# Dynamics and stability of dispersions of polyelectrolyte-filled multilayer microcapsules

Byoung-Suhk Kim,<sup>1</sup> Vladimir Lobaskin,<sup>2,3</sup> and Olga I. Vinogradova<sup>4,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sogang University, 1 Shinsu-Dong, Mapo-Gu, Seoul 121-742, South Korea

<sup>2</sup> Technische Universität München, James-Franck-Str., D-85747 Garching, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Chelyabinsk State University, Br. Kashirinykh 129, 454126 Chelyabinsk, Russia

<sup>4</sup> A.N.Frumkin Institute of Physical Chemistry and Electrochemistry,

Russian Academy of Sciences, Leninsky Prospect 31, 119991 Moscow, Russia

We report dynamic and coagulation properties of a dispersion of polyelectrolyte multilayer microcapsules filled with solutions of a strong polyelectrolyte. The capsule self-diffusion coefficient in the vicinity of the wall is measured using a particle tracking procedure from confocal images of the dispersion. Our results suggest that the microcapsules take a charge of encapsulated polyions, which indicates a semi-permeability of the shell and a leakage of counter-ions. The diffusion of capsules in the force field is qualitatively similar to that of charged solid particles: The effective interaction potential contains a weak local attractive minimum and an electrostatic barrier. We also found that the aggregation of suspended capsules occurs faster than their sedimentation and adhesion onto a glass surface.

### I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, there has been much interest in studying polyelectrolyte multilayer microcapsules, mostly "hollow"<sup>1,2</sup>, but also filled with the neutral or charged polymer solutions. Such "filled" microcapsules represent a novel type of nano-engineered composite microstructures. They can be prepared by a variety of methods<sup>3,4,5,6,7</sup> and are potentially important in many areas of science and technology. For instance, they allow one to mimic the advanced systems containing biopolymers and could serve as a new composite material with controlled stiffness<sup>8,9,10,11</sup>.

The potential applications of polyelectrolyte microcapsules to a big extent depend on how well their physical properties are understood and could be controlled. So far, main attention was attracted by mechanical and adhesion properties<sup>6,7,10,12,13,14,15</sup>, since they define deformation and rupture of the capsule shells under external load, which is important for protection of encapsulated material in delivery/release systems (for recent reviews  $see^{15,16}$ ). Beside the knowledge about microcapsule mechanical behavior, the topics of big relevance to numerous potential applications are the stability and dynamics of colloidal dispersions of microcapsules. The potential use of capsules as containers in pharmaceutical or chemical applications strongly depends on the possibility to prepare their stable dispersions. The questions of interest include the dynamic and diffusion properties of microcapsule dispersion; whether and under which conditions this dispersion is stable or tends to aggregate; how do capsules interact with the surfaces; and what kinds of long- and short-range interactions are expected. Although these questions might be considered as central for any colloidal systems, and despite enormous experimental activity devoted to design of new functional polyelectrolyte microcapsules<sup>17,18,19,20</sup>, these dynamics and stability issues were never addressed.

The lack of information about interactions and aggregation in the dispersion of microcapsules is not the least due to the absence of direct experimental methods to study interactions in the capsule systems. Thus, the surface force apparatus measures the interactions between macroscopic bodies which are at least 1000 times larger than  $\operatorname{microcapsules}^{21,22}$ , not to say that it requires that at least one interacting surface is rigid. The use of a single polyelectrolyte microcapsule as a colloidal probe in the atomic force microscope  $approach^{23}$  is hardly possible, since the standard methods of attachment of a probe to a cantilever would lead to a destruction/collapse of the capsules. Optical tweezers techniques<sup>24</sup> normally require a much larger contrast in dielectric constants. The same remark concerns methods based on total internal reflection microscopy $^{25}$ , which would only be possible in case of significant difference in refractive indices. The only potentially suitable known technique that could be used for studying the interaction properties of microcapsules is that based on direct measurements and subsequent inversion of a pair distribution function<sup>26,27,28,29</sup>. This method (involving numerical image analysis), however, was used so far only for solid particles. The reconstruction of capsule positions from the confocal image is straightforward for a stable dispersion, where the particles are well separated in space. However, the evaluation of the potential from the images of an aggregating dispersion is complicated by (i) non-trivial fluorescence intensity profiles of filled capsules and (ii) by a substantial overlap of intensity profiles of contacting species. The calculation of distribution functions would require therefore measurements of much higher resolution and precision than in case of solid particles.

In this paper, we probe the interaction potential in a partially stabilized dispersion of "filled" microcapsules suspended in salt-free water. Our approach is based on the reconstruction of the potential from the measured coagulation rate and translation speed of capsules along the plate. To the best of our knowledge, our paper represents the first attempt to evaluate an interaction (pair) potential of the capsules. Our consideration here is rather approximate due to inevitable difficulties caused by a complexity of a microcapsule's system and, as a consequence, some inaccuracy of measurements and difficulties in interpretation of experimental data. However, our approaches provide us with some guidance and lead to unambiguous conclusions. Thus, we show that "filled" capsules take a charge of an encapsulated polyelectrolyte indicating semi-permeable properties of the multilayer shell and a counter-ion leakage. The diffusion of "filled" capsules in a force field is similar to that of charged solid particles. We also prove that the interaction potential contains an electrostatic barrier with a weak local attractive minimum close to a contact of capsules. Our results show that encapsulation of charged polymers is as important in its effect on adhesion of microcapsules and their longrange interactions as for other properties studied before.

### II. EXPERIMENTAL

### A. Materials

The fluorescent dye Rhodamine B isothiocyanate (RBITC), shell-forming polyelectrolytes poly(sodium 4-styrenesulfonate) (PSS; Mw  $\sim$  70 kDa) and poly(allylamine hydrochloride) (PAH; Mw  $\sim 70$  kDa) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Sodium chloride (NaCl) was purchased Germany. from Riedel-de Haen, Germany. All chemicals were of analytical purity or higher quality and were used without further purification. Suspensions of monodisperse weakly cross-linked melamine formaldehyde particles (MF-particles) with a radius of 2  $\mu m$  were purchased from Microparticles GmbH (Berlin, Germany). Glass bottom dishes (0.17 mm/30 mm) with optical quality surfaces were obtained from World Precision Instruments Inc. (USA).

Fluorescent PSS-RBITC for encapsulation was prepared according to a method published in Ref.<sup>7,9</sup>. Briefly, labeled allylamine was first produced, and afterwards mixed with sodium styrenesulfonate (SS), and then copolymerized under  $N_2$  radically. The allylamine was mixed with RBITC dissolved in ethanol. The mixture was stirred for four hours at room temperature. Afterwards, SS was added in an amount corresponding to about 200 monomer equivalents. Then as initiator  $1\% K_2 S_2 O_8$ , related to the monomer concentration, was added to this solution for a radical polymerization. The mixture was heated up to 80°C and was stirred for four hours in a nitrogen atmosphere. After polymerization, labeled polymer was dialyzed extensively against distilled water using 3500 molecular-weight-cutoff dialysis tubing until no more colour could be observed in the wash water. The dialyzed polymer solution was freezedried. The weight-average molecular weight (Mw) was estimated by gel-permeation chromatography (column: TSK Gel G6000, TSK Gel G5000, TSK Gel G3000) with standard polyethylene oxide as a reference using  $H_2O$  as an eluent at 23°C. Mw and polydispersity were found as

about 46 kDa and 1.86, respectively. Water used for all experiments was purified by a commercial Milli-Q Gradient A10 system containing ion exchange and charcoal stages, and had a high resistivity of 18.2 M $\Omega$ /cm.

### B. Methods

#### 1. Capsule preparation

The positively charged MF particles (50 mL of 10 wt % dispersion) as a template were incubated with 1 mL of the negatively charged PSS solution (1 mg/mL containing 0.5 mol/L NaCl, pH 6) at room temperature for 10 min, followed by three centrifugation/rinsing cycles, and finally dispersed in water. 1 mL of a PAH solution (1 mg/mL containing 0.5 mol/L, pH 6) was then added to the particle dispersion. After 10 min given for adsorption three centrifugation/wash cycles were performed (as above). The PSS and PAH adsorption steps were repeated four times each to build multilayers on the MF particles. Washing out excess polymer and salt were followed by each adsorption. The microcapsules referred to below as "hollow" capsules were obtained by dissolving the MF template in HCl at pH 1.2-1.6 and washing with water three times as described before<sup>30</sup>.

The "hollow" capsules were then filled with polyelectrolyte. The detailed procedures are described in the previous report.<sup>7</sup> The encapsulation of polyelectrolyte included several steps. Briefly, the original "hollow" capsules were exposed to acetone/water mixture (1:1) to make a multilayer shell permeable for a high molecular weight polymer<sup>13</sup> and then RBITC-labeled PSS molecules were added to the mixtures. During the encapsulation process the PSS concentration was increased gradually to avoid an osmotic collapse of the microcapsules<sup>7,9</sup> The initial PSS concentration was 2 mg/mL  $(\sim 0.01 \text{ mol/L})$  and was doubled every hour. When the required concentration was reached, the mixture was diluted with pure water and the multilayer shells were assumed to return to an impermeable state. The "filled" capsules were separated from the PSS in the bulk solution by centrifugation. Afterwards, washing cycles with pure water were carried out at least twice to remove the excess PSS molecules.

#### 2. Confocal microscopy

To scan the dynamic motion of "filled" microcapsules in the plane located close to a glass wall (see Fig. 1, top), we used a commercial confocal microscope manufactured by Olympus (Japan) consisting of the confocal scanning unit Olympus FV 300 in combination with an inverted microscope Olympus IX70 equipped with a high resolution  $60 \times$  oil (N.A. 1.45) immersion objective. The excitation wavelength was chosen according to the label Rhodamine ( $\lambda = 543$  nm). Microcapsules were dispersed



FIG. 1: Top: Schematic of location of capsules in the vicinity of the similarly charged wall and the main notations. Polymers and counter-ions are not shown (left). The illustration of counter-ion leakage. The charge of the capsule is no longer equal to that of the shell and is controlled by the charge of inner polymers (right). Bottom: Confocal image of isolated immobilized capsules (left) and a typical distribution of encapsulated polyelectrolyte inside them (right) reflected by measured intensity of the fluorescence signal.

in salt-free water. Then confocal images of their suspension in the vicinity of the wall were taken with time interval of 1 s. We normally had about 150 capsules in the field of view  $100 \times 134 \mu m$ . Then, the particle tracking was performed using the IDL software and tracking scripts by Crocker and Grier<sup>31</sup>. As a result, the twodimensional mean-square displacements of the center-ofmass of "filled" microcapsules were measured. The apparent diffusion coefficient was estimated either from the jump length distribution or from the mean-square displacement of the "filled" microcapsules as a function of time.

The same confocal setup was used to scan the capsule shape and to check the concentration distribution of PSS inside the capsules. These measurements were done after the sedimentation of capsules with only those of them that remained isolated (see Fig. 1, bottom) The z-position scanning was done in steps of 0.02-0.05  $\mu$ m. The diameters of the capsules were determined optically with an accuracy of 0.4  $\mu$ m. Concentration measurements were performed via the fluorescence intensity coming from the interior of the PSS containing capsules.



FIG. 2: Typical trajectories (cartoon) and jump length distributions of the polyelectrolyte-filled capsules capsules taken one day (circles) and four days (squares) after the preparation.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### A. Main observations

Immediately after the preparation, the "filled" capsules have been immersed into a glass bottom dish filled with water. Owing to their greater density, the capsules slowly sediment and settle near the bottom. Our first experiment, performed one day after encapsulation, shows that the intimate contact between the negatively charged wall (glass bottom) and the capsules is prevented by a repulsive force. This is in evident contrast with the behavior of positively charged original "hollow" capsules. Strictly speaking, we were unable to perform the same type of measurements with the "hollow" capsules. The difficulty is related to that the sedimentation rate of "hollow" capsules is much slower, so that they coagulate in the bulk before approaching the wall. When these aggregates of "hollow" capsules approach the wall, they attach the oppositely charged wall very quickly without longterm stay in the vicinity of it. The behavior of "filled" capsules is also different from that of MF particles of the same size, which were found to quickly adhere to the glass surface. So, almost likely in case of "filled" capsules we observe a double layer repulsion from the wall, which means that "filled" capsules also bear a negative charge. Since the "filled" capsules also undergo Brownian motion (as it was first detected  $in^9$ ), their location fluctuates about an equilibrium height L near the wall at which gravity and double-layer repulsion are balanced. The confocal plane was then chosen in such a distance from the wall, where the majority of capsules were located

(Fig. 1, top). It was then found that capsules located in the confocal plane and exhibiting normal fluctuations show random tangential motion (see inset in Fig. 2). The normal and tangential components of the Brownian fluctuations are affected differently by wall hindrance, which is in agreement with hydrodynamic theories  $^{32,33}$ . The typical snapshot of the capsule configurations taken one day after encapsulation is shown in Fig. 3, top. One can see that capsules are isolated and move independently. An important point to note is that the spatial distribution of capsules in the confocal plane parallel to the wall is typical for a charge stabilized dispersion. Further observations revealed the development of the aggregation of capsules. In three days we have observed a large amount of dimers and triplets (not shown), and larger aggregates were formed in four days (Fig. 3, middle) after encapsulation. Analysis of a typical trajectory of capsules suggest that, in average, they move slower and with the smaller length of free-path (Fig. 2). After about one week, however, most of capsules aggregate and sediment to the wall, indicating that in case of large capsule aggregates (Fig. 3, bottom) a double layer repulsion no longer balances gravity. Capsules in aggregates are fully immobilize.

The electrostatic nature of coagulation slowing down has been confirmed by investigating the behavior of a dispersion of "filled" microcapsules in the presence of salt ions. At low concentration of NaCl (less than 0.1 mol/L), a dispersion of "filled" capsules coagulates very slowly, whereas at a higher concentration of NaCl (more than 0.1 mol/L), where the electrostatics are substantially screened, the aggregation develops quite quickly.

After all "filled" capsules sedimented to the wall, we have measured the inner distribution of PSS (Fig. 1, bottom). All measurements were done in water environment. We found that this is non-uniform and reveals the concentration peaks of the PSS at the capsule shell. The concentration of PSS near the shell indicates that the interior of the shell has a non-compensated negative charge, so that PSS ions tend to repel. This seems to be a consequence of counter-ion leakage<sup>34</sup>.

### B. Analysis

The essential observations are these: the dispersion of "filled" (with strong polyanions) capsules shows behavior typical for charge-stabilized colloids. Why, and what does it mean? For dispersion of solid particles the slow rate of aggregation is normally caused by an interplay of a long-range double-layer repulsion and a shorter-range dispersion attraction<sup>35</sup>. Therefore, a similar coagulation behavior of the dispersion of microcapsules indicates that we might deal with the same types of interaction forces.

Multilayer shells of capsules used here are positively charged. The inner polyelectrolyte solution obeys the electro-neutrality requirement, so that the total charge of counter-ions (Na<sup>+</sup> in our case) is equal to a charge of dissociated polymer chains (i.e. PSS<sup>-</sup>). However, reasons



FIG. 3: Confocal images of the dispersion of capsules close to the wall taken in one (top), four (middle), and five (bottom) days after the preparation.

for a negative charge of "filled" capsules are intuitively clear. It indicates a counterion leak-out from the capsule interior<sup>34</sup>, which results from an electro-chemical equilibrium between the outer solution with higher entropy of the ions and the inner solution where the counterions gain electrostatic energy. The fraction of the escaping ions and, hence, the capsule charge should grow on dilution of the dispersion and on lowering the ionic strength in the solvent reservoir. This is consistent with the earlier results confirming that a multilayer shell is a typical semi-permeable membrane (see<sup>15,16</sup> and references therein) and with the non-uniform distribution of the inner polyelectrolyte indicating the leakage of (positively charged) counter-ions.

We suppose that the interaction potential for charged capsules U(r) has the DLVO form including the van der Waals and electrostatic contributions

$$U(r) = U_{vdW}(r) + U_{el}(r) \tag{1}$$

For hollow capsules, however, the van der Waals term  $U_{vdW}(r)$  differs from the standard Hamaker potential for solid spheres, which is normally applied. The corresponding interaction potential for two shells of radius R and thickness h in the Derjaguin approximation (the gap d and the thickness are much smaller than their radius  $d, h \ll R$ ) can be conveniently written as a function of the gap thickness  $d^{36}$ 

$$U_{vdW}(d) = -\frac{AR}{12} \left( \frac{1}{d+2h} - \frac{2}{d+h} + \frac{1}{d} \right) - \frac{A}{6} \ln \left( \frac{d(d+2h)}{(d+h)^2} \right)$$
(2)

where A is the Hamaker constant for the shell polyelectrolytes in the given solvent. In the limit  $d \ll h$ , this potential can be evaluated as  $-AR/(12d) \times 2h/(d+2h)$ . So, at contact, the dispersion force is the same as for a solid particle made of the same material.

The electrostatic interaction of two charged spherical particles is given by

$$U_{el}(r) = \frac{\left(Z_{eff}e\right)^2}{4\pi\varepsilon\varepsilon_0} \left[\frac{\exp(\kappa R)}{(1+\kappa R)}\right]^2 \frac{\exp(-\kappa r)}{r} \qquad (3)$$

where  $Z_{eff}$  is the effective charge of the sphere, e the elementary charge,  $\kappa^{-1}$  the Debye screening length,  $\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon_0$  the absolute dielectric permittivities of vacuum and the relative permittivity of the solvent, respectively.

In a similar fashion, the interaction potential of the capsule with the glass wall can be calculated, which can be used to estimate the stability of the dispersion with respect to deposition of the capsules on the cell walls. The interaction potential for a thin spherical shell and a thick flat plate has a form<sup>36,37</sup>

$$U_{cw}(d) = \frac{A_{cw}R}{6} \left(\frac{1}{d} - \frac{1}{d+h}\right) - \frac{A_{cw}}{6} \ln\left(\frac{d}{d+h}\right) + 64\pi R\varepsilon\varepsilon_0\gamma_1\gamma_2 \left(\frac{k_BT}{e}\right)^2 \exp(-\kappa d)$$
(4)

where  $A_{cw}$  is the Hamaker constant for glasssolvent- polyelectrolyte materials combination,  $\gamma_i = \tanh(e\psi_i/(4k_BT))$ , and  $\psi_i$  the corresponding effective surface potential of glass and capsule shell.



FIG. 4: Lateral self-diffusion coefficient for filled capsules corrected for the sphere-wall hydrodynamic interaction.

To characterize the capsule diffusion, we performed the analysis of particle trajectories in each of the samples over 50 frames using the particle tracking IDL scripts<sup>31</sup>. Our observations suggest that capsules can be conventionally subdivided into two groups: slow capsules, with the net displacement of  $l < 0.05 \mu m$  between the consequent frames, and fast, with the average displacement of  $0.2 - 0.4 \mu m$ . The fast capsules constitute the fraction of single particles, and the decrease of this fraction with time can be used to evaluate the capsule aggregation rate. Below we describe our approach. It should be stressed, however, that our evaluation below should be treated as orders of magnitude estimates. This is an inevitable consequence of a limited experimental information we have.

We further consider only the suspended single particles, which exhibit relatively fast Brownian motion, i.e. we excluded short trajectories with the frame-to-frame displacement  $l < 0.2 \mu m$  from the analysis. The selfdiffusion coefficients  $D_{wall}$  were evaluated from the mean jump length, which is for a two-dimensional random walk  $\langle \Delta r^2 \rangle = 4D_{wall}\Delta t$  The observed capsule diffusion occurs close to the bottom of the sample cell and therefore hindered due to hydrodynamic interaction with the glass surface. A correction to the diffusion coefficient near a wall is<sup>38</sup>

$$\frac{D_{wall}}{D_0} = 1 - \frac{9}{16}\frac{R}{L} + \frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{R}{L}\right)^3 - \frac{45}{256}\left(\frac{R}{L}\right)^4 - \frac{1}{16}\left(\frac{R}{L}\right)^5$$
(5)

Here,  $D_{wall}$  is the diffusion coefficient close to the stick solid boundary (sample bottom).

From the observed diffusion coefficient, we can estimate the distance to the glass wall. Assuming that the capsule self-diffusion coefficient in the bulk is given by the Stokes-Einstein value  $D_0 = k_B T / (6\pi \eta R)$  (i.e. neglect-



FIG. 5: Distributions of the self-diffusion coefficient for three sample ages. The curves show the best fit to the histograms by a sum of two Gaussian distributions.

ing the electrolyte friction effects), where T is the absolute temperature,  $\eta$  the solvent viscosity. For a spherical capsule of  $4\mu m$  in diameter  $D_0$  amounts to  $1.1 \times 10^{-13}$  m<sup>2</sup>/s in an aqueous suspension at room temperature. Then, using the Faxén's formula for the 1-day old sample, we find that the correction factor equals 0.47, i.e.  $D_{wall} = 0.47 D_0$ , and, hence, the distance to the wall is of the order of  $d \approx 0.16 R \approx 300$  nm.

The data in Fig. 4 indicate some changes in the diffusion coefficient with time. It is important to note that in sedimentation process, the effective volume fraction of the capsules close to the cell bottom (i.e. in the focal plane) increases. We should therefore expect a decrease in the mobility of the non-aggregated capsules. Indeed, in Fig. 4 the diffusion constant of the free capsules is decreasing with the age of the sample. Another observation that we can make out of the image series is that the capsule aggregation happens easier than their sedimentation and adhesion to the surface.

Now, we can estimate the effective charge and surface potential of the filled capsules from the observed aggregation rate. The slow aggregation we observe here is related to existence of the potential barrier in the pair interaction between the capsules. The aggregation rate of single particles is

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = -16\pi R D n^2 W^{-1} \tag{6}$$

where n is the number density of single particles and W the stability ratio. In its turn, the latter can be related to the pair interaction potential U(r)

$$W = 2R \int_{2R}^{\infty} \frac{e^{U(r)/k_B T}}{r^2} dr.$$
 (7)

Using the model potential, Eq. 1, with the Debye length

of 300 nm<sup>39</sup>, the Hamaker constant of polystyrene in water  $A = 1.3 \times 10^{-20} \text{J}^{40}$ , the shell thickness 10 nm, we evaluate the stability ratio, from which we can extract the only remaining free parameter, the capsule effective charge  $Z_{eff}$ .

Now we substitute into Eq. 6 the capsule concentrations in the layer adjacent to the focal plane, near the cell bottom. From the mean distance between the capsules and their diameter we get the capsule volume fraction of 0.04. Then, by estimating the initial aggregation rate from the fraction of free capsules in the 1-day sample (0.7), we find W = 3400. For fitting the effective capsule charge, the potential, Eq. 1, was substituted into integral, Eq. 7, and numerical integration was performed. The best fit to the stability ratio W = 3400 was then obtained with  $Z_{eff} = -2260$ , which corresponds roughly to the surface potential of -20 mV. Here we have to remind that our multilayer shell is positively charged, so that the negative potential we measure is solely due to encapsulated polyelectrolyte. This potential calculated according to Eq. (1) together with the curves for its electrostatic part at  $|Z_{eff}| = 2000, 3000, \text{ and } 4000 \text{ are shown in Fig. 6.}$ The very short-range part of the interaction coming from the steric repulsion between the shells is not shown. One can see that the filled capsules in a stabilized dispersion would be separated by at least 1  $\mu m$ , the distance at which the potential roughly equals  $k_B T$ . The above estimate of the capsule charge must represent rather the lower boundary as we did not account for attraction between the encapsulated material that would make the van der Waals attraction term larger in magnitude. Moreover, for the polyelectrolyte multilayers one can expect an additional correlation term, which comes from the mutual attraction of charge patches formed by polyelectrolytes adsorbed at the surfaces of neighboring capsules, and is known to affect the dispersion stability<sup>41,42,43,44,45</sup>. We should note that the charge  $|Z_{eff}| = 2260$  is still much smaller than the charge saturation value for spherical particles of  $R = 2\mu m$ , as can be estimated from the Poisson-Boltzmann theory  $^{46}$ , which amounts to 90000. A dispersion of capsules can be therefore made more stable by increasing the shell charge density by means of tuning the chemical composition of the constituting polyelectrolytes or by encapsulating a larger amount of polyelectrolytes. Clearly, the stability with respect to capsule deposition on the glass walls can be improved by changing the sign of the outermost polyelectrolyte layer in the shell from polycation to polyanion.

# IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this work we report the dynamics and stability of a dispersion of "filled" polyelectrolyte multilayer microcapsules as obtained from the capsule aggregation kinetics, particle trajectories, and spatial distributions. We observe that in contrast to the dispersion of positively charged hollow capsules, which quickly aggregate in the



FIG. 6: Effective pair potential for the filled capsules as obtained from the analysis of the aggregation rate and capsule diffusion from Eqs. 1, 6, and 7. Also shown are the curves for the electrostatic part of the interaction, Eq. 3 corresponding to different effective charges of the capsule.

- \* Corresponding author: oivinograd@yahoo.com
- <sup>1</sup> E. Donath, G. B. Sukhorukov, F. Caruso, S. A. Davis, and H. Möhwald, Angew. Chem.-Int. Edit. **42**, 2202 (1998).
- <sup>2</sup> F. Caruso, R. A. Caruso, and H. Möhwald, Science 282, 1111 (1998).
- <sup>3</sup> I. L. Radtchenko, G. B. Sukhorukov, S. Leporatti, G. B. Khomutov, E. Donath, and H. Möhwald, J. Colloid Interface Sci. **230**, 272 (2000).
- <sup>4</sup> G. B. Sukhorukov, A. Á. Antipov, A. Voigt, E. Donath, and H. Möhwald, Macromol. Rapid Commun. **22**, 44 (2001).
- <sup>5</sup> L. Dähne, S. Leporatti, E. Donath, and H. Möhwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **123**, 5431 (2001).
- <sup>6</sup> V. V. Lulevich, I. L. Radtchenko, G. B. Sukhorukov, and O. I. Vinogradova, J. Phys. Chem. B **107**, 2735 (2003).
- <sup>7</sup> O. I. Vinogradova, D. Andrienko, V. V. Lulevich, S. Nordschild, and G. B. Sukhorukov, Macromolecules **37**, 1113 (2004).
- <sup>8</sup> G. B. Sukhorukov, D. G. Shchukin, W. F. Dong, H. Möhwald, V. V. Lulevich, and O. I. Vinogradova, Macromol. Chem. Phys. **205**, 530 (2004).
- <sup>9</sup> B. S. Kim and O. I. Vinogradova, J. Phys. Chem. B 108, 8161 (2004).
- <sup>10</sup> O. V. Lebedeva, B. S. Kim, and O. I. Vinogradova, Langmuir **20**, 10685 (2004).
- <sup>11</sup> B. S. Kim, T. H. Fan, O. V. Lebedeva, and O. I. Vinogradova, Macromolecules **38**, 8066 (2005).
- <sup>12</sup> C. Gao, E. Donath, S. Moya, V. Dudnik, and H. Möhwald, Eur. Phys. J. E **5**, 21 (2001).
- <sup>13</sup> V. V. Lulevich, I. L. Radtchenko, G. B. Sukhorukov, and O. I. Vinogradova, Macromolecules **36**, 2832 (2003).
- <sup>14</sup> N. Elsner, F. Dubreuil, and A. Fery, Phys. Rev. E 69, 031802 (2004).
- <sup>15</sup> O. I. Vinogradova, O. V. Lebedeva, and B. S. Kim, Ann. Rev. Mater. Res. **36**, 143 (2006).

bulk and then stick to the glass bottom of the sample cell, the capsules filled with strongly charged polyanions remain suspended for several days, although they quickly sediment and stay very close to the glass. We conclude that the net charge of the filled capsules is not determined by the charge of the multilayer shell anymore but is dominated by the charge of the encapsulated material. We observe also that average mobility of suspended capsules decreases as they sediment and their aggregation occurs easier than their sedimentation and adhesion onto the glass bottom of the sample cell.

## Acknowledgements

BSK acknowledges the financial support of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation at the initial stage of this study. We also thank Eric Weeks for his kind assistance with using the particle tracking routines.

- <sup>16</sup> O. I. Vinogradova, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **16**, R1105 (2004).
- <sup>17</sup> D. G. Shchukin, G. B. Sukhorukov, and H. Möhwald, Angew. Chem. **42**, 4472 (2003).
- <sup>18</sup> B. S. Kim, O. V. Lebedeva, D. H. Kim, A. M. Caminade, J. P. Majoral, W. Knoll, and O. I. Vinogradova, Langmuir **21**, 7200 (2005).
- <sup>19</sup> O. I. Vinogradova, O. V. Lebedeva, K. Vasilev, H. Gong, J. Garcia-Turiel, and B. S. Kim, Biomacromolecules 6, 1495 (2005).
- <sup>20</sup> B.-S. Kim, O. V. Lebedeva, and K. K., Macromolecules 39, 5479 (2006).
- <sup>21</sup> J. N. Israelachvili and G. E. Adams, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1 74, 975 (1978).
- <sup>22</sup> J. N. Connor and R. G. Horn, Langmuir **17**, 7194 (2001).
- <sup>23</sup> W. A. Ducker, T. J. Senden, and R. M. Pashley, Nature 353, 239 (1991).
- <sup>24</sup> M. Brunner, J. Dobnikar, H. H. von Grunberg, and C. Bechinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 078301 (2004).
- <sup>25</sup> D. C. Prieve and N. A. Frej, Langmuir **6**, 396 (1990).
- <sup>26</sup> G. M. Kepler and S. Fraden, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 356 (1994).
- <sup>27</sup> S. H. Behrens and D. Grier, Phys. Rev. E **64**, 10897 (2001).
- <sup>28</sup> M. Brunner, C. Bechinger, W. Strepp, V. Lobaskin, and H. H. von Gruenberg, Europhys. Lett. **58**, 926 (2002).
- <sup>29</sup> V. Lobaskin, M. Brunner, C. Bechinger, and H. H. von Grünberg, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **15**, 6693 (2003).
- <sup>30</sup> G. B. Sukhorukov, E. Donath, H. Lichtenfeld, E. Knippel, M. Knippel, A. Budde, and H. Möhwald, Colloids Surfaces A **137**, 253 (1998).
- <sup>31</sup> J. C. Crocker and D. G. Grier, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 179, 298 (1996).
- <sup>32</sup> H. Brenner, Chem. Eng. Sci. **16**, 242 (1961).
- <sup>33</sup> A. J. Goldman, R. G. Cox, and H. Brenner, Chem. Eng. Sci. 22, 637 (1967).

- <sup>34</sup> M. R. Stukan, V. Lobaskin, C. Holm, and O. I. Vinogradova, Phys. Rev. E **73**, 021801 (2006).
- <sup>35</sup> B. V. Derjaguin and L. D. Landau, Acta Phys.-Chim. 14, 633 (1941).
- <sup>36</sup> R. Tadmor, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **13**, L195 (2001).
- <sup>37</sup> H. C. Hamaker, Physica **4**, 1058 (1937).
- <sup>38</sup> H. Faxen, Arkiv Mat. Astron. Fys. 17 (1923).
  <sup>39</sup> O. I. Vinogradova, G. E. Yakubov, and H. J. Butt, J. Chem. Phys. 114, 8124 (2001).
- <sup>40</sup> J. Viser, Surf. Coll. Sci. 8, 3 (1976).
- <sup>41</sup> J. J. Gregory, J. Colloid Interface Sci. **42**, 448 (1973).

- <sup>42</sup> S. J. Miclavic, D. Y. C. Chan, L. R. White, and T. W. Healy, Langmuir 98, 902 (1994).
- <sup>43</sup> F. Bouyer, A. Robben, W. L. Yu, and M. Borkovec, Lang-
- <sup>44</sup> A. Y. Grosberg, T. T. Nguyen, and B. I. Shklovskii, Rev. Mod. Phys. **74**, 329 (2002).
- $^{45}\,$  V. Lobaskin and K. Qamhieh, J. Phys. Chem. B  $107,\,8022$ (2003).
- <sup>46</sup> M. Aubouy, E. Trizac, and L. Bocquet, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 36, 5835 (2003).