

Electrostatic Modulation of the Superfluid Density in a Ultrathin  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$  FilmA. Rüfenacht<sup>1</sup>, J.-P. Locquet<sup>2</sup>, J. Fompeyrine<sup>2</sup>, D. Caimi<sup>2</sup>, and P. Martinoli<sup>1</sup><sup>1</sup>Institut de Physique, Université de Neuchâtel, CH-2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland<sup>2</sup>IBM Research Division, Zurich Research Laboratory, CH-8803 Rüschlikon, Switzerland

(dated: February 8, 2020)

By capacitively charging an underdoped ultrathin  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$  film with an electric field applied across a gate insulator with a high dielectric constant, relative changes of the areal superfluid density  $n_s$  of unprecedented strength were observed in measurements of the film kinetic inductance. Although  $n_s$  appears to be substantially reduced by disorder, the data provide, for the first time on the same sample, direct compelling evidence for the Uemura relation  $T_c / n_s$  ( $T = 0$ ) in the underdoped regime of copper-oxide superconductors.

PACS numbers: 74.62.Yb, 74.78.Bz, 74.25.Nf, 74.90.+n

It is widely accepted that copper-oxide superconductors in the underdoped regime are doped charge transfer insulators whose properties strongly depend on the concentration of the "free" electrical carriers. If the doping level is measured by a parameter  $x$  expressing the number of free carriers per Cu site in the  $\text{CuO}_2$ -planes, in the hole-doped materials superconductivity typically sets in at  $x \approx 0.05$ , reaches its maximum strength at  $x \approx 0.15$ , and disappears above  $x \approx 0.30$ . Usually,  $x$  is changed by non-isovalent chemical substitution of the antiferromagnetic insulating parent compound ( $x = 0$ ): for instance, by substituting a  $\text{Sr}^{+2}$  ion for one of the  $\text{La}^{+3}$  ions in the  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$  (LSCO) compound studied in this work. However, changing  $x$  by chemical substitution implies that the microstructure unavoidably varies from sample to sample. Because of the d-wave symmetry of the order parameter in copper-oxide superconductors, disorder effects may then become relevant and, in thin films, even very pronounced, thereby making the interpretation of experiments probing the doping dependence of various quantities a difficult task. An elegant way to overcome this difficulty relies on the electric-field effect [1], which allows to modulate the free-carrier concentration in the superconducting electrode of a capacitor-like heterostructure by varying the electrostatic field applied across a gate insulator. So far, tunable electric-field-effect devices incorporating a copper-oxide superconductor were almost exclusively studied with conventional transport measurements probing the resistive region above the critical temperature  $T_c$  [2, 3, 4, 5].

A powerful method to investigate the superconducting state of thin films from the fluctuation-dominated region near  $T_c$  down to  $T = 0$  is to measure their sheet kinetic inductance  $L_k$  [6, 7]. In a two-dimensional (2D) superconductor  $L_k^{-1}(T)$  is proportional to the temperature-dependent areal superfluid density  $n_s(T)$ :  $L_k^{-1}(T) = e^2 n_s(T) / m$ , where  $m$  is the carrier effective mass. Although not all the free carriers may participate to the superconducting condensate, one can nevertheless expect that varying their areal concentration  $n$  will somehow affect  $n_s$  and, consequently,  $L_k^{-1}$ . Changes of

$L_k^{-1}$  reflecting changes of  $n_s$  were indeed observed by Fiory et al. [8] in the first electric-field-effect experiment performed on a copper-oxide film. However, since the film thickness was much larger than the Thomas-Fermi charge screening length, the relative changes  $\Delta L_k^{-1} / L_k^{-1}$  turned out to be extremely small, typically of the order of  $10^{-5}$ . Field-induced relative changes of  $L_k^{-1}$  in the range  $10^{-4}$ – $10^{-3}$  were also observed in surface impedance measurements at microwave frequencies [9], whose interpretation, however, was less transparent because of the non-linear response of the  $\text{SrTiO}_3$  gate insulator to the applied electric field.

In this Letter we describe an experiment in which the temperature and electric-field dependences of  $L_k^{-1}$  were investigated by capacitively charging an epitaxially grown ultrathin (two-unit-cell-thick) LSCO film in the underdoped regime ( $x = 0.1$ ) with an electrostatic field  $E$  applied across a gate insulator with a high dielectric constant. We find that the field-induced change  $\Delta L_k^{-1}(T; E)$  is a non-monotonic function of  $T$  which, for the highest fields accessible to the experiment ( $E \approx 2 \times 10^6 \text{ V/m}$ ), reaches a maximum corresponding to  $\approx 20\%$  of  $L_k^{-1}(0; 0)$  at  $T = T_c \approx 0.7$  and saturates to  $\approx 10\%$  of  $L_k^{-1}(0; 0)$  at very low temperatures. These large modulations offer new interesting opportunities to explore the intriguing superconducting behavior of the copper oxides. As an illustration, the field-induced relative changes  $\Delta L_k^{-1}(0; E) / L_k^{-1}(0; 0)$  measured at very low temperature were correlated, for the first time on the same sample, with the corresponding relative variations  $T_c(E) / T_c(0)$ . Both quantities were found to vary linearly with  $E$  and, quite remarkably,  $\Delta L_k^{-1}(0; E) / L_k^{-1}(0; 0) = T_c(E) / T_c(0)$ , a result providing direct compelling evidence for the validity of Uemura's relation [10]  $T_c / n_s(0) = m$  for underdoped copper-oxide superconductors. We consider this observation as the central result emerging from this work.

To achieve a substantial electrostatic modulation of  $n_s$  in a thin film, it is essential that its thickness  $d$  is of the order of the Thomas-Fermi charge screening length  $\lambda_{TF}$ , the field-induced charges being confined in a layer

of thickness  $t_{\text{TF}}$  near the superconductor-insulator interface. If one relies on a 2D free-electron gas to estimate  $t_{\text{TF}}$  for metallic LSCO in the quasi-2D underdoped regime, one finds  $t_{\text{TF}} = \epsilon_0 (\epsilon_s d_s / m_e)^{1/2}$ , where  $d_s$  is the  $\text{CuO}_2$ -interlayer distance and  $\epsilon_s$  the dielectric constant of LSCO ( $\epsilon_0$  is the superconducting magnetic flux quantum). Using  $d_s = 0.66$  nm and  $\epsilon_s = 29$  [1] for LSCO, one obtains  $t_{\text{TF}} = 0.5$  nm. This means preparing epitaxial films only a few unit-cells thick in the c-axis direction, one unit-cell (UC) corresponding to a thickness of 1.33 nm. Even when grown on an epitaxially optimized substrate like  $\text{SrLaAlO}_4$  (SLAO) [12], such ultrathin LSCO films have a strongly reduced  $T_c$ , superconductivity being completely suppressed in 1-UC-thick films. We have recently demonstrated [13], however, that it is possible to grow with block-by-block molecular beam epitaxy [14] (1-2)-UC-thick LSCO films having  $T_c$  in the range (10-20) K by inserting a normal (i.e., non-superconducting) metallic LSCO buffer layer between the film and the SLAO substrate, a procedure which apparently helps in minimizing the degradation of the film structure at the interface. Relying on this almost homoepitaxial method, in a first step a trilayer heterostructure consisting of a 12-UC-thick normal LSCO buffer layer ( $x = 0.4$ ), a 2-UC-thick ( $d = 2.66$  nm) superconducting LSCO film in the underdoped regime ( $x = 0.1$ ), and an amorphous  $\text{HfO}_2$ -film of thickness  $D = 15$  nm [15] was grown in situ on a monocrystalline SLAO substrate. Hafnium oxide was chosen as gate insulator on account of its high static dielectric constant  $\epsilon_s$ , for which values up to 25 are reported [16], and its large breakdown fields, typically  $(6-8) \times 10^6$  V/m [17]. In the subsequent photolithographic steps the trilayer was then patterned in the capacitor-like "mesa structure" shown in Fig. 1. To avoid short-circuits, before depositing the top platinum (Pt) gate electrode, a  $\text{SiO}_2$  layer was deposited around the mesa after the Pt-metalization of the bottom electrodes. In the gate-voltage range  $|V_G| \approx 3$  V covered by the experiments, corresponding to electrostatic fields  $|E| = (V_G/D) \approx (2-10) \times 10^8$  V/m, the leakage current was found to be independent of polarity, the maximum leakage current density being  $10^{-4}$  A/cm<sup>2</sup> at  $V_G = \pm 3$  V. The inverse sheet kinetic inductance  $L_k^{-1}$  of the superconducting LSCO film was extracted from measurements




FIG. 1: Cross section of the multilayer electric-field-effect device. N: Normal, SC: Superconducting.




FIG. 2: (a) Temperature dependence of the inverse kinetic inductance at three values of the gate voltage ( $V_G = 0; \pm 3$  V) and of its normalized deviation for  $|V_G| = 3$  V from the  $V_G = 0$  data of an underdoped ( $x = 0.1$ ) 2-UC-thick LSCO film. The full curve is a fit according to Eq. (3); (b) Universal temperature dependence  $f(t)$  of the normalized  $L_k^{-1}$  vs  $T$  curves of (a). Notice the  $t^2$ -dependence of  $[1 - f(t)]$  at low temperatures ( $t < 0.45$ ) highlighted by the dashed straight line.

(down to  $T \approx 0.5$  K) of the mutual-inductance change of a drive-receive two-coil system caused by the screening supercurrents owing in the film in response to a small ac excitation at a frequency of 33 kHz [6].

In Fig. 2 (a) the temperature dependence of  $L_k^{-1}$  is shown for  $V_G = 0; \pm 3$  V. The data reveal a marked electrostatic modulation of  $n_s(T)$  over the whole temperature range,  $L_k^{-1}(T)$  exhibiting a substantial enhancement for hole injection (corresponding to  $V_G < 0$ ) and an almost identical reduction for hole depletion (corresponding to  $V_G > 0$ ). This is qualitatively consistent with the fact that the LSCO film is in the underdoped regime, where  $n_s(T)$  is expected to rise with increasing hole concentration. When plotted against the reduced temperature  $t = T/T_c(E)$ , where  $T_c(E)$  was defined ad hoc by extrapolating to zero the linear high-temperature portion of the  $L_k^{-1}$  vs  $T$  curves, the normalized data  $L_k^{-1}(T;E)/L_k^{-1}(0;E)$  all collapse on the universal curve

$f(t)$  shown in Fig. 2(b). This demonstrates that, at least in the limited doping range explored in this work,  $f(t)$  is independent of the carrier concentration, a conclusion unaffected by the choice of the procedure adopted to define  $T_c(E)$ . In other words, within the framework of a two-fluid model of superconductivity, this means that the ratio  $n_n(T)/n_s(T)$  between the areal normal fluid density  $n_n(T)$  of the thermally excited quasiparticles and  $n_s(T)$  is independent of doping. In Fig. 2(b) we also show that, at low temperatures,  $|f(t)|$ , which is proportional to the change of  $n_n(T)$  with temperature, follows the  $t^2$ -dependence predicted by the theory of disordered d-wave superconductors [18].

Deeper insight into the nature of the superconducting state of the copper oxides in the underdoped regime is provided by studying the relationship between the field-induced relative shift  $T_c(E)/T_c(0)$  of the transition temperature and the relative change  $L_k^{-1}(0;E)/L_k^{-1}(0;0)$  of the inverse kinetic inductance at very low temperature, in the limit  $T \rightarrow 0$ . As shown in Fig. 3, both quantities varies linearly with  $E$  in the field-range  $E = -2 \text{ to } 10 \text{ V/m}$ . Most remarkable, however, is the observation that, within experimental accuracy,  $L_k^{-1}(0;E)/L_k^{-1}(0;0) = T_c(E)/T_c(0)$ , a result pointing unambiguously to the relation  $T_c/n_s(0) = \mu$  proposed by Uemura et al. [10] on the basis of muon-spin-relaxation measurements of the magnetic penetration depth of underdoped copper-oxide superconductors, but in striking contrast with recent kinetic-inductance measurements performed on underdoped YBCO films, where  $T_c$  was found roughly proportional to  $[n_s(0)]^{1/2}$  [19]. We think that this discrepancy might result from sample-to-sample varying disorder in the experiments of Ref. [19], although other explanations can be envisaged. We also emphasize that the message conveyed by our observation is clearly distinct from that emerging from the field-effect experiments of Ref. [20], in which the relation  $T_c/n$  was inferred from transport measurements. As a matter of fact,  $n_s(0)$  and  $n$  are likely to be related, but not necessarily identical, quantities.

At this point, it is instructive to compare our results with existing theoretical expressions relating  $L_k^{-1}(0)$  to  $T_c$ . It turns out that 2D estimates of  $L_k^{-1}(0) = T_c$  based on both the quasiparticle [21] and the phase-uctuation [22, 23] mechanisms lead to values  $[(0.3 \text{ to } 0.5) nH^{-1} = K]$  which are more than one order of magnitude larger than that actually observed in our experiment  $(0.02 nH^{-1} = K)$ . This strongly suggests that disorder not only considerably reduces  $n_s(0)$ , but also tends to suppress  $n_s(0)$  more efficiently than  $T_c$ , however without altering the proportionality between  $L_k^{-1}(0)$  and  $T_c$ . In this connection, we notice that the different sensitivity of  $L_k^{-1}(0)$  and  $T_c$  to disorder is consistent with previous experimental observations and can be explained by a mean-field theory of d-wave superconductors in which the order-parameter spatial variations caused by disorder




FIG. 3: Comparison of the field-induced relative changes of the critical temperature and of the inverse kinetic inductance at very low temperature ( $T = 0.6 \text{ K}$ ,  $T = T_c = 0.07$ ) for an underdoped ( $x = 0.1$ ) 2-UC-thick LSCO film as a function of the applied electrostatic field. The dashed straight line is a fit according to Eq. (2).

in short-coherence-length materials are adequately taken into account [24]. For the very same reason (i.e.,  $T_c$  more robust against disorder than  $n_s$ ), we argue that the universal "superfluid jump" at  $T_c$  predicted by the Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless theory tends to be suppressed by disorder, a conjecture consistent with the absence of any rapid superfluid drop near  $T_c$  in the  $L_k^{-1}$  vs  $T$  curves of Fig. 2.

In order to explain the  $L_k^{-1}(0;E) = L_k^{-1}(0;0)$  data of Fig. 3 in more quantitative terms, we make the reasonable assumption that the fraction  $n_s(0) = n$  of holes participating to the superconducting condensate at  $T = 0$  is independent of their concentration and, consequently, of  $E$ . Then,  $L_k^{-1}(0;E) = L_k^{-1}(0;0) = n(E) = n$ . To determine the field-induced change  $n(E)$  of the areal hole density  $n$ , we ignore the discrete layered structure of LSCO and assume that it behaves like a 2D metallic continuum, whose charge screening properties are described by the 2D expression of  $\epsilon_{TF}$  given above. Relying on this simple model, elementary electrostatics leads to:

$$n(E) = (CD/e)[1 - \exp(-d = \epsilon_{TF})]E; \quad (1)$$

where  $C^{-1} = (\epsilon_0 = D)^{-1} + (\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_{TF})^{-1}$ , an expression showing that the capacitance  $C$  (per unit surface) of the field-effect structure is the series connection of the "geometrical" capacitance  $\epsilon_0 = D$  and the "interface" capacitance  $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_{TF}$ . It should be noticed, however, that other contributions to the interface capacitance (see, for instance, Ref. [25]) are not included in this treatment. In our experiment,  $d = \epsilon_{TF} = 5$  and it turns out, a posteriori,

that  $T_F \cdot D = \epsilon$ , so that:

$$L_k^{-1}(0;E) = L_k^{-1}(0;0) = \epsilon_0 E = \epsilon n : \quad (2)$$

Expressing  $n$  in terms of the Sr-concentration  $[n = (x=a^2d_s)d = 2.78 \cdot 10^{18} \text{ m}^{-2}]$ , using  $a = 0.38 \text{ nm}$  for the cell side of the square  $\text{CuO}_2$ -lattice], Eq. (2) can be fitted to the data of Fig. 3 taking  $\epsilon_{24}$ , a value in good agreement with that reported for  $\text{HfO}_2$  in Ref. [16]. Notice, incidentally, that in deriving Eq. (1) it was assumed to be independent of  $E$ , which is manifestly the case for  $\text{HfO}_2$ , as demonstrated by the linear field dependence of the data of Fig. 3.

Further support for the Uemura relation emerges from the study of the temperature dependence of the field-induced change  $L_k^{-1}(T;E)$  of the inverse kinetic inductance. Taking advantage of the proportionality between  $L_k^{-1}(0;E)$  and  $T_c(E)$  and using Eq. (2), one obtains, by expanding  $L_k^{-1}(T;E) = L_k^{-1}(0;E)f[T=T(E)]$  about  $E = 0$ :

$$\frac{L_k^{-1}(T;E)}{L_k^{-1}(0;0)} = f(t) \quad \frac{df}{dt} = \frac{\epsilon_0 E}{\epsilon n}; \quad (3)$$

where  $t = T/T_c(0)$ . In Fig. 2(a) the temperature dependence of  $L_k^{-1}(T;E) = L_k^{-1}(0;0)$ , as deduced by averaging the deviations of  $L_k^{-1}(T;E)$  (corresponding to  $E = (2 \cdot 10^8 \text{ V/m})$  with respect to  $L_k^{-1}(T;0)$ , is compared with the prediction (3), where the expression in the brackets was calculated from the universal function  $f(t)$  shown in Fig. 2(b). The best fit to the data was obtained for  $\epsilon_{24}$ , the same value extracted from the fit of Fig. 3. Considering the simplicity of the electrostatic treatment, the agreement is excellent and provides additional evidence for the linear scaling of  $n_s(0)$  with  $T_c$ , the only ingredient which, together with the universality of  $f(t)$ , enters the derivation of Eq. (3).

In conclusion, large electrostatic modulations of the areal superfluid density  $n_s$  of an ultrathin LSCO film in the underdoped regime were observed by charging the film in an accurately devised capacitor structure. The central result emerging from our investigations is that the proportionality between  $T_c$  and  $n_s(T=0)$ , empirically proposed by Uemura, was verified, for the first time, on the same sample and is thus unaffected by the uncertainties resulting from sample-to-sample varying disorder of other experimental approaches. When adequately normalized, the  $n_s(T;E)$  data exhibit a universal temperature dependence, which, at low temper-

atures, follows the theoretical prediction for disordered d-wave superconductors. Combined with Uemura's relation and a simple model for the electrostatic behavior of the superconductor-insulator interface, the universal temperature scaling provides an accurate description of the changes of  $n_s$  with temperature and electric field.

We thank H. Beck, A.M. Goldman, A.F. Hebard, S.E. Korshunov, A.E. Koshelev, J. Mannhart, and J.-M. Triscone for clarifying discussions and H. Siegwart for technical assistance. This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation through the National Center of Competence in Research "Materials with Novel Electronic Properties".

- 
- [1] For a review see: C.H. Ahn et al, Nature 424, 1015 (2003), and references quoted therein.
  - [2] J. Mannhart et al, Z. Phys. B 83, 307 (1991).
  - [3] X.X. Xi et al, Appl. Phys. Lett. 59, 3470 (1991).
  - [4] V.C.M. Atijasovic et al, Physica C 235-240, 2097 (1994).
  - [5] D.M. Atthey et al, Appl. Phys. Lett. 83, 3758 (2003).
  - [6] B. Jeanneret et al, Appl. Phys. Lett. 55, 2336 (1989).
  - [7] Ch. Leemann et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 3082 (1990).
  - [8] A.T. Fiory et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 3441 (1990).
  - [9] A.T. Findikoglu et al, Appl. Phys. Lett. 63, 3215 (1993); J. Appl. Phys. 76, 2937 (1994).
  - [10] J.J. Uemura et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 2317 (1989).
  - [11] C.Y. Chen et al, Phys. Rev. B 43, 392 (1991).
  - [12] J.-P. Locquet et al, Nature 394, 453 (1998).
  - [13] A. Rufenacht et al, Solid-state Electronics 47, 2167 (2003).
  - [14] J.-L. Locquet et al, Appl. Phys. Lett. 64, 372 (1994).
  - [15] Z.M. Rittersma et al, J. Appl. Phys. 99, 024508 (2006).
  - [16] J. Roberston, Eur. Phys. J. Appl. Phys. 28, 265 (2004).
  - [17] M.J. Biercuk et al, Appl. Phys. Lett. 83, 2405 (2003).
  - [18] P.J. Hirschfeld and N.D. Goldenfeld, Phys. Rev. B 48, 4219 (1993).
  - [19] Y. Zuev et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 137002 (2005).
  - [20] X.X. Xi et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 1240 (1992).
  - [21] P.A. Lee and X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 4111 (1997).
  - [22] V.J. Emery and S.A. Kivelson, Nature 374, 434 (1995).
  - [23] T. Schneider, in The Physics of Superconductors, edited by K.H. Bennemann and J.B. Ketterson (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004), Vol. II, p. 111.
  - [24] M. Franz et al, Phys. Rev. B 56, 7882 (1997) and references quoted therein.
  - [25] G. Singh-Bhalla et al, Appl. Phys. Lett. 83, 2417 (2003).