UNIVERSAL PARAMETRIC CORRELATIONS AT THE SOFT EDGE OF THE SPECTRUM OF RANDOM MATRIX ENSEMBLES

A. M. S. Macêdo

Theoretical Physics, University of Oxford, 1 Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3NP, U.K.

Abstract

We extend a recent theory of parametric correlations in the spectrum of random matrices to study the response to an external perturbation of eigenvalues near the soft edge of the support. We demonstrate by explicit non-perturbative calculation that, for systems with unitary symmetry, the two-point function for level density fluctuations becomes, after appropriate rescaling, a universal expression.

PACS. 02.50 - Probability theory, stochastic processes, and statistics.

PACS. 05.40 - Fluctuation phenomena, random processes, and Brownian motion.

PACS. 05.45 - Theory and models of chaotic systems.

Random matrix theory (RMT) [1] has recently been the focus of much attention because of its wide range of applications in many branches of physics, such as complex nuclei [2], disordered metallic grains [3], quantum chaotic systems [4], random surfaces [5], disordered conductors [6], QCD [7] and more recently strongly correlated many-particle systems [8]. One of the most striking and robust predictions of RMT is the celebrated Wigner-Dyson statistics [9], which states that the probability distribution of level spacings is a universal function if the eigenvalues are measured in units of the mean level spacing. Another important feature of RMT is that all physical systems are classified according to its symmetries in just three universality classes, namely: unitary, orthogonal and symplectic.

A significant extension of RMT has recently been put forward by Simons, Altshuler, Lee and Szafer [10-11]. In particular, they have shown that in order to describe the statistical properties of the dispersion of the energy levels of a particle diffusing through a disordered metallic grain in response to an external adiabatic perturbation, one has to introduce a new parameter in the theory in addition to the mean level spacing. This new parameter has been given the meaning of a generalized conductance because of its relation to the rate of energy dissipation of the system due to the presence of the external perturbation. The most remarkable result of their work, however, is that the n-point correlation function for density of states fluctuations becomes a system independent universal expression if the energy levels are measured in units of the mean level spacing and if the perturbation parameter is renormalized by the mean square gradient of the levels. This striking universality has been analytically derived for disordered metallic systems with orthogonal, unitary and symplectic symmetries and has been verified numerically in a number of chaotic [10,12] and also interacting systems [13]. More recently, Simons, Lee and Altshuler [14] have demonstrated that the non-linear σ -model provides a common mathematical structure in which a number of problems in different branches of theoretical physics can be interrelated.

The universality obtained by Simons *et al.*, and similarly that of Wigner-Dyson statistics, applies only for levels away from the edge of the support of the spectrum.

This statement can, in principle, be formally justified by means of renormalization group arguments. A simple renormalization group procedure has recently been devised by Brézin and Zinn-Justin [15]. These authors have shown that there are two kinds of fixed points in the renormalization group equations: a stable gaussian one governing the behavior of the system at the bulk of the spectrum and an unstable one governing a small crossover region around the endpoint of the support where the average density goes to zero as a power law. The attractive gaussian fixed point supports the general validity of the Wigner-Dyson statistics everywhere at the bulk of the spectrum. It is therefore natural to expect that the results of refs. [10-14] are of similar general validity, although an explicit proof is not yet available.

At the edge of the spectrum, however, Wigner-Dyson statistics breaks down and an entirely new regime of parametric correlations emerges. It is well known that the average eigenvalue densities of random matrix ensembles exhibit two kinds of edges: a hard edge and a soft edge, where the eigenvalue integration range is bounded and unbounded respectively. The hard edge of the Laguerre ensemble is important in the description of many physical systems such as disordered metallic conductors [6] and QCD [7] and has been the subject of a recent paper [16], in which the universality of the parameter dependent two-point level density autocorrelator has been explicitly demonstrated. The objective of the present work is to study the response to an external perturbation of the eigenvalues of a random matrix near the soft edge of the support of the spectrum. We demonstrate explicitly that, for systems with unitary symmetry, the two-point correlation function for level density fluctuations becomes a universal function after rescaling the levels by an appropriate system dependent constant and the perturbation parameter by the mean square gradient of the levels. In order to emphasize the robustness of the final result we consider two different kinds of unitary ensembles: the Gaussian unitary ensemble (GUE) and the Laguerre unitary ensemble (LUE). Physically, they describe systems with broken time-reversal symmetry.

The problem of the behavior of random matrix models near the soft edge of the spectrum is of wide interest and has been motivated by the application of RMT to the physics of random surfaces [5]. It has been demonstrated [17,18] that the double scaling limit of these models, in which the coupling constant of the theory approaches a critical value and the size of the random matrix tends to infinity, is characterized by a universal crossover function of scaling variables, whose definitions depend on the order of multicriticality of the problem. The crossover region has been shown to be around the soft edge of the support of the spectrum and its universality is controlled by an unstable fixed point in the renormalization group flow [15]. We remark that the two random matrix ensembles studied in the present work have the same order of multicriticality and therefore must exhibit the same universal behavior, as will be demonstrated by explicit calculation.

An interesting application of the model we consider can be found in ref. [19], in which the string theory of matter with central charge equal one coupled with 2D quantum gravity is represented as a continuous matrix model similar to the one studied in ref. [14], where its connection to the non-linear σ -model and the Dyson Brownian motion model [20] has been demonstrated.

In this work, however, we shall, for the sake of generality, consider as our starting point directly the Dyson Brownian motion model rather than the continuous matrix model of refs. [14] and [19]. The Dyson Brownian motion model describes the evolution of the probability distribution $P^{\mu}(\{x_i\}, \tau)$ of N classical particles at positions $\{x_i(\tau)\}$ moving in a viscous fluid with friction coefficient γ^{-1} at temperature β^{-1} ,

$$\gamma \frac{\partial P^{\mu}}{\partial \tau} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(P^{\mu} \frac{\partial W^{\mu}}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial P^{\mu}}{\partial x_i} \right), \tag{1a}$$

in which $\mu = \{G, L\}$ and

$$W^{G}(\{x_{i}\}) = c \sum_{i} x_{i}^{2} - \sum_{i < j} \ln|x_{i} - x_{j}|, \qquad (1b)$$

for the gaussian ensemble [21,22], where $-\infty \leq x_i \leq \infty$ and

$$W^{L}(\{x_{i}\}) = -(\alpha + \frac{1}{\beta}) \sum_{i} \ln x_{i} + \frac{c}{2} \sum_{i} x_{i}^{2} - \sum_{i < j} \ln |x_{i}^{2} - x_{j}^{2}|, \qquad (1c)$$

for the Laguerre ensemble [16], where $0 \le x_i \le \infty$. The parameters c and α are system dependent constants and $\beta = 1, 2$ and 4 for systems with orthogonal, unitary and symplectic symmetries. The fictitious time τ can have several meanings depending on the particular physical problem. It can, for instance, be the one spatial dimension of the target space of the continuous matrix model representing the string theory of ref. [19] or it can be related to the perturbation parameter δu of refs. [10-14,21] by $\tau = \delta u^2$. The average level density $\rho^{\mu}(x) \equiv \langle \sum_i \delta(x - x_i(u)) \rangle_{eq}$, where $\langle \dots \rangle_{eq}$ stands for an arbitrary average calculated with the equilibrium distribution $P^{\mu}(\{x_i\}, \infty)$, can be calculated explicitly by direct integration of Eq. (1). We find

$$\rho^{G}(x) = \begin{cases} (2c^{1/2}/\pi)\sqrt{N - cx^{2}} & \text{for } |x| \leq (N/c)^{1/2} \\ 0 & \text{for } |x| > (N/c)^{1/2} \end{cases}$$
 (2a)

which is the Wigner semicircle law [1] and

$$\rho^{L}(x) = \begin{cases} (c^{1/2}/\pi)\sqrt{4N - cx^{2}} & \text{for } 0 \le x \le 2(N/c)^{1/2} \\ 0 & \text{for } x > 2(N/c)^{1/2} \end{cases}, \tag{2b}$$

which is a quarter circle as was first shown by Bronk [23]. Note that $\rho^{\mu}(x)$ has a soft edge at $x_0^G = \sqrt{N/c}$ and $x_0^L = 2\sqrt{N/c}$. Near this edge, the average level density behaves as $\rho^{\mu}(x) \sim N^k$ in a region of size $\sim N^{-k}$, in which k is a multicritical exponent [18], which can be shown to be equal 1/6 for both GUE and LUE. The double scaling regime of these ensembles is obtained simply by moving the origin of the spectrum to x_0^{μ} and measuring the eigenvalue spacing in units of order $N^{-1/6}$. An explicit calculation of the eigenvalue density crossover function can be found in refs. [18] and [24].

In what follows we shall be interested in studying the two-point function for level density fluctuations defined as

$$S^{\mu}(x,y,\delta u) \equiv \left\langle \sum_{ij} \delta(x - x_i(u)) \delta(y - x_j(u + \delta u)) \right\rangle_{eq} - \rho^{\mu}(x) \rho^{\mu}(y)$$
 (3)

in the limit $x \to x_0^{\mu}$ and $y \to x_0^{\mu}$.

It has been shown [11,16,21,22] that Eq. (1) can be exactly mapped onto a Schrödinger equation in imaginary time. The case $\beta = 2$, for systems with unitary

symmetry, is particularly simple since the two-body interaction term vanishes and we end up with a theory of free fermions. In second quantization the resulting Hamiltonian reads

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mu} = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_p^{\mu} c_p^{\dagger} c_p, \tag{4}$$

where $\varepsilon_p^G=(2c/\gamma)(p+1/2),$ $\varepsilon_p^L=(2c/\gamma)(p+(\alpha+1)/2)$ and c_p^\dagger (c_p) creates (annihilates) a fermion with quantum number p. It is convenient at this stage to introduce the field operators $\psi_\mu(x)$ and $\psi_\mu^\dagger(x)$, where $\psi_\mu(x)\equiv\sum_p\phi_p^\mu(x)c_p$ and $\psi_\mu^\dagger(x)\equiv\sum_p\phi_p^\mu(x)c_p^\dagger$, in which

$$\phi_p^G(x) = \left(\frac{2c}{\pi}\right)^{1/4} (2^p p!)^{-1/2} e^{-cx^2} H_p(x\sqrt{2c})$$
 (5a)

and

$$\phi_p^L(x) = \left(\frac{2c^{1+\alpha}p!}{\Gamma(p+1+\alpha)}\right)^{1/2} x^{\alpha+1/2} e^{-cx^2/2} L_p^{\alpha}(cx^2).$$
 (5b)

The functions $H_p(x)$ and $L_p^{\alpha}(x)$ are the conventional Hermite and associate Laguerre polynomials respectively. Since \mathcal{H}^{μ} is a free electron Hamiltonian we can use Wick's theorem to evaluate $S^{\mu}(x, y, \delta u)$. We find

$$S^{\mu}(x,y,\delta u) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \phi_p^{\mu}(x)\phi_p^{\mu}(y) \sum_{q=0}^{N-1} \phi_q^{\mu}(x)\phi_q^{\mu}(y) \exp(2c\delta u^2(q-p)/\gamma).$$
 (6)

For large N and $x \to x_0^{\mu}$ we can use the asymptotics [25]

$$e^{-x^2/2}H_N(x) \simeq \pi^{1/4}2^{N/2+1/4}(N!)^{1/2}N^{-1/12}Ai((x-\sqrt{2N+1})N^{1/6}2^{1/2})$$
 (7a)

and

$$e^{-x^2/2}L_N^{\alpha}(x^2) \simeq (-1)^N 2^{-\alpha - 1/3} N^{-1/3} Ai((x - 2N^{1/2}) 2^{2/3} N^{1/6}),$$
 (7b)

in which Ai(x) is the Airy function. Inserting (7) and (5) into (6) and taking $N \to \infty$ and $c \to 0$, such that $2c^{1/2}N^{1/6} \to \rho_0^G$ and $2^{2/3}c^{1/2}N^{1/6} \to \rho_0^L$ we get

$$S^{\mu}(X,Y,\delta u) = \rho_0^{\mu 2} \int_0^{\infty} dz \int_0^{\infty} dz' Ai(\rho_0^{\mu} X - z) Ai(\rho_0^{\mu} Y - z) Ai(\rho_0^{\mu} X + z')$$

$$Ai(\rho_0^{\mu} Y + z') \exp(-\frac{\delta u^2 \rho_0^{\mu^2}}{2\gamma} (z + z')), \tag{8a}$$

where $X \equiv x - x_0^{\mu}$ and $Y \equiv y - y_0^{\mu}$. The parameter ρ_0^{μ} is related to the average level density through $\rho^{\mu}(x) = \rho_0^{\mu} \int_0^{\infty} dz A i^2 (\rho_0^{\mu} x + z)$. Note that if we make the rescalings [10-14] $\hat{X} = \rho_0^{\mu} X$, $\hat{Y} = \rho_0^{\mu} Y$, $\delta \hat{u}^2 = \delta u^2 \rho_0^{\mu^2} / \gamma$ and $\hat{S}(\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \delta \hat{u}) = S^{\mu}(X, Y, \delta u) / \rho_0^{\mu^2}$ we find that

$$\hat{S}(\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \delta \hat{u}) = \int_0^\infty dz \int_0^\infty dz' Ai(\hat{X} - z) Ai(\hat{Y} - z) Ai(\hat{X} + z')$$

$$Ai(\hat{Y} + z') \exp(-\frac{\delta \hat{u}^2}{2} (z + z'))$$
(8b)

becomes a function that does not depend on any physical parameter of the system and therefore is a universal crossover function characterizing the response to an arbitrary external perturbation of the eigenvalues of GUE and LUE near the soft edge of the support of the spectrum. This is the central result of the present paper.

We can easily show that Eq. (8a) reproduces known results for $\delta u = 0$ if we use the relation [26] $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz Ai(x+z) Ai(y+z) = \delta(x-y)$ to write $S^{\mu}(x,y,0)$ as

$$S^{\mu}(x,y,0) = \delta(x-y)\rho^{\mu}(x) - (K^{\mu}(x,y))^{2}, \tag{9a}$$

where

$$K^{\mu}(x,y) = \left(Ai(\rho_0^{\mu}x)Ai'(\rho_0^{\mu}y) - Ai(\rho_0^{\mu}y)Ai'(\rho_0^{\mu}x)\right)/(x-y). \tag{9b}$$

Equation (9b) constitutes the Airy kernel [24], which is known to characterize the soft edge of the GUE and LUE. Equation (9a) is also well known in RMT and is usually derived using the orthogonal polynomial technique [1].

An important application of Eq. (8a) is to calculate the density of level gradients defined as $F(v) \equiv \langle \delta(v - dx/du) \rangle$. One can show that [27]

$$F(v) = \lim_{\delta u \to 0} \frac{\delta u}{\rho^{\mu}(x)} S^{\mu}(x, x + v\delta u, \delta u). \tag{10}$$

Asymptotic evaluation of (8a) for $\delta u \ll 1$ yields

$$S^{\mu}(x,y,\delta u) \simeq \left(\frac{\gamma}{2\pi\delta u^2}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma(x-y)^2}{2\delta u^2}\right) K^{\mu}(x,y). \tag{11}$$

Inserting (11) into (10) gives

$$F(v) = \left(\frac{\gamma}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma v^2}{2}\right). \tag{12}$$

This result is known to be valid at the bulk of the GUE and at the bulk and hard edge of the LUE. The present result, valid at the soft edge of both the GUE and LUE, leads to the striking conclusion that the density of level gradients is gaussian everywhere in the spectrum. This allow us to introduce, also at the edge of the spectrum, the concept of a generalized conductance characterizing the rate of energy dissipation of the system in response to the external perturbation. It is defined as [10]

$$C_0 \equiv \rho_0^{\mu 2} \langle v^2 \rangle = \rho_0^{\mu 2} / \gamma. \tag{13}$$

If we now compare (13) with the rescaling of the perturbation parameter δu that leaves the two-point function for level density fluctuations universal, we can see that the rescaling can be written as $\delta \hat{u} = C_0^{1/2} \delta u$, which is exactly the same as that used in refs. [10-14]. The rescalings of X and Y differ from that of the bulk because there is no local translation symmetry at the edge of the spectrum.

In conclusion, we have studied the response to an external perturbation of the eigenvalues of GUE and LUE near the soft edge of the support of the spectrum. The main effect of the external perturbation is to cause the levels to disperse in disjoint manifolds, as a result of eigenvalue repulsion or non-integrability of the underlying dynamics. We have shown that the two-point level density autocorrelator becomes a universal function when the levels are measured in units of an appropriate system dependent constant and the perturbation parameter is rescaled by the mean square gradient of the levels. The resulting universal expression is identical for GUE and LUE and describes the crossover region where the average level density goes to zero as a power law. In addition, we have demonstrated that the density of level gradients is gaussian everywhere in the spectrum.

The author would like to thank J. T. Chalker and B. D. Simons for many useful comments and suggestions. This work was partially supported by CAPES (Brazilian Agency).

References

[1] For a review see Mehta M. L., Random Matrices, 2nd edition (Academic, New

- York, N.Y.) 1991.
- [2] See e.g. Porter C. E. (Editor) Statistical Theory of Spectra: Fluctuations (Academic, New York, N.Y.) 1965.
- [3] Gorkov L. P. and Eliashberg G. M., Ž. Ėksp. Teor. Fiz., 48 (1965) 1407 [Sov. Phys. JETP, 21 (1965) 940]; Efetov K. B., Adv. Phys., 32 (1983) 53; Altshuler B. L. and Shklovskiĭ B. I., Ž. Ėksp. Teor. Fiz., 91 (1986) 220 [Sov. Phys. JETP, 64 (1986) 127].
- [4] See e.g. Haake F., Quantum Signatures of Chaos (Springer, Berlin) 1992.
- [5] Brézin E., Itzykson C., Parisi G. and Zuber J. B., Commun. Math. Phys., 59 (1978) 35.
- [6] For a review see Stone A. D., Mello P. A., Muttalib K. A., and Pichard J.-L., in *Mesoscopic Phenomena in Solids*, edited by Altshuler B. L., Lee P. A., and Webb R. A. (North-Holland, Amsterdam) 1991.
- [7] Verbaarschot J. J. M. and Zahed I., Phys. Rev. Lett., **70** (1993) 3852.
- [8] Montambaux G., Poilblanc D., Bellissard J. and Sire C., Phys. Rev. Lett., 70 (1993) 497.
- [9] Wigner E. P., Ann. Math., 53 (1951) 36; Dyson F. J., J. Math. Phys., 3 (1962) 140.
- [10] Szafer A. and Altshuler B. L., Phys. Rev. Lett., 70 (1993) 587; Simons B. D. and Altshuler B. L., ibid., 70 (1993) 4063; Phys. Rev. B, 48 (1993) 5422; Simons B. D., Lee P. A. and Altshuler B. L., Phys. Rev. B, 48 (1993) 11 450.
- [11] Simons B. D., Lee P. A. and Altshuler B. L., Phys. Rev. Lett., 70 (1993) 4122.
- [12] Simons B. D., Hashimoto A., Courtney M., Kleppner D. and Altshuler B. L., Phys. Rev. Lett., 71 (1993) 2899.
- [13] Faas M., Simons B. D., Zotos X. and Altshuler B. L., Phys. Rev. B, 48 (1993) 5439.
- [14] Simons B. D., Lee P. A. and Altshuler B. L., Nucl. Phys., **B409** (1993) 487; Phys. Rev. Lett. (to appear).
- [15] Brézin E. and Zinn-Justin J., Phys. Lett. B, 288 (1992) 54.
- [16] Macêdo A. M. S. (unpublished).

- [17] Brézin E. and Kazakov V., Phys. Lett. B, 236 (1990) 2125; Douglas M. R. and Shenker S. H., Nucl. Phys. B, 335 (1990) 635; Gross D. J. and Migdal A. A., Phys. Rev. Lett., 64 (1990) 27;
- [18] Bowick M. and Brézin E., Phys. Lett. B, **268** (1991) 21.
- [19] Gross D. J. and Klebanov I. R., Nucl. Phys., **B352** (1991) 671.
- [20] Dyson F. J., J. Math. Phys., **13** (1972) 90.
- [21] Beenakker C. W. J., Phys. Rev. Lett., 70 (1993) 4126; Beenakker C. W. J. and Rejaei B. (unpublished).
- [22] Sutherland B., J. Math. Phys., 12 (1971) 246; 12 (1971) 251; Phys. Rev. A, 4 (1971) 2019; 5 (1972) 1372.
- [23] Bronk B. V., J. Math. Phys., 6 (1965) 228.
- [24] Forrester P. J., Nucl. Phys., B402 (1993) 709; Tracy C. A. and Widom H., Commun. Math. Phys. (to appear).
- [25] Szegö G., Orthogonal polynomials, 3rd edition (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI) 1967.
- [26] Hastings S. P. and McLeod J. B., Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 4 (1980) 31.
- [27] Kravtsov V. E. and Zirnbauer M. R., Phys. Rev. B, 46 (1992) 4332.