

Hybrid gap structure in the heavy-fermion superconductor CeIrIn₅

H. Shakeripour,¹ M. A. Tanatar,^{1,*} S. Y. Li,¹ Louis Taillefer,^{1,2,†} and C. Petrovic³

¹*Département de physique and RQMP, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Canada*

²*Canadian Institute for Advanced Research, Toronto, Ontario, Canada*

³*Department of Physics, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA*

(Dated: October 14, 2018)

The thermal conductivity κ of the heavy-fermion superconductor CeIrIn₅ was measured as a function of temperature down to $T_c/8$, for current directions perpendicular ($J \parallel a$) and parallel ($J \parallel c$) to the tetragonal c axis. For $J \parallel a$, a sizable residual linear term κ_0/T is observed, as previously, which confirms the presence of line nodes in the superconducting gap. For $J \parallel c$, on the other hand, $\kappa/T \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow 0$. The resulting precipitous decline in the anisotropy ratio κ_c/κ_a at low temperature rules out a gap structure with line nodes running along the c -axis, such as the d -wave state favoured for CeCoIn₅, and instead points to a hybrid gap of E_g symmetry. It therefore appears that two distinct superconducting states are realized in the CeMIn₅ family.

PACS numbers: 74.70.Tx, 74.20.Rp, 74.25.Fy

The discovery of magnetically-mediated superconductivity in the heavy-fermion material CeIn₃ [1] has attracted considerable attention as a possible archetype for unconventional pairing in a variety of superconductors. However, the fact that the superconducting state in this material only exists under pressure makes it difficult to know its actual pairing state. Fortunately, the closely related family of CeMIn₅ compounds offers an ideal testing ground for investigating the role that dimensionality, magnetic order and fluctuations play in determining the strength and symmetry of the superconducting state, as two members of the family show superconducting order at ambient pressure ($M = \text{Co, Ir}$) and the third shows antiferromagnetic order ($M = \text{Rh}$) [2, 3]. In CeCoIn₅, the observation of a four-fold anisotropy in the thermal conductivity [4] and specific heat [5] on rotation of a magnetic field in the basal tetragonal plane points to a d -wave gap (presumably of $d_{x^2-y^2}$ symmetry [6]). A number of theoretical models propose a $d_{x^2-y^2}$ state [7, 8, 9], analogous to that realized in cuprate superconductors. Since the calculated band structure [10] and measured Fermi surface [11] of CeCoIn₅ and CeIrIn₅ are very similar, and properties like the specific heat [12] and the NQR relaxation rate [13, 14] exhibit the same temperature dependence, it has generally been assumed that the two superconductors have the same pairing state, even though their transition temperature T_c differs by a factor of 6. However, because recent evidence suggests that the phase diagram of CeMIn₅ may contain more than one superconducting state [15, 16], it has now become crucial to pin down the pairing state of CeIrIn₅.

One of the most conclusive ways to determine the pairing symmetry of a superconductor is to map out its gap structure. A powerful approach to probe the gap structure and locate the position of nodes around the Fermi surface is to measure quasiparticle heat transport as a function of direction, at very low temperature. As an example, the anisotropy of heat transport played a decisive

role in elucidating the pairing symmetry of the hexagonal heavy-fermion superconductor UPt₃ ($T_c = 0.5$ K) [17, 18]. In this Letter, we report a study of heat transport in CeIrIn₅ ($T_c = 0.4$ K) down to $T_c/8$ for current directions parallel and perpendicular to the tetragonal axis of a single crystal. It reveals a dramatic anisotropy as $T \rightarrow 0$, whereby low-energy nodal quasiparticles carry heat well in the basal plane but poorly, if at all, along the c -axis. This is inconsistent with the d -wave states proposed for CeCoIn₅, characterized by line nodes running along the c -axis. In fact, it eliminates all allowed (spin singlet) pairing symmetries but one, the $(1, i)$ state of the E_g representation. This state has a hybrid gap structure, with a line node in the basal plane and point nodes in the c -direction, of the kind also found in UPt₃ [18].

Single crystals of CeIrIn₅ were grown by the self-flux method [3]. Two samples were cut into parallelepipeds with dimensions $\sim 4.5 \times 0.14 \times 0.045$ mm³ (for $J \parallel a$) and $\sim 1 \times 0.15 \times 0.086$ mm³ (for $J \parallel c$). Their exceptionally low residual resistivity (at $T \rightarrow 0$ and $H \rightarrow 0$) attests to their very high purity: ρ_{0a} (ρ_{0c}) = 0.2 (0.5) $\mu\Omega$ cm. The bulk transition temperature is $T_c = 0.38 \pm 0.02$ K and the upper critical field $H_{c2} = 0.49$ T for $H \parallel c$. The thermal conductivity was measured in a dilution refrigerator using a standard four-wire steady-state method with two RuO₂ chip thermometers calibrated *in situ* against a reference Ge thermometer. The same indium contacts were used for electrical resistivity and thermal conductivity. Their typical resistance at low temperature was ~ 5 m Ω . Note that the contribution of phonons to the thermal transport is entirely negligible below 1 K.

Normal state. The thermal conductivity of CeIrIn₅ is plotted in Fig. 1 as κ/T vs T , for a current perpendicular ($J \parallel a$) and parallel ($J \parallel c$) to the c axis. We first concentrate on the normal state, where the electrical resistivity $\rho(T)$ was found to satisfy the Wiedemann-Franz law to better than 1 %, as $T \rightarrow 0$: $\kappa_N/T = L_0/\rho_0$, where




FIG. 1: Thermal conductivity κ of CeIrIn₅, plotted as κ/T vs T , for a heat current perpendicular (top) and parallel (bottom) to the c -axis, in the superconducting state (SC; $H = 0$) and the normal state (N; $H = 0.5$ T). The normal state data are fitted to the Fermi-liquid dependence $\kappa_N/T = 1/(a + bT^2)$ (red line). A linear fit to the superconducting state data for $J \parallel a$ (below $T_c/2$) is also shown (blue line).

$L_0 = \frac{\pi^2}{3} (\frac{k_B}{e})^2$. This shows that our measurements do not suffer from electron-phonon decoupling (see discussion in [19, 20]). κ_N exhibits the temperature dependence of a Fermi liquid, $\kappa_N(T)/T = 1/(a + bT^2)$, with $a = 8.5$ (19.6) K² cm/W and $b = 36$ (90) cm/W, for $J \parallel a$ ($J \parallel c$). The fact that both samples have the same (thermal) resistivity ratio, namely $\kappa/T(T \rightarrow 0)/\kappa/T(0.6 \text{ K}) = 2.4$ (2.6) for $J \parallel a$ ($J \parallel c$), shows that they have the same level of impurity scattering.

Superconducting state. Given this conventional normal state behaviour, let us turn to the superconducting state, starting with $J \parallel a$. In the top panel of Fig. 1, κ_a/T is seen to be roughly linear in T , extrapolating to a finite residual linear term as $T \rightarrow 0$, as found by Movshovich *et al.* [12]. This is the behaviour expected of a superconductor with line nodes, *i.e.* with a density of states that grows linearly with energy ($N(E) \propto E$) [21, 22, 23]. In particular, theory shows that, in the $T \rightarrow 0$ limit, κ/T reaches a universal value given by [22, 24]:

$$\frac{\kappa_0}{T} = \frac{1}{3} \gamma_N v_F^2 \frac{a\hbar}{2\mu\Delta_0} \quad , \quad (1)$$

where γ_N is the linear term in the normal state specific heat, v_F is the Fermi velocity, Δ_0 is the gap maximum,

TABLE I: Even-parity (spin-singlet) pair states in a tetragonal crystal with point group D_{4h} [27]. (V = vertical line node, H = horizontal line node.)

Representation	Gap	Basis function	Nodes
A_{1g}	s-wave	$1, (x^2 + y^2), z^2$	none
A_{2g}	g-wave	$xy(x^2 - y^2)$	V
B_{1g}	$d_{x^2-y^2}$	$x^2 - y^2$	V
B_{2g}	d_{xy}	xy	V
$E_g(1, 0)$	-	xz	V+H
$E_g(1, 1)$	-	$(x + y)z$	V+H
$E_g(1, i)$	hybrid	$(x + iy)z$	H+points

μ is the slope of the gap at the node, and a is a constant of order unity whose value depends on the particular gap structure [22]. κ_0/T is called “universal” because it does not depend on impurity concentration and can therefore be used to measure the magnitude of the gap. Experimentally, universal conduction has been observed in high- T_c cuprates [25] and in the spin-triplet superconductor Sr₂RuO₄ [26], and Eq. 1 works well in both cases.

Let us now apply Eq. 1 to CeIrIn₅. The allowed order parameter representations in tetragonal symmetry [27] are listed in Table I (for singlet pairing). Two line node topologies are possible: *vertical* line nodes (where the Fermi surface cuts a vertical plane, e.g. $x = 0$), such as in the two d -wave states ($d_{x^2-y^2}$ in B_{1g} or d_{xy} in B_{2g}), and a *horizontal* line node (where the Fermi surface cuts the $z = 0$ plane), such as in the hybrid gap of the $E_g(1, i)$ state. The simplest gap functions are $\Delta = \Delta_0 \cos 2\phi$ and $\Delta = 2\Delta_0 \cos \theta \sin \theta e^{i\phi}$, for d -wave and $E_g(1, i)$ states, respectively. The corresponding nodal structures are illustrated in Fig. 2. Let us apply Eq. 1 to such a hybrid gap function, for which $a = 3/2$ and $\mu \equiv \mu_{\text{line}} = 2$ [24]. Using the known values of γ_N (7300 J K⁻² m⁻³ [12]), v_F (2×10^4 m/s, in the basal plane [11]), and Δ_0 ($2.5 k_B T_c$ [14]), Eq. 1 yields $\kappa_{0a}/T = 28 \text{ mW/K}^2 \text{ cm}$. From Fig. 1, the experimental value is $\kappa_{0a}/T \simeq 20 \text{ mW/K}^2 \text{ cm}$, in remarkable agreement with the theoretical estimate. If we model the hybrid gap structure in terms of a single effective slope of the gap at the line node averaged over the various sheets of the Fermi surface of CeIrIn₅, that parameter comes out to be $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 2.8$. While this quantitative agreement with theory is compelling confirmation for the presence of a line node in the gap of CeIrIn₅, it actually says little about its location. Indeed, the corresponding estimate for a d -wave gap gives a similar value for κ_{0a}/T . The diagnostic power of thermal conductivity in determining the detailed topology of the gap comes from its *directional* character, accessed by sending the current in distinct high-symmetry directions of the crystal. This was not done in the previous heat transport study [12] and, to the best of our knowledge, no direc-




FIG. 2: Typical gap structures in tetragonal symmetry, drawn on simple Fermi surfaces. *Left*: $d_{x^2-y^2}$ gap on a (warped) cylindrical Fermi surface, with four vertical line nodes running along the c -axis. *Right*: hybrid gap on a spherical Fermi surface, with one horizontal line node in the basal plane and two point nodes along the c -axis. Quasiparticle heat conduction at low temperature is entirely governed by nodal topology.

tional measurement of the gap has been reported so far for CeIrIn₅.

Anisotropy. As seen in Fig. 1, applying the current along the c axis reveals a qualitatively different limiting behaviour, whereby $\kappa_c/T \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow 0$. Simple T^2 or T^3 extrapolations yield κ_{0c}/T values no greater than 1-2 mW/K² cm, an order of magnitude smaller than κ_{0a}/T . Fig. 3 shows the anisotropy ratio, κ_c/κ_a , as a function of temperature, in both normal and superconducting states. In the normal state, κ_c/κ_a is virtually independent of temperature, with $\kappa_a/\kappa_c \simeq 2.5$. The anisotropy in $\rho(T)$ is similarly constant, even well beyond the Fermi-liquid T^2 regime, with $\rho_c/\rho_a \simeq 2.7$ between 1.2 and 8 K. This simply reflects the anisotropy of the Fermi velocity (or mass tensor). A T -independent normal-state anisotropy was also found in UPt₃ [17, 18].

The superconducting state anisotropy is strikingly different, a difference that can only come from gap anisotropy. Two distinct features are manifest: 1) a slight increase immediately below T_c and 2) a precipitous drop below $T \simeq T_c/3$. These two features combine to produce a broad peak centered at $T \simeq T_c/2$. We attribute the first feature to an *anisotropic* suppression of inelastic scattering, brought about as electrons pair up (anisotropically) and cease to participate in the electron-electron scattering responsible for the bT^2 term in κ_N/T .

The second feature is directly diagnostic of the nodal structure, as it comes from low-energy quasiparticles. The factor of ~ 3 drop in κ_c/κ_a between $T_c/3$ and $T_c/8$ clearly extrapolates to a very small value as $T \rightarrow 0$. This reveals a *qualitative a-c* anisotropy in the average velocity of thermally excited nodal quasiparticles. In other words, those k -states responsible for c -axis conduction in the normal state appear to be much more strongly gapped. *This excludes any nodal structure for which the line nodes are along the c -axis, irrespective of the shape of the Fermi surface.* Indeed, such vertical line nodes would simply reproduce the underlying anisotropy of v_F , and κ_c/κ_a




FIG. 3: Temperature dependence of the anisotropy ratio κ_c/κ_a of CeIrIn₅, in the normal state (N) and in the superconducting state (SC). The dotted line is the ratio of the two fit lines (to the normal state data) displayed in Fig. 1 and the solid line is a linear fit to the superconducting state data below $T_c/3$. The precipitous drop at low temperature reflects a strongly anisotropic gap whose nodal structure is inconsistent with vertical line nodes (running along the c -axis). The small peak below T_c is due to inelastic scattering (see text). Inset: calculated anisotropy (normalized at T_c) for the gaps shown in Fig. 2, namely d -wave [28] and hybrid [21].

would basically mimic the normal state anisotropy. This expectation, confirmed by calculations [28], is illustrated in the inset of Fig. 3 (horizontal blue line). A modulation of the gap maximum along the c -axis, whereby $\Delta_0 = \Delta_0(\theta)$, can produce some additional anisotropy in the superconducting state, but this is typically modest and weakly T dependent [28]. More importantly, it would never bring to nearly zero for $J \parallel c$ ($\theta = 0$) the residual linear term present for $J \parallel a$ ($\theta = \pi/2$).

By excluding vertical line nodes in the gap of CeIrIn₅, our study eliminates all allowed representations for the order parameter, except one: the two-component E_g representation (see Table I). In particular, both d -wave states are ruled out: $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and d_{xy} , respectively in B_{1g} and B_{2g} symmetry. Of the three states allowed in the E_g representation, only the $(1, i)$ state is generically free of vertical line nodes. Its typical $(x + iy)z$ dependence produces a hybrid gap, which possesses, in addition to the line node in the basal plane ($z = 0$), point nodes along the $z \parallel c$ direction, at $x = y = 0$ (see Fig. 2). Note that this state breaks time-reversal symmetry, and will therefore spontaneously generate an internal magnetic moment around impurities. μ SR measurements on CeIrIn₅ have not detected such moments [29], possibly because the associated fields are too small in these high purity samples, as also found in high quality crystals of UPt₃ [30], a superconductor for which the accumulated

evidence points overwhelmingly to a ground state with broken time-reversal symmetry [18].

We now consider whether our data is compatible with another special feature of the $E_g(1, i)$ state: the c -axis point nodes of its hybrid gap. These are *linear* point nodes, *i.e.* $\Delta(\theta) \propto \theta$, such that $N(E) \propto E^2$, which implies that κ_0/T in the c direction is *not* universal. Theory shows that κ_{0c}/T should be smaller than κ_{0a}/T by a factor $\simeq \sqrt{\hbar\Gamma/\Delta_0} \times \mu_{\text{line}}/\mu_{\text{point}}^2$ (in the unitary limit) [24], where Γ is the impurity scattering rate and μ_{line} (μ_{point}) is the slope of the gap at the line (point) node. The predicted anisotropy for $\hbar\Gamma = 0.1 k_B T_c$ and $\mu_{\text{line}} = \mu_{\text{point}} = 2$ is shown in the inset of Fig. 3 (red line; taken from [21]). While the detailed temperature dependence of the data on this multi-band material is not expected to be captured by the simple model of a single spherical Fermi surface, it is nevertheless meaningful to look at the $T \rightarrow 0$ limit, governed entirely by the slope of the gap at the nodes [22, 24]. The calculation shown in Fig. 3 yields a residual anisotropy κ_c/κ_a that is 20 % of the normal state anisotropy at $T \rightarrow 0$. This is compatible with the data, where the lowest point only restricts the residual anisotropy ratio to be less than 40 % of its normal-state value. Even a sizable increase in Γ would not necessarily invalidate this compatibility since it could easily be compensated by a reduction in the gap parameter μ_{point} . To summarize, while κ_{0a}/T is inconsistent with an s -wave gap (A_{1g} in Table I) and κ_{0c}/T is inconsistent with a d -wave gap (or any gap with a vertical line node), both κ_{0a}/T and κ_{0c}/T are quantitatively consistent with a hybrid gap.

We note that the anisotropy of heat conduction was also measured in CeCoIn₅ [20], but the presence of unpaired electrons in that material produces an unexpectedly large and *isotropic* residual linear term which totally masks any anisotropy that might come from the coexisting nodal quasiparticles. It should be emphasized that the lack of a sizable residual linear term in the c -axis data reported here rules out the possibility of such uncondensed electrons in CeIrIn₅.

In conclusion, the in-plane thermal conductivity κ_a of CeIrIn₅ measured down to $T_c/8$ confirms unambiguously the presence of line nodes in the superconducting gap. The c -axis conductivity κ_c reveals a profound anisotropy as $T \rightarrow 0$, which rules out the possibility that these line nodes are vertical (along the c -axis). This eliminates all but one of the pairing states allowed in D_{4h} symmetry, including the d -wave state proposed for the closely related compound CeCoIn₅. This leaves as sole candidate for CeIrIn₅ the $(1, i)$ state of the E_g representation, also a prime candidate for the superconductor UPt₃ [18]. The $T \rightarrow 0$ value of κ/T in both high-symmetry directions is in good quantitative agreement with theoretical calculations for this state. This therefore points to superconducting order parameters of different symmetry in the two isostructural members of the CeMIn₅ fam-

ily of nearly magnetic heavy-fermion metals. It will be interesting to examine how these differences might arise from the respective magnetic fluctuation spectra. Direct experimental confirmation of the presence of c -axis point nodes and broken time-reversal symmetry, both implied by the $E_g(1, i)$ state, is called for. In principle, both should be revealed by doping with impurities. We

are grateful to I. Vekhter and A. Vorontsov for sharing their calculations before publication, to M.J. Graf for a careful reading of the manuscript, and to B. Davoudi, C. Lupien, J. Paglione, K. Samokhin, A.-M. Tremblay, for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the Canadian Institute for Advanced Research and a Canada Research Chair (L.T.), and funded by NSERC of Canada and FQRNT of Quebec. It was partially carried out at the Brookhaven National Laboratory, which is operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Brookhaven Science Associates (DE-Ac02-98CH10886).

* Permanent address: Inst. Surface Chemistry, N.A.S. Ukraine, Kyiv, Ukraine.

† Electronic address: Louis.Taillefer@USherbrooke.ca

- [1] N. D. Mathur *et al.*, Nature **394**, 39 (1998).
- [2] C. Petrovic *et al.*, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **13**, L337 (2001).
- [3] C. Petrovic *et al.*, Europhys. Lett. **53**, 354 (2001).
- [4] K. Izawa *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 057002 (2001).
- [5] H. Aoki *et al.*, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **16**, L13 (2004).
- [6] A. Vorontsov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 237001 (2006).
- [7] T. Takimoto *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 104504 (2004).
- [8] S. Watanabe *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn **75**, 043710 (2006).
- [9] K. Tanaka *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn **75**, 024713 (2006).
- [10] T. Maehira, *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn **72**, 854 (2005).
- [11] Y. Haga *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 060503(R) (2001).
- [12] R. Movshovich *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 5152 (2001).
- [13] Y. Kohori *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 134526 (2001).
- [14] G.Q. Zheng *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 4664 (2001).
- [15] M. Nicklas *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 020505(R) (2004).
- [16] S. Kawasaki *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 037007 (2005).
- [17] B. Lussier *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 3294 (1994); B. Lussier *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **53**, 5145 (1996).
- [18] R. Joynt *et al.*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **74**, 235 (2002).
- [19] M. Smith *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 014506 (2005).
- [20] M. A. Tanatar *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 067002 (2005).
- [21] A. Fledderjohann *et al.*, Solid State Comm. **94**, 163 (1995).
- [22] M. J. Graf *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **53**, 15147 (1996).
- [23] M.R. Norman *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **53**, 5706 (1996).
- [24] M. J. Graf *et al.*, J. Low Temp. Phys. **102**, 367 (1996).
- [25] L. Taillefer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 483 (1997); M. Chiao *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 3554 (2000).
- [26] M. Suzuki *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 227004 (2002).
- [27] C.C. Tsuei *et al.*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **72**, 969 (2000).
- [28] I. Vekhter and A. Vorontsov, unpublished.
- [29] W. Higemoto *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn **71**, 1023 (2002).
- [30] P. Dalmas de Reotier *et al.*, Phys. Lett. A **205**, 239 (1995).