Scaling of Local Slopes, Conservation Laws and Anomalous Roughening in Surface Growth

Juan M. López,^{1,*} Mario Castro,² and Rafael Gallego³

¹Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC–UC, E-39005 Santander, Spain

²Grupo Interdisciplinar de Sistemas Complejos (GISC) and Grupo de Dinamica No Lineal (DNL),

Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingeniería (ICAI), Universidad Pontificia Comillas, E-28015 Madrid, Spain

³Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Oviedo, Campus de Viesques, E-33203 Gijón, Spain

(Dated: October 29, 2018)

We argue that symmetries and conservation laws greatly restrict the form of the terms entering the long wavelength description of growth models exhibiting anomalous roughening. This is exploited to show by dynamic renormalization group arguments that intrinsic anomalous roughening cannot occur in local growth models. However some conserved dynamics may display super-roughening if a given type of terms are present.

PACS numbers: 81.15.Aa,05.40.-a,64.60.Ht,05.70.Ln

Recent theoretical and experimental studies of self-affine kinetic roughening have uncovered a rich variety of novel features [1]. In particular, the existence of *anomalous* roughening has received much attention. Anomalous roughening refers to the observation that *local* and *global* surface fluctuations may have distinctly different scaling exponents. This leads to the existence of an independent *local* roughness exponent α_{loc} that characterizes the local interface fluctuations and differs from the *global* roughness exponent α . More precisely, *global* fluctuations are measured by the global interface width, which for a system of total lateral size L scales according to the Family-Vicsek ansatz [2] as

$$W(L,t) = t^{\beta} f(L/t^{1/z}),$$
(1)

where the scaling function f(u) behaves as

$$f(u) \sim \begin{cases} u^{\alpha} & \text{if } u \ll 1\\ \text{const. if } u \gg 1 \end{cases}$$
(2)

The roughness exponent α and the dynamic exponent z characterize the universality class of the model under study. The ratio $\beta = \alpha/z$ is the time exponent. In contrast, *local* surface fluctuations are given by either the height-height correlation function, $G(l,t) = \langle [h(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{l}, t) - h(\mathbf{x}, t)]^2 \rangle$, where the average is calculated over all \mathbf{x} (overline) and noise (brackets), or the local width, $w(l,t) = \langle [h(\mathbf{x},t) - \langle h \rangle_l]^2 \rangle_l \rangle^{1/2}$, where $\langle \cdots \rangle_l$ denotes an average over \mathbf{x} in windows of size l. For growth processes in which an anomalous roughening takes place these functions scale as $w(l,t) \sim \sqrt{G(l,t)} = t^{\beta} f_A(l/t^{1/z})$, with an anomalous scaling function [3, 4] given by

$$f_A(u) \sim \begin{cases} u^{\alpha_{loc}} & \text{if } u \ll 1\\ \text{const. if } u \gg 1 \end{cases}$$
(3)

instead of Eq.(2). The standard self-affine Family-Vicsek scaling [2] is then recovered when $\alpha = \alpha_{loc}$.

This singular phenomenon was first noticed in numerical simulations of both continuous and discrete models of ideal molecular beam epitaxial growth [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. Anomalous roughening has later on been reported to occur in

growth models in the presence of disorder [12, 13], electrochemical deposition models [14], chemical-vapor deposition [15], etc.

Remarkably, anomalous roughening has also been reported in many experimental studies including molecular-beam epitaxy of Si/Si(111) [16], sputter-deposition growth of Pt on glass [17], Cu electrodeposition [18], growth of Fe-Cr superlattices [19], propagating fracture cracks [20], fluid-flow in porous media [21], tumor growth [22], etc.

Nowadays it has become clear [3, 23] that anomalous kinetic roughening is related to a non-trivial dynamics of the average surface gradient (local slope), so that $\langle (\nabla h)^2 \rangle \sim t^{2\kappa}$. Anomalous scaling occurs whenever $\kappa > 0$, which leads to the existence of a local roughness scaling with exponent $\alpha_{loc} = \alpha - z\kappa$ [23]. Also, it has recently been shown that the existence of power-law scaling of the correlation functions (*i.e.* scale invariance) does not determine a unique dynamic scaling form of the correlation functions [24]. On the one hand, there are *super-rough* processes, $\alpha > 1$, for which $\alpha_{loc} = 1$ always. On the other hand, there are *intrinsically* anomalous roughened surfaces, for which the local roughness $\alpha_{loc} < 1$ is actually an independent exponent and α may take values larger or smaller than one depending on the universality class (see [4, 24] and references therein). The existence of intrinsically anomalous roughened surfaces is a most intriguing observation and leads to the still open question concerning the basic physical features (symmetries, form of the nonlinearities, conservation laws, non-locality, etc) required for anomalous roughening to occur in surface growth.

In this Letter we show that local models of surface growth driven by random noise cannot exhibit intrinsic anomalous roughening. Nevertheless, some models with conserved dynamics may display super-roughening if the required terms are present (see below). Our results are based upon a dynamic renormalization group analysis of stochastic growth models that include only local interaction terms (derivatives of the height field) and the deposition noise is Gaussian and uncorrelated in space and time. This framework is very broad and includes many universality classes of growth, in particular stochastic equations used to theoretically describe molecular beam epitaxy as well as other types of thin-film growth. Our results imply that disorder and/or non-local effects are responsible for intrinsic anomalous roughening in experiments in different systems [16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21]. We also argue that the anomalous scaling exponents found in numerical simulations of discrete growth models (see for instance [6, 8]) must be effective and corresponding to a non-universal transient regime due to the use of too small and too short time scales compared with the true asymptotic regime.

Scaling of the local slopes in surface growth.— We are interested here in surface growth models with local coupling among degrees of freedom, *i.e.*, growth equations that include only derivatives of the height. In the long wavelength limit, we consider surface growth in d + 1 dimensions described by the Langevin equation

$$\partial_t h = \mathcal{G}(\nabla h) + \eta(\mathbf{x}, t), \tag{4}$$

where $h(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is the height of the interface at substrate position \mathbf{x} and time t. The function $\mathcal{G}(\nabla h)$ defines a particular model and incorporates the relevant symmetries and conservation laws. In particular, invariance under translation along the growth and substrate directions as well as invariance in the election of the time origin rule out an explicit dependence of \mathcal{G} on h, \mathbf{x} and t. Growth is driven by an external noise $\eta(\mathbf{x}, t)$, which represents the influx of particles in deposition processes. The noise is usually considered to be Gaussian, uncorrelated in space and time, and either non-conserved, $\langle \eta(\mathbf{x},t)\eta(\mathbf{x}',t') \rangle = 2D \, \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')\delta(t - t')$, or conserved, $\langle \eta_c(\mathbf{x},t)\eta_c(\mathbf{x}',t') \rangle = -2D \, \nabla^2 \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')\delta(t - t')$, depending on the model under consideration.

The local slope fluctuations scale as $\langle (\nabla h)^2 \rangle \sim t^{2\kappa}$. When $\kappa > 0$ the local slope fluctuations become a relevant scale (in the growth direction) and local scaling behavior is expected to be anomalous, Eq.(3), instead of standard self-affine Family-Vicsek. One can exploit this simple observation [23] to obtain the local roughness exponent $\alpha_{loc} = \alpha - z\kappa$ by analyzing the corresponding time evolution equation for the local derivative $\Upsilon = \nabla h$

$$\partial_t \Upsilon = \nabla \mathcal{G}(\Upsilon) + \eta_c(\mathbf{x}, t), \tag{5}$$

where the noise $\eta_c = \nabla \eta$ is now conserved. Assuming periodic boundary conditions in Eq.(4) implies $\overline{\nabla h} = 0$ and one then finds that the global width of the interface $\Upsilon(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is

$$W_{\Upsilon}(t) = \langle \overline{[\Upsilon(\mathbf{x},t) - \Upsilon(\mathbf{x},t)]^2} \rangle^{1/2} = \langle \overline{(\nabla h)^2} \rangle^{1/2}, \quad (6)$$

which immediately leads to $W_{\Upsilon}(t) \sim t^{\kappa}$ [23]. Therefore, by studying the scaling behavior of surface $\Upsilon(\mathbf{x}, t)$ one can obtain the anomalous time growth exponent κ and therefore the local roughness exponent of the surface $h(\mathbf{x}, t)$ through the scaling relation $\alpha_{loc} = \alpha - z\kappa$.

In all respects we can regard the slopes $\Upsilon(\mathbf{x}, t)$ as a growing surface on its own and study its roughening properties, if any. Specifically, time scale invariance of (6) implies that the global width is expected to obey Eqs.(1) and (2), so that $W_{\Upsilon}(L,t) \sim t^{\kappa}$ for times $t \ll L^{\hat{z}}$, and saturates, $W_{\Upsilon}(L,t) \sim L^{\hat{\alpha}}$, for long times $t \gg L^{\hat{z}}$, where $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{z} = \hat{\alpha}/\kappa$ are the roughness and dynamic exponents of the interface defined by the local slopes, respectively. The growth equation for $\Upsilon(\mathbf{x},t)$, Eq.(5), may yield an interface $\Upsilon(\mathbf{x},t)$ that is not rough ($\hat{\alpha} < 0$). However, depending on the model symmetries, *i.e.*, the form of the terms entering \mathcal{G} in (5), the corresponding local slope $\Upsilon(\mathbf{x},t)$ may turn out to be rough ($\hat{\alpha} > 0$), which straightforwardly implies anomalous scaling behavior of the surface $h(\mathbf{x},t)$.

We now analyze the dynamic scaling behavior of the surface slopes described by Eq.(5). Let us consider the expansion of $\mathcal{G}(\Upsilon)$ in powers of Υ , its derivatives, and combinations thereof (i.e. the leading-order gradient expansion) consistent with all the symmetries of the problem under study [25]. The corresponding expansion may have several terms. However, the long wavelength limit (after renormalization) is governed by the most relevant term. We do not need to know explicitly the form of the most relevant term in the expansion, but we just assume that it generically behaves as $\mathcal{G}(\Upsilon) \to b^{-n} \Upsilon^m$, with $n \ge 0$ and $m \ge 1$, when the scale transformation $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow b \mathbf{x}$ is applied [25]. Note that this term does not have necessarily to be present in that precise form in the expansion, but it can be generated by renormalization of other terms. To illustrate this, consider for instance the $\nabla(\nabla h)^3$ nonlinearity that is known to renormalize to the linear $\nabla^2 h$ term [26]. In other words, we may not know *a priori* the values of *n* and *m*, but we can certainly assume that such a scaling must exist.

The dynamics of the surface slopes, Eq.(5), is always conserved by construction. This immediately leads to the hyperscaling relation $\hat{z} = 2\hat{\alpha}+d+2$ for the slope critical exponents, which is an exact outcome from the non-renormalization of the noise intensity D for systems with conserved dynamics [25, 27]. This hyperscaling relation is exact, independent of the detailed form of \mathcal{G} , and allows us to directly link the anomalous time exponent to the dynamics of the local slopes by $2\kappa = 1 - (d+2)/\hat{z}$.

Since we assume that the leading term of the expansion renormalizes as $G(\Upsilon) \sim b^{-n} \Upsilon^m$, critical behavior can be obtained from dimensional analysis [23, 25, 28]. Applying the self-affine scale transformation $\mathbf{x} \to b \mathbf{x}$, $t \to b^z t$, and $\Upsilon \to b^{\hat{\alpha}} \Upsilon$ to Eq.(5), we obtain that the relevant terms scale as $(\partial \Upsilon/\partial t) \to b^{\hat{\alpha}-\hat{z}} (\partial \Upsilon/\partial t)$, $\nabla \mathcal{G}(\Upsilon) \to b^{\hat{\alpha} m - (n+1)} \nabla \mathcal{G}(\Upsilon)$, and the conserved noise scales simply as $\eta_c \to b^{-1-(d+\hat{z})/2} \eta_c$, since it does not renormalize. These three terms are equally relevant after rescaling only if the following scaling relationships are satisfied,

$$\begin{cases} (m-1)\hat{\alpha} + \hat{z} - (n+1) = 0\\ 2\hat{\alpha} - \hat{z} + d + 2 = 0, \end{cases}$$
(7)

where we again obtain the hyperscaling relation due to the conserved dynamics of the surface slopes, as expected. These two basic scaling relations must be satisfied by the critical exponents of the surface slopes for any growth model with the appropriate (renormalized) values of n and m. From (7) we can obtain formal expressions for the critical exponents of the local slope, $\hat{\alpha} = (n - d - 1)/(m + 1)$ and

 $\kappa = \hat{\alpha}/\hat{z} = (n-d-1)/[2n-d+(d+2)m]$. Although the values of n and m can only be determined by dynamical renormalization group techniques for each particular model, we do not need in this Letter to know their explicit values. For the sake of our argument, we only need to observe that there must exist constraints on the possible values that n and m can have if the local slopes $\Upsilon(\mathbf{x}, t)$ have to be rough. The conditions $\hat{\alpha} > 0$ and $\kappa > 0$ yield

$$n > d+1, \tag{8}$$

which severely restrict the form in which the most relevant term of $\mathcal{G}(\Upsilon)$ can renormalize in surface models exhibiting anomalous roughening. Consider for instance 1 + 1 anomalous surface growth, the condition (8) implies that the most relevant contribution in the expansion of the relaxation term must behave under rescaling as $\mathcal{G}(\nabla h) \rightarrow b^{-3}(\nabla h)^m$, where m can be any $m \ge 1$. The most relevant term of this type corresponds to m = 1, e.g. the linear term $\nabla^4 h$. In fact, the linear equation $\partial_t h = -\nabla^4 h + \eta$ is known to exhibit (super-rough) anomalous scaling. However, even if the $\nabla^4 h$ is not present (or not relevant), a most relevant nonlinear term might exist and satisfy Eq.(8), yielding $\hat{\alpha} > 0$ and $\kappa > 0$, and therefore anomalous scaling.

In the following sections we analyze separately conserved and non-conserved surface dynamics and show that the requirement of local dynamics together with invariance of the growth equations under some symmetry transformations results in the impossibility of intrinsic anomalous scaling. Certain types of conserved dynamics, however, may exhibit super-roughening scaling properties.

Conserved dynamics. If the surface height $h(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is locally conserved the growth equation (4) must obey the continuity equation

$$\partial_t h = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} + \eta(\mathbf{x}, t), \tag{9}$$

where $\mathcal{G}(\nabla h) = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}$. The term $\mathbf{j}(\nabla h) = \mathbf{j}_{eq} + \mathbf{j}_{neq}$ includes equilibrium and nonequilibrium contributions to the current. Invariance under the choice of the height origin implies that the current \mathbf{j} cannot depend explicitly on h [25]. This type of equation has attracted much attention in recent years because of its relevance in the continuum theory of molecular beam epitaxial growth. We can follow the same procedure as above to evaluate the scaling behavior of the surface $h(\mathbf{x},t)$ from Eq.(4) when $\mathcal{G}(\nabla h) = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}$. Conservation implies that the noise scales as $\eta \to b^{-(d+z)/2} \eta$. For the velocity term we have $(\partial h/\partial t) \to b^{\alpha-z} (\partial h/\partial t)$, and the most relevant term of deterministic part renormalizes as $\mathcal{G}(\nabla h) \to b^{\alpha m - (n+m)} \mathcal{G}(\nabla h)$. In order to have scaling the three terms must be equally relevant and we find

$$\begin{cases} (m-1)\alpha + z - (m+n) = 0\\ 2\alpha - z + d = 0. \end{cases}$$
(10)

These scaling relations are satisfied for any conserved model with the appropriate (renormalized) values of n and m. Regarding the values of m and n the same comments as above apply here.

We can eliminate z from Eq.(10) to obtain $(m + 1)\alpha = m + n - d$. Equivalently, we have $(m + 1)\hat{\alpha} = n - 1 - d$ from Eq.(7). This allows us to eliminate any dependence on the unknown exponents n and m and find the relationships

$$\alpha = \hat{\alpha} + 1 \text{ and } z = \hat{z}, \tag{11}$$

which are exact and valid for any growth model with conserved dynamics. The relations (11) immediately imply that for surface growth with conserved dynamics either the scaling is Family-Vicsek ($\hat{\alpha} < 0$ and $\alpha < 1$), or it is super-rough (if $\hat{\alpha} > 0$, then $\alpha > 1$). In the latter case, we can calculate the local roughness exponent α_{loc} by making use of the scaling relation $\alpha_{loc} = \alpha - z\kappa$ (valid whenever $\kappa, \hat{\alpha} > 0$) to obtain that $\alpha_{loc} = \alpha - \hat{\alpha} = 1$, which shows that, if anomalous scaling occurs in conserved growth, it can only be in the form of super-roughening.

Non-conserved dynamics.— In this case the lack of conservation leads to the renormalization of the noise amplitude D and the violation of the hyperscaling relation in (10). A one-loop dynamic renormalization group calculation for the spectral function $\langle h(-\mathbf{k},t)h(\mathbf{k},t)\rangle$ yields the flow of the noise intensity $dD(l)/dl = D [z - d - 2\alpha + g(l)^2)]$, where g(l)is the coupling constant, which renormalization flow depends on the actual form of the most relevant nonlinearity. This shows that in the scaling relations (10), only valid for conserved growth, we must replace the hyperscaling relation by $z - d - 2\alpha + g_*^2 = 0$, where g_* is the fixed point. This leads to the relation $\hat{\alpha} = \alpha - 1 + g_*^2/(m+1)$ instead of (11), which clearly shows that the naive scaling $\nabla h \sim b^{\alpha-1}$ is generally incorrect for non-conserved dynamics.

The scaling relations for the critical exponents of the surface slopes (7), and in particular the condition for anomalous scaling (8), are also valid in the case of non-conserved growth. Therefore, we can analyze the potential form of the nonlinear terms in Eq.(4) that are required for anomalous roughening in the case of non-conserved growth. In general, the most relevant nonlinearity will be of the form $\mathcal{G}(\nabla h) \sim (\nabla^{n_1} h) \cdots (\nabla^{n_N} h)$. However, not any term can actually appear in a leading order expansion of \mathcal{G} . Under the assumption of *relaxational* dynamics [31], the shift invariance symmetry $h \rightarrow h + c$ greatly bounds the form of the possible nonlinearities. This was studied in detail by Hentschel in Ref. [30], where he showed that for growth models obeying nonconserved relaxational dynamics the expansion of \mathcal{G} to lowest order compatible with shift invariance is generically given by

$$\partial_t h = 2\Gamma F' \nabla^2 h + 2\Gamma F'' \nabla [(\nabla h)^2] \cdot \nabla h - s\Gamma [F - 2F' (\nabla h)^2] + \eta, \qquad (12)$$

where Γ and F are functions of $(\nabla h)^2$, and *primes* denote derivatives with respect to the argument [30]. Ulterior expansion of $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 + \Gamma_1 (\nabla h)^2 + \cdots$ and $F = F_0 + F_1 (\nabla h)^2 + \cdots$ in powers of $(\nabla h)^2$ provides all the terms compatible with shift invariance. In general, all the possible nonlinear terms in the expansion (12) have the form Υ^{2a} , $(\nabla \cdot \Upsilon) \Upsilon^{2b}$ or $\Upsilon^{2c} \nabla \Upsilon^2 \cdot \Upsilon$. This means that, at any order in the expansion the only allowed terms compatible with shift invariance lead to $n \leq 1$, and these values are incompatible with the requirement Eq. (8) in any dimension d. Since any term compatible with shift invariance prevents condition (8) from being satisfied, we conclude that anomalous roughening (either intrinsic or super-rough) cannot take place in non-conserved growth equations.

Conclusions.- We have shown that symmetries and conservation severely bound the form of the most relevant terms that can exist in the long wavelength description of growth models exhibiting anomalous scaling. This has been exploited to show that intrinsic anomalous roughening cannot occur in local growth models, but some conserved dynamics may display super-roughening for some types of interaction terms. Our conclusions are also valid in the case of growth driven by conserved noise in Eq.(4) after transforming $d \rightarrow d + 2$ in the scaling relationships (7) and (10), which leads to the same general conclusions. These results are useful in the search for continuum models and the general problem of assigning universality classes to experiments or discrete growth models. Our results imply that disorder and/or non-local effects (like shadowing or bulk diffusion) must be responsible for the occurrence of intrinsic anomalous roughening in experimental systems [16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21]. Regarding simulations of discrete models of local growth where anomalous scaling has been reported [6, 8], like for instance in simulations of the Wolf-Villain model [29] for ideal molecular-beam epitaxy, our results imply that those anomalous scaling exponents have to be taken with caution since they are effective exponents corresponding to a transient, hence nonuniversal, regime. This conclusion is in agreement with most recent simulation results of the Wolf-Villain model in large system sizes and long times [8] and theoretical arguments [32].

We wish to thank Miguel A. Rodríguez and Rodolfo Cuerno for stimulating discussions. This work was supported by the CICyT (Spain) through Grant No. BFM2003-07749-C05.

* Electronic address: lopez@ifca.unican.es

- [2] F. Family and T. Vicsek, J. Phys. A 18, L75 (1985).
- [3] J. Krug, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 2907 (1994).
- [4] J. M. López, M. A. Rodríguez, and R. Cuerno, Phys. Rev. E 56, 3993 (1997); Physica A 246, 329 (1997).
- [5] J. G. Amar, P. -M. Lam, and F. Family, Phys. Rev. E 47, 3242 (1993).
- [6] M. Schroeder et. al., Europhys. Lett. 24, 563 (1993).
- [7] S. Das Sarma, S. V. Ghaisas, and J. M. Kim, Phys. Rev. E 49, 122 (1994);
 S. Das Sarma, *et. al.*, Phys. Rev. E 53, 359 (1996).
- [8] P. Smilauer and M. Kotrla, Phys. Rev. B 49, R5769 (1994)
- [9] J.K. Bhattacharjee, S. Das Sarma and R. Kotlyar, Phys. Rev. E 53, R1313 (1996).
- [10] C. S. Ryu, K. P. Heo, and I. Kim, Phys. Rev. E 54, 284 (1996).
- [11] C. Dasgupta, S. Das Sarma and J.M. Kim, Phys. Rev. E 54, R4552 (1996).
- [12] J.M. López and M.A. Rodríguez, Phys. Rev. E 54, R2189 (1996).
- [13] J. Asikainen et. al., Phys. Rev. E 65, 052104 (2002).
- [14] M. Castro, et. al. Phys. Rev. E 57, R2491 (1998).
- [15] R. Cuerno and M. Castro, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 236103 (2001)
- [16] H. -N. Yang, G. -C. Wang and T. -M. Lu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 2348 (1994).
- [17] J. H. Jeffries, J. -K. Zuo, and M. M. Craig, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 4931 (1996).
- [18] S. Huo and W. Schwarzacher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 256 (2001).
- [19] J. Santamaría et. al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 190601 (2002).
- [20] J. M. López and J. Schmittbuhl, Phys. Rev. E 57, 6405 (1998);
 S. Morel *et. al.*, Phys. Rev. E 58, 6999 (1998).
- [21] J. Soriano et. al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 026102 (2002).
- [22] A. Bru et. al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 4008 (1998).
- [23] J. M. López, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 4594 (1999).
- [24] J. J. Ramasco, J. M. López, M. A. Rodríguez, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2199 (2000).
- [25] A.-L. Barabási and H. E. Stanley, *Fractal Concepts in Surface Growth* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).
- [26] S. Das Sarma and R. Kotlyar, Phys. Rev. E 50, R4275 (1994).
- [27] T. Sun, H. Guo, and M. Grant, Phys. Rev. A 40, R6763 (1989).
- [28] H. G. E. Hentschel and F. Family, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 1982 (1991).
- [29] D. E. Wolf and J. Villain, Europhys. Lett. 13, 389 (1990).
- [30] H. G. E. Hentschel, J. Phys. A 27, 2269 (1994).
- [31] Relaxational dynamics is a reasonable assumption for surface growth models and corresponds to model A dynamics of P. C. Hohenberg and B. Halperin Rev. Mod. Phys. 49, 435 (1977)
- [32] J. Krug, M. Plischke, and M. Siegert, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 3271 (1993).

^[1] J. Krug, Adv. Phys. 46, 139 (1997).