

Hele-Shaw problem KdV hierarchy

Razvan Teodorescu,¹ Anton Zabrodin,² and Paul Wiegmann³¹Physics Department, Columbia University, 538 W. 120th St., New York, NY 10027²Institute of Biochemical Physics, Kosygina str. 4, 117334 Moscow, Russia³James Frank Institute, Enrico Fermi Institute of the University of Chicago, 5640 S. Ellis Ave. Chicago IL 60637
(Dated: December 21, 2018)

We show that unstable fingering patterns of two dimensional flows of viscous fluids with open boundary are described by a dispersionless limit of the KdV hierarchy. The KdV integrable structure suggests a dispersive regularization of finite time singularities of the flow.

1. Introduction. The Hele-Shaw cell is a narrow space between two parallel planes (a device used to study the 2D dynamics of a fluid with an open boundary. In a common set-up, air (regarded as viscousless fluid) occupies a bounded domain of the cell, otherwise filled by a viscous incompressible fluid. When more air is injected through a well, the free boundary evolves in a complicated and unstable manner. In finite time, an arbitrary smooth initial boundary develops an uncontrollable pattern of branched fingers Fig. 1. This seemingly simple mechanism appears to be rather general and has been identified in numerous realistic growth problems dominated by branching patterns (for a review, see [1]).




FIG. 1: Fingering instability in the Hele-Shaw cell [4].

Starting from early works [2], it became clear that the problem of fingering instability in the Hele-Shaw cell is linked to profound aspects of the analytical functions theory and 2D conformal maps. In recent years, the problem has reached other domains of theoretical physics. In particular, a connection to fractal self-similar patterns of stochastic growth, like diffusion-limited aggregation has been recognized [3].

In more recent developments, the Hele-Shaw problem has emerged in the context of electronic physics in low dimensions. It has been noticed that the domain of phase space occupied by 1D electrons (a Fermi sphere) is deformed by external perturbations according to the Hele-Shaw mechanism [5]. The same is true for deformations of an electronic droplet in a quantizing magnetic field [6]. In a similar manner, the Hele-Shaw problem is connected to statistical ensembles of normal or complex random matrices, where a non-Gaussian potential deforms the support of eigenvalues [7].

In this paper we emphasize yet another connection, which may be viewed as a formal ground for appearance

of this phenomenon in different physical situations. We show that the fingering instability is linked to the integrable Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) hierarchy of differential equations. More precisely, we show that developed fingers, in the absence of surface tension, are described by the dispersionless KdV hierarchy. The KdV hierarchy describes a flow in real time, as well as variations of the flow with respect to initial data. For the reader familiar with quantum gravity, we note that Hele-Shaw fingers, not accidentally, correspond to the same solution of the KdV hierarchy as that exploited in 2D-gravity [8], and in studies of critical points of hermitian random matrix ensembles [9].

The integrable structure of the Hele-Shaw problem has been observed in our earlier papers [10]. There, we have shown that the problem is equivalent to the dispersionless limit of the Toda integrable hierarchy. This is an exact relation. Here we concentrate on the critical (turbulent) regime of the flow. This is a regime where fingers are already well developed, and are very close to a cusp-like singularity. It is the most interesting part of the flow. In this case, one may concentrate only on the vicinity of the tip of a single finger, neglecting the rest of the boundary. The KdV integrable structure emerges in this regime. It can be obtained from the Toda hierarchy as a reduction, but also can be derived directly from hydrodynamics of the critical regime. We choose the latter.

Appearance of the integrable structure may guide us to a solution of the fingering problem.

Despite the letter format, we will not expect the reader to be familiar with integrable hierarchies. The intuitively clear physics of interface dynamics gives a clear geometrical interpretation to algebraic objects used in soliton equations [11].

2. Darcy's law. Consider a 2D domain (a "bubble") occupied by "air" regarded as an incompressible fluid with low viscosity, Fig. 1. The rest of the cell is occupied by another incompressible fluid but with high viscosity. Air is injected into the cell through the origin, at a constant rate, while the viscous fluid is evacuated from "infinity" (edges of the cell). The area of the bubble is proportional to time t . We normalize it to be t . The Navier-Stokes equation adapted to the 2D geometry gives a simple rule for the dynamics of the moving boundary

: velocity in the viscous fluid (and of the boundary) is proportional to the gradient of pressure (and may be set equal by choosing appropriate normalization of physical constants),

$$\mathbf{v} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\rho}} \nabla p: \quad (1)$$

Pressure is harmonic for incompressible fluids. Inside the bubble, it is constant (set to $p = 0$), due to low viscosity. In the absence of surface tension, it is continuous across the boundary, and hence a solution of the exterior Dirichlet problem

$$p = 0; \quad p|_{\partial D} = \log|z|; \quad p = 0: \quad (2)$$

The origin of the fingering instability is intuitively clear: high curvature portions of the boundary move with a higher velocity than the rest and get even more curved.

Now we must introduce a minimal set of objects of potential theory. Consider a holomorphic function $\phi(z) = \phi(x; y) + i\psi(x; y)$, whose imaginary part is pressure. The function $\phi(z)$ is a univalent conformal map of the exterior of the bubble to the domain $\text{Im} \phi > 0$, and its derivative $\phi'(z)$ taken on the boundary is the conformal measure of the boundary. Darcy's law (1) reads: the complex velocity of the boundary is proportional to the conformal measure. In other words, the complex potential of the flow is a conformal map of the outer domain to the upper halfplane in ϕ . Equivalently, the Cauchy-Riemann conjugate of pressure, $\psi(x; y)$, is the stream function.

To simplify the arguments, we assume that the bubble and a finger have a symmetry axis. In Cartesian coordinates, the boundary can be described by a generally multivalued function $y(x)$, Fig 2.

Another useful way to describe the boundary is through the height function $h(z)$. The height function is an analytic function in some vicinity of the boundary, taking values $h(x + iy) = y(x)$ and $h(x - iy) = -y(x)$ on the boundary. Using the Schwarz reflection principle, we obtain $h(\bar{z}) = \overline{h(z)}$, where $z(\bar{z})$ is the inverse of the map (we write $h(\bar{z}) = h(z)$). Therefore,

$$2ih(\bar{z}) = \overline{h(z)} - \overline{h(z)}: \quad (3)$$

We will also use a generating function $\partial_z \phi(z) = 2ih(z)$. Darcy's law can be interpreted as evolution of the height function. The complex velocity on the boundary $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{z}$ can be analytically continued into the outer domain, as $\mathbf{v} = \partial_t \partial_z \phi(z) = 2i\partial_t h(z)$. Then from $\mathbf{v} = 2i\partial_t h$, Darcy's law becomes

$$\partial_t h(z) = \partial_z \phi(z): \quad (4)$$

3. Branch points If the boundary is analytic, the map $\phi(z)$ and the height function $h(z)$ can be analytically continued inside the bubble, until they reach




FIG. 2: A critical finger (upper diagram). $u(t)$ is the distance from the tip of the finger to the critical point. The lower diagram is a pre-image $\phi(z)$ of the physical domain. The boundary of the finger, mapped to the real axis, divides pre-images of exterior and interior domains. The physical domain is bounded by the pre-images of the reflection axis and of the branch cut.

singularities. An important class of analytic boundaries is distinguished by the condition that the conformal map $\phi(z)$ is a rational function of e^i . Such domains are called algebraic. In this case, the singularities of the inverse map are generically simple branch points $z_0(t); \dots; z_{q-1}(t)$ (analogous of Riemann invariants in hydrodynamics), where $\partial_z \phi(z) = \partial_z \phi(z_i(t))^{1/2}$. A simple theorem says that the Hele-Shaw flow preserves the class of algebraic domains [12].

As the boundary moves, so do the branch points. We identify the traces of the branch points with the branch cuts. Comparing singularities of (4), we get a system of coupled equations for the branch points,

$$z_i = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial h_{z_i}}; \quad i = 0; 1; \dots; q-1: \quad (5)$$

4. Finite time singularities In full generality, these equations are difficult to analyze. Qualitatively, branch points tend to move towards the boundary. As a result, the boundary is pushed away, forming a finger. When, eventually, a branch point reaches the boundary, the finger forms a cusp-like singularity. It appears that for almost all initial algebraic domains, a cusp-like singularity emerges at a finite time, i.e. at a finite area of the bubble. Once a singularity is reached, the idealized law (1) needs to be corrected by a mechanism regularizing the singularity [13]. Some examples of singular Hele-Shaw flows were elaborated in [14].

In this letter we suggest a unified approach to the finite time singularities based on a singular limit of the KdV integrable hierarchy. We concentrate on the critical regime of the flow, when singularities are close to a cusp-like singularity. Generically, an isolated branch point, say $z_0 = (x_0; 0)$, is found very close to the boundary (tip of the finger), i.e., $(z_0) = 0$. It follows from (5) that this branch point (and the tip) moves with velocity $\dot{x}_0 = \partial_y j_0$.

The origin of the finite time singularities can already be seen from this equation by means of scaling analysis. Around the tip, the map $z(\cdot)$ has a regular expansion $z(\cdot) = x_0 + ia + b^2 + ic^3 + \dots$, with real a, b, c, \dots . It follows from the reflection formula (3) that $h(\cdot) = a + c^3 + \dots$. If a branch point is close to the tip, then a is small, while b and c are of order 1. If there is only one scale, $u(t)$, then x_0 , measured from what will be the cusp tip, and a are of the same order $u(t)$. The velocity of the finger tip \dot{x}_0 grows with the curvature $a^{-1} u^{-1}$ of the tip such that $\dot{x}_0 \sim u^{-1}$, hence the scale vanishes as $u \rightarrow 0$. At the critical time $t = t_c$, $u = 0$ and the curve forms a (2,3)-cusp, $y(x) \sim x^{3/2}$.

More general cusps are characterized by two integers $(q; p)$; $q < p$, implying that the finger is bounded by $|j(x)| \sim x^q$, $x > 0$; q is even. Presumably, the higher cusps correspond to higher order singularities emerging at the boundary.

In what follows we mostly consider the case $q = 2$, making only brief remarks on the higher cusps. The details will be given elsewhere.

6. Critical regime and scaling functions. When the finger is very close to becoming a cusp, the rest of the bubble does not affect its evolution. We will call this regime critical. In the critical regime, different scales separate. It is convenient to define the scales in the complex plane of z . An infinitesimal closed set containing the finger tip is the image of a closed set containing the point $z = 0$. At $z = 0$ ($u^{1/2}$) we see the details of the tip. At $1 \sim u^{1/2}$, the details of the tip cannot be seen. At the latter scale, the finger looks like a cusp. Finally, $z = 0$ (1) corresponds to the rest of the body of the bubble. The scale $u(t)$ changes with time and eventually disappears at the critical point. In that limit, the finger is scale invariant.

To summarize, in the critical regime $u \rightarrow 0$; $t \rightarrow t_c$, the inverse map and the height function are

$$z(\cdot) \sim ih(\cdot) = u^{q/2} Q(\cdot u^{1/2}); h(\cdot) = u^{p/2} P(\cdot u^{1/2}) \quad (6)$$

where the scaling functions Q and P do not depend on u . At $q = 2$, Q is an even quadratic polynomial and the scaling ansatz holds everywhere in the critical region.

The asymptotic behavior of the finger, $y \sim x^q$, allows us to identify the scaling functions. They are polynomials of degrees q and p , respectively. The reflection symmetry suggests that Q is an even polynomial, while P is odd, and that all their coefficients are real. This

follows by matching asymptotes in different regions: far from the tip, the finger asymptote is $y \sim x^q$. Since $y \sim x^{-1}$, we approximate $y \sim z^{-q}$, where $z = x + iy$. Higher order corrections will make y real. Therefore, we conclude that the height function, in the domain close to the boundary, but away from the tip, is $h(z) \sim z^{-q}$. This also means that at $u^{1/2} \sim 1$, and close to the real axis, $Q(\cdot) \sim \cdot^q$; $P(\cdot) \sim \cdot^p$. Close to the tip, where $u^{1/2} \rightarrow 0$, both $z(\cdot)$ and $h(\cdot)$ are regular in \cdot . The only holomorphic functions matching these conditions are polynomials.

Let us note that Eq. (6) defines a Riemann surface (a complex curve). This curve appears to be a spectral curve of the integrable hierarchy. It is a rationally degenerate curve with actual genus zero and a number of singular points (generically, double points). At $q = 2$, it is a rational degeneration of a hyperelliptic curve (elliptic at $p = 3$). A section of this curve by a plane where y and x are real corresponds to the boundary of the finger. By assumption, the boundary is non-self-crossing and connected. A cusp occurs when the double points appear in the real section.

7. An important remark on the scaling ansatz is in order. As is seen from (6), the univalent map $z(\cdot)$, being approximated by the scaling functions (i.e., by polynomials) is no longer univalent at $q > 2$. This is a manifestation of the Stokes phenomenon: an asymptotic expansion of an analytic function carried over at the boundary of analyticity is not analytic and exhibits discontinuities on the Stokes lines. In fact, at $q > 2$ the scaling ansatz does not hold everywhere in the upper half-plane of z . It holds only in certain sectors of the plane adjacent to the real axis (Stokes sectors) and breaks down otherwise. The union of these sectors, which covers roughly $2\pi q$ part of the upper half-plane, is referred to as the physical domain, see Fig. 2. It determines a physical branch of the map $z(\cdot)$.

The physical branch must obey the reflection symmetry and be real at the boundary of the finger. This is impossible unless the stream function $\text{Re } h$ is allowed to have a discontinuity across the reflection axis outside the finger. Every monomial $z^{n=q}$ in the asymptotic expansion $h(z) = z^{1/q} + \text{negative powers of } z^{1/q}$ should be understood as $z^{1/q}$, if $0 < \text{Arg } z < \pi$, and $e^{i\pi/q} z^{1/q}$, if $\pi < \text{Arg } z < 2\pi$. This means that imaginary part of the asymptote of the analytical function $h(z)$ jumps on the reflection line, at least far away from the tip, and unless $q = 2$. This phenomenon indicates that cusps with $q > 2$ do not appear in algebraic domains. We do not have an interpretation of this phenomenon.

8. KdV hierarchy It follows from (6) that, in the scaling limit, the generating function has the asymptotic expansion $h(z) \sim h(z_0) - 2i \int_{z_0}^z h(z^0) dz^0 + O(u^p)$ $u^{p+q/2} \int_0^P P dQ$. It is a truncated Laurent series in $z^{1/q}$, $h(z) = i \sum_{n=1}^{p+q} t_n z^{n/q} + \text{negative powers of } z^{1/q}$. We will

see in a moment that all the coefficients t_k except t_1 are conserved. Moreover, the coefficient t_1 is proportional to the time, counted from the time of the singularity. The coefficients t_k are called deformation parameters, while t_1 is the evolution parameter. Once specified, the deformation parameters determine (although not uniquely) the shape of the evolving nger at all times before the singularity. The generating function and the height function can be conveniently expressed through the deformation parameters. As polynomials of t_1 , they are

$$X^q = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n !_{n+q}; \quad h = \sum_{n=1+q}^{\infty} \frac{t_n}{n} !_{n+q} \quad (7)$$

Here $!_n(\cdot) = u^{n=2} Q_+^{n=q}(\cdot)$ is the polynomial part of the Laurent series $u^{n=2} Q_+^{n=q}(\cdot) = !_n(\cdot) +$ negative powers of u .

Now we can describe the evolution of the curve with respect to all the deformation parameters. The arguments are borrowed from [11] with little changes. We note that $!_n$ is a polynomial of degree n in t_1 . Written as a Laurent series in $z^{1=q}$, it has only one term, $z^{n=q}$, with positive degree. The only polynomial of this kind is $!_n$. Therefore,

$$\partial_{t_n} h(z) = \partial_z !_n(z) \quad (8)$$

Notice that the flow in real time (4) appears on the same footing as flows with respect to the deformation parameters. Setting $n = 1$, we recover the flow equation (4).

Eqs. (4,8) can be cast in the form of flow equations, if one takes time derivative at constant z . Denoting the Poisson bracket with respect to the canonical pair $t; z$ such that $\{f; g\} = \partial f / \partial t_g - \partial g / \partial t_f$, eqs. (4,8) read $\{h; z\} = 1$, $\partial_{t_n} h(z) = \{h; !_n\}$. From this we conclude that the inverse map itself evolves with t_n as

$$\partial_{t_n} z = \{z; !_n\} \quad (9)$$

Compatibility of these equations gives a closed set of nonlinear equations for the coefficients of the polynomials (6)

$$\partial_{t_m} !_n - \partial_{t_n} !_m = \{!_n; !_m\} \quad (10)$$

At $q = 2$, it is the dispersionless KdV hierarchy (dKdV), with "even" deformation parameters t_{2n} being irrelevant. At $q > 2$, equations (9) constitute the dispersionless Gelfand-Dikii, or generalized KdV, hierarchy, where the parameters t_{qn} are irrelevant (the q -reduction of the more general KP hierarchy) [11]. Equations of the hierarchy (9) hold also for asymmetric ngers. In this case polynomials (6) do not have a definite parity.

9. Solutions of the dKdV hierarchy At $q = 2$, a complete solution is available. In this case $z(\cdot) = z^2 - 2u$, the evolution does not depend on t_{2n} and

$$!_{2n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{X^n} \frac{(2n+1)!!}{(2n-2k+1)!!} \frac{(u)^k}{k!} !_{2n-2k+1}; \quad (11)$$

Equations (9) become $\partial_{t_{2n+1}} u = \frac{(2n+1)!!}{n!} (u)^n \partial_{t_1} u$. The first equation of the dKdV hierarchy is the familiar Hopf-Burgers equation

$$\partial_{t_3} u + 3u \partial_{t_1} u = 0; \quad (12)$$

The hodograph transformation gives a general solution to the whole hierarchy:

$$X^1 \frac{(2k+1)!!}{k!} t_{2k+1} (u)^k = 0; \quad p = 2l+1; \quad (13)$$

More details of the application to the singular limit of the Hele-Shaw flow can be found in [15]. Some results for $q > 2$ are discussed in [16].

10. KdV hierarchy Eqs. (12) is a singular $\sim !_0$ limit of the full dispersive KdV equation

$$4\partial_{t_3} u + 12u \partial_{t_1} u - \partial_{t_1}^3 u = 0; \quad (14)$$

To clarify the nature of this limit, we recall the definition of the q -reduced KP hierarchy. It is a set of nonlinear equations compactly written through a pair of operators L and M . They are differential operators in time of degrees q and p :

$$L = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} X^q [e_l; \wedge^{q-1}]_+; \quad M = \sum_{n=1+q}^{\infty} \frac{t_n}{n} !_{n+q}; \quad (15)$$

Here $[\cdot]_+$ denotes the anti-commutator, $\wedge = \sim \partial_t$, and the coefficients e_l are functions of a string of "times" $t_1; \dots; t_{p+q}$, and $!_n = L_+^{n=q}$ is a differential operator of degree n , obtained from the pseudo-differential operator $L^{n=q}$ by omitting a non-differential part.

The dependence of the coefficients e_l on the "times" is introduced by the Lax-Sato equations $\sim \partial_{t_k} L = [L; !_k]$, $\sim \partial_{t_k} M = [M; !_k]$, supplemented by the condition $[L; M] = \sim$. An alternative way to define the hierarchy is to impose the consistency conditions $\partial_{t_m} \partial_{t_n} = \partial_{t_n} \partial_{t_m}$.

The dispersionless ("quasiclassical") limit of this hierarchy is obtained by replacing the differential operator $\wedge = \sim \partial_t$ by a function $\wedge!$, and the commutator $[\cdot; \cdot]$ by the Poisson bracket $\{f; g\}$. We have seen that in this limit the formal objects of the Lax-Sato construction acquire a clear physical interpretation in terms of the Hele-Shaw flow. Namely, $\wedge!$, L and M become respectively the complex potential, the coordinate z , and the height function h . This correspondence also gives a meaning of \sim as a quantum of area.

11. Applications The integrable structure revealed in this letter allows one to use the well-developed KdV theory in the study of the Hele-Shaw and other moving boundary problems. In particular, special solutions of the hierarchy describe (i) bubble coalescence, (ii) bubble break-off, (iii) branching, (iv) bubble creations, etc. We report some of them in [15].

Perhaps the most interesting perspective offered by the integrable structure is a way to regularize the singularities.

It is well known that the dispersionless limit of non-linear waves is singular [17]. The solutions suffer from nonphysical shock waves, i.e., smooth initial data $u(t_1 = 0; t_2; t_3; \dots)$ generally evolve into a multi-valued ("overhanged") function within a finite time. Clearly, such non-physical solutions are equivalent to the finite-time singularities of the Hele-Shaw flow. This is perhaps the main message of this letter.

The singular behaviour should be corrected by a regularization of the cusps at short distances. It requires an introduction of a new scale (a short distance cut-off. Surface tension, lattice or DLA-like regularizations were among the mechanisms considered.

It is also known that the nonphysical shock waves emerging in the singular limit of dispersive waves are cured by taking dispersion into account. This occurs in a complicated oscillatory manner [17].

The integrable structure of the singularities described in this paper suggests an appealing, novel, "dispersive" regularization. In this scheme the flow (1) is seen as an ill limit of the true dispersive flow, just as Eq. (12) is seen as a singular limit of Eq. (14). We will present details of this regularization elsewhere. Here we only note that this regularization treats the Hele-Shaw flow as a stochastic process of deposition of small particles with an area \sim . It carries a similar physics as the diffusion-limited aggregation [3].

Acknowledgments We were benefited by discussions with I.K. Krichever, A.M. Arshakov and M.M. Ineev-Winstein. P.W. and A.Z. are particularly grateful to E. Bettelheim and O. A. Gam for numerous discussions, help, and contribution to our understanding of physics and mathematics of Hele-Shaw flow, and Igor Loutsenko for a critical comment. R.T. thanks I. A. Leiner and A. M. Illis for support. P.W. and R.T. were supported by the NSF MRSEC Program under DMR-0213745, NSF DMR-0220198. P.W. acknowledges support by the Humboldt foundation. A.Z. was also supported in part by RFBR grant 03-02-17373, by grant INTAS 03-51-6346 and by the grant for support of scientific schools NSh-1999.2003.2.

^y Also at Landau Institute of Theoretical Physics.

- [1] D. Bensimon et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 58 977 (1986); B. Gustafsson and A. Vasil'ev, <http://www.math.kth.se>
- [2] P. Ya. Polubarinova-Kochina, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 47 254 (1945); P.P. Kufarev, ibid 57 335 (1947); P.G. Saman, G. I. Taylor, Proc. Royal Soc. 245A 312 (1958); S. Richardson, J. Fluid Mech. 56 609 (1972)
- [3] L.M. Sander et al., Phys. Rev. A 32 3160 (1985); T.W. Witten and L.M. Sander, ibid 47 1400 (1981); M.B. Hastings and L.S. Levitov, Physica D 116 244 (1998).
- [4] Borrowed from <http://appserv01.uni-duisburg.de/>
- [5] P.B. Wiegmann, unpublished.
- [6] O. A. Gam, E. Bettelheim, P.B. Wiegmann and A. Zabrodin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 236802 (2002),.
- [7] R. Teodorescu, E. Bettelheim, O. A. Gam, A. Zabrodin and P. Wiegmann, Nucl. Phys. B 704 407 (2005); ibid 700 521 (2004).
- [8] E. Brezin and V. Kazakov, Phys. Lett. B 236 144 (1990); M. Douglas and S. Shenker, Nucl. Phys. B 335 635 (1990); D. Gross and A. Migdal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 127 (1990).
- [9] P. Di Francesco, P. Ginsparg and J. Zinn-Justin., Phys. Rept. 254 (1995).
- [10] M.M. Ineev-Winstein, P.B. Wiegmann and A. Zabrodin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 5106 (2000); I.K. Kostov, I. Krichever, M.M. Ineev-Winstein, P.B. Wiegmann and A. Zabrodin, M. S. R. I 40, 285, Cambridge Univ. Press (2001).
- [11] I.M. Krichever, Commun. Pure. Appl. Math. 47 437 (1994); K. Takasaki and T. Takebe, Rev. Math. Phys. 7, 743 (1995).
- [12] This is not true if a surface tension is included, S. Tanveer, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A 343 155 (1993).
- [13] For a class of cusp-free flows see, M.M. Ineev-Winstein, and S.P. Dawson, Phys. Rev. E 50 R 24 (1994).
- [14] S. Howison, A. Lacey and J. Ockendon, Q. J. Math. Appl. Math. 38 343 (1985); S. Howison, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 46 20 (1986); Y. Hohlov and S. Howison, Quart. Appl. Math. 51 777 (1993); Q. Nie and F. Tian, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 58 34 (1998).
- [15] O. A. Gam, E. Bettelheim, A. Zabrodin and P. Wiegmann, to be published.
- [16] R. Teodorescu, A. Zabrodin and P. Wiegmann, to be published.
- [17] Singular Limit of Dispersive Waves, eds. N.M. Ercoleani et al., Plenum Press, New York (1994).