

# Equation of state for hard square lattice gases

Heitor C. Marques Fernandes,<sup>\*</sup> Yan Levin,<sup>†</sup> and Jeferson J. Arenzon<sup>‡</sup>  
*Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul*  
*CP 15051, 91501-970 Porto Alegre RS, Brazil*

Almost forty years ago, Carnahan and Starling published in this journal their, now famous, equation of state for hard sphere fluid [1]. Their derivation was based on the simple observation that the leading order virial coefficients for hard sphere fluid in three dimensions closely followed a geometric sequence. The assumption that this behavior also extrapolated to higher order virials, allowed Carnahan and Starling to explicitly resum the virial expansion to find a simple, yet, very accurate equation of state.

Unfortunately, no such accurate equation of state is known for the case of lattice gases. This is particularly frustrating, since lattice models are widely used to study many complex fluids ranging from microemulsions to electrolytes [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. In this note, we shall present a very simple equation of state which works very well for two dimension lattice gas of hard squares and reasonably well for three dimension lattice gas of small hard cubes at not too high density.

Our discussion is based on a lattice theory of polymer mixtures proposed a long time ago by Flory [7], who deduced the entropy of mixing to be

$$S = -k_B [N_1 \ln \phi_1 + N_2 \ln \phi_2] , \quad (1)$$

where  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are the number of polymers of type one and two, while  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are their respective volume fractions. The form of Eq.(1) is particularly appealing since it does not contain any reference to the lattice structure and depends only on thermodynamically well defined variables. The mixture is assumed to fill all the available volume, so that there are no vacancies. If there is only one type of polymer occupying a volume fraction  $\phi_1$ , the rest of the space is taken to be filled by the solvent of  $\phi_2 = 1 - \phi_1$ .

It is clear that the formalism developed by Flory for polymer mixtures should be readily applicable to “hard” non-attracting lattice gases. Consider, for example, a lattice gas of hard hypercubes of volume  $\lambda^d$  ( $\lambda$  is integer and the lattice spacing is taken to be 1) on a simple hypercubic lattice in  $d$  dimensions. The Helmholtz free energy of this lattice gas is  $F_m = -TS$ , since the system is athermal. The free energy density is

$$\beta f_m = \rho \ln \phi + (1 - \phi) \ln (1 - \phi) , \quad (2)$$

where  $\rho$  is the particle density and  $\phi = \lambda^d \rho$  is the volume fraction.

We note, however, that in the low density limit, Eq. (2) *does not* reduce to the free energy of the ideal gas

$$\beta f = \rho \ln \rho - \rho . \quad (3)$$

Therefore,  $f_m$  can not be the *total* free energy of the system, except for the case of  $\lambda = 1$  when Eq. (2) becomes exact. For polymer mixtures, to obtain the total free energy, Flory added an extra contribution to Eq.(2) which accounted for the conformational degrees of freedom of the polymer chains, the so called entropy of disorientation [7]. This restored the correct low density behavior to the theory. For rigid particles, however, the entropy of disorientation is identically zero and cannot be the reason for the failure of Eq. (2).

To recover the correct low density behavior, while preserving the simple and thermodynamically appealing form of Eq. (2), we modify  $f_m$  by introducing a multiplicative factor  $g(\lambda)$  into Eq. (2),

$$\beta f = \rho \ln \phi + g(\lambda) (1 - \phi) \ln (1 - \phi) . \quad (4)$$

This equation can be interpreted as an interpolation between the low density limit governed by the particles, and the high density limit in which defects, the “holes”, become relevant. The total number of holes, however, is not fixed since the vacancies can change their size and shape, so that the prefactor appearing in front of the second term of Eq. (4) is the effective number density.

The requirement that in the low density limit Eq. (4) must reduce to Eq. (3), uniquely determines the functional form of  $g(\lambda)$  yielding

$$g(\lambda) = \frac{1 + d \ln \lambda}{\lambda^d} . \quad (5)$$

Note that  $g(1) = 1$ , so that Eq. (4) reduces to the exact free energy for a lattice gas of  $\lambda = 1$ . The chemical potential within the modified Flory approximation (MFA) is

$$\beta \mu = -(1 + d \ln \lambda) [\ln (1 - \phi) + 1] + \ln \phi + 1 . \quad (6)$$

In Fig. 1 we compare the value of the chemical potential obtained within the MFA with the results of the Monte Carlo simulations for a gas of hard squares of different sizes  $\lambda$ . The simulations were performed using the grand-canonical ensemble at fixed volume  $V$ , temperature  $T$ , and chemical potential  $\mu$ , with trial moves insertion and removal of particles as well as attempts to diffuse [8]. The agreement is excellent for all  $\lambda$ s tested,

<sup>\*</sup>Electronic address: heitor@if.ufrgs.br

<sup>†</sup>Electronic address: levin@if.ufrgs.br

<sup>‡</sup>Electronic address: arenzon@if.ufrgs.br




FIG. 1: Chemical potential versus volume fraction for various two dimensional lattice gases. The symbols are the MC results while the lines are the predictions of the MFA Eq. (6), with  $d = 2$ .




FIG. 2: Chemical potential versus volume fraction for  $\lambda = 2$  lattice gas. The symbols are the MC results; the solid curve is the equation of state obtained in this Note, Eq. (6); the dashed line is the equation of state obtained using the Fundamental Measure Density Functional Theory, Ref. [11], which is also the same as the one found earlier by Temperley [10]. The order-disorder transition occurs at  $\phi \approx 0.93$ .

up to quite high volume fractions. However, similarly to the Carnahan-Starling equation of state, MFA also fails to notice the phase transition between the disordered and ordered (columnar) phases present at high volume fractions [9]. In Fig. 2 we compare the accuracy of the MFA with the earlier equation of state derived by Temperley [10] which is also identical to the one recently found using the Fundamental Measure theory [11].

It is curious that all the MC curves for different values of  $\lambda$  intersect at approximately the same point. This property is also captured by the MFA, which predicts that all the chemical potentials for different  $\lambda$ s are equal when the volume fraction satisfies  $\ln(1 - \phi_\times) = -1$ , independent of  $d$ . The value  $\phi_\times = 0.632121$  is in excellent agreement with the intersection point observed in the Monte Carlo simulations.

In Figs. 3 and 4 we also show the equations of state




FIG. 3: Chemical potential versus volume fraction for a gas of hard rods with  $\lambda = 1, 2$  and  $3$  in  $d = 1$ . The symbols are the exact value of the chemical potential [12] while the lines are the predictions of the MFA Eq. (6) with  $d = 1$ .




FIG. 4: Chemical potential versus volume fraction for various three dimensional hard cube lattice gases. The points are the MC results while the lines are the predictions of the MFA Eq. (6) with  $d = 3$ .

for  $d = 1$  hard rods, and  $d = 3$  hard cubes. In the case of  $d = 1$  the exact free energy is known [12]. Although still quite good, the agreement between the simulations and the MFA deteriorates more rapidly with increasing  $\lambda$  for  $d = 1$  and  $d = 3$  than for  $d = 2$ .

The high degree of accuracy of the MFA in  $d = 2$  is quite surprising in view of the crudeness of the approximation. It also suggests that there should be a more direct way to arrive at Eq. (4), or some other such equation of state [11, 13], generally valid for non-attracting lattice gases of arbitrary  $\lambda$ . In the absence of such general theory, the very simple Eq. (4) should be useful for constructing lattice mean-field theories for various complex systems.

This work was supported in part by the Brazilian science agencies CNPq, CAPES, and FAPERGS.

- 
- [1] N. F. Carnahan and K. E. Starling, J. Chem. Phys. **51**, 635 (1969).
  - [2] B. Widom, J. Chem. Phys. **81**, 1030 (1984).
  - [3] E. Caglioti and V. Loreto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 4333 (1999).
  - [4] M. N. Artyomov, V. Kobelev, and A. B. Kolomeisky, J. Chem. Phys. **118**, 6394 (2003).
  - [5] A. Z. Panagiotopoulos, J. Chem. Phys. **123**, Art. No. 104504 (2005).
  - [6] J. Marro and R. Dickman, *Nonequilibrium phase transitions in lattice models* (Cambridge University Press, AD-DRESS, 1999).
  - [7] P. J. Flory, *Principles of polymer chemistry* (Cornell University Press, Ithaca, New York, 1953).
  - [8] D. Frenkel and B. Smit, *Understanding Molecular Simulation* (Academic Press, New York, 2002).
  - [9] L. Runnels, in *Phase transitions and critical phenomena.*, edited by C. Domb and M. S. Green (Academic Press, London-New York, 1972), Vol. 2, Chap. 8, pp. 305–328, lattice gas theories of melting.
  - [10] H. Temperley, P. Phys. R. Soc. **77**, 630 (1961).
  - [11] L. Lafuente and J. Cuesta, J. Chem. Phys. **119**, 10832 (2003).
  - [12] A. Robledo, J. Chem. Phys. **72**, 1701 (1980).
  - [13] L. Lafuente and J. A. Cuesta, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 130603 (2004).