# SIMULATED STRESS AND STRETCH OF SWCNT

# Ana Proykova\* and Hristo Iliev University of Sofia, Faculty of Physics

#### **Abstract**

We have studied the mechanical stability of open single wall carbon nanotubes (SWCNT) under axial stress, stretch, and twist. SWCNTs with different chiralities and number of atoms have been simulated with a classical molecular dynamics method employing the many-body empirical Tersoff-Brenner potential. Stress and stretch have been achieved by enforcing constant linear velocity on the edge atoms from both sides of the SWCNT as suggested by Srivastava and Barnard. We find opposite trends in scaling at fast (1/10 of  $v_s$ ), the sound velocity in the carbon tube), and slow (1/20  $v_s$ ) stretch. Another finding is that at fast stretch short zigzag (10, 0) tubes transform from perfect to a plastic state before they break in the middle, while longer tubes (containing above 2000 carbon atoms) break-up directly in the elastic state. Thus, short tubes behave like metals or ionic solids, while long tubes resemble ceramics or glasses under the conditions studied. All tubes form spiral-like structures when twisted. Gentle, periodic twist of the tubes is a promising way for creating dynamical adsorbing centers for various gases.

*Keywords*: Molecular Dynamics simulations, elasticity and inelasticity, single-wall carbon nanotubes, nanoscale pattern formation, constant-composition solid-solid phase transformations, pressure treatment

PACS: 02.70.Ns, 81.30.Hd, 81.40.Jj, 81.40.Vw

# Introduction

Since their discovery [1], single-wall carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) have been considered as member of the fullerene family [2] with properties that are strongly dependent on the structure. The tubes have a high aspect ratio and contain only few defects. Their mechanical properties have been reviewed recently [3]. The structure is closely related to both electron conductivity [4] and adsorption [5]. Usually the tubes are capped after the production. In order to let inside adsorption, they should be opened, which can be done in different ways[6].

The present work investigates the dynamics of defect formation and stability of carbon nanotubes under mechanical treatment to answer the following questions interesting for the filed of sensors and filtering systems: What is the amount and duration of stress (extension) necessary to create defects in a SWCNT with a given length and chirality? Is a defected tube stable? Are defects playing roles of traps for gases?

The aim is setting limits for the change of the SWCNT adsorption properties. We have simulated opened self-supported SWNTs: zigzag (10,0), armchair (6,6), and (10,10) with various lengths between 8,63 nm and 54,3 nm.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Phone: +359 2 8161828, Fax:+359 2 962 52 76, E-mail: anap@phys.uni-sofia.bg

## The model and mechanical treatment

We used a simplified form of the Brenner-Tersoff potential [7] for the carbon-carbon energy bonding in a classic Molecular Dynamics (MD) code [8]:

$$E_b = \sum_{i} \sum_{j(>i)} \left[ V_R \left( r_{ij} \right) - \overline{B}_{ij} V_A \left( r_{ij} \right) \right] \tag{1}$$

where the repulsive term  $V_R$  is

$$V_{R}(r_{ij}) = f_{ij}(r_{ij})D_{ij}^{(e)} / (S_{ij} - 1)e^{-\sqrt{2S_{ij}}\beta_{ij}(r_{ij} - R_{ij}^{(e)})}$$
(2)

and the attractive terms  $V_A$  is

$$V_{A}(r_{ij}) = f_{ij}(r_{ij})D_{ij}^{(e)}S_{ij}/(S_{ij}-1)e^{-\sqrt{2/S_{ij}}\beta_{ij}(r_{ij}-R_{ij}^{(e)})}(3)$$

The function  $f_{ij}$  cuts the pair potentials  $V_R$  and  $V_A$  to the nearest neighbors:

$$f_{ij}(r) = \begin{cases} 1, r < R_{ij}^{(1)} \\ 1 + \cos\left[\frac{\pi(r - R_{ij}^{(1)})}{R_{ij}^{(2)} - R_{ij}^{(1)}}\right] \right] / 2, R_{ij}^{(1)} < r < R_{ij}^{(2)} \\ 0, R_{ij}^{(2)} < r \end{cases}$$

$$\overline{B}_{ij} \text{ is an empirical bond-order function:}$$

 $\overline{B}_{ij}$  is an empirical bond-order function:  $\overline{B}_{ij} = (B_{ij} + B_{ji})/2$ , where each of the averaged terms has the following form:

$$B_{ij} = \left[1 + \sum_{k(\neq i,j)} G_i\left(\theta_{ijk}\right) f_{ik}\left(r_{ik}\right) e^{\alpha_{ijk}\left[\left(r_{ij} - R_{ij}^{(e)}\right) - \left(r_{ik} - R_{ik}^{(e)}\right)\right]}\right]^{-\delta_i}$$

For carbon atoms, the function  $G_C$  is defined as follows:

$$G_C(\theta) = a_0 \left\{ 1 + c_0^2 / d_0^2 - c_0^2 / \left[ d_0^2 + (1 + \cos \theta)^2 \right] \right\}$$

Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
$R_{CC}^{(e)}$	1,39 Å	$R_{CC}^{(1)}$	1,7 Å
$D_{CC}^{(e)}$	6,0 eV	$R_{CC}^{(2)}$	2,0 Å
$oldsymbol{eta_{CC}}$	2,1 Å <sup>-1</sup>	$a_0$	0,00020813
$S_{CC}$	1,22	$c_0^2$	$330^{2}$
$\delta_{\scriptscriptstyle CC}$	0.5	$d_0^{2}$	$3,5^{2}$
$\alpha_{\scriptscriptstyle CCC}$	0,0		

**Table 1**: Parameters of the potential.

The potential (1) realistically describes the bonding structure and properties of graphite, diamond and small hydrocarbon molecules. In addition, it properly describes bond forming and breaking and can be used to simulate defect formation in mechanical treatments [9].

The tube starting configurations are generated with the Mintmire's code [10] and consequently relaxed (optimized) by a power quench in a MD run [11]. In the power quench, each velocity component is set to zero if it is opposite to the corresponding force of that component. This affects atomic velocities, or unit-cell velocities (for cell shape optimizations). The equations of motions are integrated using a velocity-Verlet algorithm with a time step of 0.2 fs. A combination of the cell linked-list method and the Verlet neighboring list [12] has been implemented to calculate the neighbors of each atom.

The stress (stretch) is simulated for different time intervals by changing at each time step only the positions  $\{r\}$  of the edge atoms of the open-end tube,  $\{r\} \rightarrow \{r \pm \delta r\}$  [13]. The positions and momenta of the rest of the atoms are computed from the classical equations of motion written in the Lagrangian form. As the coordinate system is centered in the middle of the tube with the z axis along the tube length, the sign is (+) for compressing the left side atoms and (-) - for the right side atoms. For stretching, the signs are altered. Various values of the external stress  $\delta r$ have been applied, mimicking various speeds. Here we report results for 500 and 1000 m/s, e.g 1/20 and 1/10 of the sound of velocity in carbon. The twist is realized as follows: at every time step we rotate the edge atoms around the tube axes at the angle of 0.001 rad.. The two ends are rotated in the opposite directions.

#### **Results**

Defect formation, energy accumulation, and shear of the tubes have been monitored during the calculations. The relaxation time in the elastic region and the tube stability as functions of the defect concentrations have been computed as well. Our results reproduce the data [14] for (10, 10) tubes with different lengths under uniaxial stress. Short tubes (up to 13 Å) sustain large amount of compression (~ 30%) without breaking and almost completely recovered their initial shape when the external force is set to zero.

Under compression, some of the atoms sit offplane and even break their bonds under further stress. As a whole the tube is stable (no segmentation) and only folded if it is longer than 13 Å. Short tubes bend: the Figure 1 is (10,0) tube 12,98 Å long.. After short compression intervals, corresponding to a relatively small pressure below 100-120 GPa - the tube completely restores its initial shape when the force vanishes [8], otherwise it buckles [13]. Salvetat and co-workers [4] have already shown in their experiments that the tubes are elastic for low loads, which indicates that the current calculations are realistic. The regime of linear response is useful for periodic adsorption/de-sorption of gases. However, the rate of adsorption is too low because the number of defects created in compression is small - greenyellow spots in the figure. The red edge atoms are 'hot' and occasionally evaporate.





Figure 1: A tube bends under compression.


Stretching of the tubes creates more defects then compression, however in a narrow interval of relative extension values: 42-44%, Figure 2. Inspecting the slope of the curve we notice two kinks for tubes with a length less than 26,0 nm, e.g. 2600 atoms in the (10,0) tubes. We identify the first kink as transition between elastic to a plastic state with broken bonds or/and slits. If the tube is released in this region (zero external force), the defects do not disappear and slow fluctuations of the neighboring atoms are observed. The

defects become attracting centers for molecules like  $O_2$ ,  $N_2$ ,  $H_2$ .




**Figure 2:** Two kinks of the curves are seen for short tubes; one kink is seen for long ones.

We relate the second kink with the appearance of a 'bridging' area: The Figure 3 gives an example for the (10,0) tube with 1600 atoms. All tubes with lengths below 260.7 Å break at about 45% extension - the maximum of the curves, while the longer tubes break at about 42-43% directly in the elastic state like metals and ionic solids. This is very interesting result - a distinct size effect: under stretch short tubes behave like metals and long ones - like ceramics. It is well known [2] that chirality of the tubes causes different electron properties due to the curvature. In the present study we change the curvature by mechanical treatment without changing the chirality. Ab initio calculations of the electronic properties are due. We are going to implement the code used by us for finite size systems [15]. There is information about induced plasticity in compression [16], while the stretch induced plasticity is studied here.



**Figure 3:** Formation of a bridge between two segments corresponds to the second kink of the curve in the Figure 2.

The longer tubes form two bridges, Figure 4.



**Figure 4**: The occurrence of two 'bridges' is probably the reason for the missing elastic-plastic transition in long tubes, which break in the elastic state.

Data illustrating another size effect observed in the present study are given in the Table 2. Slow and fast stretch: cause different reaction of the tubes: the longer the tube the larger extension before break-up under lower speeds, while at fast speeds, the scaling is opposite. We explain this effect with the wave propagation: the length of the tube becomes incommensurate with the wave lengths of transmitted and backscattered waves under specific circumstances.

zigzag	No. of	Stretch	Stretch	%
	atoms	velocity	time	stretch
(10,0)	1200	500m/s	10,36ps	43,8
(10,0)	1200	1000m/s	5,60 ps	43,1
(10,0)	1600	500 m/s	15,28ps	44,1
(10,0)	1600	1000m/s	7,52 ps	43,4
(10,0)	2000	500 m/s	18,20ps	44,2
(10,0)	2000	1000m/s	9,40 ps	43,3
(10,0)	3000	500 m/s	29,00ps	44,5
(10,0)	3000	1000m/s	13,76ps	42,3


**Table 2**: Opposite scaling for fast and slow speed.

Stretching breaks some bonds when enough energy is accumulated and defects appear. The time t (in ps) needed to accumulate enough energy for the elastic-plastic transition increases linearly under slow and fast stretching as a function of the tube length. However, the slopes are different for slow stretch  $t(N) = 0.0102 \ N - 1.6626$ , N is the number of carbon atoms and for fast stretch [9]:  $t(N) = 0.0045 \ N + 0.2612$ .

Fast stretch causes transition in a short tube from elastic to a plastic state before it breaks-up, while the longer tube breaks-up in the elastic state. We call a state 'plastic' if the system does not restore its initial shape after the force is set to zero. Adiabatic stretch (lower speed) does not show the

elastic-to-plastic transition for none of the tubes studied here.

An example of twisted tube is given in the Figure 5. The two ends of the tube are fixed and only the atom arrangement is knew. The scale in the figure shows the difference between the initial energy and the current energy of every atom in a (10,0) tube with 1200 atoms (12,9 Å length). The snapshot corresponds to 3,4 ps duration of twist.



**Figure 5**: The red and yellow atoms are less bound.

The twisted tube restores its shape before appearance of 'hot' atoms. If this tube is released it stays defected.

### IV. Conclusions and comments

This study shows that a relatively small number of dynamical defects can be created in a SWCNT before it breaks-up. Only some of the defects attract molecules. The good news is that once created, these defects do not migrate and the surrounding atoms slightly fluctuates giving rise to the dynamical adsorption. Having in mind that in the reality, ropes of hundreds of tubes are produced, thus increasing the efficiency, mechanical treatments would be useful for adsorption/desorption purposes.

The Molecular Dynamics simulations of stress, strain, and twist of zigzag and armchair tubes with different lengths revealed rather interesting scaling, which depends on the velocity of the mechanical treatment. Fast and slow stretching caused quite a different deformation of short and long tubes: short tubes behave like metals or ionic

solids, while the longer ones resemble ceramics or glasses under fast stretching only. A slow stretch does not show such a size effect. This behavior resembles the solid-solid transitions in clusters made of rigid molecules [Proykova 2002, Proykova et al 2001][23,24]: depending on the potential energy surface (PES), and particularly on the distribution of the saddle points on the PES, which are closely related to the number of ingredients in a system, various structural phase transitions can be observed.

the longer the tube the larger extension before break-up; for a twice faster expansion – the trend is opposite. Short (10,10) SWCNTs can sustain large amounts of axial compression (buckling) and can nearly restore the original form after relaxation after fast compression.

#### Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Ministry of Education and Science (Bulgaria) under the grant (F-3/2003). H. Iliev acknowledges the support from the Edinburgh Parallel Computing Center during his visit in 2003 {EC contract No.HPRI-CT-1999-00026 TRACS-EPCC}. A.P. is very grateful to Prof. Jeffery Gordon (Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Israel) and Dr. Chua (the University of Singapore) for their valuable ideas, inspiring discussions, and stimulating experimental work in the field of adsorption of carbon tubes.

#### **References:**

- [1] Iijima S. *Helical microtubules of graphitic carbon*. Nature 1991;354:56-58.
- [2] Dresselhaus MS, Dresselhaus G, Avouris P. Carbon Nanotubes: Synthesis, Structure, Properties, and Applications. Berlin: Springer, 2001.
- [3] Salvetat-Delmotte J-P, Rubio A. *Mechanical properties of carbon nanotubes: a fiber digest for beginners*. Carbon 2002;40:1729-1734.
- [4] Adams II TA. *Physical Properties of Carbon Nanotubes: Equilibrium Structure*. 2003. Accessed 10 January 2004. URL: <a href="http://www.pa.msu.edu/cmp/csc/ntproperties/equilibriumstructure.html">http://www.pa.msu.edu/cmp/csc/ntproperties/equilibriumstructure.html</a>

- [5] Zhao J, Buldum A, Han J, Lu J.P. *Gas molecule adsorption in carbon nanotubes and nanotube bundles*. Nanotechnology 2002;13:195-200.
- [6] Wanh Q, Johnson JK. Molecular simulation of hydrogen adsorption in single-walled carbon nanotubes and idealized carbon slit pores. J. Chem. Phys. 1999;110:577-586.
- [7] Brenner DW. Empirical potential for hydrocarbons for use in simulating the chemical vapor deposition of diamond films. Phys. Rev. B 1990;42:9458.
- [8] Iliev H. BS thesis. Bended nanotubes due to compression.. URL:

http://cluster.phys.uni-sofia.bg:8080/

- [9] Iliev H, Proykova A. Applied Nanoscience 2004 (submitted).
- [10] Mintmire J. *make\_tube.f.* 2000; Accessed 10 April2003.URL:

 $\underline{http://www.mse.ncsu.edu/CompMatSci/make\_tube.f}$ 

- [11] Ordejón P, Sánchez-Portal D, Artacho E, Soler JM, García A.1999. *SIESTA 0.12.7 USER'S GUIDE*. 1999; Accessed 10 April 2003. URL: http://dipc.ehu.es/arubio/siesta/siesta.html
- [12] Allen MP, Tildesley DJ. 1986. Computer simulation of liquids. Oxford Science Publications.
- [13] Srivastava D, Barnard ST. Molecular Dynamics Simulation of Large-Scale Carbon Nanotubes on a Shared-Memory Architecture. Super Computing 97, 1997. Accessed 10 April 2003;URL:

http://www.nas.nasa.gov/Groups/Nanotechnology/publications/1997/sc97/INDEX.HTM

- [14] Yang L. 2002.Mechanical and Electric Properties of Carbon Nanotubes (CNT). Accessed 10 January 2004.URL: <a href="http://www.ipt.arc.nasa.gov/yang.html">http://www.ipt.arc.nasa.gov/yang.html</a>
- [15] Proykova A, Pisov S, Dragieva I, Chelikowsky J. 2002. DFT Calculations of Optimized Structures of Some Coordination Compounds. in *Nanoscience & Nanotechnology*.;

- 3:17-20. eds. E. Balabanova and I. Dragieva. Sofia: Heron Press Science Series.
- [16] Srivastava D, Menon M, Kyeongjae C. Nanoplasticity of single-wall carbon nanotubes under uniaxial compression. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1999; 83:2973-2976.