

# On the interpretations of Tsallis functional in connection with Vlasov-Poisson and related systems: Dynamics vs Thermodynamics

P.H. Chavanis and C. Sire

*Laboratoire de Physique Théorique (UMR 5152 du CNRS),  
Université Paul Sabatier, 118 route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse Cedex 4, France*

## Abstract

We discuss different interpretations of Tsallis functional in astrophysics. In principle, for  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , a self-gravitating system should reach a statistical equilibrium state described by the Boltzmann distribution. However, this tendency is hampered by the escape of stars and the gravothermal catastrophe. Furthermore, the relaxation time increases almost linearly with the number of particles  $N$  so that most stellar systems are in a collisionless regime described by the Vlasov equation. This equation admits an infinite number of stationary solutions. The system can be trapped in one of them as a result of phase mixing and incomplete violent relaxation and remains frozen in this quasi-stationary state for a very long time until collisional effects finally come into play. Tsallis distribution functions form a particular class of stationary solutions of the Vlasov equation named stellar polytropes. We interpret Tsallis functional as a particular H-function in the sense of Tremaine, Hénon and Lynden-Bell [Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc. **219**, 285 (1986)]. Furthermore, we show that the criterion of nonlinear dynamical stability for spherical stellar systems described by the Vlasov-Poisson system resembles a criterion of thermodynamical stability in the microcanonical ensemble and that the criterion of nonlinear dynamical stability for barotropic stars described by the Euler-Poisson system resembles a criterion of thermodynamical stability in the canonical ensemble. Accordingly, a *thermodynamical analogy* can be developed to investigate the nonlinear dynamical stability of barotropic stars and spherical galaxies but the notions of entropy, free energy and temperature are essentially effective. This analogy provides an interpretation of the nonlinear Antonov first law in terms of ensemble inequivalence. Similar ideas apply to other systems with long-range interactions such as two-dimensional vortices and the HMF model. We propose a general scenario to understand the emergence of coherent structures in long-range systems and discuss the dynamical/thermodynamical “duality” of their description. We stress that the thermodynamical analogy that we develop is only valid for systems whose distribution function depends only on energy. We discuss two other, independent, interpretations of Tsallis functional in astrophysics, in relation with generalized kinetic equations and quasi-equilibrium states of collisional stellar systems.

Keywords: barotropic stars; stellar systems; Vlasov equation; generalized thermodynamics; nonlinear dynamical stability

Corresponding author: P.H. Chavanis; e-mail: chavanis@irsamc.ups-tlse.fr; Tel: +33-5-61558231; Fax: +33-5-61556065

## I. INTRODUCTION

For  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , a self-gravitating system is expected to achieve a statistical equilibrium state described by the Boltzmann distribution. This statistical approach is the correct description of globular clusters, which are small groups of stars ( $N \sim 10^6$ ) whose age is of the same order as the relaxation time. Their observed thermal distribution (Michie-King models) is the natural outcome of a “collisional” relaxation due to the development of stellar encounters. This collisional relaxation is usually described by the gravitational kinetic Landau equation which satisfies a H-theorem for the Boltzmann entropy. Fundamentally, the Boltzmann entropy is equal to the logarithm of disorder where the disorder measures the number of microstates (complexions) associated with a given macrostate. The maximization of the Boltzmann entropy at fixed mass and energy has a clear statistical and thermodynamical meaning: it determines the most probable distribution of stars at equilibrium, assuming that the microstates are equiprobable. However, unlike ordinary systems, the statistical mechanics of self-gravitating systems encounters some difficulties due to the long-range unshielded nature of gravity and the divergence of the potential at short distances [1, 2]. In particular, there is no statistical equilibrium state in a strict sense: the Boltzmann entropy has no global maximum and isothermal distributions have infinite mass [3]. The increase of entropy as the system spreads reflects the natural tendency of stellar clusters to evaporate (this is already the case for a classical gas, without gravity, if it is not confined by the walls of a container). A statistical equilibrium state can be defined only in a weaker sense if the system is tidally truncated or confined by the pressure exerted by an external medium. Theorists overcome the infinite mass problem by confining the system within a box. Physically, the box delimits the region of space where the system can be assumed isolated and described by thermodynamical arguments. Typically, the box radius plays the same role as the tidal radius. However, even for box-confined systems, statistical equilibrium does not exist if the energy is too low. Below the Antonov threshold [4], the system undergoes gravitational collapse called gravothermal catastrophe [5]. This core collapse ultimately leads to the formation of binaries [6]. Statistical equilibrium states exist at sufficiently high energies. They are metastable (local entropy maxima) but their lifetime is considerable as it increases exponentially rapidly with the number of particles [7]. Therefore, small clusters of stars such as globular clusters correspond to long-lived metastable states. Furthermore, for self-gravitating systems, statistical ensembles are non-equivalent [1, 8] and, for isolated systems, only the microcanonical ensemble makes sense. To our opinion, the evaporation of stellar clusters, the gravothermal catastrophe, the formation of binaries and the long-lived metastability of globular clusters are the correct answers to the absence of strict statistical equilibrium for self-gravitating systems. They correspond to important physical processes that have been clearly identified in astrophysics. *With these limitations in mind, self-gravitating systems can be described by conventional statistical mechanics [1, 2].*

However, for systems with long-range interactions, the collisional relaxation time is extremely long because it increases algebraically with the number  $N$  of particles. For example, for stellar systems, the Chandrasekhar relaxation time scales as  $t_{relax} \sim (N/\ln N)t_D$ , where  $t_D$  is the dynamical time. Therefore, for a very long period, encounters between stars are negligible and the galactic dynamics is described by the Vlasov, or collisionless Boltzmann, equation coupled to gravity via the Poisson equation [2, 3]. The Vlasov-Poisson system is the correct description of large groups of stars such as elliptical galaxies ( $N \sim 10^{12}$ ) that are still, at the present time, in the collisionless regime (contrary to globular clusters). Now,

the Vlasov equation admits an infinite class of stationary solutions. Along its collisionless evolution, a stellar system can be trapped in one of these stationary solutions and remain frozen in that state for a very long time, until collisional effects come into play. Physically, the convergence to a steady state is due to phase mixing and incomplete violent relaxation [2, 9, 10]. These steady states, referred to as quasi-stationary states or metaequilibrium states, are described by non-standard (non-Boltzmannian) distributions  $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v})$ . This is not really surprising since the system is collisionless so that there is no relation with thermodynamics in the usual sense. Tsallis distributions  $f_q(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v})$  [11] form a particular class of stationary solutions of the Vlasov equation. In fact, these distributions are known for a long time in astrophysics. They are called *stellar polytropes* and were introduced by Plummer [12] in 1911 and Eddington [13] in 1916. Although these distributions have interesting mathematical properties and have been used to construct simple theoretical models of galaxies [3], it is important to note that they do not provide a good fit of observed galaxies. Once again, this is not really surprising: there is no fundamental reason why polytropic distributions (or Tsallis distributions) should be selected among other stationary solutions of the Vlasov equation [8, 14]. Any distribution function  $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}) = f(\epsilon)$ , where  $\epsilon = v^2/2 + \Phi(\mathbf{r})$  is the stellar energy, is a stationary solution of the Vlasov equation. It extremizes a functional of the form  $S[f] = - \int C(f) d^3\mathbf{r} d^3\mathbf{v}$ , where  $C$  is convex, at fixed mass  $M$  and energy  $E$ . Such functionals have been called H-functions by Tremaine, Hénon & Lynden-Bell [15]. Tsallis functional  $S_q[f]$  is the H-function associated with stellar polytropes [8]. Furthermore, the maximization of a H-function at fixed mass and energy provides a criterion of nonlinear dynamical stability for the Vlasov equation [8, 15, 16, 17]. This “dynamical interpretation” defended by [18] and [8, 19] who view metaequilibrium states as stationary solutions of the Vlasov equation and interpret the maximization of a  $H$ -function as a condition of nonlinear dynamical stability is different from the “generalized thermodynamical interpretation” defended by [20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28] who view Tsallis functional  $S_q[f]$  as a generalized entropy, interpret quasi-stationary states as generalized maximum entropy states and consider the maximization of  $S_q[f]$  as a condition of generalized thermodynamical stability [52].

There are two points that we would like to emphasize in this paper. The first point is that Tsallis (and Boltzmann) distributions do not have a fundamental importance in regard with the collisionless dynamics of stellar systems (when the  $N \rightarrow +\infty$  limit is taken before the  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  limit). These are just *particular* stationary solutions of the Vlasov equation [8, 14]. This is different from the Boltzmann distribution in regard with the collisional dynamics of stellar systems (when the  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  limit is taken before the  $N \rightarrow +\infty$  limit). The Boltzmann distribution is of fundamental importance as it describes the statistical equilibrium state of the system (up to all the limitations mentioned in the first paragraph). Furthermore, it is the *unique* stationary solution of the kinetic Landau equation which describes the collisional relaxation of stellar systems to order  $1/N$  [29]. Thus, the first order correction to the Vlasov limit selects the Boltzmann distribution. The second point that we want to emphasize is that, formally, the criterion of nonlinear dynamical stability for collisionless stellar systems described by the Vlasov-Poisson system *resembles* a criterion of generalized thermodynamical stability in the microcanonical ensemble. Therefore, we can develop an *effective thermodynamical formalism* (E.T.F) to investigate the nonlinear dynamical stability of collisionless stellar systems [8, 14]. In this *thermodynamical analogy*, the notions of entropy, free energy and temperature are effective. We stress that our E.T.F. has a meaning different from the one given by [20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28]. In our point

of view, Tsallis functional  $S_q[f]$  is related to dynamics, not thermodynamics, in the case of collisionless stellar systems. What we do essentially is to use the language of thermodynamics to study nonlinear dynamical stability problems. We stress that the “thermodynamical analogy” that we develop is only valid for distribution functions of the form  $f = f(\epsilon)$  with  $f'(\epsilon) < 0$  that describe a sub-class of *spherical* stellar systems [3]. We have also found that, formally, the criterion of nonlinear dynamical stability for barotropic stars described by the Euler-Poisson system resembles a criterion of thermodynamical stability in the canonical ensemble [8]. Since “canonical stability implies microcanonical stability in thermodynamics”, we deduce, using our thermodynamical analogy, that “the stability of a barotropic star implies the stability of the corresponding stellar system (but not the converse)”. This provides an original interpretation of the nonlinear Antonov first law in terms of ensemble inequivalence [8].

Tsallis distributions can also arise in other domains of physics and astrophysics for different reasons. For example, polytropic distributions correspond to the unique stationary state of a certain class of generalized kinetic equations or generalized Fokker-Planck equations satisfying a H-theorem for the Tsallis entropy (or free energy) [30, 31, 32]. However, it is not clear at the present time to which physical systems these generalized kinetic equations apply. We have argued that they may be “effective equations” trying to take into account “hidden constraints” in complex systems [14]. We have also shown that it is possible to formally generalize kinetic and Fokker-Planck equations, so that they increase an arbitrary entropy [14], not necessarily the Boltzmann or the Tsallis one. In a different context, Taruya & Sakagami [33] have shown that the collisional evolution of stellar systems, between the phase of violent relaxation and the phase of gravothermal catastrophe, can be described by a sequence of polytropic distributions with a time varying index, interpreted as *quasi-equilibrium states*. In conclusion, Tsallis distributions (and other non-standard distributions) arise in different domains of physics (biology, economy,...) for reasons that are not necessarily related to thermodynamics. The important point is to explain, in each specific situation, what is the *physical meaning* of such distributions. We shall give here various examples in astrophysics but the concepts that we discuss can apply to other systems with long-range interactions.

## II. BAROTROPIC STARS

### A. The Euler-Poisson system

We consider a self-gravitating gaseous medium described by the Euler-Poisson system

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p - \nabla \Phi, \quad (2)$$

$$\Delta \Phi = 4\pi G \rho. \quad (3)$$

We assume that the gas is barotropic with an equation of state  $p = p(\rho)$ . This provides the simplest model of stars [34]. The total energy of the star is

$$\mathcal{W}[\rho, \mathbf{u}] = \int \rho \int_0^\rho \frac{p(\rho')}{\rho'^2} d\rho' d^3\mathbf{r} + \frac{1}{2} \int \rho \Phi d^3\mathbf{r} + \int \rho \frac{\mathbf{u}^2}{2} d^3\mathbf{r}. \quad (4)$$

The first term is the work  $-p(\rho)d(1/\rho)$  done in compressing it from infinite dilution, the second term is the gravitational energy and the third term is the kinetic energy associated with the mean motion. It is straightforward to verify that the energy functional (4) is conserved by the Euler-Poisson system ( $\dot{\mathcal{W}} = 0$ ). Therefore, a minimum of  $\mathcal{W}$  at fixed mass determines a stationary solution of the Euler-Poisson system which is nonlinearly dynamically stable [35]. Physically, this means that a small perturbation remains close (in some norm) to the minimum. We are led therefore to consider the minimization problem

$$\text{Min } \{\mathcal{W}[\rho, \mathbf{u}] \mid M[\rho] = M\}. \quad (5)$$

This minimization problem is consistent with the phenomenology of star formation. A star forms through the gravitational collapse of a molecular cloud until it has reached a state of minimum energy. Canceling the first order variations of Eq. (4), we obtain  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$  and the condition of hydrostatic equilibrium

$$\nabla p = -\rho \nabla \Phi, \quad (6)$$

between pressure and gravity. Therefore, extrema of  $\mathcal{W}$  correspond to stationary solutions of the Euler-Poisson system (1)-(3). Combining the condition of hydrostatic equilibrium (6) and the equation of state  $p = p(\rho)$ , we get

$$\int^\rho \frac{p'(\rho')}{\rho'} d\rho' = -\Phi, \quad (7)$$

so that  $\rho$  is a function of  $\Phi$  that we note  $\rho = \rho(\Phi)$ . Considering now the second order variations, the condition of nonlinear dynamical stability is

$$\delta^2 \mathcal{W} = \frac{1}{2} \int \delta \rho \delta \Phi d^3 \mathbf{r} + \int \frac{p'(\rho)}{2\rho} (\delta \rho)^2 d^3 \mathbf{r} \geq 0, \quad (8)$$

for all perturbations that conserve mass, i.e.  $\int \delta \rho d^3 \mathbf{r} = 0$ . We note that the second integral in Eq. (8) can be written in a more conventional form by using  $p'(\rho)/\rho = -1/\rho'(\Phi)$ . The minimization problem (5) has been studied in detail in [8, 36, 37] for spherically symmetric systems described by an isothermal and a polytropic equation of state (the system can be self-confined or confined by a box or by an external pressure). It is shown that the point in the series of equilibria where the system ceases to be a minimum of  $\mathcal{W}$  and becomes a saddle point coincides with the point of neutral linear stability. Therefore, the conditions of linear and nonlinear dynamical stability coincide.

## B. Isothermal and polytropic stars

In the theory of stellar structure, it is usually assumed (at least in the simple models that we consider here) that a star is a perfect gas in local thermodynamic equilibrium (L.T.E). Therefore, at each point, the distribution function of the particles is of the form

$$f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}) = \left[ \frac{m}{2\pi k_B T(\mathbf{r})} \right]^{3/2} \rho(\mathbf{r}) e^{-\frac{m[\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r})]^2}{2k_B T(\mathbf{r})}}. \quad (9)$$

If we define the pressure by  $p = \frac{1}{3} \int f w^2 d^3\mathbf{v}$  where  $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r})$ , we find that the local equation of state is

$$p(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r})}{m} k_B T(\mathbf{r}). \quad (10)$$

We shall now consider two types of models that have been widely studied in astrophysics, namely the case of isothermal stars and the case of polytropic stars.

(i) *Isothermal stars:* If we assume that the star is in thermal equilibrium, then the temperature is uniform:  $T(\mathbf{r}) = T$ . From one place to the other the transformation is *isothermal*. In that case, the equation of state becomes

$$p(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r})}{m} k_B T. \quad (11)$$

Since the pressure depends only on the density, the fluid is barotropic. The energy functional (4) reads

$$\mathcal{W}[\rho, \mathbf{u}] = k_B T \int \frac{\rho}{m} \ln \frac{\rho}{m} d^3\mathbf{r} + \frac{1}{2} \int \rho \Phi d^3\mathbf{r} + \int \rho \frac{\mathbf{u}^2}{2} d^3\mathbf{r}. \quad (12)$$

We note that the energy functional (12) of an isothermal gas coincides with the Boltzmann free energy  $F_B = E - TS_B$  of a self-gravitating system in the canonical ensemble (see [36] for more details). Furthermore, the distribution function of the particles is the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution (with a uniform temperature). The relation (7) between the density and the potential can be explicitly written

$$\rho = A' e^{-\frac{m\Phi}{k_B T}}. \quad (13)$$

According to Eq. (5), it can be obtained by extremizing Eq. (12) at fixed mass or by combining the condition of hydrostatic equilibrium with the isothermal equation of state.

(ii) *Polytropic stars:* Stars are not isothermal in general. In the convective region, they are rather in a situation where the entropy is uniform:  $s(\mathbf{r}) = s$ . From one place to the other the transformation is *isentropic*. In that case, using the first principle of thermodynamics  $du = -pdv + Tds$  with  $ds = 0$  and  $du = c_v dT$ , we obtain the differential equation  $c_v dT + p dv = 0$  (with  $v = m/\rho$ ). Using the equation of state of a perfect gas  $p = \frac{\rho}{m} k_B T$  and the Mayer relation  $c_p - c_v = k_B$ , the differential equation can be integrated and yields the polytropic equation of state

$$p(\mathbf{r}) = K \rho(\mathbf{r})^\gamma, \quad (14)$$

where  $K$  is the polytropic constant and  $\gamma = c_p/c_v$  is the ratio of specific heats at constant pressure and constant volume [34]. The polytropic index  $n$  is defined by  $\gamma = 1 + 1/n$ . The polytropic constant can be written  $K = k_B \Theta_\gamma / m$ , where  $\Theta_\gamma$  is sometimes called a polytropic temperature (cf. [34], p. 86). For  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , we recover the isothermal case with  $\gamma = 1$  and  $\Theta_\gamma = T$ . For a polytropic gas, the local temperature  $T(\mathbf{r})$ , defined by (10), is given by

$$\frac{k_B T(\mathbf{r})}{m} = K \rho(\mathbf{r})^{1/n}. \quad (15)$$

We note that the temperature is position dependent (while the specific entropy  $s$  is uniform) and related to the density by a power law; this is the local version of the usual isentropic

law  $TV^{\gamma-1} = Cst.$  in standard thermodynamics. The polytropic index  $n$  is related to the gradients of temperature and density according to

$$\nabla \ln T = \frac{1}{n} \nabla \ln \rho. \quad (16)$$

The energy functional (4) can be written

$$\mathcal{W}[\rho, \mathbf{u}] = \frac{K}{\gamma-1} \int (\rho^\gamma - \rho) d^3\mathbf{r} + \frac{1}{2} \int \rho \Phi d^3\mathbf{r} + \int \rho \frac{\mathbf{u}^2}{2} d^3\mathbf{r}. \quad (17)$$

For an isentropic transformation ( $ds = 0$ ), the first term in Eqs. (4) and (17) represents the internal energy since  $du/m = -pd(1/\rho)$ . For the polytropic equation of state (14), the internal energy is a power-law functional:  $U = K/(\gamma-1) \int \rho^\gamma d^3\mathbf{r}$ . We have then added a constant term  $K/(\gamma-1) \int \rho d^3\mathbf{r}$ , proportional to the total mass, in the polytropic energy functional (17) so as to recover the isothermal energy functional (12) for  $\gamma \rightarrow 1$  (i.e.,  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ ). Using Eq. (7) or extremizing the energy functional (17) at fixed mass, we find that the relation between the density and the potential is

$$\rho = \left[ \lambda - \frac{\gamma-1}{K\gamma} \Phi \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}}. \quad (18)$$

We see at this stage some resemblances with Tsallis distributions and free energies, see Eqs. (18) and (17). However, there is *no* generalized thermodynamics in the problem. The physics of gaseous stars can be understood in terms of conventional thermodynamics once realized that adiabatic transformations are more relevant than isothermal transformations in stellar structure. We shall see that these formal resemblances are essentially fortuitous or the mark of a *thermodynamical analogy*.

### III. STELLAR SYSTEMS

#### A. The statistical equilibrium state

For  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , a self-gravitating system is expected to achieve a statistical equilibrium state. Assuming that the accessible microstates are equiprobable, the most probable macroscopic distribution function maximizes the Boltzmann entropy

$$S_B[f] = - \int \frac{f}{m} \ln \frac{f}{m} d^3\mathbf{r} d^3\mathbf{v}, \quad (19)$$

at fixed mass and energy. The Boltzmann entropy can be obtained by a standard combinatorial analysis. The criterion of thermodynamical stability is therefore

$$\text{Max } \{S_B[f] \mid E[f] = E, M[f] = M\}. \quad (20)$$

It determines the most probable distribution of stars at statistical equilibrium. Introducing Lagrange multipliers and writing  $\delta S - \beta \delta E - \alpha \delta M = 0$ , we get the Boltzmann distribution

$$f = A e^{-\beta m \epsilon}. \quad (21)$$

We note that the statistical equilibrium state depends only on the energy  $\epsilon = v^2/2 + \Phi(\mathbf{r})$  of the stars (for a non-rotating system). The collisional evolution is usually described by the kinetic Landau equation, see e.g. [29], that we write symbolically as

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{F} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = Q_{Landau}(f), \quad (22)$$

where  $\mathbf{F} = -\nabla\Phi$  is the self-consistent gravitational field produced by the stars and  $Q_{Landau}$  is the Landau collision term. The Landau-Poisson system conserves mass and energy and increases the Boltzmann entropy (H-theorem). The Boltzmann distribution is the only stationary solution of this equation [53]. From the kinetic theory of stellar systems, we can show that the collisional relaxation time (Chandrasekhar's time) scales as  $t_{relax} \sim (N/\ln N)t_D$ .

## B. The Vlasov-Poisson system

For  $t \ll (N/\ln N)t_D$ , we can ignore the collision term in the kinetic equation. In this regime, a stellar system is described by the Vlasov-Poisson system

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{F} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = 0, \quad (23)$$

$$\Delta\Phi = 4\pi G \int f d^3\mathbf{v}. \quad (24)$$

The Vlasov description assumes that the evolution of the system is encounterless. This is a very good approximation for the dynamics of stars in elliptical galaxies ( $N \sim 10^{12}$ ). For smaller groups of stars, such as globular clusters ( $N \sim 10^6$ ), encounters have to be taken into account and the dynamics is governed by the Landau-Poisson system or by the orbit averaged Fokker-Planck equation [3]. Here, we exclusively focus on the collisionless dynamics. It is thus clear from the beginning that thermodynamics, in its usual sense, is irrelevant to the present context; it makes sense only on much longer timescales when stellar encounters have to be taken into account. The Vlasov equation admits an infinite number of stationary solutions [3]. During its collisionless evolution, a stellar system can be trapped in a stable stationary state and remains frozen in that quasi-stationary state for a very long time (until collisions come into play and drive the slow relaxation). According to the Jeans theorem, the general form of stationary solutions of the Vlasov equation is a function  $f = f(I_1, \dots, I_n)$  of the integrals of motion. Of course, only *stable* stationary solutions are of physical interest. In the general case, it is difficult to derive a criterion of dynamical stability. We shall restrict ourselves in this paper to stationary distribution functions of the form  $f = f(\epsilon)$  which depend only on the energy of the stars  $\epsilon = \frac{v^2}{2} + \Phi(\mathbf{r})$ . Such distribution functions describe a sub-class of *spherical stellar systems* (in the general case,  $f$  depends on energy  $\epsilon$  and angular momentum  $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{v}$ ) [3]. For such distributions, it is possible to derive a general criterion of nonlinear dynamical stability.

We consider the class of functionals

$$S[f] = - \int C(f) d^3\mathbf{r} d^3\mathbf{v}, \quad (25)$$

where  $C$  is an arbitrary convex function. These functionals are called H-functions [15] because they share some analogies with the Boltzmann H-function in kinetic theory (see



the Conclusion) [54]. Since these functionals are particular Casimirs, they are conserved by the Vlasov equation. The total energy  $E = \frac{1}{2} \int f v^2 d^3 \mathbf{r} d^3 \mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{2} \int \rho \Phi d^3 \mathbf{r}$  and the total mass  $M[f] = \int f d^3 \mathbf{r} d^3 \mathbf{v}$  are also conserved by the Vlasov equation. Therefore, a maximum of  $S$  at fixed mass  $M$  and energy  $E$  determines a stationary solution  $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v})$  of the Vlasov equation that is nonlinearly dynamically stable. We are led therefore to consider the maximization problem

$$\text{Max } \{S[f] \mid E[f] = E, M[f] = M\}. \quad (26)$$

We note that  $F[f] = E[f] - TS[f]$ , where  $T$  is an arbitrary positive constant, is also conserved by the Vlasov equation (this is called an energy-Casimir functional [35]). Therefore, a minimum of  $F$  at fixed mass  $M$  is also a nonlinearly dynamically stable stationary solution of the Vlasov equation. This criterion can be written

$$\text{Min } \{F[f] \mid M[f] = M\}, \quad (27)$$

where

$$F[f] = \frac{1}{2} \int f v^2 d^3 \mathbf{r} d^3 \mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{2} \int \rho \Phi d^3 \mathbf{r} + T \int C(f) d^3 \mathbf{r} d^3 \mathbf{v}. \quad (28)$$

Similar criteria of nonlinear dynamical stability have been introduced in the context of two-dimensional hydrodynamics described by the 2D Euler-Poisson system [40]. The optimization problem (27) corresponds to the nonlinear dynamical stability criterion of Holm *et al.* [35] and the optimization problem (26) corresponds to the refined dynamical stability criterion of Ellis *et al.* [40]. These criteria are in general not equivalent for systems with long-range interactions, and this is similar to a notion of ensemble inequivalence in thermodynamics (see Sec. III C). Inspired by these studies, we have introduced the same criteria to study the nonlinear dynamical stability of stellar systems described by the Vlasov-Poisson system [8]. Recently, we found that similar optimization principles have also been introduced independently by Rein and Guo in the mathematical literature, see [17].

Introducing Lagrange multipliers and writing  $\delta S - \beta \delta E - \alpha \delta M = 0$  or  $\delta F - \alpha \delta M = 0$ , we find that the critical points of the variational problems (26) and (27) are both given by

$$C'(f) = -\beta \epsilon - \alpha. \quad (29)$$

Since  $C'$  is a monotonically increasing function of  $f$ , we can inverse this relation to obtain  $f = F(\beta \epsilon + \alpha)$  where  $F(x) = (C')^{-1}(-x)$ . Therefore, the optimization problems (26) and (27) determine stationary solutions of the Vlasov equation of the form  $f = f(\epsilon)$ . As said previously, this is a particular case of the Jeans theorem describing a sub-class of spherical stellar systems [3]. From the identity  $f'(\epsilon) = -\beta/C''(f)$  resulting from Eq. (29),  $f(\epsilon)$  is a monotonic function. Assuming that  $f$  is decreasing, which is the physical situation, imposes  $\beta = 1/T > 0$ . Setting  $J = S - \beta E$ , the condition of nonlinear dynamical stability provided by the criterion (26) is

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^2 J = - \int C''(f) \frac{(\delta f)^2}{2} d^3 \mathbf{r} d^3 \mathbf{v} - \frac{1}{2} \beta \int \delta \rho \delta \Phi d^3 \mathbf{r} \leq 0, \\ \forall \delta f \mid \delta E = \delta M = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

and the condition of nonlinear dynamical stability provided by the criterion (27) is

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^2 J = - \int C''(f) \frac{(\delta f)^2}{2} d^3 \mathbf{r} d^3 \mathbf{v} - \frac{1}{2} \beta \int \delta \rho \delta \Phi d^3 \mathbf{r} \leq 0, \\ \forall \delta f \mid \delta M = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

The first integral can be written in a more conventional form by using  $f'(\epsilon) = -\beta/C''(f)$ . We note the importance of the first order constraints in the criteria (30) and (31). If condition (31) is satisfied for all perturbations that conserve mass, it is a fortiori satisfied for perturbations that conserve mass *and* energy. Therefore, condition (31) implies condition (30), but not the opposite. We conclude that the stability criterion (26) is more refined than the stability criterion (27): if a collisionless stellar system satisfies (26) or (27), it is nonlinearly dynamically stable; however, if it does not satisfy (27), it can be nonlinearly dynamically stable provided that it satisfies (26). This means that we can “miss” stable solutions if we use just the optimization problem (27). The problem (26) is richer and allows to construct a larger class of nonlinearly dynamically stable models. In particular, complete stellar polytropes with index  $3 \leq n \leq 5$  satisfy the criterion (26) but not the criterion (27) [8].

### C. Thermodynamical analogy

To study the nonlinear dynamical stability of a collisionless stellar system described by a distribution function of the form  $f = f(\epsilon)$  with  $f'(\epsilon) < 0$ , we are led to consider the optimization problems (26) and (27). We note that, formally, they are *similar* to criteria of thermodynamical stability for collisional self-gravitating systems, see Eq. (20), but they involve a more general functional  $S[f] = -\int C(f) d^3\mathbf{r} d^3\mathbf{v}$  (H-function) than the Boltzmann entropy (19). Furthermore, they have a completely different interpretation as they provide criteria of nonlinear dynamical stability for a stationary solution of the Vlasov equation (representing a quasi-stationary structure in the collisionless regime) while the maximization of the Boltzmann entropy at fixed mass and energy provides a condition of thermodynamical stability for the statistical equilibrium state reached for  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  (representing the most probable state that we may expect).

Due to the formal resemblance between the nonlinear dynamical stability criteria and the thermodynamical stability criteria, we can develop a *thermodynamical analogy* [8, 14] to investigate the nonlinear dynamical stability of collisionless stellar systems with  $f = f(\epsilon)$  and  $f'(\epsilon) < 0$ . In this analogy,  $S$  plays the role of an “effective entropy”,  $T = 1/\beta$  plays the role of an “effective temperature” and  $F$  plays the role of an “effective free energy” (it is related to  $S$  by a Legendre transform). The criterion (26) is similar to a condition of “microcanonical stability” and the criterion (27) is similar to a condition of “canonical stability”. Since canonical stability implies microcanonical stability (but not the converse), we recover the fact that condition (31) implies condition (30). The stability problems (26) and (27) can be studied by plotting the linear series of equilibria  $\beta(E)$ , which is similar to a caloric curve in thermodynamics. According to Poincaré’s theorem, a mode of stability is lost or gained at a turning point or at a branching point. Linear series of equilibria have been studied in detail in relation with the thermodynamics of self-gravitating systems for the Boltzmann [5, 41] and Fermi-Dirac [42] entropies. Due to the above thermodynamical analogy, the method of linear series of equilibria can be used similarly to settle the nonlinear dynamical stability of a collisionless stellar system for a general  $H$ -function. Note that the slope  $dE/dT$  (where  $\beta = 1/T$  is the Lagrange multiplier associated with the energy) plays an important role because, according to the turning point argument, its change of sign is the signal of an instability. In thermodynamics,  $C = dE/dT$  represents the specific heat. Isothermal self-gravitating spheres cease to be minima of free energy when  $dE/dT$  becomes negative passing by  $C = +\infty$ , and they cease to be maxima of entropy when  $dE/dT$  becomes positive again




FIG. 1: Series of equilibria for polytropic spheres with index  $n = 4$  [8, 32, 37]. In the thermodynamical analogy, this can be viewed as an effective caloric curve giving the effective inverse temperature  $\eta = (M/4\pi)(4\pi G/K(n+1))^{n/(n-1)}/R^{(n-3)/(n-1)}$  [which is a monotonic function of the Lagrange multiplier  $\beta$ ] as a function of energy  $\Lambda = -ER/GM^2$ . Complete polytropes (with compact support) are indicated by  $(\bullet)$ . Since they lie after the turning point of “temperature” and before the turning point of energy, in the thermodynamical analogy, they are unstable in the “canonical” ensemble (saddle points of “free energy”  $F$  at fixed  $M$ ) but stable in the “microcanonical” ensemble (maxima of “entropy”  $S$  at fixed  $E, M$ ). Due to the correspondence that we have found (see Sec. IIID), these effective thermodynamical stability criteria mean in reality that stellar polytropes with  $n = 4$  are nonlinearly dynamically stable stationary solutions of the Vlasov-Poisson system but polytropic stars with  $n = 4$  are linearly dynamically unstable stationary solutions of the Euler-Poisson system. This is similar to a situation of “ensemble inequivalence” in thermodynamics. In particular, complete polytropes with  $n = 4$  lie in a region of effective negative specific heats  $C < 0$ .

passing by  $C = 0$  [41][36]. Therefore, the criteria of canonical and microcanonical stability are inequivalent in the region of negative specific heats  $C < 0$ . Due to the thermodynamical analogy, we have similar results for the nonlinear dynamical stability of collisionless stellar systems (for an arbitrary H-function) but with a different interpretation. For example, the series of equilibria for polytropic distributions has been studied in [8, 32, 37]. In this context, the sign of the slope  $dE/dT$ , which is similar to an effective specific heat, is connected to the nonlinear dynamical stability of the system (see Fig. 1). *This “dynamical interpretation” [8, 32, 37] is different from the “generalized thermodynamical interpretation” of Taruya & Sakagami [22] who considered the same mathematical problem in relation with Tsallis generalized thermodynamics.*

#### D. A new interpretation of the Antonov first law

For any spherical stellar system with  $f = f(\epsilon)$ , there exists a corresponding barotropic star with the same equilibrium density distribution. Indeed, defining the density and the pressure by  $\rho = \int f d^3\mathbf{v} = \rho(\Phi)$ ,  $p = \frac{1}{3} \int f v^2 d^3\mathbf{v} = p(\Phi)$ , and eliminating the potential  $\Phi$  between these two expressions, we find that  $p = p(\rho)$ . Writing explicitly the density and the pressure in the form  $\rho = 4\pi \int_{\Phi}^{+\infty} f(\epsilon) \sqrt{2(\epsilon - \Phi)} d\epsilon$  and  $p = \frac{4\pi}{3} \int_{\Phi}^{+\infty} f(\epsilon) [2(\epsilon - \Phi)]^{3/2} d\epsilon$ , and taking the derivative of the second equation, we obtain the condition of hydrostatic equilibrium (6). As discussed in Sec. III A, the minimization principle (27) provides a *sufficient* condition of nonlinear dynamical stability for a collisionless stellar system. To solve this minimization principle, we can first minimize  $F[f]$  at fixed density  $\rho(\mathbf{r})$  to obtain  $f_*(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v})$ . We can then express the functional  $F[f]$  as a functional of the density  $\rho(\mathbf{r})$  by setting  $F[\rho] = F[f_*]$ . The calculations are detailed in [8] and the functional  $F[\rho]$  can be finally written

$$F[\rho] = \frac{1}{2} \int \rho \Phi d^3\mathbf{r} + \int \rho \int_0^\rho \frac{p(\rho')}{\rho'^2} d\rho' d^3\mathbf{r}, \quad (32)$$

where  $p(\rho)$  is the equation of state of the corresponding barotropic gas. We are now led to consider the minimization problem

$$\text{Min } \{F[\rho] \mid M[\rho] = M\}. \quad (33)$$

Now, we observe that the functional (32) coincides with the energy functional (4) of a barotropic gas with  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ . We arrive therefore at the following conclusion: for a given stellar system with  $f = f(\epsilon)$  and  $f'(\epsilon) < 0$ , if we know that the corresponding barotropic star is nonlinearly dynamically stable with respect to the Euler-Poisson system, then it is a minimum of  $\mathcal{W}[\rho, \mathbf{u}]$ , hence of  $F[\rho]$  (at fixed mass). Therefore, the stellar system satisfies the criterion (27) so it is nonlinearly dynamically stable with respect to the Vlasov-Poisson system. This leads to a nonlinear generalization of the Antonov first law: “a stellar system is nonlinearly dynamically stable whenever the corresponding barotropic gas is nonlinearly dynamically stable” [8]. However, the reciprocal is wrong in general. A stellar system can be nonlinearly dynamically stable according to the “microcanonical” criterion (26) while it does not satisfy the “canonical” criterion (27) so that the corresponding barotropic star is dynamically unstable [37]. Due to the thermodynamical analogy, the Antonov first law for collisionless stellar systems has the same status as the fact that: “canonical stability implies microcanonical stability in thermodynamics”. The reciprocal is wrong if the ensembles are not equivalent. To the point of view of their dynamical stability, the crucial difference between stars and galaxies is that, for galaxies, both  $S$  (H-function) and  $E$  are *individually* conserved by the Vlasov equation while in the case of barotropic stars only the total energy  $\mathcal{W}$  is conserved by the Euler equations. Therefore, the most refined stability criterion for galaxies is (26) while the most refined stability criterion for stars is (5), which is included in (26). This is the intrinsic reason why a spherical galaxy can be stable even if the corresponding barotropic star is unstable.

It is interesting to consider the application of these results to polytropes (see Fig. 1). By plotting the series of equilibria  $\beta(E)$ , it can be shown that a complete polytrope (i.e., for which the density drops to zero at a finite radius) ceases to be a minimum of  $F$  for  $n > 3$  [37]. This is when the slope  $dE/dT$  becomes negative in the series of equilibria. In the thermodynamical analogy, this is similar to a loss of canonical stability. Using the

above result, we conclude that polytropic stars are nonlinearly dynamically stable for  $n < 3$  and they become dynamically unstable for  $n > 3$  [37]. However, complete polytropes cease to be a maximum of  $S$  only for  $n < 5$  (they also cease to be self-confined at this index) [8, 32]. This is similar to a loss of microcanonical stability in the thermodynamical analogy. Therefore, stellar polytropes are nonlinearly dynamically stable for  $n < 5$  and they become dynamically unstable for  $n > 5$ . For  $3 < n < 5$ , stellar polytropes are nonlinearly dynamically stable while corresponding polytropic stars are dynamically unstable. This is similar to a situation of ensemble inequivalence (in a region of negative specific heats) in thermodynamics [43, 44]. Of course, the dynamical stability of stellar polytropes and polytropic stars has been established for a long time in astrophysics [3] but the interpretation of these results (and of the Antonov first law) in terms of a thermodynamical analogy and a situation of ensemble inequivalence is new. Furthermore, only linear dynamical stability is considered in [3] while the criteria (26) and (27) are criteria of *nonlinear* dynamical stability. Similar criteria of nonlinear dynamical stability can be developed for the HMF model [18, 19] (in that case, the “ensembles” are equivalent) and for other systems described by Vlasov or Euler equations [29].

### E. Isothermal stellar systems

We consider the  $H$ -function

$$S = - \int f \ln f d^3\mathbf{r} d^3\mathbf{v}. \quad (34)$$

This functional resembles the Boltzmann entropy (19) in thermodynamics. However, as explained above, its physical interpretation is different. Its maximization at fixed mass and energy determines a nonlinearly dynamically stable stationary solution of the Vlasov equation with distribution function

$$f = Ae^{-\beta\epsilon}. \quad (35)$$

This distribution function has the same form (but a different interpretation) as the statistical equilibrium state (21) of a stellar system resulting from a collisional relaxation or as the distribution function (9) of the gas in an isothermal star. For these reasons, collisionless stellar systems described by Eq. (35) are sometimes called isothermal stellar systems and  $T = \beta^{-1}$  is sometimes called a “temperature”. In fact, it is more proper to regard  $T$  as the velocity dispersion of the stellar system. We note also that the mass  $m$  of the individual particles does not appear in the  $H$ -function (34) and in the distribution function (35) contrary to the case of statistical equilibrium, see (19) and (21). This is because the present description is based on the Vlasov equation in which the mass of the particles does not appear. Therefore, the distribution function (35) does not lead to a segregation by mass contrary to the statistical equilibrium distribution of a multi-species self-gravitating system [10]. The barotropic gas associated with the stellar system defined by Eq. (35) is the isothermal gas with an equation of state  $p(\mathbf{r}) = \rho(\mathbf{r})T$  where  $T = 1/\beta$ . The functional (32) takes the form

$$F[\rho] = \frac{1}{2} \int \rho \Phi d^3\mathbf{r} + T \int \rho \ln \rho d^3\mathbf{r}. \quad (36)$$

It coincides with the energy functional (12) of an isothermal star with  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ . The density is related to the potential according to

$$\rho = A' e^{-\beta\Phi}. \quad (37)$$

This relation can be directly obtained by extremizing  $F[\rho]$  at fixed mass. We can express the distribution function in terms of the density according to

$$f = \left(\frac{\beta}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} \rho(\mathbf{r}) e^{-\beta\frac{v^2}{2}}. \quad (38)$$

## F. Stellar polytropes

We consider the  $H$ -function

$$S = -\frac{1}{q-1} \int (f^q - f) d^3\mathbf{r} d^3\mathbf{v}. \quad (39)$$

It has the same form as the Tsallis entropy in non-extensive thermodynamics [11]. However, as explained above, its physical interpretation is different. In the present context, its maximization at fixed mass and energy determines a nonlinearly dynamically stable stationary solution of the Vlasov equation, called a stellar polytrope, with distribution function

$$f = \left[ \mu - \frac{\beta(q-1)}{q} \epsilon \right]^{\frac{1}{q-1}}. \quad (40)$$

The polytropic index is related to the parameter  $q$  by

$$n = \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{q-1}. \quad (41)$$

Stellar polytropes have been introduced by Plummer [12] in 1911. In fact, Plummer did not write the distribution function explicitly and analyzed the distribution of stars in globular clusters by treating (incorrectly) the system as a gas in convective equilibrium. Plummer's law corresponds to the analytical solution of a polytropic gas with index  $n = 5$  found by Schuster in 1883. Eddington [13] in 1916 criticized the “adiabatic” model of Plummer and justified Plummer's law as a particular solution of the Vlasov equation satisfying the Jeans theorem. Eddington was apparently the first to write the distribution function of a “stellar polytrope” correctly. Note that the “mistake” of Plummer is interesting because it reflects the mathematical property that “for any spherical stellar system with  $f = f(\epsilon)$  there exists (formally) a corresponding barotropic star” [3]. Later on, the fact that polytropic distributions (40) maximize a certain Casimir functional at fixed mass and energy, and that this maximization problem is related to their dynamical stability with respect to the Vlasov equation has been recognized by several authors, e.g. Ipser [16] in 1974. However, in the work [16] the functional is simply written as  $W = -\int f^{1+1/(n-3/2)} d^3\mathbf{r} d^3\mathbf{v}$ . Therefore, when  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , the connection with the functional (34) describing isothermal stellar systems is not direct. The functional (39) introduced by Tsallis [11] (albeit in a quite different context) is better to make this connection because, using L'Hôpital's rule, Eq. (39) reduces to Eq. (34) for  $q \rightarrow 1$  (or  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ ). Similarly, the distribution function written in the form (40) reduces

to the distribution function (35) for  $q \rightarrow 1$  (or  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ ). The form given by Eddington [13] and by Binney & Tremaine [3] is less convenient to make this connection. *Therefore, in the context of Vlasov systems, we interpret Tsallis functional as a useful H-function connecting continuously stellar polytropes and isothermal stellar systems.*

It is shown in [32] that physical polytropic distribution functions have  $q > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$ . We need therefore to consider two cases according to the sign of  $q - 1$ . For  $q > 1$  ( $n > 3/2$ ), the distribution function can be written

$$f = A(\alpha - \epsilon)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}, \quad (42)$$

where we have set  $A = [\beta(q-1)/q]^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$  and  $\alpha = q\mu/\beta(q-1)$ . It is valid for  $v < v_{max}(\mathbf{r}) = \sqrt{2(\alpha - \Phi(\mathbf{r}))}$ . For  $v > v_{max}(\mathbf{r})$ , we set  $f = 0$ . This situation corresponds to the usual polytropes considered in astrophysics [3]. For  $q \rightarrow 1$  ( $n \rightarrow +\infty$ ), we recover isothermal distributions and for  $n = 3/2$  the distribution function is a step function. This is the distribution function of a Fermi gas at zero temperature describing classical white dwarf stars [34]. Note that stellar polytropes with  $1/2 < n < 3/2$  exist mathematically but the distribution function  $f(\epsilon)$  increases with the energy so they may not be physical. The density and the pressure can be expressed as

$$\rho = 4\pi\sqrt{2}A(\alpha - \Phi)^n \frac{\Gamma(3/2)\Gamma(n-1/2)}{\Gamma(n+1)}, \quad (43)$$

$$p = \frac{1}{n+1}4\pi\sqrt{2}A(\alpha - \Phi)^{n+1} \frac{\Gamma(3/2)\Gamma(n-1/2)}{\Gamma(n+1)}. \quad (44)$$

For  $0 < q < 1$ , the distribution function can be written

$$f = A(\alpha + \epsilon)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}, \quad (45)$$

where we have set  $A = [\beta(1-q)/q]^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$  and  $\alpha = q\mu/\beta(1-q)$ . In that case,  $v_{max} \rightarrow +\infty$ . We shall only consider distributions for which the density and the pressure (first and second velocity moments) are defined. This implies  $3/5 < q < 1$  (i.e.,  $n < -1$ ). Then, the density and the pressure can be expressed as

$$\rho = 4\pi\sqrt{2}A(\alpha + \Phi)^n \frac{\Gamma(-n)\Gamma(3/2)}{\Gamma(3/2-n)}, \quad (46)$$

$$p = -\frac{1}{n+1}4\pi\sqrt{2}A(\alpha + \Phi)^{n+1} \frac{\Gamma(-n)\Gamma(3/2)}{\Gamma(3/2-n)}. \quad (47)$$

Eliminating the gravitational potential between the expressions (43)-(44) and (46)-(47) giving the density and the pressure, one finds that

$$p = K\rho^\gamma, \quad \gamma = 1 + \frac{1}{n}, \quad (48)$$

where

$$K = \frac{1}{n+1} \left\{ 4\pi\sqrt{2}A \frac{\Gamma(3/2)\Gamma(n-1/2)}{\Gamma(n+1)} \right\}^{-1/n}, \quad (n > 3/2), \quad (49)$$

$$K = -\frac{1}{n+1} \left\{ 4\pi\sqrt{2}A \frac{\Gamma(-n)\Gamma(3/2)}{\Gamma(3/2-n)} \right\}^{-1/n}, \quad (n < -1). \quad (50)$$

Therefore, a stellar polytrope has the same equation of state as a polytropic star. *However, we stress that they do not have the same distribution function, except for  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  (isothermal system).* The distribution function of the gas in a polytropic star is given by the local thermodynamic equilibrium (9) with a space dependent temperature (15) while the distribution function of a stellar polytrope is given by (40) which is completely different. The functional (32) can be put in the form

$$F[\rho] = \frac{1}{2} \int \rho \Phi d^3\mathbf{r} + \frac{K}{\gamma-1} \int (\rho^\gamma - \rho) d^3\mathbf{r}. \quad (51)$$

It coincides with the energy functional (17) of a polytropic star with  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ . Minimizing this functional at fixed mass, we directly obtain the relation between the density and the potential

$$\rho = \left[ \lambda - \frac{\gamma-1}{K\gamma} \Phi \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}}. \quad (52)$$

It resembles Tsallis distribution (in physical space) where  $\gamma$  plays the role of the  $q$ -parameter and  $K$  plays the role of the temperature  $\beta^{-1}$  (compare Eqs. (40) and (52)). Also, the functional (51) resembles Tsallis free energy  $F[\rho] = E[\rho] - KS_\gamma[\rho]$  in physical space. *In this sense, Tsallis distributions are “stable laws” since they keep a similar structure as we pass from phase space  $f = f(\epsilon)$  to physical space  $\rho = \rho(\Phi)$  with the correspondence  $\gamma \leftrightarrow q$  and  $K \leftrightarrow \beta^{-1}$ .* This is probably the only class of distributions enjoying this property. However, we again emphasize that, in the present context, the resemblance with a thermodynamical formalism is essentially fortuitous or effective.

We can also express the distribution in terms of the density according to

$$f = \frac{1}{Z} \left[ \rho(\mathbf{r})^{1/n} - \frac{v^2/2}{(n+1)K} \right]^{n-3/2}, \quad (53)$$

$$Z = 4\pi\sqrt{2} \frac{\Gamma(3/2)\Gamma(n-1/2)}{\Gamma(n+1)} [K(n+1)]^{3/2}, \quad (n > 3/2) \quad (54)$$

$$Z = 4\pi\sqrt{2} \frac{\Gamma(-n)\Gamma(3/2)}{\Gamma(3/2-n)} [-K(n+1)]^{3/2}, \quad (n < -1). \quad (55)$$

This is the counterpart of the isothermal distribution function (38). For any stellar system, we define the *kinetic temperature* by  $\frac{3}{2}T(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2}\langle v^2 \rangle$  or  $p(\mathbf{r}) = \rho(\mathbf{r})T(\mathbf{r})$ . It is proportional to the local velocity dispersion of the particles. For a polytropic distribution function

$$T(\mathbf{r}) = K\rho(\mathbf{r})^{1/n}. \quad (56)$$

As indicated previously, for collisionless stellar systems  $T$  must be regarded as a mean-squared velocity rather than a temperature (the individual mass of the particles never appears in the equations). We shall use, however, the temperature terminology. Using Eq. (56), the distribution function (53) can be written

$$f = B_n \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r})}{[2\pi T(\mathbf{r})]^{3/2}} \left[ 1 - \frac{v^2/2}{(n+1)T(\mathbf{r})} \right]^{n-3/2}, \quad (57)$$



$$B_n = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-1/2)(n+1)^{3/2}}, \quad (n > 3/2) \quad (58)$$

$$B_n = \frac{\Gamma(3/2-n)}{\Gamma(-n)[-(n+1)]^{3/2}} \quad (n < -1). \quad (59)$$

Note that for  $n > 3/2$ , the maximum velocity can be expressed in terms of the kinetic temperature according to

$$v_{max}(\mathbf{r}) = \sqrt{2(n+1)T(\mathbf{r})}. \quad (60)$$

Using  $\Gamma(z+a)/\Gamma(z) \sim z^a$  for  $z \rightarrow +\infty$ , we recover the isothermal distribution (38) for  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . On the other hand, from Eqs. (56) and (52), we immediately get  $T(\mathbf{r}) = K(\lambda - (\gamma - 1)\Phi(\mathbf{r})/K\gamma)$  so that

$$\nabla T = -\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}\nabla\Phi. \quad (61)$$

This relation can also be obtained directly from Eqs. (42) and (57). This shows that, for a stellar polytrope, the kinetic temperature is a linear function of the gravitational potential (this is also true for a polytropic gas). The coefficient of proportionality  $(\gamma - 1)/\gamma = 1/(n + 1) = 2(q - 1)/(5q - 4)$  is related to the polytropic index. There is an interesting application of this result related to a remark of Eddington [13]. First of all, for isolated stellar systems, the energy  $\epsilon_{max}$  at which the distribution function vanishes should correspond to the escape energy  $\epsilon = 0$ . This implies  $\alpha = 0$  in Eq. (42) which leads to the ordinary DF of a polytrope (the distribution (42) with  $\alpha \neq 0$  is in fact a generalization of the polytropic model with  $\epsilon_{max} \neq 0$ ). Now,  $\alpha = 0$  implies that  $\lambda = 0$  in Eq. (52), see, e.g., Eq. (43). Since  $\rho$  and  $\Phi$  must then vanish at the same point, this implies that the density profile must go to  $+\infty$  (with a finite mass) which is only possible for the polytropic index  $n = 5$ . This is Eddington's interpretation of Plummer's law [13]. On the other hand, for  $\lambda = 0$ , we get  $T(\mathbf{r}) = -(\gamma - 1)\Phi(\mathbf{r})/\gamma$ . Defining the local kinetic energy by  $E_{kin} = \frac{1}{2}\rho\langle v^2 \rangle = \frac{3}{2}\rho(\mathbf{r})T(\mathbf{r})$  and the local potential energy by  $E_{pot} = \frac{1}{2}\rho\Phi$ , we get  $E_{kin}/E_{pot} = 3T(\mathbf{r})/\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = -3(\gamma - 1)/\gamma$ . Thus, a *local* Virial theorem  $2E_{kin} + E_{pot} = 0$  holds for  $\gamma = 6/5$ , i.e.  $n = 5$ . Distribution functions satisfying this relation have been called hypervirial models of stellar systems [45].

## G. Generalized temperatures

We shall now discuss different notions of “temperature” that emerge in the case of polytropic distributions. In the case of isothermal distribution functions, all these notions coincide. However, for polytropic distribution functions they have a different character.

(i) Lagrange multiplier  $\beta = 1/T_0$ : the Lagrange multiplier  $\beta$  appearing in the variational principle  $\delta S - \beta\delta E - \alpha\delta M = 0$  associated with the optimization problem (26) can be viewed as a generalized inverse temperature since  $\beta = dS/dE$ . In terms of this Lagrange multiplier  $\beta$ , a polytropic distribution function can be written

$$f = \left[ \mu - \frac{\beta(q-1)}{q}\epsilon \right]^{\frac{1}{q-1}}. \quad (62)$$

As indicated previously, the series of equilibria  $\beta(E)$  is similar to a caloric curve and the slope  $C = dE/dT_0$  is similar to a specific heat. The sign of  $C$  is connected to the nonlinear dynamical stability of the system via the turning point argument. This implies that the correct “temperature” to use in the definition of  $C$  when using it to settle the nonlinear dynamical stability of a collisionless stellar system is the inverse of the Lagrange multiplier  $\beta$ . However,  $T_0 = 1/\beta$  has generally *not* the dimension of a temperature.

(ii) Dimensional temperature: we can define a quantity that has the dimension of an inverse temperature by setting  $b = \beta/\mu(\beta)$  (note that the normalization condition  $M = \int f d^3\mathbf{r} d^3\mathbf{v}$  imposes that  $\mu$  is an implicit function of  $\beta$ ). If we define furthermore  $A(b) = \mu(b)^{1/(q-1)}$ , we can rewrite the polytropic distribution function in terms of  $b$  as

$$f = A(b) \left[ 1 - \frac{b(q-1)}{q} \epsilon \right]^{\frac{1}{q-1}}. \quad (63)$$

Therefore, we see that the polytropic distribution satisfies a relation of the form

$$f = A(b) F(b\epsilon) \quad (64)$$

with  $F(x) = [1 - ((q-1)/q)x]^{1/(q-1)}$ . Not all distribution functions of the form  $f = F(\beta\epsilon + \alpha(\beta))$ , coming from the optimization problem (26), can be written as (64). Ponno [46] has shown in a different context that only polytropic distribution functions (Tsallis q-distributions) satisfy this relation.

(iii) Polytropic temperature  $K$ : we have indicated that the polytropic constant  $K$  shares some analogies with a temperature and, for this reason, it is sometimes called a polytropic temperature. We note, in particular, that  $K$  is uniform in a polytropic system, as is the temperature in an isothermal system. The distribution function of a stellar polytrope can be written in terms of  $K$  as

$$f = \frac{1}{Z} \left[ \rho(\mathbf{r})^{1/n} - \frac{v^2/2}{(n+1)K} \right]^{n-3/2}. \quad (65)$$

We note that, for a stellar polytrope,  $K$  is a monotonic function of the Lagrange multiplier  $\beta$  expressed by Eqs. (49) and (50) so they essentially play the same role. Therefore, it is often convenient to use  $K$  instead of  $\beta$  when we plot the series of equilibria for polytropes (this is the convention that we have adopted in [8, 32, 37] and in Fig. 1).

(iv) Kinetic temperature: For any stellar system, it is natural to introduce a kinetic temperature  $T(\mathbf{r})$  through the relation  $\frac{3}{2}T(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2}\langle v^2 \rangle$ . In general, the kinetic temperature is inhomogeneous. The distribution function of a stellar polytrope can be written in terms of  $T(\mathbf{r})$  as

$$f = B_n \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r})}{[2\pi T(\mathbf{r})]^{3/2}} \left[ 1 - \frac{v^2/2}{(n+1)T(\mathbf{r})} \right]^{n-3/2}, \quad (66)$$

where  $T(\mathbf{r}) = p(\rho)/\rho = K\rho(\mathbf{r})^{\gamma-1}$ . Combined with Eq. (52), this immediately yields the relation (61) between the kinetic temperature and the gravitational potential.

(v) Energy excitation temperature: as indicated in [14], in any system with  $f = f(\epsilon)$ , one may define a local energy dependent excitation temperature by the relation

$$\frac{1}{T(\epsilon)} = -\frac{d \ln f}{d\epsilon}. \quad (67)$$

For the isothermal distribution (35),  $T(\epsilon)$  coincides with the temperature  $T = 1/\beta$ . For the polytropic distribution (40),  $T(\epsilon) = q\mu/\beta - (q-1)\epsilon$ . This excitation temperature has a constant gradient

$$\frac{dT}{d\epsilon} = 1 - q, \quad (68)$$

related to Tsallis  $q$  parameter (or equivalently to the index  $n$  of the polytrope). The other parameter  $\mu$  is related to the value of energy where the temperature reaches zero. Relation (68) is similar to that found by Almeida [47] in a different context.

#### IV. A SECOND INTERPRETATION: GENERALIZED KINETIC EQUATIONS

In Sec. III, using the orthodox interpretation of astrophysics [3], we have justified the polytropic distribution function (40) as a particular stationary solution of the Vlasov equation. This is probably the most relevant justification of this distribution function in astrophysics since most astrophysical bodies are governed by the collisionless Boltzmann equation. In this context, the connection with a thermodynamical formalism is essentially effective (thermodynamical analogy). The Tsallis functional  $S_q[f]$  is a H-function whose maximization at fixed mass and energy provides a criterion of nonlinear dynamical stability. Furthermore, the polytropic distribution does not play any special role among other stationary solutions of the Vlasov equation. Its main interest is its mathematical simplicity since it possesses properties of homology in continuity with the isothermal distribution [34].

Polytropic distribution functions can also emerge in a different context. For example, Silva & Alcaniz [24] and Du Jiulin [48] assume that the dynamics of their system is governed by a generalized Boltzmann equation admitting a H-theorem for the Tsallis entropy [31]. In that case, the polytropic distribution is the *only* stationary solution of this kinetic equation. However, the relevance of this generalized kinetic equation for astrophysical systems remains to be established (Silva & Alcaniz and Du Jiulin do not mention to which systems their approach applies). Furthermore, as shown in Chavanis [14], it is possible to construct even more general kinetic equations that increase a larger class of “generalized entropies” than the one proposed by Tsallis. Consequently, it has to be explained why Tsallis entropy should be selected among other functionals of the form  $S = - \int C(f) d^3\mathbf{r} d^3\mathbf{v}$ . Concerning the theoretical study of self-gravitating polytropic spheres, our results differ from those obtained by Silva & Alcaniz [24]. A first difference is that we define the kinetic temperature by  $T = p/\rho = (\frac{1}{3} \int f v^2 d^3\mathbf{v}) / \int f d^3\mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{3} \langle v^2 \rangle$  while they use  $q$ -expectation values. Another important difference is that we consider an inhomogeneous medium while Silva & Alcaniz consider a homogeneous system. In particular, they derive a distribution function of the form

$$f = B_q \left[ 1 - (1-q) \frac{mv^2}{2k_B T} \right]^{1/(1-q)}, \quad (69)$$

instead of Eq. (66). Our generalization is important because gravitational systems are inhomogeneous. Thus, the equation of state associated with Tsallis distribution is that of a polytrope  $p = K\rho^\gamma$  while the approach of Silva & Alcaniz [24] gives the impression that the equation of state is isothermal with a generalized  $q$ -temperature:  $p = \frac{2}{5-3q} \rho \frac{k_B T}{m}$ . This is an artifact of their homogeneity assumption. In fact, for inhomogeneous self-gravitating systems, the kinetic temperature associated with a polytropic distribution is space-dependent,

see Eq. (56). Therefore, the stability condition given in [24] based on the sign of the specific heat is not correct. The stability of polytropic spheres must take into account spatial inhomogeneity as discussed in [22, 32].

Du Jiulin [48] considers inhomogeneous distribution functions of self-gravitating systems described by Tsallis statistics. However, his approach is unnecessary complicated because he did not realize at the start that the stationary distribution function must be a function of the individual energy  $\epsilon = \frac{v^2}{2} + \Phi(\mathbf{r})$  alone. Indeed, for the class of generalized mean-field kinetic equations considered in [14], and written symbolically as

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{r}} - \nabla \Phi \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = Q_C(f), \quad (70)$$

where  $C$  is a convex function determining the equilibrium state, a stationary solution must cancel *independently* the advective term and the collision term. The cancellation of the advective term implies that  $f$  is a stationary solution of the Vlasov equation. This is satisfied by any  $f = F(\epsilon)$  where  $F$  is arbitrary. Then,  $F$  is determined by the collision term by requiring  $Q_C(f) = 0$  (see [14] for more details). We stress that the stationary solution  $f_C(\epsilon)$  of the kinetic equation (70) only depends on the energy  $\epsilon$  while the Vlasov equation admits a much larger family of stationary distributions. This can also be seen by noting that the kinetic equations in [14] increase a generalized entropy functional  $S = - \int C(f) d^3\mathbf{r} d^3\mathbf{v}$  at fixed mass and energy. Therefore, the stationary state maximizes  $S$  at fixed  $E$ ,  $M$  and this implies  $f = f_C(\epsilon)$ . When  $S$  is the Tsallis entropy, this directly leads to Eq. (62) given in Chavanis [14] while Du Jiulin postulates the form (66) as an extension of the homogeneous distribution (69) given in Silva & Alcaniz [24]. He then obtains Eq. (61) by a laborious perturbative calculation while this relation is exact and results almost immediately from Eq. (62). In addition, Du Jiulin argues that this relation provides an analytic expression of the  $q$ -parameter. This is not the logical way of reading this relation. The relation (61) is just a property of a polytropic distribution function with index  $q$ , not an explanation of the meaning of Tsallis  $q$ -parameter. Equation (61) is not mysterious (nor fundamental) once the physical meaning of the temperature is given. In our approach,  $T(\mathbf{r})$  represents the usual kinetic temperature related to the density and pressure via  $T(\mathbf{r}) = p(\mathbf{r})/\rho(\mathbf{r})$ . Said differently,  $E_{kin} = \frac{1}{2}\rho\langle v^2 \rangle = \frac{3}{2}\rho T$  is the local density of kinetic energy. Since  $\rho(\mathbf{r})$  and  $p(\mathbf{r})$  are functions of the gravitational potential  $\Phi(\mathbf{r})$ , see Sec. III D, this implies that  $T(\mathbf{r})$  is a function of  $\Phi(\mathbf{r})$ . This is true for any distribution function of the form  $f = f(\epsilon)$ . Now, for a polytropic distribution,  $T(\mathbf{r}) = p(\mathbf{r})/\rho(\mathbf{r}) = K\rho(\mathbf{r})^{\gamma-1}$  where  $\rho(\Phi(\mathbf{r}))$  is explicitly given by Eq. (52). This directly leads to Eq. (61) stating that  $T$  is a linear function of  $\Phi$  in that case.

## V. A THIRD INTERPRETATION: QUASI-EQUILIBRIUM STATES OF A “COLLISIONAL” DYNAMICS

In a recent numerical study, Taruya & Sakagami [33] find that the transient stages of the collisional relaxation of the  $N$ -stars system confined within a box can be fitted by a sequence of polytropic distribution functions with a time dependent  $q(t)$  parameter. This is an interesting result which contrasts from the King’s sequence (truncated isothermals) applying to tidally truncated stellar systems. Therefore, in their latest works [33], Taruya & Sakagami interpret polytropic distributions as quasi-equilibrium states of a “collisional” dynamics. However, this is essentially an out-of-equilibrium result so that the connection with Tsallis thermodynamics is not clear. In particular, the evolution of stellar clus-

ters is usually described by the orbit-averaged-Fokker-Planck equation which exploits the timescale separation between the dynamical time and the relaxation time. It is possible that the time dependent solutions of the orbit-averaged-Fokker-Planck equation can be fitted by  $q(t)$ -distributions although this equation is based on standard statistical mechanics and satisfies a H-theorem for the ordinary Boltzmann entropy, not for the Tsallis entropy. Therefore, the results of Taruya & Sakagami [33] tend to disfavor Tsallis thermodynamics instead of establishing it: indeed,  $q(t)$ -polytropes can emerge from a kinetic equation based on standard thermodynamics (Boltzmann H-theorem). In addition, it seems unlikely that  $q(t)$ -polytropes are *exact* solutions of the orbit-averaged-Fokker-Planck equation, so that they represent more a convenient fit for the solutions of a complicated nonlinear time dependent partial differential equation than a fundamental outcome of a “generalized thermodynamics”. Furthermore, it is not clear whether they attract all the solutions of the orbit-averaged-Fokker-Planck equation or if their “basin of attraction” is limited to some particular initial conditions. Finally, it is not clear whether the interpretation of polytropic distributions functions given by Taruya & Sakagami [33] as quasi-equilibrium states of a collisional dynamics extends to other systems with long-range interactions such as the HMF model for example. These are important questions to consider. In any case, the numerical experiments of Taruya & Sakagami [33] fill the gap between the description of the “violent relaxation” (first stage) and the description of the “gravothermal catastrophe” (ultimate stage) of stellar systems.

## VI. CONCLUSION

We have discussed three distinct interpretations of Tsallis functional  $S_q[f]$  in astrophysics. Most discussion has been devoted to Vlasov-Poisson systems. Systems with long-range interactions spontaneously form “coherent structures” that are not described in general by the Boltzmann distribution. This is the case for galaxies in astrophysics, vortices in 2D turbulence and quasi-stationary states in the HMF model. Two types of interpretation have been given to describe these structures. In the “generalized thermodynamical interpretation” [20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28], the metaequilibrium states are viewed as generalized statistical equilibrium states. The maximization of the Tsallis entropy  $S_q[f]$  at fixed mass and energy provides a condition of generalized thermodynamical stability. In the “dynamical interpretation” given here and in [8, 18, 19], the metaequilibrium states are particular stationary solutions of the Vlasov equation. Any DF  $f = f(\epsilon)$  with  $f'(\epsilon) < 0$  extremizes a certain functional  $S[f] = - \int C(f) d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{v}$ , called a H-function, at fixed mass and energy. The condition of maximum is a criterion of nonlinear dynamical stability via the Vlasov equation. These two interpretations are physically different. However, formally, the criterion of nonlinear dynamical stability for collisionless stellar systems described by the Vlasov equation resembles a criterion of thermodynamical stability in the microcanonical ensemble and the criterion of nonlinear dynamical stability for barotropic stars described by the Euler equation resembles a criterion of thermodynamical stability in the canonical ensemble. We have used this “thermodynamical analogy” to provide an original interpretation of the Antonov first law in terms of ensemble inequivalence. However, the resemblance with a thermodynamical formalism is effective.

In this paper, we have not discussed *how* a collisionless stellar system described by the Vlasov-Poisson system can reach a quasi-stationary distribution (meta-equilibrium) and what determines this metaequilibrium state. This is discussed in [2, 10] based on the concept

of phase mixing and violent relaxation introduced by Lynden-Bell [9] in 1967. As a result of a mixing process, the coarse-grained distribution function  $\bar{f}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, t)$  achieves a stationary state on a very short timescale (of the order of a few dynamical times) while the fine-grained distribution function  $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, t)$  develops intermingled filaments at smaller and smaller scales. Lynden-Bell tried to predict the optimal metaequilibrium state by using statistical mechanics arguments. This prediction is complicated because we have to deal with an infinite number of constraints (Casimirs). Therefore, the coarse-grained distribution function arising from the statistical theory of violent relaxation is non-Boltzmannian in general [8]. It can be viewed as a sort of superstatistics [10]. In the dilute limit of his theory, Lynden-Bell [9] predicted an isothermal distribution  $\bar{f} \sim e^{-\beta\epsilon}$ . He also understood that his prediction fails for high energies (corresponding to extended orbits) due to the complicated problem of *incomplete relaxation*: the systems tends to reach the statistical equilibrium state (most mixed) but cannot attain it because the fluctuations of the gravitational field, which are the engine of the relaxation, rapidly die away. This is why other stationary solutions of the Vlasov equation can emerge in practice corresponding to partially mixed states leading to confined structures. This kinetic confinement can be understood by developing dynamical models of violent relaxation [49, 50]. The metaequilibrium state reached by the system as a result of incomplete violent relaxation is very difficult to predict because it depends on the efficiency of mixing. It is different in general from Tsallis' distribution (pure polytrope) [14]. For example, stellar polytropes do not provide good models of galaxies [3]. A better model is a composite model [8, 51] with an isothermal core ( $n = \infty$ ,  $q = 1$ ) justified by Lynden-Bell's theory of violent relaxation and a polytropic halo ( $n = 4$ ,  $q = 7/5$ ) resulting from incomplete relaxation. In this context, we have proposed to interpret the  $q$  parameter, which can vary in space, as a *measure of mixing* [8]. These general concepts also apply to 2D vortices in hydrodynamics [2] and to the HMF model [18, 19].

We note that the maximization problem (26) determining the nonlinear dynamical stability of collisionless stellar systems is consistent with the phenomenology of violent relaxation [8, 9, 15]. Indeed, the H-functions  $H[\bar{f}] = - \int C(\bar{f}) d^3\mathbf{r} d^3\mathbf{v}$  calculated with the coarse-grained distribution function  $\bar{f}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, t)$  increase with time ( $-H$  decreases), a property similar to the H-theorem of thermodynamics, while the energy and the mass remain approximately conserved. However, contrary to the Boltzmann equation, the Vlasov equation does not single out a unique H-function and the increase of the H-functions is not necessarily monotonic. Because of this generalized *selective decay principle* [10], we may expect the system to achieve a metaequilibrium state which maximizes one of the H-functions (non universal) at fixed mass and energy. This is consistent with the criterion of nonlinear dynamical stability (26) provided that we interpret the distribution function as the *coarse-grained* distribution function. It is remarkable that these two maximization principles are consistent although they have a very different physical content (there is no notion of “dissipation” in the first while this lies at the heart of the second due to coarse-graining). Plastino & Plastino [20] correctly made the link with H-functions but they also gave the impression that Tsallis functional is special because of its thermodynamical properties. In addition, Plastino & Plastino [20] did not explain why we have to *maximize* the Tsallis functional. This is important because, as discussed above, this maximization problem is related to nonlinear dynamical stability, not thermodynamical stability. In particular, the notion of normalized  $q$ -averages (as done in paper III of [22]) is not relevant to settle the nonlinear dynamical stability of collisionless stellar systems.

In conclusion, our discussion shows that the notions of dynamics and thermodynamics

are intermingled. There are lot of analogies (formal or real) and differences. This is a little bit similar to the wave/particle duality in quantum mechanics, each aspect having been defended by different schools. The coherent structures that form in long-range systems have both dynamical and thermodynamical (mixing) properties. We think, however, that the correct description of quasi-equilibrium states is, fundamentally, in terms of nonlinear dynamical stability arguments. Indeed, a collisionless stellar system can be trapped in a steady state of the Vlasov equation that does *not* satisfy the “thermodynamic-looking” criterion (26). The maximization principle (26) leads to DF of the form  $f = f(\epsilon)$  with  $f'(\epsilon) < 0$  depending only on energy so that it only characterizes *spherical* stellar systems which seldomly occur in nature. Now, there exists stationary solutions of the Vlasov equation, consistent with the Jeans theorem [3], that do not depend on the energy alone. This is the case for most observed stellar systems. In that case all the analogies with thermodynamics break down. This “problem” does not occur for 1D systems such as the HMF model because  $f = f(\epsilon)$  is the general form of stationary solutions of the Vlasov equation for these systems. The case of stellar systems is therefore interesting with respect to 1D systems to show the limitations of the “effective” thermodynamical formalism. In conclusion, the dynamics and thermodynamics of systems with long-range interactions is rich and complex. We have given a lengthy discussion of these concepts in [2, 8, 10, 14, 29, 32]. In summary, we come to the following scenario:

1. *The rapid emergence of coherent structures in stellar dynamics (galaxies), two-dimensional turbulence (jets and vortices) and in the HMF model (quasi-stationary states) can be explained by a theory of incomplete violent relaxation based on the Vlasov or Euler equation, see [2]. Formally, the Vlasov equation is obtained when the  $N \rightarrow +\infty$  limit is taken before the  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  limit.*

2. *The H-functions  $H[\bar{f}]$  calculated with the coarse-grained distribution function  $\bar{f}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, t)$  increase, while the energy  $E[\bar{f}]$  and the mass  $M[\bar{f}]$  are conserved [15].*

3. *Due to violent relaxation and phase mixing [9], the coarse-grained distribution function  $\bar{f}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, t)$  converges toward a steady state  $\bar{f}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v})$  which is a stationary solution of the Vlasov equation. The convergence takes a few dynamical times, independent on  $N$ . The fine-grained distribution  $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, t)$  develops filaments at smaller and smaller scales and does not achieve a steady state (presumably).*

4. *If mixing is complete,  $\bar{f}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v})$  is given by Lynden-Bell’s theory of violent relaxation [9]. It is in general a non-standard (non Boltzmannian) distribution depending on the initial conditions through the Casimirs. It can be viewed as a form of superstatistics [10].*

5. *If mixing is incomplete, the Lynden-Bell prediction fails (by definition!) since  $\bar{f}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v})$  is only partially mixed. Incomplete relaxation [9] can be explained by dynamical models of violent relaxation which involve a diffusion coefficient that vanishes in certain regions of phase-space and for large times [49, 50].*

6. *Since  $\bar{f}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v})$  results from a complicated mixing process, it is a nonlinearly dynamically stable stationary (i.e., robust) solution of the Vlasov equation. The general form of stationary solutions is given by the Jeans theorem [3]. We emphasize that, in theories of violent relaxation, we must view the steady distribution function as the coarse-grained DF. It is in general very difficult to predict the state actually reached by the system in case of incomplete relaxation as it depends on the efficiency of mixing which itself depends on the “route to equilibrium” (dynamics). It does not appear to be explained by the generalized thermodynamics introduced by Tsallis in an attempt to describe non-ergodic behaviours [10, 11].*

7. *If  $\bar{f}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}) = \bar{f}(\epsilon)$  with  $\bar{f}'(\epsilon) < 0$ , which is a particular case of the Jeans theorem, it ex-*

tremizes a  $H$ -function at fixed mass and energy [15]. Furthermore, the condition of maximum is a condition of nonlinear dynamical stability [8]. In that case, we can develop a thermodynamical analogy [8, 14] to investigate the nonlinear dynamical stability problem, but all the notions of thermodynamics are formal or effective. Furthermore, this thermodynamical analogy can describe only spherical stellar systems with  $f = f(\epsilon)$  and  $f'(\epsilon) < 0$ .

8. Tsallis functional  $S_q[\bar{f}]$  is the  $H$ -function corresponding to stellar polytropes which form a particular class of spherical collisionless stellar systems that are steady solutions of the Vlasov equation [8, 14].

9. For  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , the system tends to relax toward a statistical equilibrium state described by the Boltzmann distribution. Formally, the collisional statistical equilibrium state is obtained when the  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  limit is taken before the  $N \rightarrow +\infty$  limit. In the case of stellar systems, the convergence to equilibrium is hampered by the escape of stars and the gravothermal catastrophe (see the Introduction).

10. For intermediate collision times, the evolution of the system is described by the orbit averaged Fokker-Planck equation [3]. The DF passes by a sequence of quasi-equilibrium states  $f(\epsilon, t)$  which are quasi-stationary solutions of the Vlasov equation slowly evolving in time due to close encounters (finite  $N$  effects). In some cases of box confined systems, this sequence is well-approximated by stellar polytropes with a time dependent index [33].

We have also discussed another, completely independent, interpretation of Tsallis functional in relation with generalized kinetic equations. In this context, Tsallis functional  $S_q[f]$  is a generalized entropy taking into account “hidden constraints” acting on the underlying dynamics of the system. We do not reject the possibility that this generalized thermodynamical approach can be relevant to certain self-gravitating systems (not stellar systems) but we stress that the interpretation of Tsallis functional (Sec. IV) is then completely different from the one given for Vlasov systems (Sec. III) [32]. Furthermore, as shown in [14], this generalized thermodynamical formalism (and related kinetic theories) can be extended to a larger class of functionals than the one proposed by Tsallis.

- 
- [1] T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rep. **188**, 285 (1990)
  - [2] P.H. Chavanis, in *Dynamics and thermodynamics of systems with long range interactions*, edited by Dauxois, T., Ruffo, S., Arimondo, E. and Wilkens, M. Lecture Notes in Physics, Springer (2002) [cond-mat/0212223]
  - [3] J. Binney and S. Tremaine, *Galactic Dynamics* (Princeton Series in Astrophysics, 1987)
  - [4] V.A. Antonov, Vest. Leningr. Gos. Univ. **7**, 135 (1962)
  - [5] D. Lynden-Bell and R. Wood, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **138**, 495 (1968)
  - [6] M. Hénon, Ann. Astrophys. **5**, 369 (1961)
  - [7] P.H. Chavanis, A&A **432**, 117 (2005)
  - [8] P.H. Chavanis, A&A **401**, 15 (2003)
  - [9] D. Lynden-Bell, MNRAS **136**, 101 (1967)
  - [10] P.H. Chavanis, [cond-mat/0409511]
  - [11] C. Tsallis, J. Stat. Phys. **52**, 479 (1988)
  - [12] H.C. Plummer, MNRAS **71**, 460 (1911)
  - [13] A.S. Eddington, MNRAS **76**, 572 (1916)
  - [14] P.H. Chavanis, Phys. Rev. E **68**, 036108 (2003); P.H. Chavanis, Physica A **332**, 89 (2004); P.H. Chavanis, Banach Center Publ. **66**, 79 (2004); P.H. Chavanis, Physica A **340**, 57 (2004)



- [15] S. Tremaine, M. Hénon & D. Lynden-Bell, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **219**, 285 (1986)
- [16] J.R. Ipser, ApJ **193**, 463 (1974); J.R. Ipser & G. Horwitz, ApJ **232**, 863 (1979)
- [17] G. Rein, [astro-ph/0501541]; Y. Guo & G. Rein, Commun. Math. Phys. **219**, 607 (2001)
- [18] Y. Yamaguchi, J. Barré, F. Bouchet, T. Dauxois & S. Ruffo, Physica A, **337**, 36 (2004)
- [19] P.H. Chavanis, J. Vatteville & F. Bouchet, [cond-mat/0408117]
- [20] A.R. Plastino & A. Plastino, Phys. Lett. A **174**, 384 (1993)
- [21] B.M. Boghosian, Phys. Rev. E **53**, 4754 (1996)
- [22] A. Taruya & M. Sakagami, Physica A **307**, 185 (2002); A. Taruya & M. Sakagami, Physica A **318**, 387 (2003); A. Taruya & M. Sakagami, Physica A **322**, 285 (2003)
- [23] J.A.S. Lima, R. Silva & J. Santos, A&A **396**, 309 (2002)
- [24] R. Silva & J.S. Alcaniz, Phys. Lett. A **313**, 393 (2003)
- [25] Du Jiulin, Phys. Lett. A **320**, 347 (2004); Physica A **335**, 107 (2004)
- [26] C. Tsallis, Braz. J. Phys. **29**, 1 (1999)
- [27] C. Tsallis, D. Prato and A.R. Plastino, Astrophys.Space Sci. **290**, 259 (2004)
- [28] V. Latora, A. Rapisarda & C. Tsallis, Physica A, **305**, 129 (2002)
- [29] P.H. Chavanis, [cond-mat/0409641]
- [30] A.R. Plastino and A. Plastino, Physica A **222**, 347 (1995)
- [31] J.A.S. Lima, R. Silva and A. Plastino, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 2938 (2001)
- [32] P.H. Chavanis and C. Sire, Phys. Rev. E **69**, 016116 (2004)
- [33] A. Taruya & M. Sakagami, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 181101 (2003)
- [34] S. Chandrasekhar, An Introduction to the Theory of Stellar Structure (Dover, 1942)
- [35] D.D. Holm, J.E. Marsden, T. Ratiu & A. Weinstein, Phys. Rep. **123**, 1 (1985)
- [36] P.H. Chavanis, A&A **381**, 340 (2002)
- [37] P.H. Chavanis, A&A **386**, 732 (2002)
- [38] H. Kandrup, Astrophys. J. **244**, 316 (1981)
- [39] P.H. Chavanis, Phys. Rev. E **64**, 026309 (2001)
- [40] R. Ellis, K. Haven & B. Turkington, Nonlinearity **15**, 239 (2002)
- [41] J. Katz, MNRAS **183**, 765 (1978)
- [42] P.H. Chavanis, PRE **65**, 056123 (2002)
- [43] H. Touchette, R.S. Ellis & B. Turkington, Physica A **340**, 138 (2004).
- [44] F. Bouchet & J. Barré, [cond-mat/0303307]
- [45] N.W. Evans & J. An, [astro-ph/0501091]
- [46] A. Ponno, preprint
- [47] M.P. Almeida, Physica A **300**, 424 (2001)
- [48] Du Jiulin, [cond-mat/0404602]; [nlin/0404039].
- [49] P.H. Chavanis, J. Sommeria & R. Robert, Astrophys. J. **471**, 385 (1997)
- [50] P.H. Chavanis, MNRAS **300**, 981 (1998)
- [51] J. Hjorth & J. Madsen, MNRAS **265**, 237 (1993)
- [52] In the context of Vlasov systems, there is a distinction to make between Tsallis functional  $S_q[f] = -\frac{1}{q-1} \int (f^q - f) d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{v}$  defined in terms of the distribution function  $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v})$  and Tsallis entropy  $S_q[\rho] = -\frac{1}{q-1} \int (\rho^q - \rho) d\eta d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{v}$  defined in terms of the probability density  $\rho(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, \eta)$  of phase levels. Ignoring the specificities of the collisionless evolution (Casimir constraints), the authors of [20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28] interpret  $S_q[f]$  as a generalized entropy while the correct form of Tsallis entropy in the context of violent relaxation is  $S_q[\rho]$  (it returns the Lynden-Bell entropy for  $q = 1$  which is the appropriate form of Boltzmann entropy in that context). By contrast, [8, 19] interpret the Tsallis functional  $S_q[f]$  as a  $H$ -function.

In this paper, we only consider the functional  $S_q[f]$ . The important distinction between the functionals  $S_q[f]$  and  $S_q[\rho]$  is further discussed in [10].

- [53] As discussed in the Introduction, the convergence to the Boltzmann distribution function is hampered by the escape of stars and the gravothermal catastrophe. Even if we consider idealized situations of box confined systems with energy larger than the Antonov threshold so that local entropy maxima exist in theory, the dynamical convergence to these states is not clearly understood. Elaborate kinetic theories of self-gravitating systems taking into account memory effects and spatial delocalization [38] do not admit a H-theorem and do not show the convergence toward the Boltzmann distribution (nor to any other simple distribution). Related problems are also encountered in the kinetic theory of point vortices [39].
- [54] Strictly speaking, the  $H$ -functions are defined with the coarse-grained distribution function  $\bar{f}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, t)$ . We shall argue in the Conclusion and in [10] that, in case of violent relaxation, it is the *coarse-grained* distribution that is a nonlinearly dynamically stable stationary solution of the Vlasov equation. Therefore, in all the paper,  $f$  must be regarded in fact as the coarse-grained distribution function  $\bar{f}$ . Naturally, the stability results also apply to a steady distribution function  $f$  (fine-grained), but this supposes that the system has been initially prepared in such a stationary solution of the Vlasov equation, which is relatively artificial.