Correlation-function asymptotic expansions: pre-factors universality

J. M. P. Carmelo¹ and K. Penc²

¹Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139-4307 and ²Research Institute for Solid State Physics and Optics, H-1525 Budapest, P.O.B. 49, Hungary (Dated: 29 August 2005)

We show that the pre-factors of all terms of the one-dimensional Hubbard model correlation-function asymptotic expansions have an universal form, as the corresponding critical exponents. In addition to calculating such pre-factors, our study clarifies the relation of the low-energy Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid behavior to the scattering mechanisms which control the spectral properties of the model at all energy scales. Our results are of general nature for many integrable interacting models and provide a broader understanding of the unusual properties of quasi-1D nanostructures, organic conductors, and optical lattices of fermionic atoms.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Nk, 71.10.Pm, 71.27.+a, 32.80.Pj

Over the past 25 years it has been found that the low-energy physics of a variety of models of onedimensional (1D) correlated electrons can be described by the Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid (TLL) theory [1]. Importantly, the low-energy TLL universal behavior was observed in different real materials and systems, as for instance in carbon nanotubes [2], ballistic wires [3], and quasi-1D organic conductors [4] and quantum gases of ultracold fermionic atoms [5]. On the other hand, the low-energy phases of some quasi-1D compounds are not metallic and correspond to broken-symmetry states [6]. Recently, the resolution of photoemission experiments has improved, and the *normal* state of these compounds was found to display exotic spectral properties [6]. However, such a metallic phase refers to finite energies and is not described by the TLL theory.

The 1D Hubbard model is one of the few realistic models for correlated electrons in a discrete lattice for which one can exactly calculate all the energy eigenstates and their energies [7]. It includes a first-neighbor transfer-integral for electron hopping along the chain and an effective on-site Coulomb repulsion U. For finite energy values, the metallic phase of this model is beyond a TLL description and thus the study of spectral functions is a very involved many-electron problem. Fortunately, the recently introduced pseudofermion dynamical theory (PDT) provides explicit expressions for these functions [8]. Moreover, the theory describes successfully the unusual spectral features of quasi-1D compounds for the whole finite-energy band width [9]. More recently, consistent results were obtained by numerical techniques [10]. Furthermore, when combined with the Renormalization Group, the use of the PDT reveals that a system of weakly coupled Hubbard chains is suitable for the successful description of the phase diagram observed in quasi-1D doped Mott-Hubbard insulators [11]. In turn, the low-energy physics of the model corresponds to the universal TLL behavior and was studied by different techniques, such as bosonization [12] and conformal-field theory (CFT) [13].

There are many investigations where the low-energy conformal invariance was combined with the model exact Bethe-ansatz solution in the study of the asymptotics of correlation functions and related quantities [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19]. However, the relation of the low-energy TLL behavior to the microscopic scattering mechanisms which control the unusual spectral properties of the model at all energy scales [8, 20] remains an interesting open problem, which we address in this Letter. While CFT and bosonization techniques do not provide correlation-function expressions for finite energy, here we use the general spectral-function expressions calculated in Ref. [8] to derive the asymptotic expansions for the model correlation functions. Such asymptotic expansions go beyond those provided by other methods, once we can derive explicit expressions for the pre-factors of all the expansion terms. Moreover, we find that such pre-factors have an universal form, as the corresponding critical exponents. Our results describe the emergence of the TLL low-energy physics in terms of the general non-perturbative microscopic scattering mechanisms of the model at all energy scales and lead to a broader understanding of the unusual properties observed in lowdimensional materials and nanostructures [2, 3, 4] and systems of interacting ultracold fermionic atoms in 1D optical lattices [21].

In our study we consider the 1D Hubbard model with periodic boundary conditions, number of lattice sites N_a large and even, and units such that the transfer integral and the Planck and electronic-lattice constants are one. Thus, the lattice length is $L=N_a$. The electronic density $n=n_\uparrow+n_\downarrow$ and spin density $m=n_\uparrow-n_\downarrow$ are in the range 0< n<1 and 0< m< n and thus the Fermi momenta are given by $k_F=\pi n/2$ and $k_{F\sigma}=\pi n_\sigma$. Let us consider the general correlation function,

$$\chi_{\mathcal{N}}^{l}(k,\omega) = l \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega' \, \frac{B_{\mathcal{N}}^{l}(k,\omega')}{\omega - \omega' + il0} \,, \tag{1}$$

where $B_{\mathcal{N}}^{l}(k,\omega)$ is a the \mathcal{N} -electron spectral function

given by,

$$B_{\mathcal{N}}^{l}(k,\omega) = \sum_{f} |\langle f| \, \hat{O}_{\mathcal{N}}^{l}(k) |GS\rangle|^{2} \, \delta\left(\omega - l\Delta E_{f}\right). \quad (2)$$

Here the index l can have the values $l=\pm 1,\ l\omega>0$, the general \mathcal{N} -electron operators $\hat{O}_{\mathcal{N}}^{+1}(k)\equiv\hat{O}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\dagger}(k)$ and $\hat{O}_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(k)\equiv\hat{O}_{\mathcal{N}}(k)$ carry momentum k, the f summation runs over the excited energy eigenstates, and $\Delta E_f=[E_f-E_{GS}]$ where the energy E_f corresponds to these states and E_{GS} is the ground-state energy. Most common examples are the operator $\hat{O}_1(k)=c_{k,\sigma}$ and different choices of charge, spin, and Cooper-pair $\mathcal{N}=2$ operators. The Fourier transform $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{N}}^l(x,t)$ of the function (1) relative to both the momentum k and energy ω can be expressed in terms of the corresponding Fourier transform $\tilde{B}_{\mathcal{N}}^l(x,t)$ of the spectral function (2) as follows,

$$\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{N}}^{l}(x,t) = -i2\pi \,\theta(lt) \,\tilde{B}_{\mathcal{N}}^{l}(x,t) \,, \tag{3}$$

where here and in other expressions of this paper $\theta(y) = 1$ for y > 0 and $\theta(y) = 0$ for $y \le 0$.

Our main goal is the study the asymptotic expansion of the general correlation function (3). Such an asymptotic expansion is controlled by the low-energy behavior of the function (2). For the densities considered here, the excited energy eigenstates which span the low-energy subspace can be represented by occupancy configurations of the c and s1 pseudoparticles of Ref. [22]: as the ground state, such states have no finite occupancies for the η spin projection -1/2 Yang holons, spin projection -1/2HL spinons, and $c\nu$ and $s\nu'$ pseudoparticles belonging to branches such that $\nu > 0$ and $\nu' > 1$ studied in that reference. We denote the s1 branch by s and use a general index α such that $\alpha = c$, s. (The c and s indices used here correspond to the c0 and s1 indices in all quantities of Refs. [8, 20].) The pseudoparticle - pseudofermion unitary transformation plays a key role in the PDT. For the low-energy subspace considered here, such a transformation maps the α pseudoparticles onto α pseudofermions, by introducing shifts of order 1/L in the pseudoparticle discrete bare-momentum values and leaving all other pseudoparticle properties invariant [8, 20].

For each correlation function, the excitation lowenergy subspace is spanned by all energy eigenstates with the same number deviations $\Delta N_c = \Delta N$ and $\Delta N_s = \Delta N_{\downarrow}$ relative to the ground-state values specific to that function. The index $\iota = \pm 1$ refers to the right $(\iota = +1)$ and left $(\iota = -1)$ α, ι Fermi points, which for the ground state read $\iota q_{Fc}^0 = \iota 2k_F$ and $\iota q_{Fs}^0 = \iota k_{F\downarrow}$. All the α (and ι) sums and products appearing in the expressions provided below run over the values $\alpha = c, s$ (and $\iota = +1, -1$). The α pseudofermion number and current deviations can be expressed in terms of the number $\Delta N_{\alpha,\iota}^F = \Delta N_{\alpha,\iota}^{0,F} + \iota Q_{\alpha}^0/2\pi$ such that $\Delta N_{\alpha,\iota}^{0,F}$ is the deviation in the number of α pseudofermions at the α, ι Fermi point and $Q_{\alpha}^0/2 = 0, \pm \pi/2$ is a scattering-less phase shift which has a single and well-defined value for the whole low-energy subspace. The expressions read $\Delta N_{\alpha} = \sum_{\iota} \Delta N_{\alpha,\iota}^F$ and $\Delta J_{\alpha}^F = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\iota} \iota \Delta N_{\alpha,\iota}^F$, respectively. The above low-energy subspace contains several *J-subspaces*, which differ at least in one of the two current deviation values $\{\Delta J_c^F, \Delta J_s^F\}$. The α pseudofermions have discrete canonical-momentum values given by $\bar{q}_j = q_j + Q_{\alpha}^{\Phi}(q_j)/L$, where q_j is the bare-momentum of the corresponding α pseudoparticles and $Q_{\alpha}^{\Phi}(q_j)/2$ is the scattering part of the α pseudofermion or pseudofermion hole overall phase shift [20],

$$Q_{\alpha}(q)/2 = Q_{\alpha}^{0}/2 + Q_{\alpha}^{\Phi}(q)/2,$$
 (4)

whose value is given below. The J-ground state is the lowest-energy eigenstate of a J-subspace. It corresponds to a c and s pseudofermion bare-momentum densely packed occupancy configuration such that $q_{F\alpha,-1} \leq q \leq q_{F\alpha,+1}$ where the J-ground-state Fermi points read $q_{F\alpha,\iota} = \iota \ q_{F\alpha}^0 + \Delta q_{F\alpha,\iota}$ and,

$$\Delta q_{F\alpha,\iota} = \iota \frac{2\pi}{L} \left(\frac{\Delta N_{\alpha}}{2} + \iota \Delta J_{\alpha}^{F} \right). \tag{5}$$

(When we refer to the pseudofermion bare-momentum q, we mean that q is the bare-momentum value that corresponds to the pseudofermion canonical momentum $\bar{q} = q + Q^{\Phi}_{\alpha\nu}(q)/L$.) Such a state has excitation momentum given by,

$$k_0^F = \sum_{\alpha} 2q_{F\alpha}^0 \Delta J_{\alpha}^F \,, \tag{6}$$

and is generated from the ground state by zero-energy and finite-momentum elementary processes (B), which create or annihilate α pseudofermions at or from the four α, ι Fermi points, respectively. (The PDT processes (A) do not exist at low energy [8].) The corresponding Jsubspace is spanned by energy eigenstates generated from the J-ground state by small-momentum and low-energy processes in the vicinity of the α, ι Fermi points, which we call elementary processes (C). Such processes conserve the set of $\{\Delta N_c, \Delta N_s, \Delta J_c^F, \Delta J_s^F\}$ deviation values. A crucial point for the low-energy scattering properties is that the α pseudofermions and holes created by the processes (C) are not active scattering centers, once the phase shifts generated by the created pseudofermions exactly cancel those originated by creation of the corresponding holes [8]. It follows that the scattering part of the overall phase shift of Eq. (4) has for each α pseudofermion or hole scatterer of bare-momentum q the same

$$\frac{Q^{\Phi}_{\alpha}(q)}{2} = \pi \sum_{\alpha'} \sum_{\iota'} \Phi_{\alpha \, \alpha'}(q, \iota' q^0_{F\alpha'}) \left(\frac{\Delta N_{\alpha'}}{2} + \iota' \Delta J^F_{\alpha'} \right), \tag{7}$$

for all excited states spanning the same J-subspace. Here $\pi \Phi_{\alpha \alpha'}(q, q')$, whose value is uniquely defined by solution of a system of integral equations [8, 20], is a

two-pseudofermion phase shift such that the α' (and α) pseudofermion or hole of momentum q' (and q) is the scattering center created under the ground-state -excited-state transition (and the scatterer) [20]. Thus, for the low-energy subspace all pseudofermion or hole scattering centers are created at the α , ι Fermi points by the elementary processes (B).

Note that according to Eq. (7), $Q_{\alpha}^{\Phi}(q)/2$ vanishes and is finite for the initial ground state and excited states, respectively. Thus, a ground-state - excited-state transition leads to a shift in the value of the four α, ι canonical-momentum *Fermi-points*. The square of these shifts in units of $2\pi/L$ plays a key-role in the spectral properties at all energy scales. It is denoted by $2\Delta_{\alpha}^{\iota}$ and reads,

$$2\Delta_{\alpha}^{\iota} \equiv \left(\Delta \bar{q}_{F\alpha,\iota}/[2\pi/L]\right)^{2}$$
$$= \left(\iota \Delta N_{\alpha,\iota}^{0,F} + \frac{Q_{\alpha}(\iota q_{F\alpha}^{0})}{2\pi}\right)^{2}. \tag{8}$$

The general expression in terms of two-pseudofermion phase shifts of the functional $Q_{\alpha}(\iota q_{F\alpha}^0)/2$ appearing here is given in Ref. [8]. At low energy, consistently with the form of the scattering phase shift (7), $2\Delta_{\alpha}^{\iota}$ simplifies to,

$$2\Delta_{\alpha}^{\iota} = 2\Delta_{\alpha}^{\iota}(\Delta N_{c}, \Delta N_{s}, \Delta J_{c}^{F}, \Delta J_{s}^{F})$$

$$= \left(\sum_{\alpha'} \left[\iota \, \xi_{\alpha \, \alpha'}^{0} \, \frac{\Delta N_{\alpha'}}{2} + \xi_{\alpha \, \alpha'}^{1} \, \Delta J_{\alpha'}^{F}\right]\right)^{2}. \quad (9)$$

Here $\xi^j_{\alpha\,\alpha'}=\delta_{\alpha,\alpha'}+\sum_{\iota=\pm 1}(\iota^j)\,\Phi_{\alpha\,\alpha'}(q^0_{F\alpha},\iota\,q^0_{F\alpha'})$ with j=0

0,1 involves a superposition of two two-pseudofermion phase shifts.

The evaluation of the asymptotic expansion of the function $\tilde{B}_{\mathcal{N}}^{l}(x,t)$ on the right-hand side of Eq. (3) follows directly from the use of the general expressions derived in Ref. [8] for the corresponding spectral function $B_{\mathcal{N}}^{l}(k,\omega)$ of Eq. (2). This leads to the following general asymptotic expansion for the correlation function (3),

$$\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{N}}^{l}(x,t) = \theta(lt) \sum_{\{\Delta J_{\alpha}^{F}\}} \left\{ e^{ilk_{0}^{F} x} \chi_{0} \right.$$

$$\times \prod_{\alpha} \prod_{i} \left(\frac{1}{x - \iota v_{\alpha} t + i\iota 0} \right)^{2\Delta_{\alpha}^{\iota}} \right\}, \quad (10)$$

where v_{α} is the group velocity of the α pseudofermion at the $q_{F\alpha}^0$ Fermi point and each term of the $\sum_{\{\Delta J_{\alpha}^F\}}$ summation is generated by transitions from the ground state to the excited states with the same values for the current deviations $\{\Delta J_c^F, \Delta J_s^F\}$ which span a J-subspace. The pre-factors χ_0 of the expansion (10) read,

$$\chi_0 = \chi_0(\Delta N_c, \Delta N_s, \Delta J_c^F, \Delta J_s^F) = -i\frac{\pi}{2} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}\lambda_l} \prod_{\alpha} \Lambda_{\alpha}.$$
(11)

where

$$\Lambda_{\alpha} = \left(\frac{N_a}{2\pi}\right)^{\sum_{\iota} 2\Delta_{\alpha}^{\iota}} \frac{A_{\alpha}^{(0,0)}}{\sqrt{N_a}}; \quad \lambda_l = l \sum_{\iota} \sum_{\iota} \iota 2\Delta_{\alpha}^{\iota}, \quad (12)$$
 and the α pseudofermion weight $A_{\alpha}^{(0,0)}$ is given by,

$$A_{\alpha}^{(0,0)} = A_{\alpha}^{(0,0)}(\Delta N_{c}, \Delta N_{s}, \Delta J_{c}^{F}, \Delta J_{s}^{F}) = \left(\frac{1}{N_{\alpha}^{*}}\right)^{2[N_{\alpha}^{0} + \Delta N_{\alpha}]} \prod_{q_{j} \in \mathcal{F}} \sin^{2} \frac{Q_{\alpha}(q_{j})}{2} \prod_{j=1}^{N_{\alpha}^{*} - 1} \left(\sin \frac{\pi j}{N_{\alpha}^{*}}\right)^{2(N_{\alpha}^{*} - j)}$$

$$\times \prod_{q_{i} \in \mathcal{F}} \prod_{q_{j} \in \mathcal{F}} \theta(q_{j} - q_{i}) \sin^{2} \frac{Q_{\alpha}(q_{j})/2 - Q_{\alpha}(q_{i})/2 + \pi(j - i)}{N_{\alpha}^{*}} \prod_{q_{i} \in \mathcal{F}} \prod_{q_{j} \in \mathcal{F}} \sin^{-2} \frac{\pi(j - i) + Q_{\alpha}(q_{j})/2}{N_{\alpha}^{*}}.$$
(13)

Here $N_c^*=N_a, N_s^*=N_{\uparrow}^0+\Delta N_{\uparrow}, Q_{\alpha}(q_j)/2$ is the overall phase shift (4), and $q_j\in\mathcal{F}$ corresponds to the set of discrete bare-momentum values in the range $q_{F\alpha,-1}\leq q_j\leq q_{F\alpha,+1}$ where $q_{F\alpha,\iota}=\iota\,q_{F\alpha}^0+\Delta q_{F\alpha,\iota}$ is the J-ground state Fermi point whose deviation is given in Eq. (5). We emphasize that the N_a dependence of $A_{\alpha}^{(0,0)}$ is such that the quantity Λ_{α} given in Eq. (12) is independent of N_a . (See the related expression (49) of Ref. [8].)

Our study refers to the universal part of the asymptotic expansion of correlation functions, Eq. (10), and does not involve the logarithmic corrections, which are specific to each correlation function [12]. Suitable manipulation of the integral equations which define the parameters $\xi^{j}_{\alpha\alpha'}$ of expression (9) reveals that in the present

low-energy limit the square of the shifts in the value of the α, ι pseudofermion *Fermi-points* given in Eqs. (8) and (9) is nothing but the conformal dimension of the corresponding CFT α, ι primary fields. Within the PDT the important functional (8) is well defined for all energy scales and corresponds to a much more general paradigm [8], and thus such a connection only emerges in the low-energy limit considered here. Since the asymptotic expansion (10) has the expected general form, this confirms that it coincides with the general CFT correlation-function asymptotic expansion used in the studies of Refs. [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19].

The universal character of the asymptotic expansion (10) is such that the value of the conformal dimensions

only depends on the specific correlation function through the values of the four deviations ΔN_c , ΔN_s , ΔJ_c^F , and ΔJ_s^F of each allowed excitation J-subspace. Otherwise, the U, n, and m dependence of the two-pseudofermion phase-shift parameters $\xi^{j}_{\alpha \alpha'}$ of Eq. (9) is specific to the model but is the same for all its correlation functions. Importantly, Eqs. (11)-(13) reveal that the same occurs with the pre-factors χ_0 of the asymptotic expansion (10). Indeed, the expression of the associated weight of Eq. (13) involves the overall phase shift $Q_{\alpha}(q)/2$ given in Eqs. (4) and (7), which for each value of q also depends on the specific correlation function through the values of the four deviation numbers ΔN_c , ΔN_s , ΔJ_c^F , and ΔJ_s^F of each allowed J-subspace. Moreover, the products of the $A_{\alpha}^{(0,0)}$ expression run in the range $q_{F\alpha,-1} \leq q_j \leq q_{F\alpha,+1}$ with the limiting values $q_{F\alpha,\iota}$ involving the deviation given in Eq. (5), whose value is solely determined by the deviations ΔN_{α} and ΔJ_{α}^{F} . Thus, the pre-factors $\chi_0 = \chi_0(\Delta N_c, \Delta N_s, \Delta J_c^F, \Delta J_s^F)$ value also depends on the specific correlation function through the values of the four deviation numbers ΔN_c , ΔN_s , ΔJ_c^F , and ΔJ_s^F only, as the conformal dimensions. Otherwise, the U, n, and m dependence of the two-pseudofermion phase shifts involved in the χ_0 expression is specific to the model but is again the same for all its correlation functions.

In this Letter we derived the pre-factor χ_0 of each term of the asymptotic expansion (10) for the correlation functions of the 1D Hubbard model. The form of these prefactors is universal for all correlation functions and is given in Eq. (11). Their value is controlled by the overall pseudofermion and hole phase shifts, Eq. (4), through the dependence on these shifts of the two weights $A_{\alpha}^{(0,0)}$ of Eq. (13) and four functionals $2\Delta_{\alpha}^{\iota}$ of Eqs. (8) and (9). Concerning the relation of the latter quantities to the scattering mechanisms, note that in the $A_{\alpha}^{(0,0)}$ expression (13) the bare-momentum products run over the overall phase shifts of the α pseudofermion scatterers with bare momentum inside the J-ground-state Fermi sea, whose scattering centers are the c and s pseudofermion and holes created at the J-ground-state Fermi points by the elementary processes (B). Furthermore, the four conformal dimensions of the CFT primary fields equal the square of the shifts in the two c and two s pseudofermion canonical-momentum Fermi points. The four functionals $2\Delta^{\iota}_{\alpha}$ and the two weights $A^{(0,0)}_{\alpha}$ also play an important role in the finite-energy scattering properties, by controlling the unusual spectral properties of the model [8, 20] and real materials [9, 11] at all energy scales. Thus, our results reveal the connection of the low-energy quantities to the scattering mechanisms that control the spectral properties at all energy scales.

While in this Letter we considered the 1D Hubbard model, our results are of general nature for many integrable interacting problems and therefore have wide applicability. Such results provide a broader understanding of the low-energy properties of carbon nanotubes [2], ballistic wires [3], quasi-1D conductors [4], and interacting ultracold fermionic atoms in 1D optical lattices [21]. (Recently, the model was used in preliminary investigations of the density profiles of 1D ultracold fermionic atoms in an optical lattice [23].) Indeed, our results relate these properties to the general scattering processes of the objects whose occupancy configurations describe the exotic quantum phases of matter corresponding to different energy scales of quasi-1D materials and systems. This is confirmed for finite energies in Refs. [6, 9], where the general PDT weight distributions [8] are shown to describe the photoemission features of quasi-1D compounds for the whole finite-energy band width, whereas the TLL universal behavior was observed in quasi-1D materials and systems whose low-energy phase is metallic [2, 3, 4, 5].

We thank Y. Chen, P. A. Lee, S. -S. Lee, L. M. Martelo, and T. C. Ribeiro for discussions. J.M.P.C. thanks the support of MIT, Gulbenkian Foundation, Fulbright Commission, and FCT grant POCTI/FIS/58133/2004 and K.P. that of the OTKA grant T049607.

- [1] J. Voit, Rep. Prog. Phys. 58, 977 (1995).
- [2] I. Hiroyoshi et al., Nature 426, 540 (2003).
- [3] O. M. Auslaender et al., Science 308, 88 (2005).
- [4] T. Lorenz et al., Nature 418, 614 (2002).
- [5] A. Recati, P. O. Fedichev, W. Zwerger, and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 020401 (2003).
- [6] R. Claessen et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 096402 (2002).
- [7] E. H. Lieb and F. Y. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 20, 1445 (1968).
- [8] J. M. P. Carmelo, K. Penc, and D. Bozi, in press in Nucl. Phys. B [cond-mat/0505601] and references therein.
- [9] J. M. P. Carmelo et al., Europhys. Lett. 67, 233 (2004); J. M. P. Carmelo, D. Bozi, P.D. Sacramento, and K. Penc, unpublished.
- [10] H. Benthien, F. Gebhard, and E. Jeckelmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 256401 (2004).
- [11] J. M. P. Carmelo, F. Guinea, K. Penc, and P. D. Sacramento, Europhys. Lett. 68, 839 (2004).
- [12] H. J. Schulz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 2831 (1990).
- [13] A. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov, and A. B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. B 241, 333 (1984).
- [14] F. Woynarovivh, J. Phys. A 22, 4243 (1989).
- [15] M. Ogata and H. Shiba, Phys. Rev. B 41, 2326 (1990); M.
 Ogata, T. Sugiyama, and H. Shiba, *ibid.* 43, 8401 (1991).
- [16] N. Kawakami and S. K. Yang, Phys. Lett. A 148, 359 (1990).
- [17] H. Frahm and V. E. Korepin, Phys. Rev. B 42, 10 553 (1990); 43, 5653 (1991).
- [18] M. Brech, J. Voit, and H. Buttner, Europhys. Lett. 12, 289 (1990).
- [19] K. Penc and J. Sólyom, Phys. Rev. B 47, 6273 (1993).
- [20] J. M. P. Carmelo, J. Phys.: Cond. Mat. 17, 5517 (2005).
- [21] D. Jaksch and P. Zoller, Ann. of Phys. **315**, 52 (2005).
- [22] J. M. P. Carmelo, J. M. Román, and K. Penc, Nucl. Phys. B 683, 387 (2004).
- [23] Xia-Ji Liu, Peter D. Drummond, Hui Hu, Phys. Rev.

Lett. **94**, 136406 (2005).