

May 7, 2018

The Generalized Gutzwiller Method for $n \geq 2$ Correlated Orbitals: Itinerant Ferromagnetism in $d(e_g)$ - bands

J. Bünemann and W. Weber
Institut für Physik, Universität Dortmund,
D-44221 Dortmund, Germany

Abstract:

Using the generalized Gutzwiller method we present results on the ferromagnetic behavior of extended Hubbard models with two degenerate $d(e_g)$ orbitals. We find significant differences to results obtained from Hartree-Fock theory.

Keywords:

correlated electrons, extended Hubbard models, Gutzwiller method, itinerant ferromagnetism

Postal Address:

Prof. Dr. Werner Weber
Universität Dortmund, Institut für Physik,
Lehrstuhl für Theoretische Physik II
44221 Dortmund

Fax:

++49 0231 755 3569

E-mail:

weber@fkt.physik.uni-dortmund.de

Recently, the Gutzwiller variational method has been generalized for $n \geq 2$ correlated orbitals per site [1]. In this paper we present studies of the itinerant ferromagnetism using a two-band Hubbard model with degenerate orbitals and general on-site interactions.

The one-band Gutzwiller variational wavefunction is given by [2]

$$|\Psi\rangle \equiv g^{\widehat{D}} |\Psi_0\rangle = \prod_{s=1}^L [1 - (1-g)\widehat{D}_s] |\Psi_0\rangle, \quad (1)$$

where $|\Psi_0\rangle$ is an uncorrelated wavefunction on a lattice of L sites and the operators $\widehat{D}_s \equiv \widehat{n}_{s\uparrow}\widehat{n}_{s\downarrow}$ measure the double occupancy of sites s . By combinatorics, one is led to the hopping 'loss factors'

$$q_k \equiv \frac{1}{m_k(1-m_k)} [\sqrt{\overline{m}_k\overline{m}_0} + \sqrt{\overline{m}_{kl}\overline{m}_l}]^2, \quad (2)$$

where m_0, m_1, m_2, m_{12} ($\overline{m}_0, \overline{m}_1, \overline{m}_2, \overline{m}_{12}$) are the respective gross (net) occupancies of sites: empty, spin-up, spin-down, double. There are relations between gross and net occupancies such as $\overline{m}_1 = m_1 - \overline{m}_{12}$ or $m_0 = \overline{m}_0 = 1 - \overline{m}_1 - \overline{m}_2 - \overline{m}_{12}$.

The extension to cases of $n \geq 2$ correlated orbitals (a, b, \dots) leads to $J_n = 4^n$ different possibilities for a single site occupancy, and $K_n = J_n - (2n+1)$ of them represent multiple ones. The basic idea of our generalization was to include all K_n multiple occupancy operators.

Using the notation $a\uparrow \hat{=} 1, a\downarrow \hat{=} 2, b\uparrow \hat{=} 3, b\downarrow \hat{=} 4, \dots$, the resulting generalized hopping loss factors have been found to be

$$q_{kk} = \frac{1}{m_k(1-m_k)} [\sqrt{\overline{m}_k\overline{m}_0} + \sum_l' \sqrt{\overline{m}_{kl}\overline{m}_l}]^2 \quad (3)$$

$$+ \sum_{l,p}'' \sqrt{\overline{m}_{klp}\overline{m}_{lp}} + \sum_{l,p,q}''' \sqrt{\overline{m}_{klpq}\overline{m}_{lpq}} + \dots]^2$$

$$q_{kl}^2 = q_{kk}q_{ll}. \quad (4)$$

It is now possible to investigate extensions of the Hubbard model for arbitrary

numbers of orbitals α, β and more general on-site interactions:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{H} = & \sum_{\alpha, \beta, s, t, \sigma} T_{st}^{\alpha\beta} \widehat{\alpha}_{s\sigma}^+ \widehat{\beta}_{t\sigma} + \sum_{\alpha, \beta, s, \sigma, \sigma'} U^{\alpha\beta} \widehat{n}_{s\sigma}^\alpha \widehat{n}_{s\sigma'}^\beta \\ & + \sum_{\alpha, \beta, s, \sigma, \sigma'} J^{\alpha\beta} \widehat{\alpha}_{s\sigma}^+ \widehat{\beta}_{s\sigma'}^+ \widehat{\alpha}_{s\sigma'} \widehat{\beta}_{s\sigma} . \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

For our present study we have focussed on a ferromagnetic case; i.e. we have chosen N -particle wave functions $|\Psi\rangle, |\Psi_0\rangle$ which allow that $m_1 = m_3 = m_+ = \langle \widehat{n}_\uparrow \rangle \neq \langle n_\downarrow \rangle = m_-$. This spin-splitting is controlled by an additional variational parameter Δ ($m_+ = m + \Delta$; $m_- = m - \Delta$), representing the magnetization. We have studied the $n = 2$ Hamiltonian with two e_g -type orbitals on a simple cubic lattice and have included first nearest neighbor ($1NN$) and $2NN$ hopping terms given by [3]: $T_{dd\sigma}(1NN) = 1eV$, $T_{dd\sigma}(2NN) = 0.25eV$, and $T_{dd\delta} : T_{dd\pi} : T_{dd\sigma} = 0.1 : -0.3 : 1$. Further, there are three interaction parameters $U^{\alpha\alpha} \equiv U$, $U^{\alpha\beta} \equiv U'$ and $J^{\alpha\beta} \equiv J$. We employ the relation $2J = U - U'$, which is valid for e_g -orbitals in the limit of vanishing configuration interaction [4].

There are seven variational parameters representing the multiple occupancies: $f \equiv \overline{m}_{1234}$, $t_+ \equiv \overline{m}_{123} = \overline{m}_{134}$, $t_- \equiv \overline{m}_{124} = \overline{m}_{234}$, $d_{t_+} \equiv \overline{m}_{13}$, $d_- \equiv \overline{m}_{24}$ $d_d \equiv \overline{m}_{12} = \overline{m}_{34}$, $d_o \equiv \overline{m}_{14} = \overline{m}_{23}$. Further, there are two different loss factors $q_+ \equiv q_{11} = q_{33} = q_{13} = q_{31}$ and $q_- \equiv q_{22} = q_{44} = q_{24} = q_{42}$, with

$$\begin{aligned} q_\mu = & \frac{1}{m_\mu(1 - m_\mu)} [\sqrt{m_\mu}(\sqrt{m_0} + \sqrt{d_{t_\mu}}) \\ & + (\sqrt{d_d} + \sqrt{d_o})(\sqrt{m_{(-\mu)}} + \sqrt{t_\mu}) \\ & + \sqrt{t_{(-\mu)}}(\sqrt{f} + \sqrt{d_{t_{(-\mu)}}})]^2 . \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The ground state energy function is

$$\begin{aligned} E = & q_+ \bar{\epsilon}(m_+) + q_- \bar{\epsilon}(m_-) \\ & + 2U d_d + 2U' d_o + (U' - J)(d_{t_+} + d_{t_-}) + \\ & + (2U + 4U' - 2J)(t_+ + t_- + f) , \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

with $\bar{\epsilon}(m)$ being the kinetic energy of the uncorrelated bands. Note that the number of electrons per atom is given by $e/a = 4m$. We have studied band fillings, which, for the paramagnetic case, are close to the biggest peak in the single particle DOS.

Fig. 1 shows the magnetization Δ as a function of U (with $J = 0.2U$) for the values $m = 0.35$ (Fig 1a) and $m = 0.3$ (Fig. 1b). Also shown are the values of $N(E_F)$. When e/a is chosen so that $N(E_F)$ of the uncorrelated case coincides with the DOS peak ($m = 0.3$), there exists a kind of Stoner criterion for the ferromagnetic instability. Then, Δ starts as a continuous function of (U, U', J) (Fig. 1b). Away from this peak, there is a first order transition to a state of finite magnetization before the Stoner criterion is met (Fig. 1a). We observe further discontinuous increases of the magnetization with increasing interaction (U, U', J) . These jumps are related to structures in the DOS which pass through the Fermi level with increasing splitting of majority and minority bands (see Fig. 1a).

A Hartree-Fock treatment of the model leads to onsets of ferromagnetism at much smaller values of the interaction parameters U, U', J (Fig. 2). The first order transition from $\Delta = 0$ to finite Δ is also seen in HF theory; however no further jumps in magnetization are observed.

The magnetic phase diagram (Fig. 3) elucidates the role of the on-site exchange for the formation of itinerant ferromagnetism.

In conclusion, our study of itinerant ferromagnetism indicates significant differences between the Gutzwiller method and the Hartree-Fock theory. We hope that the generalized Gutzwiller method will enable us to judge the quality of effective one-particle theories for itinerant magnetism such as spin density functional theory for 3d transition metals.

Acknowledgments: This work has been partly supported by the European Union Human Capital and Mobility program, Project No. CHRX-CT 93-0332

References

- [1] J. Bünemann and W. Weber, submitted
- [2] M. C. Gutzwiller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 10, 159 (1963); M. C. Gutzwiller, Phys. Rev. 137, A1726 (1965)
- [3] J. C. Slater and G. F. Koster, Phys. Rev. 94, 1498 (1954)
- [4] S. Sugano, et. al., 'Multiplets of Transition-Metal Ions in Crystals' in Pure and Applied Physics, Vol. 33

Figures

Figure 1: Fermi density of states N_{EF} and interaction U (with $J = 0.2U$) versus magnetization Δ for two bandfillings m . The dotted vertical lines in (a) indicate first order changes in Δ .

Figure 2: Comparison of Gutzwiller and Hartree-Fock results for Δ versus U ($J = 0.2U$). Dashed lines: $m = 0.3$, solid lines: $m = 0.35$. Inset shows the jump in Δ near $U = 7.95$ in the Gutzwiller result for $m = 0.35$.

Figure 3: Phase diagram in the J/U versus U plane for the Gutzwiller results with $m = 0.3$ (note that $U' = U - 2J$).

Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3

