

Kondo Screening in a Magnetically Frustrated Nanostructure: Exact Results on a Stable, Non-Fermi-Liquid Phase

Kevin Ingersent,¹ Andreas W. W. Ludwig,² and Ian Affleck³

¹*Department of Physics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611*

²*Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106*

³*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C., Canada, V6T 1Z1*

(Dated: 14 July 2005. Last revised: 7 December 2005)

Triangular symmetry stabilizes a novel non-Fermi-liquid phase in the three-impurity Kondo model with frustrating antiferromagnetic interactions between half-integer impurity spins. The phase arises without fine-tuning of couplings, and is stable against magnetic fields and particle-hole symmetry breaking. We find a conformal field theory describing this phase, verify it using the numerical renormalization group, and extract various exact, universal low-energy properties. Signatures predicted in electrical transport may be testable in scanning tunneling microscopy or quantum-dot experiments.

PACS numbers: 75.20.Hr, 71.10.Hf, 75.75.+a, 73.21.La

The same many-body physics that is responsible for the Kondo screening of magnetic impurities in bulk metals [1] produces resonances in tunneling through a quantum dot [2] or an adatom on a metallic surface [3]. Greater experimental control over the latter settings allows systematic study of multiple-“impurity” configurations in which the Kondo effect competes with ordering of the local degrees of freedom [4, 5, 6]. One goal that remains elusive is experimental realization of non-Fermi-liquid (NFL) behavior similar to that exhibited, e.g., by the two-impurity and two-channel Kondo models [7, 8].

A cluster of three antiferromagnetically coupled spins is of fundamental importance as the simplest example of frustration, a feature of many magnetic systems. Scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) has found two distinct types of compact Cr trimer on a gold surface [5]: “type-2” trimers show a sharp resonance of width (Kondo temperature) $T_K \approx 50$ K, whereas any Kondo effect for isolated Cr atoms and “type-1” trimers seems to have $T_K \ll 7$ K. Attempts to explain this result via variational [9], quantum Monte Carlo [10], and perturbative renormalization-group (RG) [11] treatments of a three-impurity Kondo model have reached opposing conclusions concerning the triangular geometry of the type-2 trimers: equilateral [9, 11] or isosceles [10]. Interest is also developing in the interplay between Kondo physics and interdot quantum entanglement in triangular quantum-dot devices [12].

This Letter reports exact results on a frustrated phase of the three-impurity Kondo model exhibiting NFL behavior distinctly different from that found in previously studied models. We present a conformal field theory (CFT), deduced by comparison with numerical renormalization-group (NRG) results, showing that the NFL fixed point is stable against particle-hole-symmetry breaking (unlike its two-impurity counterpart), exchange anisotropy, and even an applied magnetic field (which destroys two-channel Kondo NFL behavior). This enhanced stability compared to other NFL fixed points makes the frustrated phase an excellent candidate for ex-

perimental realizations; indeed, it has been argued on the basis of weak-coupling RG [11] to describe the low-energy physics of the type-2 Cr trimers in [5]. We make predictions for the conductance expected in STM experiments on trimers and in certain quantum-dot devices.

Model.—We start with a Hamiltonian $H_{\text{band}} + H_{\text{int}}$ describing a noninteracting conduction band coupled via

$$H_{\text{int}} = J \sum_{j,\alpha,\beta} \psi_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}_j) \frac{1}{2} \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} \psi_{\beta}(\vec{r}_j) \cdot \vec{S}_j \quad (J > 0) \quad (1)$$

to spin- S impurities \vec{S}_j ($j = 1, 2, 3$) at the vertices \vec{r}_j of an equilateral triangle; $\psi_{\alpha}(\vec{r})$ annihilates an electron with spin $\alpha = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ at \vec{r} . We assume that the permutation group S_3 that maps the set $\{\vec{r}_j\}$ onto itself is a subgroup of the lattice symmetry group (as is the case, e.g., in [5]). The impurities couple to just six orthonormal combinations of conduction states, annihilated by operators $\psi_{h,\alpha} \propto \sum_j e^{-i2\pi jh/3} \psi_{\alpha}(\vec{r}_j)$, where $h = 0, \pm 1$ is the “helicity”: under a $2\pi/3$ -rotation about the center of symmetry, a helicity- h state is multiplied by $e^{i2\pi h/3}$. The combined states of the three impurities can also be constructed to have well-defined helicities, in which case the Hamiltonian conserves total helicity (modulo 3) and is invariant under interchange of all helicity labels 1 and -1 . Then, Eq. (1) can be rewritten [13]

$$H_{\text{int}} = [J_{00} \vec{s}_{00} + J_{11}(\vec{s}_{11} + \vec{s}_{\bar{1}\bar{1}})] \cdot \vec{S}_0 + [J_{01}(\vec{s}_{01} + \vec{s}_{\bar{1}0}) + J_{\bar{1}\bar{1}} \vec{s}_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}] \cdot \vec{S}_1 + [J_{01}(\vec{s}_{10} + \vec{s}_{0\bar{1}}) + J_{\bar{1}\bar{1}} \vec{s}_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}] \cdot \vec{S}_{\bar{1}}, \quad (2)$$

where $\vec{S}_h = \sum_j e^{i2\pi jh/3} \vec{S}_j$, $\vec{s}_{hh'} = \sum_{\alpha\beta} \psi_{\alpha}^{\dagger,h,\alpha} \frac{1}{2} \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} \psi_{h',\beta}$, and $\bar{1} \equiv -1$; $J_{hh'}$ equals J times a non-negative factor that depends on the impurity separation and the conduction-band dispersion, as well as h and h' [14].

For $S = \frac{1}{2}$, the NRG shows [13] that over a large region of the parameter space of Eq. (2), the low-energy physics is governed by a “frustrated” fixed point at which the impurities are locked into the subspace of two doublets of combined spin $S_{\text{imp}} = \frac{1}{2}$, one each of helicity $h = \pm 1$.

Three spins of arbitrary half-integer S , coupled by an additional Hamiltonian term $K \sum_{i < j} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j$ with $K \gg J$, also lock into an $S_{\text{imp}} = \frac{1}{2}$, $h = \pm 1$ subspace. Weak-coupling RG analysis [11] of this augmented model, which for $S = \frac{5}{2}$ provides a description of equilateral Cr trimers, is consistent with flow to the same fixed point; for $S = \frac{5}{2}$, moreover, the characteristic temperature T_K for this flow is found to greatly exceed the single-impurity Kondo scale, in agreement with the Cr-trimer experiments [5].

In the frustrated phase, $J_{1\bar{1}}$ in Eq. (2) scales to zero, J_{00} and J_{11} can be neglected, and particle-hole asymmetry is marginal [13]. Thus, we analyze the fixed point in a restricted $S_{\text{imp}} = \frac{1}{2}$ space, replacing Eq. (2) by

$$H_{\text{int}} = -\sqrt{2}J_{01}[(\psi^\dagger \frac{1}{2}\vec{\sigma} T^+ \psi)\tau_{\text{imp}}^- + \text{H.c.}] \cdot \vec{S}_{\text{imp}}. \quad (3)$$

Here, T^\pm and T^z act on the electron helicity in the spin-1 representation of an “orbital-spin” $SU^{(t)}(2)$ [15], with matrix elements $(T^z)_{h,h} = h$, $(T^+)_{1,0} = (T^+)_{0,-1} = \sqrt{2}$. The Pauli matrices $\vec{\sigma}$, $2\vec{S}_{\text{imp}} \equiv 2\vec{S}_0$ and $\vec{\tau}_{\text{imp}}$ act, respectively, on the electron spin, impurity spin, and impurity helicity, with $(\tau_{\text{imp}}^z)_{h,h} = -h$ for $h = \pm 1$, $(\tau_{\text{imp}}^+)_{-1,1} = 1$.

It is important to note that setting $J_{1\bar{1}} = 0$ enlarges the S_3 symmetry of Eq. (2) to a $U^{(t)}(1)$ symmetry in Eq. (3), replacing total helicity (conserved only modulo 3) by a conserved quantity t_z : the eigenvalue of $\psi^\dagger T^z \psi + \frac{1}{2}\tau_{\text{imp}}^z$. Now, H_{int} commutes with $SU^{(s)}(2)$ spin, $U^{(t)}(1)$ orbital spin, and also with $SU^{(i)}(2)$ isospin defined by $I^z = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h,\alpha} \psi^\dagger, h, \alpha \psi_{h,\alpha}$, $I^+ = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h,\alpha,\beta} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \psi^\dagger, h, \alpha \psi^\dagger, -h, \beta$.

CFT description.—We obtain exact analytical results for the frustrated fixed point using the boundary CFT approach to quantum impurity problems [16]. The operator $\psi_{h,\alpha}$ is considered to act at the boundary $x = 0$ of a one-dimensional space $0 \leq x \leq l$ [7]. The key is to find a “conformal embedding” (a decomposition of the bulk fermions $\psi_{h,\alpha}(x)$ into products of “constituent” fields [17]) admitting a “fusion procedure” (generating a new conformally invariant boundary condition) that reproduces the fixed-point finite-size spectrum (FSS). We deduce this FSS by extending to higher accuracy the NRG results of [13]. From the fusion procedure, all universal low-energy properties in the physical limit $l \rightarrow \infty$ can in principle be computed exactly.

We first construct a conformal embedding of the free Dirac fermions $\psi_{h,\alpha}(x)$ in which the helicities transform in the spin-1 representation of an $SU^{(p)}(2)$ “pseudospin” \vec{P} , where $P^z = T^z$ and P^+ has matrix elements in the helicity basis $(P^+)_{1,0} = -(T^+)_{1,0}$, $(P^+)_{0,-1} = (T^+)_{0,-1}$. Unlike \vec{T} defined above, \vec{P} commutes with isospin \vec{I} . The free-fermion FSS can be decomposed into products of $SU^{(s)}(2)_3 \times SU^{(i)}(2)_3 \times SU^{(p)}(2)_8$ conformal towers [18] as exemplified in Table I for boundary conditions that yield a nondegenerate ground state. Here, $SU^{(p)}(2)_k$ is a level- k Kac-Moody CFT; see [17] and references therein.

Since Eq. (3) lowers the $SU^{(p)}(2)$ symmetry of H_{band} to $U^{(p)}(1) \equiv U^{(t)}(1)$, we analyze the frustrated fixed

TABLE I: Finite-size spectrum of free fermions decomposed into products of spin, isospin, and pseudospin conformal towers, labeled by s , i , and p , respectively. The subscript Δ gives each tower’s contribution to the excitation energy [19].

$(s)_\Delta$	$(i)_\Delta$	$(p)_\Delta$
$(0)_0$	$(0)_0$	$(0)_0 + (4)_2$
$(\frac{3}{2})_{3/4}$	$(\frac{3}{2})_{3/4}$	$(0)_0 + (4)_2$
$(\frac{1}{2})_{3/20}$	$(\frac{1}{2})_{3/20}$	$(1)_{1/5} + (3)_{6/5}$
$(1)_{2/5}$	$(1)_{2/5}$	$(1)_{1/5} + (3)_{6/5}$
$(0)_0$	$(1)_{2/5}$	$(2)_{3/5}$
$(1)_{2/5}$	$(0)_0$	$(2)_{3/5}$
$(\frac{1}{2})_{3/20}$	$(\frac{3}{2})_{3/4}$	$(2)_{3/5}$
$(\frac{3}{2})_{3/4}$	$(\frac{1}{2})_{3/20}$	$(2)_{3/5}$

point using an embedding obtained from that above by decomposing $SU^{(p)}(2)_8 \supset U^{(t)}(1)_8 \times Z_8$, where Z_8 is a parafermionic CFT [17]. In any $SU(2)_k$ CFT, each primary operator $\phi^{(j)}$, transforming in the spin- j representation ($j = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \dots, k/2$), factors into a sum of products of a Z_k primary ψ_m^j and a $U(1)_k$ boson exponential [20]:

$$\phi^{(j)} = \sum_{j-m \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_m^j e^{i(m/\sqrt{k})\varphi}. \quad (4)$$

Setting $k = 8$ and $j = p$, we rewrite the spectrum in Table I as products of $SU^{(s)}(2)_3 \times SU^{(i)}(2)_3 \times U^{(t)}(1)_8 \times Z_8$ conformal towers. These products also provide the operator spectrum for the noninteracting model.

Using this conformal embedding, we are able to obtain the frustrated fixed-point FSS from the free-fermion FSS by applying a three-step fusion procedure: (1) fusion with the $s = \frac{3}{2}$ primary operator in $SU^{(s)}(2)_3$, then (2) fusion with the $p = \frac{1}{2}$ primary operator in $SU^{(p)}(2)_8$, then (3) fusion with the $\psi_{m=2}^{p=0}$ primary operator in Z_8 [21].

As an illustration, Table II lists all CFT states of energy [19] $E < 1$ in the frustrated FSS for boundary conditions that yield a degenerate free-fermion ground state [22], along with energies of NRG levels having the same (s, i, t_z) quantum numbers. To fix the overall NRG energy scale, distorted by band discretization, we match the lowest excitation of the *free-fermion* spectrum to its CFT counterpart. Apart from small energy shifts (residual discretization effects), the CFT and NRG spectra agree perfectly. In fact, all 1810 CFT states with $E \leq 1.8$ have been compared with and match NRG levels [23].

Applying the fusion procedure twice to the free-fermion FSS gives the complete and exact spectrum of boundary operators that can be added to the fixed-point Hamiltonian [16]. This spectrum (see Table III) exhibits “fractionalization” of charge, spin, and orbital degrees of freedom, as is typical of an NFL fixed point. Remarkably, it also exhibits full $SU^{(p)}(2)$ symmetry. The $SU^{(p)}(2)_8$ operator multiplets (last column of Table III) should

TABLE II: Finite-size spectrum at the frustrated fixed point. $U^{(t)}(1)$ and Z_8 conformal towers are labeled by t_z and (p, m) , respectively. Each row represents a pair of states related by a change in the signs of t_z and m . E is the CFT excitation energy and E_{NRG} is the NRG energy computed for a band discretization parameter $\Lambda = 3$. See also Table I.

$(s)_\Delta$	$(i)_\Delta$	$(t_z)_\Delta$	$(p, m)_\Delta$	E	E_{NRG}
$(0)_0$	$(0)_0$	$(\frac{3}{2})_{9/32}$	$(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})_{7/160}$	0	0
$(\frac{1}{2})_{3/20}$	$(\frac{1}{2})_{3/20}$	$(\frac{1}{2})_{1/32}$	$(\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2})_{3/32}$	0.1	0.1001
$(0)_0$	$(1)_{2/5}$	$(\frac{1}{2})_{1/32}$	$(\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2})_{3/32}$	0.2	0.2000
$(1)_{2/5}$	$(0)_0$	$(\frac{1}{2})_{1/32}$	$(\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2})_{3/32}$	0.2	0.2000
$(\frac{1}{2})_{3/20}$	$(\frac{1}{2})_{3/20}$	$(\frac{3}{2})_{9/32}$	$(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})_{7/160}$	0.3	0.2996
$(0)_0$	$(0)_0$	$(\frac{1}{2})_{1/32}$	$(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2})_{127/160}$	0.5	0.4968
$(0)_0$	$(0)_0$	$(\frac{5}{2})_{25/32}$	$(\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2})_{7/160}$	0.5	0.5020
$(\frac{1}{2})_{3/20}$	$(\frac{1}{2})_{3/20}$	$(\frac{1}{2})_{1/32}$	$(\frac{3}{2}, +\frac{5}{2})_{19/32}$	0.6	0.5971
$(\frac{1}{2})_{3/20}$	$(\frac{1}{2})_{3/20}$	$(\frac{3}{2})_{9/32}$	$(\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})_{11/32}$	0.6	0.6040
$(1)_{2/5}$	$(1)_{2/5}$	$(\frac{1}{2})_{1/32}$	$(\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2})_{3/32}$	0.6	0.6001
$(\frac{1}{2})_{3/20}$	$(\frac{3}{2})_{3/4}$	$(\frac{1}{2})_{1/32}$	$(\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2})_{3/32}$	0.7	0.7004
$(\frac{3}{2})_{3/4}$	$(\frac{1}{2})_{3/20}$	$(\frac{1}{2})_{1/32}$	$(\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2})_{3/32}$	0.7	0.7004
$(0)_0$	$(1)_{2/5}$	$(\frac{1}{2})_{1/32}$	$(\frac{3}{2}, +\frac{5}{2})_{19/32}$	0.7	0.7043
$(1)_{2/5}$	$(0)_0$	$(\frac{1}{2})_{1/32}$	$(\frac{3}{2}, +\frac{5}{2})_{19/32}$	0.7	0.7043
$(0)_0$	$(1)_{2/5}$	$(\frac{3}{2})_{1/32}$	$(\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})_{11/32}$	0.7	0.6982
$(1)_{2/5}$	$(0)_0$	$(\frac{3}{2})_{1/32}$	$(\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})_{11/32}$	0.7	0.6982
$(1)_{2/5}$	$(1)_{2/5}$	$(\frac{3}{2})_{9/32}$	$(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})_{7/160}$	0.8	0.8038
$(\frac{1}{2})_{3/20}$	$(\frac{1}{2})_{3/20}$	$(\frac{1}{2})_{1/32}$	$(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2})_{127/160}$	0.8	0.8045
$(\frac{1}{2})_{3/20}$	$(\frac{1}{2})_{3/20}$	$(\frac{5}{2})_{25/32}$	$(\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2})_{7/160}$	0.8	0.8116

again be decomposed using Eq. (4) into $U^{(t)}(1)_8 \times Z_8$.

Boundary operators entering the effective low-energy Hamiltonian for the frustrated fixed point must respect the $SU^{(i)}(2) \times SU^{(s)}(2) \times U^{(t)}(1)$ symmetry of the full Hamiltonian (3). Such operators appear in the first row of Table III. Only $(s, i, t_z, Z_8) = (0, 0, 0, (\psi_0^1)_{1/5})$ is rel-

TABLE III: Operator spectrum at the frustrated fixed point. Δ gives each factor's contribution to the scaling dimension. “ $2\times$ ” indicates two operators with the same p and Δ .

$(s)_\Delta$	$(i)_\Delta$	$(p)_\Delta$
$(0)_0$	$(0)_0$	$(0)_0 + (1)_{1/5} + (3)_{6/5} + (4)_2$
$(\frac{3}{2})_{3/4}$	$(\frac{3}{2})_{3/4}$	$(0)_0 + (1)_{1/5} + (3)_{6/5} + (4)_2$
$(\frac{1}{2})_{3/20}$	$(\frac{1}{2})_{3/20}$	$(0)_0 + 2 \times [(1)_{1/5} + (2)_{3/5} + (3)_{6/5}] + (4)_2$
$(1)_{2/5}$	$(1)_{2/5}$	$(0)_0 + 2 \times [(1)_{1/5} + (2)_{3/5} + (3)_{6/5}] + (4)_2$
$(0)_0$	$(1)_{2/5}$	$(1)_{1/5} + 2 \times (2)_{3/5} + (3)_{6/5}$
$(1)_{2/5}$	$(0)_0$	$(1)_{1/5} + 2 \times (2)_{3/5} + (3)_{6/5}$
$(\frac{1}{2})_{3/20}$	$(\frac{3}{2})_{3/4}$	$(1)_{1/5} + 2 \times (2)_{3/5} + (3)_{6/5}$
$(\frac{3}{2})_{3/4}$	$(\frac{1}{2})_{3/20}$	$(1)_{1/5} + 2 \times (2)_{3/5} + (3)_{6/5}$

evant (in the RG sense). It cannot appear because it is odd under the Z_2 subgroup of S_3 : $\psi_{h,\alpha} \rightarrow -\psi_{-h,\alpha}$, $\tau_{\text{imp}}^- \rightarrow \tau_{\text{imp}}^+$, which is representable as a π -rotation about the x -axis in orbital-spin space [23]. The least-irrelevant operator also respecting this discrete Z_2 symmetry of Eq. (3) is the corresponding $SU^{(p)}(2)_8$ -descendant of dimension $\Delta = 1 + 1/5$, which yields a correction-to-scaling exponent $1/5$ in excellent agreement with the value 0.200 ± 0.002 observed in the NRG spectrum.

Physical results.—We now present exact properties that can be deduced from the CFT description. Details, including analysis of the conditions required for observation of these properties, will appear elsewhere [23].

(a) *Fixed-point properties.*—The frustrated fixed point has an irrational “ground-state degeneracy” [24] $g = [\frac{1}{2}(5 + \sqrt{5})]^{1/2}$. Moreover, in a quantum-dot device of triangular symmetry, where biases V_j in leads $j = 1, 2, 3$ produce in lead i a current $I_i = \sum_j G_{ij} V_j$, the $T = 0$ zero-bias conductance is $G_{ii} = 4e^2/3h$. By contrast, the “isospin two-channel” regime [13], in which $J_{1\bar{1}}$ dominates Eq. (2), is unstable against particle-hole asymmetry and at low energy exhibits the Fermi-liquid behavior of the $SU(4)$ fixed point of [12(a)], with $g = 1$ and (in the limit of small particle-hole asymmetry) $G_{ii} = 8e^2/9h < 4e^2/3h$. The other stable fixed point of [13], at which inter-impurity correlations are irrelevant and the standard Kondo effect is recovered, has $g = 1$ and $G_{ii} = 0$.

(b) *Differential conductance.*—The leading irrelevant operator of dimension $\Delta = 1 + 1/5$ governs many properties near the fixed point. In particular, the differential tunneling conductance into the impurities from a metallic lead (e.g., an STM tip located symmetrically with respect to the impurities) in the regime $k_B T, |eV| \ll k_B T_K$ (V being the bias voltage) has the form $G_0^{-1} dI/dV \sim 1 - B(T/T_K)^{1/5} g[AeV/k_B T]$, where G_0 is the $T = 0$ linear-response conductance; A and B are constants that can be fitted to experiment. For $x \rightarrow 0$, $g[x] \rightarrow \text{const.}$, so $G_0^{-1} dI/dV \sim 1 - B(T/T_K)^{1/5} g[0]$; whereas $g[x] \sim cx^{1/5}$ (with c a constant) for $x \rightarrow \infty$, yielding $G_0^{-1} dI/dV \sim 1 - cB(AeV/k_B T_K)^{1/5}$ [25]. To lowest (quadratic) order in the tunneling matrix element between the impurities and the lead [26], the universal scaling function $g[x]$ equals the exact function given in [16(b)]. Similar (and in linear response, identical) behavior is expected in transport through triangular quantum-dot devices [23].

(c) *Breaking of particle-hole symmetry.*—This lowers the isospin $SU(2)$ symmetry to the $U(1)$ subgroup that conserves global charge $2I^z$, while preserving the discrete S_3 symmetry. The spectrum in Table III is reclassified by applying Eq. (4) to $SU^{(i)}(2)_3 \supset U^{(i)}(1) \times Z_3$. The most-relevant operators that become allowed in the low-energy Hamiltonian are marginal: the charge current operator $2I^z$, which is exactly marginal and corresponds to a simple phase shift [16]; and a degenerate pair $(s, I^z, Z_3, t_z, Z_8) = ((0)_0, (0)_0, (\psi_0^1)_{2/5}, (0)_0, (\psi_4^2)_{3/5})$ arising from Table III, row 5. The last two operators

are the boundary limits of the left- and right-moving bulk currents $J_{L,R} = \psi_{L,R}^\dagger[(T^z)^2 - \frac{2}{3}\mathbf{1}]\psi_{L,R}$ (Table I, row 5) [27]. $J_{L,R}$ generate a $U(1)$ symmetry of the free-fermion bulk theory *not* preserved by the boundary condition. The boundary limits of such operators are exactly marginal [23], consistent with NRG results in the presence of particle-hole asymmetry [13]. Like a phase shift, the three exactly marginal deformations of the boundary conditions affect the FSS (and the boundary limits of $J_{L,R}$ affect the $T = 0$ zero-bias conductance), but not the operator spectrum in Table III [23]. Thus, the NFL fixed point and its signatures, including the ground-state degeneracy and power laws in the conductance, persist away from particle-hole symmetry (unlike, e.g., the NFL behavior of the two-impurity Kondo model [7]).

(d) *Other symmetry-breaking perturbations.*—It can be deduced from Table III that (i) spin-orbit coupling is relevant, with dimension $3/5$, (ii) breaking of S_3 symmetry (e.g., through distortion of the equilateral triangular impurity geometry) is relevant with dimension $1/5$ [28], (iii) spin-exchange anisotropy is irrelevant, (iv) a Zeeman field acting only on the impurity spins is exactly marginal, and (v) the coupling $J_{1\bar{1}}$ in Eq. (2) is irrelevant. The implications of these results will be discussed elsewhere [23].

In summary, we have found the exact low-energy behavior of a non-Fermi-liquid phase arising from the interplay of magnetic frustration and Kondo physics in the three-impurity Kondo model. The phase is stable against particle-hole asymmetry, exchange anisotropy, and magnetic fields. It should be detectable in tunneling into magnetic adatoms on metallic surfaces and in electrical transport through triangular quantum-dot devices.

We are grateful for discussions with M. Fabrizio, D. Seo, and G. Zaránd, and for the hospitality of the Max-Planck-Institut MIPKs (Dresden) and the KITP (Santa Barbara), where portions of this work were performed. This work was supported in part by NSF Grants No. PHY-990794 (K.I., I.A.), No. DMR-0075064 (A.W.W.L.), and No. DMR-0312939 (K.I.), by NSERC (I.A.), and by the Canadian Institute for Advanced Research (I.A.).

[1] A. C. Hewson, *The Kondo Problem to Heavy Fermions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1993).
[2] D. Goldhaber-Gordon *et al.*, Nature (London) **391**, 156 (1998); S. M. Cronenwett, T. H. Oosterkamp, and L. P. Kouwenhoven, Science **281**, 540 (1998); J. Nygård, D. H. Cobden, and P. E. Lindelof, Nature **408**, 342 (2000).
[3] V. Madhavan *et al.*, Science **280**, 567 (1998); J. Li, W.-D. Schneider, R. Berndt, and B. Delley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2893 (1998).
[4] W. Chen, T. Jamneala, V. Madhavan, and M. F. Crommie, Phys. Rev. B **60**, R8529 (1999).

[5] T. Jamneala, V. Madhavan, and M. F. Crommie, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 256804 (2001).
[6] H. Jeong, A. M. Chang, and M. R. Melloch, Science **293**, 2221 (2001); J. C. Chen, A. M. Chang, and M. R. Melloch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 176801 (2004); N. J. Craig *et al.*, Science **304**, 565 (2004).
[7] I. Affleck, A. W. W. Ludwig, and B. A. Jones, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 9528 (1995).
[8] D. L. Cox and A. Zawadowski, Adv. Phys. **47**, 599 (1998).
[9] Yu. B. Kudasov and V. M. Uzdin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 276802 (2002).
[10] V. V. Savkin, A. N. Rubtsov, M. I. Katsnelson, and A. I. Lichtenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 026402 (2005).
[11] B. Lazarovits, P. Simon, G. Zaránd, and L. Szunyogh, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 077202 (2005).
[12] (a) G. Zaránd, A. Brataas, and D. Goldhaber-Gordon, Solid. State. Commun. **126**, 463 (2003); (b) Y. Avishai, T. Kuzmenko and K. Kikoin, Physica (Amsterdam) **29E**, 334 (2005).
[13] B. C. Paul and K. Ingersent, cond-mat/9607190; B. C. Paul, Ph.D. thesis, University of Florida, 2000.
[14] The explicit form of $J_{hh'}$ for the special case of an isotropic conduction band appears in [13].
[15] Each Lie group is labeled with a superscript (s , i , t , or p) denoting the subspace in which the group acts.
[16] (a) I. Affleck and A. W. W. Ludwig, Nucl. Phys. **B360**, 641 (1991); (b) Phys. Rev. B **48**, 7297 (1993); (c) A. W. W. Ludwig and I. Affleck, Nucl. Phys. **B428**, 545 (1994).
[17] A. B. Zamolodchikov and V. A. Fateev, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **43**, 657 (1986); Sov. Phys. JETP **62**, 215 (1985).
[18] We use $SU^{(s)}(2) \times SU^{(i)}(2) \sim SO^{(s,i)}(4)$ and $SO(12) \supset SO^{(s,i)}(4) \times SO^{(p)}(3)$ to obtain the listed embedding, which appeared in a different context in L. De Leo and M. Fabrizio, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 236401 (2005), and was communicated to us prior to publication by M. Fabrizio.
[19] All FSS energies are expressed as multiples of $\pi v_F/l$, where v_F is the Fermi velocity.
[20] In Eq. (4), m and $m \pm k$ are identified, so m (where $j - m \in \mathbb{Z}$) can be chosen such that $-(k-1)/2 \leq m \leq k/2$. Also, operators ψ_m^j and $\psi_{-(k/2-m)}^{k/2-j}$ are identified.
[21] For the fusion rules of $SU(2)_k$ see D. Gepner and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B278**, 493 (1986); for those of Z_k see D. Gepner and Z. Qiu, Nucl. Phys. **B285**, 423 (1987).
[22] In this case, step (1) outputs the FSS in Table I. For both the free-fermion and the interacting FSS, step (1) in effect swaps the boundary conditions yielding degenerate and nondegenerate free-fermion ground states [I. Affleck and A. W. W. Ludwig, Nucl. Phys. **B352**, 849 (1991)].
[23] K. Ingersent, A. W. W. Ludwig and I. Affleck, in preparation.
[24] I. Affleck and A. W. W. Ludwig, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 161 (1991).
[25] Possible Fano interference with direct tunneling from the STM to the substrate will be discussed in [23].
[26] L. I. Glazman and M. Pustilnik, in *New Directions in Mesoscopic Physics*, edited by R. Fazio, V. F. Gantmakher, and Y. Imry, (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2003).
[27] See [16] concerning the operator spectra of the free left-moving field ψ_L and its right-moving counterpart ψ_R .
[28] If isosceles triangular symmetry and particle-hole symmetry are preserved, there is just one relevant operator.