

Low energy spin fluctuations in the heavy fermion compound $\text{Ce}_{0.925}\text{La}_{0.075}\text{Ru}_2\text{Si}_2$

W. Knafo^a S. Raymond^{a,*} B. Fåk^a M.A. Adams^b P. Lejay^c J. Flouquet^a

^aCEA-Grenoble, DSM/DRFMC/SPSMS, 38054 Grenoble Cedex 9, France

^bISIS Facility, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Oxon OX11 0QX, UK

^cCRTBT, CNRS, B.P. 166, 38042 Grenoble Cedex 9, France

Abstract

We report inelastic neutron scattering measurements performed on a single crystal of the heavy fermion compound $\text{Ce}_{0.925}\text{La}_{0.075}\text{Ru}_2\text{Si}_2$, which is at the borderline between an antiferromagnetically ordered and a paramagnetic ground state. Intensity maps as a function of wavevector and energy ($0.1 < E < 1.2$ meV) were obtained at temperatures $T = 0.1$ and 2 K, using the time-of-flight spectrometer IRIS. An unexpected saturation of the relaxation rate and static susceptibility of the spin fluctuations is found at low temperatures.

Key words:

Heavy Fermions, Quantum Critical Point, Spin Fluctuations, Inelastic Neutron Scattering

The heavy fermion system $\text{Ce}_{1-x}\text{La}_x\text{Ru}_2\text{Si}_2$ is characterized by a quantum phase transition that occurs at $T = 0$ for the critical concentration $x_c = 7.5\%$ [1]. The ground state of this 3D Ising system is a non magnetically ordered Fermi liquid for $x < x_c$ and is antiferromagnetically ordered with the propagation vector $\mathbf{k}_1 = (0.31 \ 0 \ 0)$ for $x > x_c$. While a long range order is only established for $x > x_c$, a short range magnetic order corresponding to a small moment of $0.02 \mu_B$ develops below 2 K at x_c . Both parts of this phase diagram are characterized by the same kind of low energy spin fluctuations: a broadened quasielastic signal ascribed to Kondo effect is obtained for any wavevector \mathbf{k} sufficiently far from the incommensurate wavevectors \mathbf{k}_1 , $\mathbf{k}_2 = (0.31, 0.31, 0)$, and $\mathbf{k}_3 = (0, 0, 0.35)$ (and equivalents), at which short range RKKY interactions lead to an enhancement of the signal [2,3,4].

We report here an inelastic neutron scattering study of the compound of critical concentration x_c , for which very low temperatures and energy transfers were ob-

tained using a dilution refrigerator on the inverted-geometry time-of-flight spectrometer IRIS, at the neutron spallation source of ISIS (Didcot, U.K.). A single crystal of 250 mm^3 was grown by the Czochralski method. $\text{Ce}_{0.925}\text{La}_{0.075}\text{Ru}_2\text{Si}_2$ crystallizes in the body-centered tetragonal $I4/mmm$ space group with lattice parameters $a = 4.197 \text{ \AA}$ and $c = 9.797 \text{ \AA}$. The experiment was carried out using a fixed final neutron energy of 1.84 meV (PG (002) analyzer) resulting in a resolution of $18 \mu\text{eV}$ (FWHM of the incoherent elastic peak). The tail of the elastic peak limits the study of excitations to a range of accessible energy transfers E higher than 0.1 meV. Measurements have been performed at 100 mK and 2 K in the $(0, 0, 1)$ plane for three orientations a), b), and c) of the crystal, which correspond to the angles $\psi_a = 114.98^\circ$, $\psi_b = 70.5^\circ$, and $\psi_c = 75.74^\circ$ between the direction $[1, 0, 0]$ of the crystal and the incident neutron beam.

Figure 1 shows the (\mathbf{Q}, E) intensity maps of the excitations obtained in configurations a) and b) at $T = 100$ mK. Each point of this map corresponds to a momentum transfer $\mathbf{Q} = (h, k, 0)$ associated with an energy transfer E . Constant- E lines are circular trajectories

* Corresponding Author. Phone: +33(0)438783738, Fax: +33(0)438785109, Email: raymond@drfmc.ceng.cea.fr




Fig. 1. Mappings of the excitations measured at $T = 0.1$ K for the configurations a) and b). The red circles represent the positions $(hk0)$ of the nuclear Bragg peaks and the orange and black crosses represent the wavevectors \mathbf{k}_1 and \mathbf{k}_2 , where magnetic correlations are observed, respectively. For each configuration, the dashed arrow indicates the direction of increasing values of E from -0.2 to 1.2 meV, the red band corresponding to the incoherent elastic scattering obtained at $E = 0$ (color online).

in reciprocal space, the $E = 0$ lines corresponding to the red bands of Fig. 1. The orientations a), b), and c) of the crystal have been chosen so that the $E = 0$ line crosses a momentum transfer $\mathbf{Q} = \boldsymbol{\tau} + \mathbf{k}$, where $\boldsymbol{\tau} = (h, k, 0)$ is a nuclear Bragg peak, and where the wavevector \mathbf{k} corresponds to \mathbf{k}_1 for configurations b) and c), and \mathbf{k}_2 for configuration a). As already reported in a previous study on a triple-axis spectrometer with a resolution of $150 \mu\text{eV}$ [2], a magnetic Bragg peak is obtained at $T = 0.1$ K at the wavevector \mathbf{k}_1 , which is related to a small moment short range order. This peak is absent at $T = 2$ K. An enhancement of spin fluctuations is observed in the vicinity of the momentum transfers, which correspond to \mathbf{k}_1 or \mathbf{k}_2 . The signal is also found to be independent of the wavevector if \mathbf{k} is sufficiently far from \mathbf{k}_1 and \mathbf{k}_2 (and also from \mathbf{k}_3), which corresponds to an important part of reciprocal space.

For the configuration c), the angular integration of the signal at low scattering angles $20 < 2\theta < 30^\circ$ gives a spectrum for \mathbf{Q} in the vicinity of $\mathbf{Q}_1 = (0.31, 0, 0)$ that corresponds to the antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations at $\mathbf{k} \simeq \mathbf{k}_1$. For $50 < 2\theta < 80^\circ$, there are no magnetic correlations and a smaller signal is obtained after integration. Those two signals are shown as function of energy at $T = 100$ mK in figure 2, together with the fit of the low-angle spectrum. This fit is made using an elastic and a quasielastic contribution, the peak at 0.25 meV being spurious and considered as part of the background. The elastic contribution is the sum of the incoherent scattering and the magnetic Bragg peak. Knowing that the scattered intensity is proportional to $S(\mathbf{Q}, E, T)$, which is related to the imaginary part of the dynamical susceptibility $\chi''(\mathbf{Q}, E, T)$ by:

$$S(\mathbf{Q}, E, T) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-E/k_B T}} \chi''(\mathbf{Q}, E, T),$$

the spin fluctuations for \mathbf{Q} in the vicinity of \mathbf{Q}_1 are fitted using the quasielastic Lorentzian shape:

$$\chi''(\mathbf{Q}_1, E, T) = \chi'(\mathbf{Q}_1, T) \frac{E/\Gamma(\mathbf{Q}_1, T)}{1 + (E/\Gamma(\mathbf{Q}_1, T))^2}.$$

$\chi''(\mathbf{Q}_1, E, T)$ is found to be independent of temperature for $T \leq 2$ K, the same relaxation rate $\Gamma(\mathbf{Q}_1, T) = 0.29 \pm 0.2$ meV and static susceptibility $\chi'(\mathbf{Q}_1, T)$ being obtained for both temperatures $T = 0.1$ and 2 K.




Fig. 2. Inelastic spectra obtained after angular integration of the signal in the range $20 < 2\theta < 30^\circ$ (filled circles) and for $50 < 2\theta < 80^\circ$ (unfilled circles) at $T = 0.1$ K in configuration c). The long dashed and short dashed lines show the quasielastic and elastic (plus background) contribution, respectively, and the solid line shows the total fit of the low angle spectrum.

This experiment, which benefited from the high energy resolution of the time-of-flight spectrometer IRIS, confirms thus the static moment previously measured using a triple-axis spectrometer [2], and establishes clearly the saturation of the relaxation rate $\Gamma(\mathbf{Q}_1, T)$ and of the static susceptibility $\chi'(\mathbf{Q}_1, T)$ at low temperatures, reported in [3]. This last result is unexpected, since theories on second order quantum phase transitions predict a diverging static susceptibility $\chi'(\mathbf{Q}_1, T)$ and a relaxation rate $\Gamma(\mathbf{Q}_1, T) \rightarrow 0$ in the limit $T \rightarrow 0$ [5].

References

- [1] S. Raymond, et al., J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **13**, 8303 (2001).
- [2] S. Raymond, et al., J. Low Temp. Phys. **109**, 205 (1997).
- [3] W. Knafo et al., Phys. Rev. B **70**, 174401 (2004).
- [4] H. Kadowaki, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 097204 (2004).
- [5] A.J. Millis, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 7183 (1993).