

Středa-like formula in spin Hall effect

Min-Fong Yang^{1,*} and Ming-Che Chang^{2,†}

¹*Department of Physics, Tunghai University, Taichung, Taiwan*

²*Department of Physics, National Taiwan Normal University, Taipei, Taiwan*

(Dated: August 20, 2018)

A generalized Středa formula is derived for the spin transport in spin-orbit coupled systems. As compared with the original Středa formula for charge transport, there is an extra contribution of the spin Hall conductance whenever the spin is not conserved. For recently studied systems with quantum spin Hall effect in which the z -component spin is conserved, this extra contribution vanishes and the quantized value of spin Hall conductivity can be reproduced in the present approach. However, as spin is not conserved in general, this extra contribution can not be neglected, and the quantization is not exact.

PACS numbers: 72.25.Hg, 72.25.Mk, 73.43.-f, 85.75.-d

Intrinsic spin Hall effect (SHE) offers new possibility of designing semiconductor spintronic devices that do not require ferromagnetic elements or external magnetic fields. This effect has been theoretically predicted both in p -doped semiconductors with Luttinger type of spin-orbit (SO) coupling and in n -doped semiconductors with Rashba type of SO coupling.^{1,2,3} In the hole doped case,^{1,2} the transverse spin current is generated by the Berry curvature correction to the group velocity of a Bloch wave packet,^{4,5} which is similar to the case of the charge current in quantum Hall effect.⁶ Later it is pointed out that the intrinsic SHE can even exist in band insulators with SO coupling, which are called spin Hall insulators.⁷ These spin Hall insulators would allow spin currents to be generated without dissipation. This dissipationless character of the intrinsic SHE again finds analogy in the quantum Hall effect.

Pioneered by the work of Kane and Mele,⁸ several specific single-particle Hamiltonians (graphene^{8,9,10} and semiconductors^{11,12,13}) giving rise to the quantum SHE (QSHE) have been proposed, in which the intrinsic spin Hall conductance can be quantized in units of $e/2\pi$. These models can be considered as multiple copies of the charge Hall effect with different values of the spin, arranged so that the time-reversal symmetry is unbroken and the spin current is nonzero in the presence of an applied electric field. The investigations on its stability with respect to interactions and disorders have just begun.^{10,14,15}

Because there exists similarities between SHE and quantum Hall effect, one may wonder if some concepts used in quantum Hall effect can be generalized to SHE. It is well known that (integer) quantum Hall effect can be analyzed by the Středa formula.¹⁶ Středa showed that, if the Fermi level falls within the energy gap, the Hall conductance can be given by the charge-density response to a magnetic field (from orbital, rather than Zeeman coupling). This formula has been used to calculate the conductance of an electron gas in the presence of an additional periodic potential.¹⁷ It has also been generalized to three dimensional systems.¹⁸ Since the Středa formula is useful in quantum Hall effect, one may expect that

similar formula for spin transport may be of some help in the study of SHE. Thus it is worthwhile to explore such a generalization.¹⁹

In the present work, we show explicitly that, similar to the well-known result of Středa,¹⁶ there are two terms in the (static) spin Hall conductance σ_{sH} , one of which, σ_{sH}^I , is due to the electron states at the Fermi energy, and the other one, σ_{sH}^{II} , is formally related to the contribution of all occupied electron states below the Fermi energy. Since the proposed models for QSHE thus far are all band insulators, where the density of states at the Fermi level is zero, therefore $\sigma_{sH}^I = 0$. Hence we are mainly interested in the contribution to QSHE from σ_{sH}^{II} . Furthermore, we show that σ_{sH}^{II} can be separated into a conserved part and a non-conserved part, in which the conserved part gives rise to a Středa-like contribution. That is, instead of directly calculating the spin-current response to an electric field, we can calculate the *spin-density* response to a magnetic field (again from orbital, rather than Zeeman coupling) to obtain the conserved part of σ_{sH}^{II} . However, another contribution to σ_{sH}^{II} , which comes from the non-conservation of spin, is not zero in general. We note that our derivation is model independent, therefore it applies to any QSHE model. When this general formula is applied to the aforementioned specific models of QSHE with conserved electron spin, the quantized values of σ_{sH} can be easily reproduced. As the spin in spin-orbit coupled system is not conserved in general, the non-Středa-like contribution in σ_{sH}^{II} can not be neglected, and it can result in deviation from the quantized values, as shown in the numerical work of Ref. 10.

Using the linear response theory, the static spin Hall conductivity, for a z -component spin current flowing along the y direction under an electric field in the x direction, can be expressed as²⁰

$$\sigma_{sH} = i\hbar\Omega \int d\varepsilon f(\varepsilon) \text{Tr} \left[j_y^z \frac{dG^+(\varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon} j_x \delta(\varepsilon - H) - j_y^z \delta(\varepsilon - H) j_x \frac{dG^-(\varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon} \right], \quad (1)$$

where Ω is the volume of the system, $G^\pm(\varepsilon) =$

$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} (\varepsilon - H \pm i\eta)^{-1}$ is the operator Green function of electrons described by the Hamiltonian H . j_μ (j_μ^α) is the charge (α -component spin) current density operator in μ direction. The trace goes over every eigenstate in the space of the Hamiltonian H . In Eq. (1), the delta function can be written in terms of Green functions, $\delta(\varepsilon - H) = -[G^+(\varepsilon) - G^-(\varepsilon)]/2\pi i$.

If we keep half of Eq. (1) and make an integration by parts on the second half, then σ_{sH} can be expressed as

$$\sigma_{sH} = \sigma_{sH}^I + \sigma_{sH}^{II}, \quad (2)$$

$$\sigma_{sH}^I = -\frac{i\hbar\Omega}{2} \int d\varepsilon \frac{\partial f(\varepsilon)}{\partial \varepsilon} \text{Tr} [j_y^z G^+(\varepsilon) j_x \delta(\varepsilon - H) - j_y^z \delta(\varepsilon - H) j_x G^-(\varepsilon)], \quad (3)$$

$$\sigma_{sH}^{II} = \frac{\hbar\Omega}{4\pi} \int d\varepsilon f(\varepsilon) \text{Tr} \left[j_y^z \frac{dG^-(\varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon} j_x G^-(\varepsilon) - j_y^z G^-(\varepsilon) j_x \frac{dG^-(\varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon} - j_y^z \frac{dG^+(\varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon} j_x G^+(\varepsilon) + j_y^z G^+(\varepsilon) j_x \frac{dG^+(\varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon} \right]. \quad (4)$$

Here σ_{sH}^I stems from the contribution of electrons at the Fermi surface and σ_{sH}^{II} formally contains the contribution of all filled states below the Fermi energy. Note that, at

zero temperature, σ_{sH}^I is proportional to the density of states at the Fermi energy. Therefore, for band insulators, where the Fermi level lies within the band gap such that the density of states at the Fermi level is zero, $\sigma_{sH}^I = 0$. Since we are interested in the band insulators with QSHE, we need only consider the contribution from σ_{sH}^{II} .

In order to simplify σ_{sH}^{II} , explicit expressions of j_x and j_y^z are needed. The charge current density operator is $j_x = -ev_x/\Omega$. However, in studying SHE, the spin is in general not conserved due to the presence of SO interaction, thus the definition of the spin current is not unique. Here the conventional definition for spin current is used, that is, $j_y^z \equiv \{v_y, s_z\}/2\Omega$.

Using the cyclic property of the trace operation and the relations

$$\frac{dG^\pm(\varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon} = -[G^\pm(\varepsilon)]^2, \quad i\hbar v_\mu = [r_\mu, H] = -\left[r_\mu, \frac{1}{G^\pm(\varepsilon)}\right],$$

we find that the spin Hall conductance σ_{sH}^{II} can be separated into a “conserved” part $\sigma_{sH}^{II,(c)}$ and a “non-conserved” part $\sigma_{sH}^{II,(n)}$,

$$\sigma_{sH}^{II} = \sigma_{sH}^{II,(c)} + \sigma_{sH}^{II,(n)}, \quad (5)$$

$$\sigma_{sH}^{II,(c)} = \frac{e}{4\pi i \Omega} \int d\varepsilon f(\varepsilon) \text{Tr} \{s_z G^+(xv_y - yv_x) G^+ - s_z G^-(xv_y - yv_x) G^-\}, \quad (6)$$

$$\sigma_{sH}^{II,(n)} = -\frac{i\hbar^2 e}{4\pi \Omega} \int d\varepsilon f(\varepsilon) \text{Tr} \left\{ \dot{s}_z \left[(G^+)^2 v_x G^+ v_y G^+ + G^+ v_y G^+ v_x (G^+)^2 - \text{H. c.} \right] \right\} - \frac{\hbar e}{8\pi \Omega} \int d\varepsilon f(\varepsilon) \text{Tr} \left\{ \dot{s}_z \left[G^+[y, v_x] (G^+)^2 + (G^+)^2 [y, v_x] G^+ + \text{H. c.} \right] \right\}. \quad (7)$$

Here we call $\sigma_{sH}^{II,(n)}$ as the “non-conserved” part because it contains terms with $\dot{s}_z \equiv [s_z, H]/i\hbar$ and therefore vanishes if s_z is conserved. By employing the identity,²¹

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial B} \text{Tr} \{s_z \delta(\varepsilon - H)\} = \frac{-e}{4\pi i} \text{Tr} \{s_z G^+(xv_y - yv_x) G^+ - s_z G^-(xv_y - yv_x) G^-\}, \quad (8)$$

the conserved part $\sigma_{sH}^{II,(c)}$ can be rewritten as a generalized Středa formula for SHE,

$$\sigma_{sH}^{II,(c)} = -\left. \frac{\partial S_z}{\partial B} \right|_{\mu, T}, \quad (9)$$

where B is the magnitude of a uniform external magnetic field in the z direction, μ is the chemical potential, T is the temperature, and S_z is the z -component spin density,

$$S_z = \frac{1}{\Omega} \int d\varepsilon f(\varepsilon) \text{Tr} [s_z \delta(\varepsilon - H)]. \quad (10)$$

Eq. (9) shows the relation between the spin Hall conductivity and the derivative of the z -component spin density with respect to the perpendicular magnetic field B . Eqs. (3), (7), and (9) are the main results of this paper.

Some comments are in order. Contrary to the case of the original Středa formula for charge transport,¹⁶ there always exists an extra contribution for σ_{sH}^{II} besides the Středa-like one as long as the spin is not conserved. Moreover, following the same reasoning of the present derivation, it is obvious that, for a current carrying some *conserved* quantity, there will always be a corresponding Středa-like formula. For example, when the total an-

gular momentum J_z consisting of both spin and orbit angular momenta is conserved, the Hall conductivity for the *total-angular-momentum current* can be calculated through the *total-angular-momentum density* response to a magnetic field. That is, a Štředa-like formula in which s_z is replaced by J_z can be derived.

In the following, we illustrate the application of the present approach to some of the aforementioned QSHE models. As mentioned earlier, since the proposed models for QSHE thus far are all band insulators, thus what we need to consider is just the contribution from σ_{sH}^{II} . It is shown in Ref. 11 that, in the presence of a linear strain gradient, degenerate quantum Landau levels can be created by the SO coupling in conventional semiconductors, and then σ_{sH} becomes quantized in units of $e/2\pi$. This result can be understood as follows. Even though the intrinsic SO coupling is present, s_z remains conserved in this case. Therefore, the non-conserved part $\sigma_{sH}^{II,(n)} = 0$ and the spin Hall conductance comes from the Štředa-like one [Eq. (9)] only. To calculate the spin-density response to an external magnetic field B in the z direction, we notice that, at $B = 0$, opposite effective orbital magnetic fields $\pm B_{\text{eff}}$ acting on spin \uparrow and \downarrow electrons are induced through this special position-dependent SO interaction. When an external magnetic field B is turned on, the total effective fields acting on spin \uparrow and \downarrow electrons will become $\pm(B_{\text{eff}} \pm B)$. Therefore, for a fixed chemical potential μ , the number density of electrons with spin \uparrow and \downarrow becomes $N_{\uparrow/\downarrow} = e(B_{\text{eff}} \pm B)/h$. Thus, using Eq. (9), $\sigma_{sH} = \sigma_{sH}^{II,(c)} = e/2\pi$ can be obtained.

As the next application of our formula, we consider the QSHE model proposed in Ref. 8, where the intrinsic SO coupling in a single-layer graphene film gives rise to QSHE with σ_{sH} being quantized in units of $e/2\pi$. This result can also be obtained by the present approach. For this model of graphene *without* the Rashba SO coupling, spin s_z is conserved. Again, $\sigma_{sH}^{II,(n)} = 0$ and the spin Hall conductance comes from the Štředa-like one [Eq. (9)] only. Because each independent subsystem of spin direction (\uparrow or \downarrow) is equivalent to Haldane's spinless

model,²² following the same reasoning provided by Haldane, an extra *field dependent* number density of electrons for spin \uparrow and \downarrow will be $\Delta N_{\uparrow/\downarrow} = \pm eB/h$ when an external magnetic field B is turned on. Thus, using Eq. (9), $\sigma_{sH} = \sigma_{sH}^{II,(c)} = e/2\pi$ can again be reached.

In the generic cases, spin is not conserved in the presence of SO interaction and therefore spin current is not well-defined. As done in Ref. 2, one can separate the spin operator s_z into a conserved part $s_z^{(c)}$ and a non-conserved part $s_z^{(n)}$: $s_z = s_z^{(c)} + s_z^{(n)}$, where the conserved part $s_z^{(c)}$ consists of only intraband matrix elements of the spin. Thus a conserved spin current can be defined by substituting the conserved part $s_z^{(c)}$ into the conventional expression of spin current. By employing this definition of spin current, it can be shown that the non-conserved part $\sigma_{sH}^{II,(n)}$ vanishes and the conserved part $\sigma_{sH}^{II,(c)}$ again obeys the Štředa-like formula, Eq. (9), in which the spin operator s_z is replaced by $s_z^{(c)}$. In Ref. 23, another definition of the spin current is proposed which includes the torque dipole density. If we use this definition in the derivation for σ_{sH}^{II} , the Štředa-like formula Eq. (9) for the conserved part $\sigma_{sH}^{II,(c)}$ remains valid, and the non-conserved part $\sigma_{sH}^{II,(n)}$ remains nonzero and has different expression from Eq. (7).

To conclude, a generalized Štředa formula is derived for spin transport, and the applications of the present approach to the recently proposed QSHE systems are discussed. Since the original Štředa formula has succeeded in analyzing the quantum Hall effect in the presence a periodic potential if the Fermi level lies within a miniband gap,^{17,18} we expect the generalized Štředa formula will be of help for the spin transport under the same situation.²⁴ Investigation along this direction is in progress.

M.F.Y. and M.C.C acknowledge the support by the National Science Council of Taiwan under the contracts NSC 94-2112-M-029-008 and NSC 94-2112-M-003-017, respectively.

* mfyang@thu.edu.tw

† changmc@phy.ntnu.edu.tw

¹ S. Murakami, N. Nagaosa, and S. C. Zhang, *Science* **301**, 1348 (2003).

² S. Murakami, N. Nagaosa, and S. C. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 235206 (2004).

³ J. Sinova, D. Culcer, Q. Niu, N. A. Sinitsyn, T. Jungwirth, and A. H. MacDonald, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 126603 (2004).

⁴ M. C. Chang and Q. Niu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 1348 (1995); *Phys. Rev. B* **53**, 7010 (1996); G. Sundaram and Q. Niu, *ibid.* **59**, 14915 (1999).

⁵ D. Culcer, J. Sinova, N. A. Sinitsyn, T. Jungwirth, A. H. MacDonald, and Q. Niu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 046602 (2004).

⁶ *The Quantum Hall Effect*, edited by R. E. Prange and S.

M. Girvin (Springer, Berlin, 1990).

⁷ S. Murakami, N. Nagaosa, and S. C. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 156804 (2004).

⁸ C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele, cond-mat/0411737.

⁹ C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele, cond-mat/0506581.

¹⁰ L. Sheng, D. N. Sheng, C. S. Ting, and F. D. M. Haldane, cond-mat/0506589, to be published in *Phys. Rev. Lett.* (2005).

¹¹ B. A. Bernevig and S. C. Zhang, cond-mat/0504147.

¹² X. L. Qi, Y. S. Wu, and S. C. Zhang, cond-mat/0505308.

¹³ M. Onoda and N. Nagaosa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 106601 (2005).

¹⁴ C. Wu, B. A. Bernevig, and S. C. Zhang, cond-mat/0508273.

¹⁵ C. Xu and J. E. Moore, cond-mat/0508291.

- ¹⁶ P. Středa, J. Phys. C **15**, L717 (1982).
- ¹⁷ B. Huckestein and R. N. Bhatt, Surf. Sci. **305**, 438 (1997); C. Albrecht, J. H. Smet, K. von Klitzing, D. Weiss, V. Umansky, and H. Schweizer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 147 (2001).
- ¹⁸ M. Kohmoto, B. I. Halperin, and Y. S. Wu, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 13488 (1992); M. Koshino, H. Aoki, K. Kuroki, S. Kagoshima, and T. Osada, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 1062 (2001).
- ¹⁹ It has been suggested by using the coordinate transformation that there exists a Středa-like contribution to the spin Hall conductivity. See A. A. Baytin and F. D. M. Haldane, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. **50**, Part 2, p.1149 (2005). However, the extra contribution for the spin Hall conductivity besides the Středa-like one has not been mentioned. This is not surprising because the non-conservation of spin (i.e., $[s_z, H] \neq 0$) is not taken into account in their argument.
- ²⁰ A. Bastin, C. Lewiner, O. Betbeder-Matibet, and P. Nozières, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **32**, 1811 (1971). See also Eqs. (5) and (6) in Ref. 16 or Eq. (A9) in A. Crépieux and P. Bruno, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 014416 (2001).
- ²¹ This identity is valid for arbitrary choices of vector potential \vec{A} , nevertheless the derivation would be simpler if a symmetric gauge that centers at the origin is used, that is, $\vec{A} \equiv (-y, x, 0)B/2$.
- ²² F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 2015 (1988).
- ²³ P. Zhang, J. Shi, D. Xiao, and Q. Niu, cond-mat/0503505.
- ²⁴ For example, as parameters are varied, the magnitude and sign of the Chern number for each subband may change [see, for example, M. Y. Lee, M. C. Chang, and T. M. Hong, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 11895 (1998)]. Thus one can manipulate charge Hall currents by tuning system parameters such as the hopping amplitude. Similar situations may also occur in SHE.