrt{B})$ where m_b is the band mass and the external magnetic field is measured in the unit of tesla. Hence the pairing state of CFs is possible in the sample with small band mass m_b , large dielectric constant ϵ and under the strong magnetic field B where $\hbar\omega_c \gg e^2/\epsilon\ell_B$ holds.

Here we mention the importance of the second term of the r.h.s. of Eq. (7), which is absent in the analysis of GWW. If we neglect this term and use an approximation Eq. (13) but $|\Delta_k| = \Delta_{k_F}(k_F/k)^\ell$ for $k > k_F$, we obtain $\alpha_c \sim 5.8$, which is lower than the value $\alpha \sim 6.7$ obtained in the case of $\hbar\omega_c \gg e^2/\epsilon\ell_B$. That is the quantum Hall effect never occurs. Hence the second term of the r.h.s. of Eq. (7) must be retained for the proof of the quantum Hall effect at $\nu = 1/2$.

Next we discuss the effect of the real spin degrees of freedom and the Zeeman energy. First we discuss the former in the absence of the latter. The spin unpolarized pairing state is possible as in the case of the bilayer quantum Hall systems [14]. In this pairing state, the expression of the ground state energy is δE times 2 because of the spin degrees of freedom. However, Eq.(15) contains the Fermi wave number as the parameter in the explicit and implicit way and δE is proportional to k_F^4 . In the spin unpolarized pairing case, the Fermi wave number k_F is equal to $k_F^p/\sqrt{2}$, where $k_F^p(= 1/\ell_B)$ is the Fermi wave number of the spin polarized case. Putting it all together, the ground state energy of the spin unpolarized pairing state is half of δE estimated in the case of spin polarized case. Being $\delta E < 0$, the spin polarized state is preferred over the spin unpolarized pairing state. As a result, it is enough to consider the pairing of spinless CFs [15]. With regard to the effect of the Zeeman energy to the spinless CFs, it is nothing but shifting the chemical potential.

In summary, using the non-unitary transformation we derived the Hamiltonian which

takes into account *all* interaction terms for CFs. The gap equation and the expression for the ground state energy were derived within the weak coupling theory. The pairing state occurs if the condition $\alpha \equiv (e^2/\epsilon\ell_B)/\epsilon_F < \alpha_c(\sim 8.2)$ is satisfied. This situation is realized in the sample with small band mass m_b , large dielectric constant ϵ and under the strong magnetic field B where $\hbar\omega_c \gg e^2/\epsilon\ell_B$ holds. The symmetry of this pairing state is the p -wave and real spin is polarized.

I would like to thank N. Nagaosa, H. Aoki and M. Ogata for useful discussions. This work is supported by Research Fellowships of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for Young Scientists.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. C. Tsui, H. L. Stormer, and A. C. Gossard, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 1559 (1982).
- [2] R. B. Laughlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 1395 (1983).
- [3] R. Willett, J. P. Eisenstein, H. L. Störmer, D. C. Tsui, A. C. Gossard, and J. H. English, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 1776 (1987); H. W. Jiang, H. L. Stormer, D. C. Tsui, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, Phys. Rev. B, **40**, 12013 (1990).
- [4] R. Willett, M. A. Paalanen, R. R. Ruel, K. W. West, L. N. Pfeiffer, and D. J. Bishop, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 112 (1990).
- [5] R. Willett, J. P. Eisenstein, H. L. Stormer, D. C. Tsui, A. C. Gossard, and J. H. English, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 1776 (1987).
- [6] F. D. M. Haldane and E. H. Rezayi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 956 (1988).
- [7] G. Baskaran, Physica B **212**, 320 (1995).
- [8] J. K. Jain, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 199 (1989); Phys. Rev. B **40**, 8079 (1989); *ibid.* **41**, 7653 (1990).
- [9] B. I. Halperin, P. A. Lee, and N. Read, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 7312 (1993).
- [10] M. Greiter, X. G. Wen and F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. B **374**, 567 (1992).
- [11] See also, N. E. Bonesteel, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 11484 (1993).
- [12] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, and J. R. Schrieffer, Phys. Rev. **108**, 1175 (1957).
- [13] R. Rajaraman, and S. L. Sondhi, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **10**, 793 (1996); R. Rajaraman, Phys. Rev. B **56**, 6788 (1997).
- [14] Takao Morinari, unpublished.
- [15] The discussion here is also applicable to the bilayer quantum Hall systems at $\nu = 1/2$. In that case, the system approaches the pseudo spin polarized system with decreasing

the layer separation d and we do not observe the quantum Hall effect at $d = 0$ as long as $\hbar\omega_c \sim e^2/\epsilon\ell_B$.

FIGURES

FIG. 1. The $\alpha(\equiv (e^2/\epsilon\ell_B)/\epsilon_F)$ dependence of the gap Δ for the ℓ -wave pairing state. In the region of $\alpha < \alpha_c$, where $\alpha_c \sim 8.2$, the ℓ with the largest gap is $\ell = 1$. Hence the p -wave pairing of CFs occurs. On the other hand, in the region of $\alpha > \alpha_c$, there are no pairing state.

