Interacting fermions in quasi-one-dimensional harmonic traps

G. E. Astrakharchik^(1,2), D. Blume⁽³⁾, S. Giorgini⁽¹⁾, and L. P. Pitaevskii⁽¹⁾

⁽¹⁾ Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Trento and BEC-INFM, I-38050 Povo, Italy

⁽²⁾Institute of Spectroscopy, 142190 Troitsk, Moscow region, Russia

⁽³⁾Department of Physics, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington 99164-2814, USA

(Dated: November 16, 2018)

Quasi-one-dimensional (quasi-1d) two-component Fermi gases with effectively attractive and repulsive interactions are characterized for arbitrary interaction strength. The ground-state properties of the gas confined in highly elongated harmonic traps are determined within the local density approximation. For strong attractive effective interactions the existence of a molecular Tonks-Girardeau gas is predicted. The frequency of the lowest breathing mode is calculated as a function of the coupling strength for both attractive and repulsive interactions.

PACS numbers:

The study of cold quasi-1d atomic quantum gases presents a very active area of research. So far, most of the experimental [1] and theoretical [2, 3, 4, 5, 6] investigations have been devoted to quasi-1d Bose gases and, in particular, to the strongly-interacting Tonks-Girardeau gas, which can be mapped to a gas of non-interacting fermions [2, 7, 8]. Quasi-1d atomic Fermi gases have not been realized experimentally yet.

The role of interactions in quasi-1d atomic Fermi gases has been studied mainly in connection with Luttinger liquid theory [9, 10]. Recati *et al.* [10] investigate the properties of a two-component Fermi gas with *repulsive* interspecies interactions confined in highly-elongated harmonic traps. In the limit of weak and strong coupling these authors relate the parameters of the Luttinger Hamiltonian, which describe the low-energy properties of the gas, to the microscopic parameters of the system. The Luttinger model is used to analyze the manifestations of the uncoupled dynamics of spin and density waves (spin-charge separation).

This Letter investigates the properties of inhomogeneous quasi-1d two-component Fermi gases under harmonic confinement with *attractive and repulsive* interspecies interactions. The present study is based on the exact equation of state of a homogeneous 1d system of fermions with zero-range attractive [11, 12] and repulsive [13] interactions treated within the local density approximation (LDA). We calculate the energy per particle, the size of the cloud, and the frequency of the lowest compressional mode as a function of the effective 1d coupling constant, including infinitely strong attractive and repulsive interactions. Moreover, for attractive interactions we discuss the cross-over from the weak- to the strongcoupling regime and point out the possibility of forming a mechanically stable molecular Tonks-Girardeau gas.

Quasi-1d two-component Fermi gases with effectively attractive and repulsive 1d interspecies interactions can be realized in highly-elongated traps. The behavior of atomic gases tightly-confined in two directions can, if the confinement is chosen properly, be characterized to a very good approximation by an effective 1d coupling constant, g_{1d} , which encapsulates the atom-atom interaction strength. This coupling constant can be tuned to essentially any value, including zero and $\pm \infty$, by varying the 3d *s*-wave scattering length a_{3d} through application of an external magnetic field in the proximity of a Feshbach resonance.

In homogeneous 1d Fermi gases with attractive interactions, sound waves propagate with a well defined velocity, while spin waves exhibit a gap [12]. Furthermore, in the strong-coupling regime, the ground state is comprised of bosonic molecules, consisting of two fermions with different spin whose spatial size is much smaller than the average intermolecular distance [12]. Consequently, BCS-type equations have been discussed for effectively attractive 1d interactions [14]. The quasi-1d molecular Bose gas discussed here has similarities with the formation of a molecular Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) from a 3d Fermi sea close to a magnetic atomatom Feshbach resonance [15], which may allow study of the BCS-BEC cross-over [16]. In contrast to the 3d case, the cross-over from the weak-coupling regime of large, overlapping Cooper pairs to the strong-coupling regime of small, tightly bound Bose pairs can be investigated in 1d within an exactly solvable model.

Consider a two-component atomic Fermi gas confined in a highly-elongated trap. The fermionic atoms are assumed to belong to the same atomic species, that is, to have the same mass m, but to be trapped in different hyperfine states σ , where σ represents a generalized spin or angular momentum, $\sigma = \uparrow$ or \downarrow . The trapping potential is assumed to be harmonic and axially symmetric,

$$V_{trap} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2} m \left(\omega_{\rho}^2 \rho_i^2 + \omega_z^2 z_i^2 \right) .$$
 (1)

Here, $\rho_i = \sqrt{x_i^2 + y_i^2}$ and z_i denote, respectively, the radial and longitudinal coordinate of the *i*th atom; ω_{ρ} and ω_z denote, respectively, the angular frequency in the radial and longitudinal direction; and N denotes the total number of atoms. We require that the anisotropy parameter $\lambda \ll 1$, where $\omega_z = \lambda \omega_{\rho}$, so that the transverse motion is "frozen" to zero point oscillations. At zero temperature this implies that the Fermi energy associ-



FIG. 1: Effective 1d coupling constant g_{1d} [solid line, Eq. (4)], together with effective 1d scattering length a_{1d} [dashed line, Eq. (5)] as a function of a_{3d} .

ated with the longitudinal motion of the atoms in the absence of interactions, $\epsilon_F = N\hbar\omega_z/2$, is much smaller than the separation between the levels in the transverse direction, $\epsilon_F \ll \hbar\omega_\rho$. This condition is fulfilled if $N\lambda \ll 1$. The outlined scenario can be realized experimentally with present-day technology using optical traps.

If the Fermi gas is kinematically in 1d, it can be described by an effective 1d Hamiltonian with contact interactions,

$$H = N\hbar\omega_{\rho} + H_{1d}^{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2}m\omega_{z}^{2}z_{i}^{2} , \qquad (2)$$

where

$$H_{1d}^{0} = -\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{i}^{2}} + g_{1d} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\uparrow}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\downarrow}} \delta(z_{i} - z_{j}) \quad (3)$$

and $N = N_{\uparrow} + N_{\downarrow}$. This effective Hamiltonian accounts for the interspecies atom-atom interactions, which are parameterized by the 3d *s*-wave scattering length a_{3d} , through the effective 1d coupling constant g_{1d} [2],

$$g_{1d} = \frac{2\hbar^2 a_{3d}}{ma_{\rho}^2} \frac{1}{1 - Aa_{3d}/a_{\rho}} , \qquad (4)$$

but neglects the typically much weaker intraspecies p-wave interactions. In Eq. (4), $a_{\rho} = \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega_{\rho}}$ is the characteristic oscillator length in the transverse direction and $A = |\zeta(1/2)|/\sqrt{2} \simeq 1.0326$. Alternatively, g_{1d} can be expressed through the effective 1d scattering length a_{1d} , $g_{1d} = -2\hbar^2/(ma_{1d})$, where

$$a_{1d} = -a_\rho \left(\frac{a_\rho}{a_{3d}} - A\right) \ . \tag{5}$$

Figure 1 shows g_{1d} and a_{1d} as a function of the 3d swave scattering length a_{3d} , which can be varied continuously by application of an external field. The effective



FIG. 2: E_{hom}/N (solid line), μ_{hom} (dashed line) and c (inset) for a homogeneous two-component 1d Fermi gas as a function of γ (horizontal arrows indicate the asymptotic values of E_{hom}/N , μ_{hom} and c, respectively).

1d interaction is repulsive, $g_{1d} > 0$, for $0 < a_{3d} < a_{3d}^c$ $(a_{3d}^c = 0.9684a_\rho)$, and attractive, $g_{1d} < 0$, for $a_{3d} > a_{3d}^c$ and for $a_{3d} < 0$. By varying a_{3d} , it is possible to go adiabatically from the strongly-interacting repulsive regime $(g_{1d} \to +\infty \text{ or } a_{3d} \lesssim a_{3d}^c)$, through the weaklyinteracting regime $(g_{1d} \to 0)$ to the strongly-interacting attractive regime $(g_{1d} \to -\infty \text{ or } a_{3d} \gtrsim a_{3d}^c)$.

For two fermions with different spin the Hamiltonian H_{1d}^0 , Eq. (3), supports one bound state with binding energy $\epsilon_{bound} = -\hbar^2/(ma_{1d}^2)$ and spatial extent $\sim a_{1d}$ for $g_{1d} < 0$, and no bound state for $g_{1d} > 0$, that is, the molecular state becomes exceedingly weakly-bound and spatially-delocalized as $g_{1d} \to 0^-$. In the following we investigate the properties of a gas with N fermions, $N_{\uparrow} = N_{\downarrow}$, for both effectively attractive and repulsive 1d interactions with and without longitudinal confinement.

To start with, consider the Hamiltonian H_{1d}^0 , Eq. (3), which describes a homogeneous 1d two-component Fermi gas. The ground state energy E_{hom} of H_{1d}^0 has been calculated exactly using Bethe's ansatz for both attractive [11] and repulsive [13] interactions, and can be expressed in terms of the linear number density n_{1d} , $n_{1d} = N/L$, where L is the size of the system,

$$\frac{E_{hom}}{N} = \frac{\hbar^2 n_{1d}^2}{2m} e(\gamma) . \tag{6}$$

The dimensionless parameter γ is proportional to the coupling constant g_{1d} , $\gamma = mg_{1d}/(\hbar^2 n_{1d})$, while its absolute value is inversely proportional to the 1d gas parameter $n_{1d}|a_{1d}|$, $|\gamma| = 2/n_{1d}|a_{1d}|$. The function $e(\gamma)$ is obtained by solving a set of integral equations [17], which is similar to that derived by Lieb and Liniger [18] for 1d bosons with repulsive contact interactions. To obtain the energy per particle, Eq. (6), we solve these integral equations for attractive ($\gamma < 0$) [11] and repulsive interactions ($\gamma > 0$) [13].

Figure 2 shows the energy per particle, E_{hom}/N (solid line), the chemical potential μ_{hom} , $\mu_{hom} = dE_{hom}/dN$

(dashed line), and the velocity of sound c (inset), which is obtained from the compressibility of the system, $mc^2 = n_{1d}\partial\mu_{hom}/\partial n_{1d}$, as a function of the interaction strength γ . In the weak coupling limit, $|\gamma| \ll 1$, μ_{hom} is given by

$$\mu_{hom} = \frac{\pi^2}{4} \frac{\hbar^2 n_{1d}^2}{2m} + \gamma \frac{\hbar^2 n_{1d}^2}{2m} + \cdots , \qquad (7)$$

where the first term on the right hand side is the energy of an ideal two-component atomic Fermi gas, and the second term is the mean-field energy, which accounts for interactions. The chemical potential increases with increasing γ , and reaches an asymptotic value for $\gamma \to \infty$ [10] (indicated by a horizontal arrow in Fig. 2),

$$\mu_{hom} = \pi^2 \, \frac{\hbar^2 n_{1d}^2}{2m} - \frac{16\pi^2 \ln(2)}{3\gamma} \, \frac{\hbar^2 n_{1d}^2}{2m} + \cdots \,. \tag{8}$$

The first term on the right hand side coincides with the chemical potential of a one-component ideal 1d Fermi gas with N atoms. Interestingly, for $\gamma \gg 1$, the strong atomatom repulsion between atoms with different spin plays the role of an effective Pauli principle.

For attractive interactions and large enough $|\gamma|$ the energy per particle is negative (see Fig. 2), reflecting the existence of a molecular Bose gas, which consists of N/2diatomic molecules with binding energy ϵ_{bound} . Each molecule is comprised of two atoms with different spin. In the limit $\gamma \to -\infty$, the chemical potential becomes

$$\mu_{hom} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2ma_{1d}^2} + \frac{\pi^2}{16} \frac{\hbar^2 n_{1d}^2}{2m} + \frac{\pi^2}{12\gamma} \frac{\hbar^2 n_{1d}^2}{2m} + \cdots \quad (9)$$

The first term is simply $\epsilon_{bound}/2$, one half of the binding energy of the 1d molecule, while the second term is identical to the chemical potential of a bosonic Tonks-Girardeau gas with density $n_{1d}/2$, consisting of N/2molecules with mass 2m [19]. Importantly, the compressibility remains positive for $\gamma \to -\infty$ [a horizontal arrow in the inset of Fig. 2 indicates the asymptotic value of c, $c = \pi \hbar n_{1d}/(4m)$], which implies that two-component 1d Fermi gases are mechanically stable even in the stronglyattractive regime. In contrast, the ground state of 1d Bose gases with $g_{1d} < 0$ has negative compressibility [20] and is hence mechanically unstable. The formation of a quasi-1d molecular gas discussed here has some analogies to the formation of a 3d molecular BEC [21] and the BCS-BEC cross-over for 3d Fermi systems [16].

Using the solutions for the homogeneous twocomponent 1d Fermi gas, we now describe the inhomogeneous gas, Eq. (2), within the LDA [4, 5, 10]. This approximation is applicable if the size R of the cloud is much larger than the harmonic oscillator length a_z in the longitudinal direction, $a_z = \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega_z}$, implying $\epsilon_F \gg \hbar\omega_z$ and $N \gg 1$. The chemical potential μ of the inhomogeneous system can be determined from the local equilibrium condition,

$$\mu = \mu_{hom}[n_{1d}(z)] + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_z^2 z^2 , \qquad (10)$$



FIG. 3: Energy per particle, E/N (solid lines), and size of the cloud, R (dashed lines), for an inhomogeneous two component 1d Fermi gas as a function of the coupling strength Na_{1d}^2/a_z^2 for repulsive $(g_{1d} > 0)$ and attractive $(g_{1d} < 0)$ interactions.

and the normalization condition $N = \int_{-R}^{R} n_{1d}(z)dz$, where z is measured from the center of the trap and $R = \sqrt{2\mu'/(m\omega_z^2)}$, where $\mu' = \mu$ for $g_{1d} > 0$ and $\mu' = \mu + |\epsilon_{bound}|/2$ for $g_{1d} < 0$. The normalization condition can be reexpressed in terms of the dimensionless chemical potential $\tilde{\mu}$ and the dimensionless density \tilde{n}_{1d} $[\tilde{\mu} = \mu'/(\hbar^2/2ma_{1d}^2)$ and $\tilde{n}_{1d} = |a_{1d}|n_{1d}]$,

$$N\frac{a_{1d}^2}{a_z^2} = \int_0^{\tilde{\mu}} \frac{\tilde{n}_{1d}(\tilde{\mu} - x)}{\sqrt{x}} dx .$$
 (11)

This expression emphasizes that the coupling strength is determined by Na_{1d}^2/a_z^2 ; $Na_{1d}^2/a_z^2 \gg 1$ corresponds to the weak coupling and $Na_{1d}^2/a_z^2 \ll 1$ to the strong coupling regime, irrespective of whether the interactions are attractive or repulsive [5].

Figure 3 shows the energy per particle E/N and the size R of the cloud as a function of the coupling strength Na_{1d}^2/a_z^2 for positive and negative g_{1d} calculated within the LDA for an inhomogeneous two-component 1d Fermi gas. Compared to the non-interacting gas, for which $R = \sqrt{N}a_z$, R increases for repulsive interactions and decreases for attractive interactions. For $Na_{1d}^2/a_z^2 \ll 1$, R reaches the asymptotic value $\sqrt{2N}a_z$ for the strongly attractive regime, $g_{1d} \to +\infty$, and the value $\sqrt{N/2}a_z$ for the strongly attractive interactions reflects the formation of tightly bound molecules. In the limit $g_{1d} \to -\infty$, the energy per particle approaches $\epsilon_{bound}/2 + N\hbar\omega_z/4$, indicating the formation of a molecules.

Using a sum rule approach, the frequency ω of the lowest compressional (breathing) mode of harmonically trapped 1d gases can be calculated from the mean-square size of the cloud $\langle z^2 \rangle$ [5],

$$\omega^2 = -2 \frac{\langle z^2 \rangle}{d \langle z^2 \rangle / d\omega_z^2} \,. \tag{12}$$



FIG. 4: Square of the lowest breathing mode frequency, ω^2 , as a function of the coupling strength Na_{1d}^2/a_z^2 for an inhomogeneous two-component 1d Fermi gas with repulsive $(g_{1d} > 0)$ and attractive $(g_{1d} < 0)$ interactions determined numerically from Eq. (12) (solid lines). Dashed lines show analytic expansions (see text), which are valid in the weak and strong coupling regime.

In the weak and strong coupling regime $(Na_{1d}^2/a_z^2 \gg 1 \text{ and } \ll 1$, respectively), $\langle z^2 \rangle$ has the same dependence on ω_z as the ideal 1d Fermi gas. Consequently, ω is in these limits given by $2\omega_z$, irrespective of whether the interaction is repulsive or attractive. Solid lines in Fig. 3 show ω^2 , determined numerically from Eq. (12), as a function of the interaction strength Na_{1d}^2/a_z^2 . A non-trivial behavior of ω^2 as a function of Na_{1d}^2/a_z^2 is visible. To gain

- A. Görlitz et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 130402 (2001); F. Schreck et al., *ibid.* 87, 080403 (2001); M. Greiner et al., *ibid.* 87, 160405 (2001); B. Laburthe Tolra et al., preprint cond-mat/0312003; H. Moritz, T. Stöferle, M. Köhl and T. Esslinger, preprint cond-mat/0307607.
- [2] M. Olshanii, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 938 (1998).
- [3] D.S. Petrov, G.V. Shlyapnikov and J.T.M. Walraven, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3745 (2000).
- [4] V. Dunjko, V. Lorent and M. Olshanii, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5413 (2001).
- [5] C. Menotti and S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. A 66, 043610 (2002).
- [6] M.D. Girardeau, E.M. Wright and J.M. Triscari, Phys. Rev. A 63, 033601 (2001).
- [7] M.D. Girardeau, J. Math. Phys. 1, 516 (1960).
- [8] J. Reichel and J.H. Thywissen, preprint cond-mat/0310330.
- [9] Gao Xianlong and W. Wonneberger, Phys. Rev. A 65, 033610 (2002); F. Gleisberg and W. Wonneberger, preprint cond-mat/0208376.
- [10] A. Recati, P.O. Fedichev, W. Zwerger and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 020401 (2003); A. Recati, P.O. Fedichev, W. Zwerger and P. Zoller, J. Opt. B - Quantum and Semiclassical Opt. **5**, S55 (2003).
- [11] M. Gaudin, Phys. Letters **24A**, 55 (1967).

further insight, we calculate the first correction $\delta\omega$ to the breathing mode frequency $\omega [\omega = 2\omega_z(1 + \delta\omega/\omega_z + \cdots)]$ analytically for weak repulsive and attractive interactions, as well as for strong repulsive and attractive interactions. For the weak coupling regime, we find $\delta\omega/\omega_z = \pm (4/3\pi^2)/(Na_{1d}^2/a_z^2)^{1/2}$, where the minus sign applies to repulsive interactions and the plus sign to attractive interactions. For the strong coupling regime, we find $\delta\omega/\omega_z = -[16\sqrt{2}\ln(2)/15\pi^2](Na_{1d}^2/a_z^2)^{1/2}$ for repulsive interactions and $\delta\omega/\omega_z = (8\sqrt{2}/15\pi^2)(Na_{1d}^2/a_z^2)^{1/2}$ for attractive interactions. Dashed lines in Fig. 4 show the resulting analytic expansions for ω^2 . These expansions describe the lowest breathing mode frequency quite well over a fairly large range of interaction strengths. Not surprisingly, their validity breaks down for $Na_{1d}^2/a_z^2 \sim 1$.

In conclusion, we have investigated the cross-over from weak to strong coupling of quasi-1d harmonically trapped two-component Fermi gases with both repulsive and attractive effective interactions. The frequency of the lowest breathing mode, which can provide an experimental signature of the cross-over, is calculated. We predict the existence of a stable molecular Tonk-Girardeau gas in the strongly attractive regime. We believe that our results, which are derived using a 1d model Hamiltonian with contact interactions, hold when more realistic interactions are considered and when the transverse motion is treated explicitly.

Acknowledgements: GEA, SG and LPP acknowledge support by the Ministero dell'Istruzione, dell' Università e della Ricerca (MIUR). DB acknowledges support by the NSF (grant 0331529).

- [12] V.Ya. Krivnov and A.A. Ovchinnikov, Sov. Phys. JETP 40, 781 (1975).
- [13] C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 1312 (1967).
- [14] M. Casas et al., Phys. Rev. A 44, 4915 (1991).
- [15] C.A. Regal, C. Ticknor, J.L. Bohn and D.S. Jin, Nature 424, 47 (2003); K.E. Strecker, G.B. Partridge and R.G. Hulet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 080406 (2003).
- [16] A.J. Leggett, in Modern Trends in the Theory of Condensed Matter, edited by A. Pekalski and R. Przystawa (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980); P. Nozières and S. Schmitt-Rink, J. Low Temp. Phys. **59**, 195 (1985); C.A.R. Sá de Melo, M. Randeria and J.R. Engelbrecht, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 3202 (1993).
- [17] More details on the numerical solution of the integral equations and a numerically computed table for the function $e(\gamma)$ can be dowloaded from http://www.science.unitn.it/~astra/1Dfermions/.
- [18] E.H. Lieb and W. Liniger, Phys. Rev. 130, 1605 (1963).
- [19] The coefficient and sign of the third term on the right hand side of Eq. (9) differ from the ones calculated in Ref. [14]. This term is crucial to calculate the first correction to $\omega = 2\omega_z$ for the frequency of the breathing mode in the strong-coupling regime.
- [20] J.B. McGuire, J. Math. Phys. 5, 622 (1964).
- [21] M. Greiner, C.A. Regal and D.S. Jin, Nature 426, 537

(2003); M.W. Zwierlein $et\ al.,$ Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 250401 (2003).