

Correlating supercooling limit and glass-like arrest of kinetics for disorder-broadened 1st order transitions: relevance to phase separation.

P. Chaddah and A. Banerjee

*UGC-DAE Consortium for Scientific Research (CSR)
University Campus, Khandwa Road, Indore 452 017, India.*

S. B. Roy

*Magnetic and Superconducting Materials Section,
Raja Ramanna Centre for Advanced Technology, Indore 452013, India.*

(Dated: January 8, 2019)

Coexisting ferromagnetic (FM) and antiferromagnetic (AFM) phases over a range of temperature (T) and magnetic field (H), have been reported in many materials. The 1st order FM-AFM transition is completed over a broad T (or H) range; this is ascribed to a landscape of free-energy density in systems with frozen disorder. Kinetic arrest, akin to glass transition, has been reported in doped CeFe_2 , La-Pr-Ca-Mn-O , Gd_5Ge_4 , etc., such that the coexisting fraction is frozen. The de-arrest, or melting, of this glass-like arrested state is seen to occur over a range of temperatures, implying a landscape (of T_K or T_g). We argue that measuring magnetization along various specific paths in H-T space can help infer whether the landscapes of free-energy and for kinetic arrest are correlated. This will help to determine whether disorder affects glass formation, and the underlying 1st order transition, in contrasting (or similar) ways.

PACS numbers: 75.30.Kz, 75.60.Nt, 75.47.Lx

First order phase transitions (FOPT) that can be caused by either varying temperature or by varying H are of current interest because these occur in various magnetocaloric materials, colossal magnetoresistance materials, magnetic shape-memory alloys etc., and are also believed to be the cause for the functional properties of these materials [1]. In the absence of disorder, the FOPT occurs at a sharply defined (H_C , T_C) line in the 2-control variable (H, T) space. Many of these functional magnetic materials are multi-component systems whose properties become more interesting under substitution. Such substitutions are an intrinsic source of frozen disorder. Early theoretical arguments of Imry and Wortis [2] showed that such samples would show a disorder-broadened transition, with a spatial distribution of the (H_C , T_C) line across the sample. The first visual realization of such a local variation was provided by Soibel et al. [3] for the vortex melting transition. A similar visual realization for an antiferromagnetic (AFM) to ferromagnetic (FM) transition, in Ru-doped CeFe_2 , was provided by Roy et al. [4] for the FOPT being caused by variation of temperature (with field held constant), and also by the variation of field (with temperature held constant). The occurrence of a landscape of free energy densities, and a spread of local (H_C , T_C) values across the samples, would result in the (H_C , T_C) line being broadened into a band for samples with frozen disorder. The spinodal lines corresponding to the limit of supercooling (H^* , T^*) and corresponding to the limit of superheating (H^{**} , T^{**}), would also be broadened into bands [5]. Each of these bands corresponds to a quasi-continuum of lines; each line corresponds to a region of the disordered sample with length-scale of the order of the correlation length.

Since the correlation length is finite, the number N of these regions (and thus of lines in the band) could be large but would be finite. We propose to focus on the quasi-continuum of lines forming the band.

In a parallel development the disorder-broadened FOPTs and their slow dynamics or hindered kinetics has been under investigation [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. It is believed that in various materials the kinetics is actually arrested (on experimental or laboratory time scales) and a ‘glass’ is formed [11], and specifically, the FOPT is fully or partially, arrested at low temperatures. The arrest occurs as one cools, and this state melts or gets ‘de-arrested’ over a range of temperature [8, 9, 10] as one warms. In addition to CeFe_2 , these effects have been seen in Gd_5Ge_4 [7], La-Pr-Ca-Mn-O [9, 10], Nd-Sr-Mn-O [12, 13], Nd_7Rh_3 [14] (see also references [5 and 6]). If such a kinetic arrest were to occur below a (H_K , T_K) line in the pure system, the disordered system would have a (H_K , T_K) band formed out of the quasi-continuum of (H_K , T_K) lines. Each line would correspond to a local region of the sample. If the lines in each of these bands correspond to different regions of the sample, can one seek a correlation between the position of a line in the (H_K , T_K) band and the position of the corresponding (i.e. from the same region) line in the (H^* , T^*) band? We now consider isothermal M vs H measurements, after field-cooling (FC) in various fields, as a means of answering this question.

Let the high-T state be AFM and the low-T ground state be FM. Since a higher field favours the FM phase, the (H^* , T^*) band moves to higher T at higher H. We take the (H_K , T_K) band to lie above the (H^* , T^*) band at zero field (to be consistent with the observation in La-Pr-Ca-Mn-O that zero-field cooling (ZFC) results in

fully arrested AFM), and to rise to higher H as T is lowered (since FC in large field gives FM). This is shown in the schematic in Fig. 1(a). The bands are actually a quasi-continuum of N -lines, $N=4$ having been depicted in the schematic. We thus have 3 groups (say x , y and z) of these N lines in each of the T^* and T_K bands. We assume that the high- T end of the (H^*, T^*) band and the low- T end of the (H_K, T_K) band correspond to the same local region (i.e. the two bands are anti-correlated), as indicated in the schematic. In path 1 we cool in zero-field, all T^* lines lie below T_K lines, and entire sample is frozen in AFM at T_O . As H is raised at T_O , regions in group x will start de-arresting at the field marked by horizontal arrow 1. As H rises, group y and then group z regions will de-arrest into FM phase. For FC along path 2, the regions in group x will transform from AFM to FM, but the regions in group y and z are arrested in AFM phase at T_O . On raising H isothermally, de-arrest of group y will start at the field 2 indicated by horizontal arrow, and de-arrest will be complete on exiting the T_K band at horizontal arrow 4. Similarly, for FC along path 3, group x and y regions will transform to FM on cooling to T_O , and de-arrest of group z will start at 3. For FC along path 4, entire sample is in FM phase at T_O . Following each of the four FC paths depicted in Fig. 1(a) will thus result in M - H curves shown in the main panel of Fig. 1(b); de-arrest will start at the corresponding points during isothermal increase of field, and will be completed at the highest H end of the (H_K, T_K) band.

On the contrary, if the two bands are correlated (i.e. the high- T end of the (H^*, T^*) band and the high- T end of the (H_K, T_K) band correspond to the same local region) then the frozen AFM regions will start getting de-arrested as H rises into the lower end of the (H_K, T_K) band independent of the field in which the sample is cooled. The field at which de-arrest starts depends only on the temperature at which H is raised isothermally. The de-arrest will be complete when all the frozen AFM regions are de-arrested. Since freezing is less at higher cooling field, de-arrest stops at lower H when the sample is cooled in higher field. The inset of Fig. 1(b) shows a qualitative schematic of how M - H curves would look under cooling in different fields, if the two landscapes are correlated. This is qualitatively very distinct from what is expected in the case of anti-correlated bands.

We now consider measuring magnetization under one more path in H - T space. We field-cool the sample, in different fields, to T_O and isothermally raise the field to that used for path 4 (H_4) shown in Fig. 1(a). From this field (H_4), we raise the temperature with field held constant at H_4 . The arrested AFM regions will get de-arrested at different temperatures; that cooled by path 3 will convert to FM starting at the T marked by vertical arrow 3. Similarly for cooling by path 1 and 2. The de-arrest will start at lower temperatures for cooling in lower field, but full conversion to FM will occur at the

same temperature in each case. The $M(T)$ curves will then be as shown in the main panel of Fig. 1(c). It is trivial to argue that if the two landscapes are correlated, then de-arrest will start at the same T in each case, but full conversion to FM would occur at higher T for cooling in the lower field. The $M(T)$ curves would qualitatively resemble the schematic in the inset of Fig. 1(c) which is very different from the case when the two landscapes are anti-correlated.

It is easy, given the schematic bands in Fig. 1(a) to visualize magnetization measurements under other H - T paths. It is asserted that the case of correlated landscape and that of anti-correlated landscapes predict qualitatively distinct behavior.

We have so far been considering the case where the low (H, T) ground state is FM; we now consider the case where the low (H, T) ground state is AFM. This corresponds to the observations in doped CeFe_2 and in $(\text{NdSrSm})\text{MnO}_3$. All arguments made earlier go through with appropriate changes, the supercooling spinoidal band (H^*, T^*) and the kinetic arrest band (H_K, T_K) are depicted in Fig. 2(a). The frozen FM fraction is larger at higher H . De-arrest occurs in isothermal case by reducing H (however it may not be complete even at $H = 0$). Fig. 2(b) shows the qualitative M - H expected if the two landscapes are anti-correlated, while the inset shows these for the case when they are correlated. De-arrest can also be caused by heating, $M(T)$ should be measured after lowering the field isothermally. Fig. 2(c) is the counterpart of Fig. 1(c); again qualitatively different behavior distinguishes the case of correlated and anti-correlated bands.

To conclude, if a region with above average T^* has above average T_K , then the M - H and M - T curves obtained by following specified paths in the (H, T) space are qualitatively distinct than if that region has below average T_K (i.e. higher T^* region has lower T_K). It would be important to understand why disorder influences T^* and T_K in contrasting (or similar) manner.

-
- [1] E Dagotto, T. Hotta and A. Moreo, Phys. Rep. **344**, 1 (2001); E. Dagotto, *Nanoscale Phase Separation and Colossal Magnetoresistance*, Springer Verlag, Berlin (2003) and references therein.
 - [2] Y Imry and M Wortis, Phys. Rev. B. **19**, 3580 (1979).
 - [3] A Soibel et al., Nature (London) **406**, 283 (2000).
 - [4] S. B. Roy et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 147203 (2004).
 - [5] M. A. Manekar et al., Phys. Rev. B **64**, 104416 (2001).
 - [6] K. J. Singh et al., Phys. Rev. B **65**, 094419 (2002).
 - [7] M. K. Chattopadhyay et al., Phys. Rev. B **70**, 214421 (2004).
 - [8] M. K. Chattopadhyay et al., Phys. Rev. B **72**, R180401 (2005).
 - [9] P. A. Sharma et al., Phys. Rev. B **71**, 224416 (2005).
 - [10] L. Ghivelder and F. Parisi, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 184425

(2005).

- [11] The metastable kinetically arrested (or glass) state is different from the metastable supercooled state in that the latter (but not the former) will undergo a metastable to stable transformation on lowering of temperature.
- [12] H. Kuwahara et al., *Science* **270**, 961 (1995).
- [13] Y. Tokura et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 3184 (1996).
- [14] K. Sengupta and E. V. Sampathkumaran, *Phys. Rev. B* (Rapid, In press).

FIG. 1: (color online) Schematics of H-T phase diagrams as well as the corresponding M-H and M-T curves after cooling in different fields for the case with FM ground state. (a) shows the H-T diagram with the anti-correlated bands for the case where the high-T state is AFM and the low-T equilibrium phase is FM. Only 3 regions (viz. x, y, z) out of the N regions represented by the quasi-continuous (H^* , T^*) band are shown. The high-T side of the (H^* , T^*) band is shown by continuous line followed by dashed, dotted and dash-dotted lines. The corresponding 3-regions and the dividing lines in the (H_K , T_K) band appear in reverse order because of anti-correlation. For the first measurement protocol, after FC in different H to T_O following paths 1-4 (1 being ZFC path) the fields for onset of de-arrest during the isothermal increase in H (at T_O) are shown by horizontal arrows for the respective H. Along the path-1 the sample is arrested completely in AFM phase while along path 4 the sample completely converts to FM phase during FC so does not have arrested phase. The variation in M resulting from this isothermal increase in H is sketched in main panel of (b). Steep increase in M starting at progressively higher H is due to de-arrest for the respective FC paths starting at higher H, as depicted by horizontal arrows in (a). The inset of (b) shows the expected M-H behavior for suitably sketched correlated bands (not shown). (c) depicts the next measurement protocol, after FC along paths 1-3 of (a) the H is isothermally raised to that of the path 4 (H_4), the M is measured while increasing the T from T_O . The resulting M-T is shown in the main panel. The abrupt change in the slope of M occurring at progressively higher T indicates the increase in the T at which de-arrest begins (during heating) at H_4 , for the respective FC paths, as depicted by vertical arrows in (a). The inset of (c) shows the M-T curves for suitably sketched correlated bands (not shown).

FIG. 2: (color online) (a), (b) and (c) are the counter parts of figures 1(a), 1(b) and 1(c) respectively, for the case when the low-T state is AFM. M-H curves of (b) are obtained by FC in different fields to T_O , and then reducing the the field to zero isothermally. M-T curves of (c) are obtained by reducing the field at T_O to a finite value, and then heating in the same constant field. As seen from the insets of (b) and (c) correlated T_K and T^* bands would give qualitatively distinct M-H and M-T curves.

Fig. 1a




Fig. 1b




Fig. 1c




Fig. 2a




Fig. 2b




Fig. 2c

