The Intrinsic Spin Hall Conductivity in a Generalized Rashba Model

P.L. Krotkov and S. Das Sarma Condensed Matter Theory Center, Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742

We calculate the intrinsic spin Hall conductivity $\sigma^{\rm sH}$ of a two-dimensional electron system within a generalized Rashba model, showing that it is, in general, finite and model-dependent. Considering arbitrary band dispersion, we find that $\sigma^{\rm sH}$ in the presence of the linear-in-momentum spin-orbit coupling of the Rashba form does not vanish in the presence of impurities except for the precisely parabolic spectrum. We show, using the linear response Kubo formalism, how the exact cancellation happens for the quadratic dispersion, and why it does not occur in general. We derive a simple quasiclassical formula for $\sigma^{\rm sH}$ in terms of the Fermi momenta for the two electron chiralities, and find that $\sigma^{\rm sH}$ is in general of the order of the squared strength of the Rashba term.

PACS: 72.25.-b, 71.70.Ej

The spin Hall effect [1] is either a brand new subject [2, 3] or a rather old one [4] depending on one's perspective. Much recent interest has focused on the intrinsic spin Hall effect (ISHE) where a non-magnetic system (e.g. a III-V semiconductor) spontaneously exhibits a bulk spin Hall conductivity σ^{sH} (i.e., a spin conductivity transverse to the direction of an external electric field in the absence of any applied magnetic field) arising entirely from the spin-orbit coupling effects in the underlying one-particle band structure. The ISHE is to be distinguished from its better-known counterpart, the extrinsic SHE (ESHE) [5, 6, 7] predicted by Dyakonov and Perel [4], which arises due to spincorrelated asymmetry in impurity scattering. Recent claims of experimental observations of both ESHE [8, 9] and ISHE [10] (in semiconductor structures) make the subject particularly interesting since there is controversy about the existence of ISHE in various theoretical models [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21]. In particular, within the extensively studied Rashba model [22], arising from the spatial inversion asymmetry in 2D systems, the intrinsic $\sigma^{\rm sH}$ has been claimed to be a large universal constant [3] $e/8\pi$, where e is the electron charge, but subsequently it was found that an arbitrarily small concentration of impurities in the Born approximation (i.e., when one neglects all spin-related asymmetry in scattering leading to ESHE) introduces vertex renormalization exactly canceling [11, 12, 13, 14, 15] the universal ISHE predicted in the Rashba model in [3]. (The same cancellation also occurs for the 2D Dresselhaus model for the linear-in-momentum spin-orbit coupling.) For other models of spin-orbit coupling, e.g. the 3D Dresselhaus model [20] or the Luttinger model for the valence band holes [21], the ISHE has been theoretically calculated to be finite and model-dependent. Since the Rashba model is by far the most widely studied model for the spin-orbit coupling in 2D semiconductor structures and since impurities are crucial for the validity of the linear response theory, the precise vanishing of the ISHE in the Rashba model has led to substantial confusion about whether the ISHE, as opposed to ESHE

[4, 5, 6, 7], which is always present, is ever finite in the Rashba model [11, 12, 13, 14, 15] and perhaps even in all models [19].

We show in this Letter that the precise vanishing of the 2D ISHE in the Rashba model is limited to quasiclassical calculations for the usually assumed quadratic unperturbed band spectrum

$$\epsilon_0(p) = p^2 / 2m. \tag{1}$$

In fact, the cancellation is accidental, and slight changes in the model (i.e., using a band dispersion different from the usual parabolic band dispersion) give rise to a finite ISHE even in the Rashba model. While the vertex corrections do decrease the magnitude of intrinsic $\sigma^{\rm sH}$, they contain an integral over momenta that depends on band dispersion $\epsilon_0(p)$, and $\sigma^{\rm sH}$ is finite for a general (i.e. non-parabolic) band dispersion, albeit explicitly model-dependent. Our finding of a finite 2D ISHE in the generalized Rashba model is of particular significance in establishing a matter of principle, refuting the earlier findings of either the large universal ISHE or the vanishing ISHE.

In the presence of spin-orbit coupling in a Hamiltonian, a spin current of the from

$$j_i^{\alpha} = \sigma^{\text{sH}} e_{\alpha ij} E_j. \tag{2}$$

should appear in the kinetic equation for the spin density. This quantity leads to spin accumulation at the boundary of a sample, limited by spin diffusion, when an electric current is passed in the absence of magnetic field (the spin Hall effect). The appearance of such a current was predicted for a band structure of III-V semiconductors [2] and for general 2D Rashba coupling [3], and has been a subject of a great deal of recent activity [1].

We derive in this Letter the spin Hall conductivity for a general spectrum, which has a simple quasiclassical limit. First we demonstrate how the cancellation for the parabolic dispersion, already noted in the literature [11, 12, 13, 14, 15], takes place and also why it is not universal but explicitly depends on the spectrum. We then provide the general quasiclassical limit for the ISHE conductivity.

Consider the two-dimensional electron Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \epsilon_0(p) + \alpha \hat{\mathbf{z}}(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \mathbf{p}) \tag{3}$$

comprising an unperturbed part $\epsilon_0(p)$ and the Rashba coupling (parameterized by the strength α) of spin and orbital movement in the plane defined by the normal $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$. From (3) the velocity operator is

$$v_i(\mathbf{p}) = \partial_{p_i} \mathcal{H} = \epsilon_0'(p)\hat{p}_i + \alpha e_{zji}\sigma_j. \tag{4}$$

The spin-velocity is usually defined as

$$v_i^{\mu}(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2} \{v_i, \sigma_{\mu}\} = \epsilon_0'(p) \hat{p}_i \sigma_{\mu} + \alpha e_{z\mu i}. \tag{5}$$

In the framework of the linear response theory the spin Hall conductivity can be calculated as a bubble (Fig. 1) with the vector vertices $\mathbf{j} = e\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{j}^z = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v}^z$.

To emphasize the cancellation we break up the spin-Hall conductivity in two parts (the two terms in parentheses)

$$\sigma_{ij}^{\text{sH}}(\Omega) = \frac{e}{2\Omega} T \sum_{\omega} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \text{tr}[v_i(\mathbf{p}) G(\mathbf{p}, \omega) \times (v_i^z(\mathbf{p}) + V_i^z(\omega, \Omega)) G(\mathbf{p}, \omega + \Omega)]. \quad (6)$$

The first term is the bare bubble in Fig. 1 (a), the second term is the result of the summation of the ladder series in Fig. 1 (b). The terms of the ladder series do not vanish after averaging over $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ because the Green's function $G(\mathbf{p}, \omega)$ for the Hamiltonian (3) itself depends on the direction of momentum.

The contribution from the first (bare) term in (6) is $\sigma_{ij}^{\rm sH}=e_{zij}\sigma_0^{\rm sH}$, where the quantity $\sigma_0^{\rm sH}$ is given by an integral that depends on the spectrum $\epsilon_0(p)$:

$$\sigma_0^{\rm sH} = \frac{e}{\Omega} T \sum_{\omega} \alpha A[\epsilon_0],\tag{7}$$

where

$$A[\epsilon_0] = \frac{i}{4} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \epsilon'_0(p) [G_{u+} G_d - G_{d+} G_u]. \tag{8}$$

Here $G_{u,d}(\mathbf{p},\omega) = (i\widetilde{\omega} - \xi_{u,d}(\mathbf{p}))^{-1}$, where $\xi_{u,d} = \epsilon_0(p) \mp \alpha p - \epsilon_F$ and $\widetilde{\omega} = \omega + \frac{1}{2\tau} \operatorname{sgn} \omega$, is the Green's functions for the chiral eigenstates of the Hamiltonian (3). For brevity we omit the arguments of the Green's function, and denote the ones with $\omega + \Omega$ by the subscript +.

Taking the integral over momenta in (8) in the ξ -approximation with quadratic spectrum (1) we find (see below for details)

$$\sigma_0^{\text{sH}} = \frac{e}{8\pi} \frac{4\Delta^2 \tau^2}{1 + 4\Delta^2 \tau^2},\tag{9}$$

where $2\Delta = 2\alpha p_F$ is the value of the spin-orbital energy gap for the two chiralities at the Fermi level. In the clean limit $\tau \to \infty$, Eq. (9) gives to the universal constant

 $e/8\pi$ [3] independent of the strength of the spin-orbit interaction α . The physical meaning of this constant can be easily seen if one carries out the frequency summation in $\sigma_0^{\rm sH}$ first. Then in the clean limit one gets

$$\sigma_0^{\rm sH}(\Omega) = \frac{\alpha e}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \epsilon_0'(p) \frac{n_F(\xi_u) - n_F(\xi_d)}{(\xi_d - \xi_u)^2 + \Omega^2}$$
 (10)

which at T=0 singles out the annulus $p_d , populated by the electrons with the chirality <math>u$ only, where $p_{u,d} = \sqrt{m^2 \alpha^2 + 2m\epsilon_F} \pm m\alpha$ are the Fermi momenta for the two chiral eigenstates for the spectrum (1):

$$\sigma_0^{\text{sH}}(0) = \frac{\alpha e}{2} \int_{p_d}^{p_u} \frac{p dp}{2\pi} \frac{\epsilon_0'(p)}{(2\alpha p)^2} = \frac{e}{8\pi} \frac{p_u - p_d}{2m\alpha} = \frac{e}{8\pi}. \tag{11}$$

In (6) the ladder summation is carried out in the form of the renormalization of the spin-velocity vertex $\mathbf{v}^z \to \mathbf{v}^z + \mathbf{V}^z$. The result for the summation of the ladder corrections is of course independent of which of the two vertices, the current $e\mathbf{v}$ or the spin-current $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v}^z$, in (6) is renormalized. Straightforward calculations (shown at the end of the paper) yield the ladder correction $e_{zij}\sigma_L^{\mathrm{sH}}$, where

$$\sigma_L^{\text{sH}} = \frac{e}{\Omega} T \sum_{\omega} A[\epsilon_0] \frac{\alpha B[\epsilon_0] - C[\epsilon_0]}{2\pi\nu_0 \tau - B[\epsilon_0]}.$$
 (12)

Here

$$B[\epsilon_0] = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} (G_{u+} + G_{d+}) (G_u + G_d)$$
 (13)

$$C[\epsilon_0] = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \epsilon_0'(p) [G_{u+} G_u - G_{d+} G_d].$$
 (14)

Except for the averaging over direction $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ of momentum, we did not perform momentum integration in the quantities $A[\epsilon_0]$, $B[\epsilon_0]$ and $C[\epsilon_0]$. Summing up σ_0^{sH} and σ_L^{sH} , we see that


$$\sigma^{\text{sH}} = \frac{e}{\Omega} T \sum_{\alpha} A[\epsilon_0] \frac{\alpha 2\pi \nu_0 \tau - C[\epsilon_0]}{2\pi \nu_0 \tau - B[\epsilon_0]}.$$
 (15)

An exact cancellation takes place only when $C[\epsilon_0] = \alpha 2\pi \nu_0 \tau$. This is the central result of the paper. Such a cancellation is indeed what happens for the quadratic spectrum (1).

In general, keeping $\epsilon_0(p)$ for band dispersion in the Green's functions, we get in the ξ -approximation

$$C[\epsilon_0] = 2\pi\nu_0 \tau \frac{I}{\Omega \tau + I} \frac{\nu_u \epsilon_0'(p_u) - \nu_d \epsilon_0'(p_d)}{4\nu_0}.$$
 (16)

Here $\nu_{u,d}$ is the density of states on the Fermi surface for the chiralities u and d, $\nu_0 = (\nu_u + \nu_d)/2$ by definition, and $I = \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{sgn}(\omega + \Omega) - \operatorname{sgn}\omega)$ is a factor accounting for the requirement that the two poles in the Green's functions in the bubble lie in different half-planes (i.e., one Green's function is retarded and one advanced). If we suppose $\Omega > 0$, then this factor equals unity in the band






Figure 1: Bubble diagrams for the spin Hall conductivity: bare (a) and with vertex renormalized by the ladder series of impurity scattering (b). Equation on the latter (c).

 $-\Omega < \omega < 0$ and zero otherwise. Such a factor generally appears in the frequency summation in $\sigma^{\rm sH}$, which means that all quantities under the frequency summation should be taken in the specified frequency band, where we may simply deem I=1. For $\Omega < 0$ the reasoning is similar.

We note that the density per chirality in 2D irrespective of the spectrum is $n_u = p_u^2/4\pi$, hence $dn_u/dp_u = p_u/2\pi$. On the other hand, using that, by definition, $\epsilon_F = \epsilon_0(p_u) - \alpha p_u$, we get $dn_u/dp_u = \nu_u d\epsilon_F/dp_u = \nu_u (\epsilon_0'(p_u) - \alpha)$. For the d chirality, we get analogous expressions with the opposite sign before α . We finally get

$$\frac{\nu_u \epsilon_0'(p_u) - \nu_d \epsilon_0'(p_d)}{4\nu_0} = \frac{\alpha}{2} \left(1 + \frac{p_u - p_d}{4\pi\alpha\nu_0} \right). \tag{17}$$

We therefore reduced the expression that explicitly depended on the electron spectrum by a simpler one that still depends on the spectrum implicitly through the Fermi momenta $p_{u,d}$ for the two chiralities. In this new form, Eq. (17), however, we immediately see whether the exact cancellation for ISHE takes place for a given spectrum. For the quadratic dispersion (1) $p_u - p_d = 2m\alpha = 4\alpha\pi\nu_0$, where $\nu_0 = m/2\pi$, so that (17) gives α , and $C[\epsilon_0] = \alpha 2\pi\nu_0\tau$ exactly cancels out the spin Hall conductivity (15).

Above we used the ξ -approximation in its usual form, i.e. the prefactors before the Green's functions were taken at the Fermi level. It may be shown that for the quadratic spectrum (1) the cancellation is exact in all orders of the quasiclassical approximation, i.e. if one expands prefactors in powers of ξ , all the terms vanish.

We can calculate the total spin Hall conductivity for an arbitrary spectrum in the quasiclassical ξ -approximation. Using the expressions for B and $A[\epsilon_0]$ obtained in the end of the paper, we get for the sum of the bare and ladder

contributions:

$$\sigma_{ij}^{\text{sH}} = e_{zij} \frac{e}{8\pi} \left(\frac{p_u - p_d}{4\pi\alpha\nu_0} - 1 \right). \tag{18}$$

For a spectrum different from (1), the cancellation in the expression above does not take place, e.g., if $\epsilon_0(p) = v_0 p$, then $p_{u,d} = \epsilon_F / (v_0 \pm \alpha)$ and

$$\nu_0 = \frac{\nu_u + \nu_d}{2} = \frac{\epsilon_F}{2\pi} \frac{v_0^2 + \alpha^2}{v_0^2 - \alpha^2}.$$
 (19)

So that $p_u - p_d = 4\pi\nu_0\alpha(v_0^2 - \alpha^2)/(v_0^2 + \alpha^2)$, whence $\sigma^{\rm sH} = -(e/8\pi)2\alpha^2/(v_0^2 + \alpha^2)$.

It may be shown that the net $\sigma^{\rm sH}$ is always at most of the order α^2 . Expanding $p_{u,d}$ in (18) in powers of α around the Fermi momentum p_F of the general band dispersion $\epsilon_0(p_F) = \epsilon_F$, we find that the lowest order expression always cancels the unity in the parentheses of Eq. (18), and leaves the next order term, $O(\alpha^2)$, as the leading ISHE contribution for small α .

Below we provide details of the derivation of our results above. The Hamiltonian (3) can be diagonalized:

$$U^{+}\mathcal{H}U = \epsilon_0(p) - \alpha p \sigma_z, \tag{20}$$

by rotation in spin space:

$$U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1\\ ie^{i\varphi_{\hat{\mathbf{p}}}} & -ie^{i\varphi_{\hat{\mathbf{p}}}} \end{pmatrix}$$
 (21)

where $\varphi_{\hat{\mathbf{p}}}$ is the angle between $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ (the direction of electron momentum) and $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$. The eigenstates of the rotated Hamiltonian (20) are spin up and spin down. In the original basis they correspond to the two chiralities (spin along and opposite to $\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \hat{\mathbf{p}}$). Columns of U give the eigenfunctions in the original basis.

In the basis of the chiral eigenstates the Green's function, which is a matrix in spin space, is diagonal with the elements $G_{u.d.}$ In the original frame

$$G(\mathbf{p},\omega) = U \begin{pmatrix} G_u & 0 \\ 0 & G_d \end{pmatrix} U^+$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} (G_u + G_d) - \frac{1}{2} (G_u - G_d) e_{zij} \sigma_i \hat{p}_j. (22)$$

The renormalized vertex \mathbf{V}^z is found from an inhomogeneous linear equation

$$\mathbf{V}^{z} = \frac{1}{2\pi\nu_{0}\tau} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} G(\mathbf{p}, \omega) \left(\mathbf{v}^{z}(\mathbf{p}) + \mathbf{V}^{z}\right) G(\mathbf{p}, \omega + \Omega),$$
(23)

represented by the diagrammatic equation in Fig. 1 (c). Here $1/2\pi\nu_0\tau$ is the impurity line in the Born approximation. The inhomogeneous term, being a spin matrix, has only off-diagonal elements (i=x,y)

$$\sum_{\mathbf{p}} G(\mathbf{p}, \omega) v_i^z(\mathbf{p}) G(\mathbf{p}, \omega + \Omega) = \sigma_i A[\epsilon_0].$$
 (24)

Hence V^z only has the same components (that depend on ω , Ω and not on \mathbf{p}):

$$\mathbf{V}^z = \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} A[\epsilon_0]}{2\pi v_0 \tau - B}.$$
 (25)

Calculating the ladder contribution to the conductivity with the renormalized vertex \mathbf{V}^z we get (12).

Finally, we calculate in the ξ -approximation the quantities $A[\epsilon_0]$, $B[\epsilon_0]$ and $C[\epsilon_0]$ introduced in (8), (13) and (14). The ξ -approximation consists in replacing $\sum_{\mathbf{p}} \rightarrow \nu \int d\xi$, all prefactors before the Green's functions being taken at the Fermi level. The pairs of Green's functions for the same chirality are the easiest:

$$\sum_{\mathbf{p}} G_{u+} G_u = \int \frac{\nu_u d\xi_u}{(\xi_u - i(\widetilde{\omega} + \Omega))(\xi_u - i\widetilde{\omega})} = \frac{2\pi \nu_u \tau I}{\Omega \tau + I},$$
(26)

and analogously for the d chirality. The cross-products on the other hand depend on the gap Δ at the Fermi surface $\xi_{u,d} = \xi \mp \Delta$:

$$\sum_{\mathbf{p}} G_{u+} G_d = \frac{2\pi\nu_0 \tau I}{\Omega \tau + I - i2\Delta \tau},\tag{27}$$

and the expression with $\Delta \leftrightarrow -\Delta$ for the crossproduct $G_{d+}G_u$. Hence we find, taking $\Omega \tau \to 0$ and in the band of frequencies where I=1:

$$A[\epsilon_0] = -2\pi\nu_0 \epsilon_0'(p_F) \frac{\Delta\tau^2}{1 + 4\Delta^2\tau^2}, \quad (28)$$

$$2\pi\nu_0\tau - B[\epsilon_0] = 2\pi\nu_0\tau \frac{2\Delta^2\tau^2}{1 + 4\Delta^2\tau^2},$$
 (29)

and the expression (16) for $C[\epsilon_0]$. The product $2\pi\nu_0\epsilon_0'(p_F) = p_F$, so that $\alpha A[\epsilon_0] = -\Delta^2\tau^2/(1+4\Delta^2\tau^2)$.

In summary, we have shown that the vanishing of the 2D ISHE within the Rashba model of spin-orbit coupling is specific to both the linear-in-momentum Rashba coupling [11] and to the parabolic energy band dispersion. For a general band dispersion, the ISHE within the Rashba model is finite, albeit strongly dependent not only on the Rashba coupling α but also on the details of the band dispersion. In general, the impurity vertex corrections suppress the intrinsic $\sigma^{\rm sH}$ from its universal

value of $e/8\pi$, reducing it to some small nonuniversal finite value of order α^2 . Our result, combined with recent theoretical demonstrations of finite ISHE in the 3D Dresselhaus model [20] and the Luttinger model [21], establish that the intrinsic spin Hall effect is theoretically well-defined, but non-universal.

This work is supported by NSF, US-ONR, and LPS-NSA.

- [1] C. Day, Physics Today **58**, 17 (2005).
- [2] S. Murakami, N. Nagaosa, S.-C. Zhang, Science 301, 1348 (2003).
- [3] J. Sinova, D. Culcer, Q. Niu, N.A. Sinitsyn, T. Jungwirth, A.H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 126603 (2004).
- [4] M.I. Dyakonov, V.I. Perel', JETP Lett. 13, 467; Phys. Lett. 35A, 459 (1971).
- [5] H.-A. Engel, B.I. Halperin, E.I. Rashba, cond-mat/0505535 (unpublished).
- [6] W.-K. Tse, S. Das Sarma, cond-mat/0507149 (unpublished).
- [7] W.-K. Tse, J. Fabian, I. Zutic, S. Das Sarma, cond-mat/0508076 (unpublished).
- [8] Y.K. Kato, R.C. Myers, A.C. Gossard, D.D. Awschalom, Science 306, 1910 (2004).
- [9] V. Sih, R. C. Myers, Y. K. Kato, W. H. Lau, A. C. Gossard, D. D. Awschalom, Nature Phys. 1, 31 (2005).
- [10] J. Wunderlich, B. Kaestner, J. Sinova, T. Jungwirth, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 047204 (2005).
- [11] J. Inoue, G.E.W. Bauer, L.W. Molenkamp, Phys. Rev. B 70, 041303 (2004).
- [12] E.G. Mishchenko, A.V. Shytov, B.I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 226602 (2004).
- [13] E.I. Rashba, Phys. Rev. B 70, 201309 (2004).
- 14] O.V. Dimitrova, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 245327 (2005).
- 15] R. Raimondi, P. Schwab, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 033311 (2005).
- [16] O. Chalaev, D. Loss D, Phys. Rev. B 71, 245318 (2005).
- [17] E.I. Rashba, cond-mat/0507007 (unpublished).
- $[18] \, \mathrm{S. \, Murakami, \, cond\text{-}mat/0504353 \, (unpublished)}.$
- [19] A. Khaetskii, cond-mat/0408136 (unpublished).
- [20] A.G. Mal'shukov, K.A. Chao, Phys. Rev. B 71, 121308(R) (2005).
- [21] S. Murakami, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 241202(R) (2004).
- [22] Yu.A. Bychkov, E.I. Rashba, JETP Lett. 39, 78 (1984);
 J. Phys. C 17, 6039 (1984).