Beam quality of a non-ideal atom laser

J.-F. Riou,* W. Guerin, Y. Le Coq[†], M. Fauquembergue, V. Josse, P. Bouyer, and A. Aspect

Groupe d'Optique Atomique, Laboratoire Charles Fabry de l'Institut d'Optique,

Bât. 503, Campus universitaire d'Orsay, 91403 ORSAY CEDEX, FRANCE

(Dated: October 15, 2018)

We study the propagation of a non-interacting atom laser distorted by the strong lensing effect of the Bose-Einstein Condensate (BEC) from which it is outcoupled. We observe a transverse structure containing caustics that vary with the density within the residing BEC. Using WKB approximation, Fresnel-Kirchhoff integral formalism and ABCD matrices, we are able to describe analytically the atom laser propagation. This allows us to characterize the quality of the non-ideal atom laser beam by a generalized M^2 factor defined in analogy to photon lasers. Finally we measure this quality factor for different lensing effects.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Pp, 39.20.+q, 42.60.Jf,41.85.Ew

Optical lasers have had an enormous impact on science and technology, due to their high brightness and coherence. The high spatial quality of the beam and the little spread when propagating in the far-field enable applications ranging from the focusing onto tiny spots and optical lithography [1] to collimation over astronomic distances [2]. In atomic physics, Bose-Einstein condensates (BEC) of trapped atoms [3] are an atomic equivalent to photons stored in a single mode of an optical cavity, from which a coherent matter wave (atom laser) can be extracted [4, 5]. The possibility of creating continuous atom laser [6] promises spectacular improvements in future applications [7, 8, 9, 10, 11] where perfect collimation or strong focusing [12, 13, 14] are of prior importance. Nevertheless these properties depend drastically on whether the diffraction limit can be achieved. Thus, characterizing the deviation from this limit is, as for optical lasers [15], of crucial importance. For example, thermal lensing effects in optical laser cavities, which cause significant decollimation, can also induce aberrations that degrade the transverse profile. In atom optics, a trapped BEC weakly interacting with the outcoupled atom-laser beam acts as an effective thin-lens which leads to the divergence of the atom laser [16] without affecting the diffraction limit. When the lensing effect increases, dramatic degradations of the beam are predicted [17], with the apparition of caustics on the edge of the beam.

In order to quantitatively qualify the *atom-laser beam* quality, it is tempting to take advantage of the methods developed in optics to deal with non-ideal laser beams *i.e.* above the diffraction limit. Following the initial work of Siegman [18] who introduced the quality factor M^2 which



FIG. 1: Absorption images of a non-ideal atom laser, corresponding to density integration along the elongated axis of the BEC. The figures correspond to different height of RF-outcoupler detunings with respect to the bottom of the BEC: (a) 0.37 μ m (b) 2.22 μ m (c) 3.55 μ m. The graph above shows the RF-outcoupler (dashed line) and the BEC slice (red) which is crossed by the atom laser. This results in the observation of caustics. The field of view is 350 μ m × 1200 μ m for each image.

is proportional to the space-beam-width (divergence \times size) product at the waist, it is natural to extend its definition to atom optics as

$$\Delta x \ \Delta k_x = \frac{\mathrm{M}^2}{2},\tag{1}$$

where Δx and $\Delta k_x = \Delta p_x/\hbar$ characterize respectively the size and the divergence along x (Δp_x is the width of the momentum distribution). Equation (1) plays the same role as the Heisenberg dispersion relation: it expresses how many times the beam deviates from the diffraction limit.

In this letter, we experimentally and theoretically study the quality factor M^2 of a non-ideal, noninteracting atom-laser beam. First, we present our experimental investigation of the structures that appear in the

UMR 8501 du CNRS,

[†]Present address: NIST, Mailcode 847.10, 325 Broadway, Boulder, CO 80305-3328 (U.S.A.)

transverse profile [19]. We show that they are induced by the strong lensing effect due to the interactions between the trapped BEC and the outcoupled beam. Then, using an approach based on the WKB approximation and the Fresnel-Kirchhoff integral formalism, we are able to calculate analytical profiles which agree with our experimental observations. This allows us to generalize concepts introduced in [18] for photon laser and to calculate the quality factor M^2 . This parameter can then be used in combination with the paraxial ABCD matrices [20] to describe the propagation of the non-ideal beam via the evolution of the rms width. Finally, we present a study of the M^2 quality factor as a function of the thickness of the BEC-induced output lens.

Our experiment produces atom lasers obtained by radio frequency (RF) outcoupling from a BEC [5, 21]. The experimental setup for creating condensates of ⁸⁷Rb is described in detail in [23]. Briefly, a Zeeman-slowed atomic beam loads a magneto-optical trap in a glass cell. About 2×10^8 atoms are transferred in the $|F, m_F\rangle = |1, -1\rangle$ state to a Ioffe-Pritchard magnetic trap , which is subsequently compressed to oscillation frequencies of $\omega_y =$ $2\pi \times 8$ Hz and $\omega_{x,z} = 2\pi \times 330$ Hz in the dipole and quadrupole directions respectively. A 25 s RF-induced evaporative cooling ramp results in a pure condensate of $N = 10^6$ atoms, cigar-shaped along the y axis.

The atom laser is extracted from the BEC by applying a RF field a few kHz above the bottom of the trap, in order to couple the trapped state to the weakly antitrapped state $|1,0\rangle$. The extracted atom laser beam falls under the effect of both gravity -mgz and second order Zeeman effect $V = -m\omega^2(x^2 + z^2)/2$ [22] with $\omega = 2\pi \times$ 20 Hz (see Fig. 2a). The RF-outcoupler amplitude is weak enough to avoid perturbation of the condensate so that the laser dynamics is quasi-stationary [21] and the resulting atom flux is low enough to avoid interactions within the propagating beam. Since the BEC is displaced vertically by the gravitational sag, the value of the RFoutcoupler frequency $\nu_{\rm BF}$ defines the height where the laser is extracted [5]. After 10 ms of operation, the fields are switched off and absorption imaging is taken after 1 ms of free fall with a measured spatial resolution of 6 μ m. The line of sight is along the weak y axis so that we observe the transverse profile of the atom laser in the (z, x) plane.

Typical images are shown in figure 1. Transverse structures, similar to the predictions in [17], are clearly visible in figures 1b and 1c. The laser beam quality degrades as the RF-outcoupler is higher in the BEC (i.e. the laser beam crosses more condensate), supporting the interpretation that this effect is due to the strong repulsive interaction between the BEC and the laser. This effect can be understood with a semi-classical picture. The mean-field interaction results in an inverted harmonic potential of frequencies ω_i (in the directions i = x, y, z) which, in the Thomas-Fermi regime, are fixed by the magnetic confine-



FIG. 2: (a) Principle of the RF-outcoupler : the radiofrequency $\nu_{RF} = (E_{\rm BEC} - E_{\rm laser})/h$ selects the initial position of the extracted atom-laser beam. The laser is then subjected to the condensate mean-field potential $U_{\rm int}$, to the quadratic Zeeman effect V and to gravity -mgz. For the sake of clarity, V has been exaggerated on the graph. (b) Representation of the two-dimensional theoretical treatment: (I) inside the condensate, phase integral along atomic paths determines the laser wavefront at the BEC output (WKB approximation). (II) A Fresnel-Kirchhoff integral on the contour Γ is used to calculate the stationary laser wavefunction at any point below the condensate. (III) As soon as the beam enters the paraxial regime, we calculate the M² quality factor and use ABCD matrix formalism.

ment [16, 25]. The interaction potential expels the atoms transversally, as illustrated in Fig. 2b. Because of the finite size of the condensate, the trajectories initially at the center of the beam experience more mean-field repulsion than the ones initially at the border. This results in accumulation of trajectories at the edge of the atom laser beam [17], in a similar manner to caustics in optics. This picture enables a clear physical understanding of the behaviour observed in figure 1: if $\nu_{\rm RF}$ is chosen so that extraction is located at the bottom of the BEC (Fig. 1a), the lensing effect is negligible and one gets a collimated beam. As the RF outcoupler moves upwards (Fig. 1b and 1c), a thicker part of the condensate acts on the laser and defocusing, then caustics appear. We verified that when sufficiently decreasing the transverse confinement of the trapped BEC, *i.e.* making the interaction with the outcoupled atoms negligible, the atom laser is collimated at any RF value [26].

In order to describe quantitatively the details of the profiles of the non-ideal atom laser one could solve numerically the Gross-Pitaevskii equation (GPE) [17, 19]. As we show here, another approach is possible, using approximations initially developed in the context of photon optics and extended to atom optics. These approximations allow calculation of the atom-laser propagation together with the characterization of its rms width evolution by means of the quality factor M², in combination

with ABCD matrices. Note however that these matrices can only be used in the paraxial regime, *i.e.* when the transverse kinetic energy is smaller than the longitudinal one [16]. This condition does not hold in the vicinity of the BEC, and thus we split the atom laser evolution into three steps (Fig. 2b) where we use different formalisms in close analogy with optics: (I) WKB inside the condensate (eikonal), (II) Fresnel-Kirchhoff integral outside the condensate and, (III) paraxial ABCD matrices after sufficient height of fall. In all the following, we do not



FIG. 3: Beam profile after 600 μ m of propagation for a outcoupler height of 3.55 μ m: (a) calculated in the central plane y = 0; (b) resulting from the integration along the line of sight y; (c) obtained experimentally. Deviations between the curves (b) and (c) can be attributed to imperfections in the imaging process and bias fluctuations. Note that for all three profiles, the overall shape is preserved and the calculated rms width in the central plane is in agreement with the measured one within experimental errors.

include interactions within the atom laser since they are negligible for the very dilute beam considered in this letter. In addition, the condensate is elongated along the yaxis, so that the forces along this direction are negligible and we consider only the dynamics in the (z, x) plane.

First, we consider that the atoms extracted from the condensate by the RF-outcoupler start at zero velocity from \mathbf{r}_0 . In region (I) the total potential (resulting from gravity and interactions with the trapped BEC) is cylindrically symmetric along the y axis. The trajectories are thus straight lines (see Fig. 2b). The beam profile $\psi(\mathbf{r}_1)$ at the BEC border is obtained thanks to the WKB approximation, by integrating the phase along classical paths of duration τ_0

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}_1) \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sinh\left(2\omega_z\tau_0\right)}} e^{i\int_{\mathbf{r}_0}^{\mathbf{r}_1}\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r})\cdot d\mathbf{r}} \psi_{\text{BEC}}(\mathbf{r}_0), \quad (2)$$

where ψ_{BEC} is the condensate wavefunction and the prefactor ensures the conservation of the flux.

The atom laser being in a quasi-stationary state, the wavefunction satisfies in region (II) the time-independent Schrödinger equation at a given energy E in the potential V-mgz. Since this equation is analogous to the Helmoltz equation [27, 28] in optics, the Fresnel-Kirchhoff integral formalism can be generalized to atom optics as [29]

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) \propto \oint_{\Gamma} d\mathbf{l}_1 \cdot \left[G_E \nabla_1 \psi(\mathbf{r}_1) - \psi(\mathbf{r}_1) \nabla_1 G_E \right].$$
(3)

We take the contour Γ along the condensate border and close it at infinity (see Fig. 2b). The time-independent 3

the time-domain Fourier transform of the Feynman propagator $K(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_1, \tau)$ [29, 30] calculated by means of the van Vleck formula [31]. Using $\psi(\mathbf{r_1})$ from equation (2), the wavefunction $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ is then known at any location \mathbf{r} . We verified the excellent agreement of this model with a numerical integration of the GPE including intra-laser interactions, thus confirming that they remain negligible throughout propagation.

The method using a Kirchhoff integral is demanded only for the early stages of the propagation. As soon as the paraxial approximation becomes valid, the ABCD matrix formalism can be used to describe the propagation of the beam. An example of a profile calculated in the central plane (y = 0) is presented in figure 3a. In figure 3b we add the profiles of all y planes taking into account the measured resolution of the imaging system. In figure 3c we present the corresponding experimental profile. The overall shape is in good agreement with theory, and the differences can be explained by imperfections in the imaging process and bias fluctuations during outcoupling. The rms size of the three profiles, which depend very smoothly on the details of the structure, are the same within experimental uncertainties. Thus, hereafter, as in the case of propagation of optical laser using the M^2 parameter, we only consider the evolution of the rms size of the atom laser.

To calculate the beam width change in the paraxial regime, we define, following [18], a generalized complex radius of curvature

$$\frac{1}{q(\xi)} = \mathcal{C}(\xi) + \frac{i\mathcal{M}^2}{2\sigma_x^2(\xi)},\tag{4}$$

where σ_x is the rms width of the density profile, $\xi(t)$ a reduced variable which describes the time evolution of the beam such that $\xi = 0$ corresponds to the position of the waist. Equation (4) involves an invariant coefficient, the beam-quality factor M^2 , as defined in Eq. (1). This coefficient, as well as the effective curvature $\mathcal{C}(\xi)$, can be extracted from the wavefront in the paraxial domain, as explained in [32]. In optics, the generalized complex radius obeys the same ABCD propagation rules as does a Gaussian beam of the same real beam size, if the wavelength λ is changed to M² λ [20]. Similarly, the complex radius $q(\xi)$ follows here the ABCD law for matter-waves [16], and we obtain the rms width

$$\sigma_x^2(\xi) = \sigma_{x0}^2 \cosh^2(\xi) + \left(\frac{\mathrm{M}^2\hbar}{2m\omega}\right)^2 \frac{\sinh^2(\xi)}{\sigma_{x0}^2},\qquad(5)$$

where σ_{x0} is taken at the waist.

This generalized Rayleigh formula allows us to measure M^2 . In the inset of figure 4, the evolution of the transverse rms width σ_x versus propagation ξ , taken from experimental images, is compared to the one given by Eq. (5), where σ_{x0} is calculated with our model. For a chosen

RF-outcoupler position, we fit the variation of the width with a single free parameter M^2 . We then plot the measured value of M^2 vs the ouput coupler height (Fig. 4), and we find good agreement with theory.



FIG. 4: M^2 quality factor vs RF-outcoupler distance from the bottom of the BEC: theory (solid line), experimental points (circles). The two diamonds represent the M^2 for the two non-ideal atom lasers shown in figure 1b and 1c. The RFoutcoupler position is calibrated by the number of outcoupled atoms. Inset: typical fit of the laser rms size with the generalized Rayleigh formula (Eq. 5) for RF-outcoupler position $3.55 \ \mu m$.

In conclusion, we have characterized the transverse profile of an atom laser. We demonstrated that, in our case, lensing effect when crossing the condensate is a critical contributor to the observed degradation of the beam. We showed that the beam-quality factor M², initially introduced by Siegman [18] for photon laser, is a fruitful concept for describing the propagation of an atom laser beam with ABCD matrices, as well as for characterizing how far an atom laser deviates from the diffraction limit. For instance, it determines the minimal focusing size that can be achieved with atomic lenses provided that interactions in the laser remain negligible [14, 33]. This is of essential importance in view of future applications of coherent matter-waves as, for example, when coupling atom lasers onto guiding structures of atomic chips [34]. In addition, if interactions within atom laser become non negligible, a further treatement could be developed in analogy with the work of [35] for non-linear optics.

The authors would like to thank S. Rangwala, A. Villing and F. Moron for their help on the experiment, L. Sanchez-Palencia and I. Bouchoule for fruit-ful discussions and R. Nyman for careful reading of the manuscript. This work is supported by CNES (DA:10030054), DGA (contract 9934050 and 0434042), LNE, EU (grants IST-2001-38863, MRTN-CT-2003-505032 and FINAQS STREP), INTAS (contract 211-855) and ESF (BEC2000+).

- * Electronic address: Jean-Felix.Riou@iota.u-psud.fr; URL: http://atomoptic.iota.u-psud.fr
- [1] R. Ito and S. Okazaki, Nature. 406, 1027 (2000).

- [2] P. L. Bender et al., Science 182, 229 (1973).
- M.H. Anderson *et al.*, Science **269**, 198 (1995); K.B.
 Davis *et al*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3969 (1995); C.C.
 Bradley, C.A. Sackett, J.J. Tollett and R.G. Hulet, Phys.
 Rev. Lett. **75**, 1687 (1995).
- M.-O. Mewes et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 582 (1997); B.P.
 Anderson and M. A. Kasevich et al., Science 282, 1686 (1998); E.W. Hagley et al, Science 283, 1706 (1999).
- [5] I. Bloch, T. W. Hänsch and T. Esslinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3008 (1999).
- [6] A. P. Chikkatur *et al.*, Science, **296**, 2193, 2002; T. Lahaye *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A **72**, 033411 (2005).
- [7] P. Bouyer and M.A. Kasevich, Phys. Rev. A 56, R1083 (1997).
- [8] Y.-J. Wang et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 090405 (2005).
- [9] Y. Shin *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A **72**, 021604(R) (2005).
- [10] E. te Sligte *et al.*, Appl. Phys. Lett., **85** (19), 4493 (2004);
 G. Myszkiewicz *et al.*, Appl. Phys. Lett., **85** (17), 3842 (2004).
- [11] Y. Le Coq et al., arXiv: cond-mat/0501520 (2005).
- [12] I. Bloch, M. Kohl, M. Greiner, T.W. Hansch and T. Esslinger, Phys. Rev. Lett., 87 030401, (2001).
- [13] I. Shvarchuck et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., 89, 270404, (2002).
- [14] A. S. Arnold, C. MacCormick and M. G. Boshier, J. Phys.
 B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **37** 485, (2004).
- [15] A.E. Siegman, in *Solid State Lasers : New Developments and Applications*, edited by M. Inguscio and R. Wallenstein, (Plenum Press, New York, 1993) p.13.
- [16] Y. Le Coq et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 170403 (2001).
- [17] T. Busch, M. Kohl, T. Esslinger and K. Molmer, Phys. Rev. A 65, 043615 (2002).
- [18] A. E. Siegman, IEEE J. Quantum Electron. 27, 1146 (1991).
- [19] Similar observations had been reported in M. Köhl *et al.*, arXiv:cond-mat/0508778v1 (2005).
- [20] P.A. Bélanger, Optics Lett. 16, 196 (1991).
- [21] F. Gerbier, P. Bouyer and A. Aspect, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 4729 (2001); Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 059905(E) (2004).
- [22] B. Desruelle et al., Phys. Rev. A 60, R1759 (1999).
- [23] M. Fauquembergue *et al.*, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **76**, 103104 (2005).
- [24] I. Bloch, T. W. Hänsch and T. Esslinger, Nature 403, 166 (2000).
- [25] F. Dalfovo et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 71, 463 (1999).
- [26] We also verified that the lensing effect varies very little with condensate atom number since the Thomas Fermi radius, and thus the part acting on the atom laser varies as $N^{1/5}$ [25].
- [27] M. Born and E. Wolf, *Principles of optics*, Cambridge Univ. Press (7th edition) (2002).
- [28] C. Henkel, J.-Y. Courtois and A. Aspect, J. Phys. II France 4, 1955 (1994)
- [29] Ch. J. Bordé in Fundamental Systems in Quantum Optics, edited by J. Dalibard (Elsevier 1991); Ch. J. Bordé C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t.2; série IV 509-530 (2001).
- [30] R. P. Feynman and A. R. Hibbs, Quantum mechanics and path integrals, McGraw-Hill (1965).
- [31] J. H. van Vleck , Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 14 178-188 (1928).
- [32] A.E. Siegman, *Lasers*, Un. Sci. Books, Mill Valley, California (1986).
- [33] For a focusing size R the transverse kinetic energy deduced from equation (1) is $(M^2)^2 \hbar^2/4mR^2$ whereas the

interaction energy reads $2\pi\hbar^2 a \mathcal{F}/vmR^2$, with a, v and \mathcal{F} being repectively the scattering length, the on-axis beam velocity and the flux. Interactions are thus negligible if $\mathcal{F}/v \ll (M^2)^2/8\pi a$.

- [34] see special issue of Eur. Phys. J. D 35 No. 1 (2005).[35] C. Paré and P.A. Bélanger, Opt. and Quant. Electron. **24**, s1051 (1992).