Ru-NMR Studies and Specific Heat Measurements of Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁ and La₄Ru₆O₁₉

Katsuhiko Tsuchida, Chihiro Kato, Toshiaki Fujita, Yoshiaki Kobayashi and Masatoshi Sato

Department of Physics, Division of Material Science, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8602

Abstra ct

Specific heats measurements and Ru-NMR studies have been carried out for $Bi_3Ru_3O_{11}$ and $La_4Ru_6O_{19}$, which commonly have three-dimensional linkages of edge-sharing pairs of RuO₆ octahedra. The Knight shifts, the nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rates $1/T_1$ and the electronic specific heats C_{el} of these systems exhibit anomalous temperature (*T*) dependence at low temperatures, as was pointed out by Khalifah et al. [Nature 411 (2001) 660.] for the latter system based on their experimental data of the resistivity, magnetic susceptibility and electronic specific heat.

Ratios of $1/T_1T$ to the square of the spin component of the isotropic Knight shift, K_{spin} estimated for these systems at low temperatures suggest that they have antiferromagnetic (AF) spin fluctuations. It is confirmed by the fact that the *T*-dependences of $1/T_1T$ and C_{el}/T of the present systems can be explained by the self-consistent renormalization theory for three dimensional itinerant electron systems with AF spin fluctuations. All these results suggest that the AF fluctuations are the primary origin of the characteristics of their low temperature physical behavior.

corresponding author: M.Sato (email:e43247a@nucc.cc.nagoya-u.ac.jp)

Keywords; Ru oxides, Ru-NMR, SCR theory, spin fluctuations, electronic specific heat

Introduction

R₃Ru₃O₁₁(R=La and Bi) and La₄Ru₆O₁₉ with cubic structures have three-dimensional (3D) linkages of edge-sharing pairs of RuO₆ octahedra, as shown schematically in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), respectively.^{1,2)} They are metallic ³⁻⁵⁾ and Ru ions in these systems have an average valency of 4.33. Khalifah et al. first studied La₃Ru₃O₁₁ and La₄Ru₆O₁₉ by measuring the resistivities ρ , magnetic susceptibilities χ and specific heats, and pointed out that the latter system exhibits non-Fermi liquid behavior at low temperatures, while the former does not.^{3,4)} For Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁, data of transport and magnetic measurements have been presented by the present authors' group in ref. 5 together with those of Bi₃Os₃O₁₁, whose structural characteristics are similar to those of Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁. Anomalous temperature(*T*) dependence of the electronic specific heat *C*_{el} has also been suggested there.

In order to investigate the origin of the anomalous behavior observed at low temperatures, we have measured the longitudinal relaxation rates $1/T_1$ and the Knight shifts K of ^{99,101}Ru nuclear moments for Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁ and La₄Ru₆O₁₉. We have also carried out measurements of specific heats of these systems and deduced the electronic components C_{el} . Results of these measurements indicate that antiferromagnetic (AF) fluctuations cause the anomalous T dependent behavior observed in these systems.

Experiments

Polycrystalline samples of $Bi_3Ru_3O_{11}$ were prepared by the following method. Mixtures of Bi_2O_3 and RuO_2 with proper molar ratio were ground and pressed into pellets. These pellets were put in an Au tube, heated for three days at 790 °C and cooled in the furnace. They were reground and pelletized again and heated in the Au tube at 790 °C for 24 h and cooled in the furnace. Polycrystalline samples of $La_4Ru_6O_{19}$ were prepared as follows. La_2O_3 dried at 900 °C for 24 h was mixed with RuO_2 with proper molar ratio, ground and pressed into pellets. The pellets were sealed in quartz tubes with KClO₄ and KCl, heated at 900 °C for three days and then cooled in the furnace. They were washed by distilled water. The samples of these systems were found to be the single phase by X-ray diffraction.

The magnetic susceptibilities χ were measured by using a Quantum Design SQUID magnetometer in the temperature range between 2 K and 300 K. The specific heats *C* were measured by a thermal relaxation method in the temperature range between 2.3 K and 300 K and by an adiabatic method in the temperature range between 0.8 K and 2.3 K. The ^{99,101}Ru NMR measurements were carried out by a $\pi/2$ - τ - π phase-cycled pulse sequence with fixed τ . The NMR spectra were obtained by recording the spin echo

intensities with the magnetic field changed step by step. The nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate $1/T_1$ of 99,101 Ru nuclei was measured by taking the intensity M(t) as a function of time t elapsed after the saturation pulses were applied.

Results and discussion

The electronic specific heat C_{el} of $Bi_3Ru_3O_{11}$ obtained by subtracting the phonon contribution $C_{\rm ph}$ from the total specific heat $C_{\rm tot}$ is shown in the form of $C_{\rm el}/T$ -T in Fig. 2. It should be noted here that the estimation of C_{ph} is not simple: Because as shown in the inset of Fig. 2 for Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁ and Bi₃Os₃O₁₁, the $C_{tot}/T-T^2$ curve deviates from the straight line, that is, the curve cannot be described by the ordinary relation $C_{tot}/T = \gamma + \beta T^2$ even in the low T region, we cannot simply divide C_{tot} into two terms, C_{el} and C_{ph} .⁵⁾ A possible origin of the deviation is that the Debye temperatures of these systems are very small, because they have heavy Bi ions. Then, we just tried to fit a polynomial form up to the third order of T, to the observed data of C_{tot}/T of Bi₃Os₃O₁₁. The result of the fitting is shown in the inset of Fig. 2 by the solid line, where the electronic part $C_{\rm el}/T=\gamma$ (~17 mJ/mol Os K²) and the sum of other terms(= C_{ph}/T) are separated. For the specific heat C_{tot} of Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁, we realize that the broken line obtained by a vertical shift of the solid line can well reproduce the result of Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁ above 6 K. Based on this result, we introduce an assumption that the phonon contributions of both systems are equal, which enables us to deduce C_{el} of Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁. The result is shown in Fig. 2 in the form of $C_{\rm el}/T$ -T.

The *T* dependence of C_{el} of Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁ reminds us the anomalous behavior of the electronic specific heat found for La₄Ru₆O₁₉, for which the anomalous *T*-linear dependence of the resistivity ρ at low temperatures has also been reported. For the present Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁, the *T*-dependence of the resistivity ρ is roughly described by the relation $\rho = \rho_0 + AT^{\epsilon}$ with ≈ 1.8 in the low temperature region (0.3 K $\leq T \leq 15$ K), ⁵⁾ which is close to the value 2.0 expected for Fermi liquid. Because samples of La₄Ru₆O₁₉ were obtained in the form of very small crystallites, we could not measure the resistivity ρ .

Figure 3 shows the *T*-dependence of the magnetic susceptibilities χ taken for the present samples of Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁⁵⁾ and La₄Ru₆O₁₉. The result for La₄Ru₆O₁₉ is consistent with the data reported previously.³⁾

^{99,101}Ru-NMR spectra of Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁ and La₄Ru₆O₁₉ are shown in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b), respectively. The powder pattern calculated for the former system by using the asymmetric parameter $\eta \sim 0.5 \pm 0.05$, the nuclear electronic quadrupole (*eqQ*) frequencies ⁹⁹v_Q~2.37\pm0.05 MHz and ¹⁰¹v_Q~(¹⁰¹Q/⁹⁹Q)×⁹⁹v_Q=5.8⁹⁹v_Q and the Knight shifts ^{99,101}K_x = ^{99,101}K_y = ^{99,101}K_z ~0.3\pm0.05 % reproduce the data as shown by the solid line in Fig.

4(a). The powder pattern calculated for the latter system by using $\eta \sim 0.85 \pm 0.1$, $^{99}v_Q \sim 2.7 \pm 0.1$ MHz, $^{101}v_Q \sim 5.8^{99}v_Q$, $^{99,101}K_x \sim 1 \pm 0.1$ % and $^{99,101}K_y = ^{99,101}K_z \sim 3 \pm 0.1$ % reproduce the data as shown by the solid line in Fig. 4(b). The peaks of the 101 Ru spectra of these systems were not detectable, due to the broadening caused by the large eqQinteraction, as in the case of RuO₂.⁶⁾

Figure 5 shows the *T*-dependence of the isotropic part of Knight shifts, $K \equiv (K_x + K_y + K_z)/3)$ estimated from the spectra taken under the magnetic fields $H \sim 10$ T for Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁ and La₄Ru₆O₁₉. The shift *K* of Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁ is negative and almost *T*-independent in the temperature range between 1.8 K and 20 K. For La₄Ru₆O₁₉, the absolute value of *K* increases with decreasing *T* in the same temperature range. These results are consistent with the observed behavior of their magnetic susceptibilities χ shown in the inset of Fig. 3. From the *K*- χ plot (shown in the inset of Fig.5), the hyperfine coupling constant of the spin part, A_{spin} is estimated to be ~-185±20 kOe/ μ_B for La₄Ru₆O₁₉, which is nearly equal to the value reported for CaRuO₃,⁶⁾ although their average valences are different. It is difficult to estimate the value of A_{spin} of Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁, because *K* and χ are almost *T*-independent.

The nuclear-spin relaxation rates $1/T_1$ of Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁ and La₄Ru₆O₁₉ have been measured at the positions indicated by the arrows in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b), respectively. If the measurements are carried out under a condition that the central transition is saturated but the populations in the other levels are not affected, relaxation curves can be described

$$\frac{M(\infty) - M(t)}{M(\infty)} = (1 - \lambda - \mu)\exp(-\frac{t}{T_1}) + \lambda \exp(-\frac{6t}{T_1}) + \mu \exp(-\frac{15t}{T_1})$$
(1)

with μ =0.794 and λ =0.178. However, because there are not only the contribution of central transition line but also the weak contribution of the satellite transition lines to the spectral intensities at the measuring positions, the observed relaxation curves do not precisely agree with the theoretical curve. Here, an expression with slightly modified values μ =0.77 and λ =0.17 have been used to reproduce the observed decay curves or to consider *effectively* possible effects of the actual experimental situation just stated above. A typical example of the relaxation curves taken for La₄Ru₆O₁₉ is shown in the inset of Fig. 6. The obtained data of $1/T_1T$ are plotted against *T* in Figs. 6 and 7 for La₄Ru₆O₁₉ and Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁ and La₄Ru₆O₁₉ in the studied temperature range, indicating that the simple Korringa relation does not hold in the present systems.

Here, to describe the spin contribution to the relaxation rate divided by T, $(1/T_1T)_{spin}$,

we simply use a modified Korringa relation

$$\left(\frac{1}{T_1T}\right)_{\rm spin} = \frac{8\pi^2 k_{\rm B}}{h} \left(\frac{\gamma_{\rm n}}{\gamma_{\rm e}}\right)^2 K_{\rm spin}^2 K(\alpha) \tag{2}$$

usually used for a single band electron system with strong correlation, where K_{spin} is the 4*d*-spin contribution to the isotropic component *K* of the Knight shift and γ_e and γ_n are the gyromagnetic ratios of electrons and Ru nuclei, respectively. If ferromagnetic spin fluctuations are dominant in the electron systems, $K(\alpha)$ is expected to be smaller than unity, while if antiferromagnetic fluctuations are dominant, $K(\alpha) > 1$ holds.

In the actual estimation of K_{spin} of La₄Ru₆O₁₉, by using the orbital hyperfine coupling constant, $A_{orb}\sim 385$ kOe/µ_B estimated for free Ru⁴⁺ ions,⁶⁾ the orbital contribution of the Knight shift K_{orb} and the susceptibility χ_{orb} were determined to be 0.39 ± 0.15 % and $(5.6\pm2.0)\times10^{-5}$ emu/mol-Ru, respectively. For Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁, A_{spin} was assumed to be equal to that of La₄Ru₆O₁₉, and K_{orb} and χ_{orb} were determined to be 0.82 ± 0.08 % and $(1.21\pm0.10)\times10^{-4}$ emu/mol-Ru, respectively. We neglected the orbital contributions to the nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rates divided temperature $(1/T_1T)_{orb}$, because $(1/T_1T)_{orb} \sim 0.2 \text{ s}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$ in other Ru oxides⁶⁾ is much smaller than the values $1/T_1T$ (>2 s⁻¹K⁻¹ for Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁ and >20 s⁻¹K⁻¹ for La₄Ru₆O₁₉) in the studied *T* regions. The values of $K(\alpha)$ increase with decreasing *T* and at the lowest temperature (~1.8 K) studied here, they are 5.0 ± 0.6 and 5.0 ± 0.5 for Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁ and La₄Ru₆O₁₉, respectively. These values suggest that the systems have AF spin fluctuations. (Note the following fact. When eq. (2) cannot be properly applied to the present systems for the possible reason that there exists the degeneracy of the t_{2g} orbitals at the Fermi level, the values of $K(\alpha)$ are larger than those estimated here. Then, the present result does not change.)

To study if the present systems actually have strong AF fluctuations, we analyze the data of $1/T_1T$ and C_{el}/T by using the self-consistent renormalization (SCR) theory⁷⁻¹¹ for itinerant electron systems. According to the theory, the *T*-dependence of $1/T_1T$ for 3D systems with AF fluctuations is given by⁸

$$\frac{1}{T_{1}T} = \frac{3h\gamma_{n}^{2}A_{\rm spin}^{2}}{16\pi k_{\rm B}T_{\rm A}T_{0}\sqrt{y}}$$
(3)

where T_0 and T_A specify the frequency and momentum spread of spin fluctuations. And y is the dimensionless inverse staggered susceptibility defined by

$$y = \frac{1}{2k_{\rm B}T_{\rm A}\chi(Q)} \tag{4}$$

where Q is AF wave vector and $\chi(Q)$ is the staggered susceptibility in units of $(2\mu_B)^2$

per unit energy per atom. The *T*-dependence of $C_{\rm el}/T$ for 3D systems with AF fluctuations is given by⁹⁾

$$\frac{C_{\rm el}}{T} = \frac{3k_{\rm B}N_{0}}{2T_{0}} \left(1 - \frac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{y}\right) + \gamma_{0}$$
(5)

where N_0 is the number of Ru atoms and γ_0 is the electronic specific heat coefficient for non-interacting electrons. Here, we just assume the Curie-Weiss form of $\chi(Q)$ (= $C/(T+\theta)$). Then y_0 which indicates the distance from the quantum critical point (QPC) is defined by the value of y at T=0 K (= $\theta/2k_BT_AC$). Then, we have found that the observed T dependence of $1/T_1T$ and C_{el}/T of Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁ can be fitted by these equations with common parameters as shown in Figs. 2 and 7. In the fitting, to the data of C_{el}/T , γ_0 of 15.1 mJ/mol-Ru K² obtained from the experimental C_{el}/T above 6 K has been used. The parameters (θ, T_0, T_A, C, y_0) determined by the fitting are summarized in Table I.

From the specific heat data of La₄Ru₆O₁₉ shown in the inset of Fig. 8 in the form of $C_{tot}/T-T^2$, the electronic component of C_{el}/T have been extracted by subtracting the phonon component, which is shown by the straight line. Although the C_{el}/T -T curve thus obtained for the present sample is somewhat different from that of the previous report.³⁾ The $1/T_1T$ -T curve below 20 K and C_{el}/T below 10 K for La₄Ru₆O₁₉ can be fitted by the eqs. (3) and (5) with common parameters as shown in Figs. 6 and 8 by the solid lines, respectively. In the fitting to the data of C_{el}/T , γ_0 of 5.1 mJ/mol-Ru K² estimated from the data of C_{el}/T above 10 K has been used. The parameters (θ, T_0, T_A, C, y_0) determined by the fitting are summarized in Table I. The rather good fittings obtained for both Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁ and La₄Ru₆O₁₉ indicate the important role of the AF spin fluctuations in the determination of the low temperature physical behaviors of these systems.

We have measured the *H*-dependence of $C(=C_{tot})$, *K* and $1/T_1T$ of Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁ and La₄Ru₆O₁₉ (The data are not shown.) and found that *K* and $1/T_1T$ of Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁ do not depend on *H* appreciably at 4 K in the range between 6.5 T and 10 T. For La₄Ru₆O₁₉, no appreciable *H*-dependence of *K* and $1/T_1T$ have been found either, between 6.78 T and 10 T at 4.7 K and 1.9 K. Although *C/T* of La₄Ru₆O₁₉ reported previously³⁾ changes from 40 mJ/mol Ru K² to 45 mJ/mol Ru K² with changing the field from 0 T to 6 T at 5 K, present *C/T* data for both La₄Ru₆O₁₉ and Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁ measured at various fixed fields between 0 T to 7 T in the temperature range from 2.3 K to 300 K do not exhibit significant *H*-dependence.¹⁰⁾ The non-existence of the *H*-dependence of *C*, *K* and $1/T_1T$ of the present systems are consistent with the idea that the anomalous behavior of these

systems are caused by the AF spin fluctuations, because the effect of the magnetic field is expected not to be strong on the AF spin correlation.

The parameters obtained for $Bi_3Ru_3O_{11}$ and $La_4Ru_6O_{19}$ are considered to be reasonable, judging from the comparison with those of $V_{1.973}O_3^{(8)}$ and $Ce_{1.x}La_xRu_2Si_2^{(12)}$ which are also considered to have itinerant electrons with AF spin fluctuations (see Table II). It is also known from the y_0 values of $Bi_3Ru_3O_{11}$ and $La_4Ru_6O_{19}$ that the latter system is closer to the QPC than the former. It may be an origin of the difference between the *T*-dependences of χ .

It has been suggested that the small distance between the Ru-Ru atoms within a edge-sharing pair of RuO₆ octahedra is important for the realization of the non-Fermi liquid behavior.^{3, 4)} The distance in La₄Ru₆O₁₉ which exhibits the non-Fermi liquid behavior is 2.448 Å and it is 2.993 Å for La₃Ru₃O₁₁ with ordinary Fermi liquid behavior reported by Khalifah et al.³⁾ Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁ with an intermediate Ru-Ru distance of 2.60 Å¹⁾ also exhibits the *T*-dependent behavior of C_{el}/T and $1/T_1T$. But the exponent ε related to the *T* dependence of the resistivity of this system is not far from the value expected for ordinary strongly correlated electrons. These observations are consistent with the suggestion.

Now, we have shown that the low temperature physical properties of these systems that AF spin fluctuations cause the anomalous T dependence of C_{el}/T and $1/T_1T$.

Conclusion

Ru NMR and other kinds of measurements have been carried out for two metallic systems Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁ and La₄Ru₆O₁₉. The Knight shifts *K* for La₄Ru₆O₁₉ and the longitudinal relaxation rates divided by *T*, $1/T_1T$ for both systems exhibit significant *T* dependence at low temperatures. The electronic specific heat coefficient $\gamma = C_{el}/T$ of Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁ also exhibits strong *T* dependence below 6 K. The large values of *K*(α) obtained for these systems at 1.8 K indicate that there exist strong AF spin fluctuations and these anomalous *T* dependences can be well understood by the SCR theory, where we have found La₄Ru₆O₁₉ is closer to the QPC than Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁.

Acknowledgements

The present work is supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) and by Grants-in-Aid on priority area from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology.

References

- F. Abraham, D. Thomas and G. Nowgrocki: Bull. Soc. fr. Miner. Crystallogr. 98 (1975) 25.
- 2) F. Abraham, J. Trehoux and D. Thomas: Mat. Res. Bull. 12 (1977) 43.
- 3) P. Khalifah, K. D. Nelson, R. Jln, Z. Q. Mao, Y. Liu, Q. Huang, X. P. A. Gao, A. P. Ramirez and R. J. Cava: Nature **411** (2001) 669.
- 4) P. Khalifah and R. J. Cava: Phys. Rev. B 64 (2001) 085111.
- 5) T. Fujita, K. Tsuchida, Y. Yasui, Y. Kobayashi and M. Sato: Physica B 329-333 (2003) 743.
- 6) H. Mukuda K. Ishida, Y. Kitaoka, K Asayama, R. Kanno and M. Takano: Phys. Rev. B **60** (1999) 12279.
- 7) T. Moriya and A. Kawabata: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 34 (1973) 639.
- 8) A. Ishigaki and T. Moriya: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 65 (1996) 3402.
- 9) T. Moriya and T. Takimoto: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 64 (1995) 960.
- 10) T. Moriya and K. Ueda: Butsuri 52 (1997) 422.
- 11) T. Moriya and K. Ueda: Rep. Prog. Phys. 66 (2003) 1299.
- 12) S. Kambe, S. Raymond, L. P. Regnault, J. Flouquet, P. Lejay and P. Haen: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 65 (1996) 3294.
- 13) Wei-Li Lee, M. K. Haas, G. Lawes, A. P. Ramirez, R. J. Cava and N.P. Ong: Europhys. Lett. 63 (2003) 860.

Figure captions

- Fig. 1 Schematic structures of R₃Ru₃O₁₁(a) and La₄Ru₆O₁₉(b). RuO₆ octahedra are shown by shaded polyhedra (with Ru atoms inside). R atoms are shown by filled circles.
- Fig. 2 *T*-dependence of the electronic specific heat divided by *T*, $C_{el}/T=(C_{tot}-C_{ph})/T$ of Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁. The solid line shows the result of the fitting below 6 K by eq. (5). The inset shows the specific heat data divided by *T*, C_{tot}/T of Bi₃Os₃O₁₁ and Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁ against T^2 , where the solid line shows the polynomial fit to the data of Bi₃Os₃O₁₁ above 6 K and the broken line is obtained by adding a constant to the solid line. See the text for details.
- Fig. 3 *T*-dependence of the magnetic susceptibilities of $Bi_3Ru_3O_{11}$ and $La_4Ru_6O_{19}$ measured with H=5 T. The inset shows the data below 25 K.
- Fig. 4 Ru NMR spectra of $Bi_3Ru_3O_{11}$ at 4.7 K(a) and those of $La_4Ru_6O_{19}$ at 10 K(b). In each figure, the fitted result is shown by the solid line.
- Fig. 5 *T*-dependence of the isotropic part of the Knight shifts of $Bi_3Ru_3O_{11}$ and $La_4Ru_6O_{19}$ (*H*~10 T). The inset shows the *K*- χ plots.
- Fig. 6 $1/T_1T$ of La₄Ru₆O₁₉ measured with $H \sim 10$ T is plotted against T. The solid line is the result of the fitting by eq. (3). Inset shows the relaxation curve of La₄Ru₆O₁₉ taken under the field of ~10 T at 7 K. The solid line is the result of the fitting by eq. (1).
- Fig. 7 *T*-dependence of $1/T_1T$ of Bi₃Ru₃O₁₁ taken with $H \sim 10$ T. The solid line is the result of the fitting by eq. (3).
- Fig. 8 *T*-dependence of the electronic specific heat divided by *T*, $C_{el}/T=(C_{tot} C_{ph})/T$ of La₄Ru₆O_{19.} The solid curve is the result of the fitting by eq. (5). In the inset, the specific heat divided by *T*, C_{tot}/T of La₄Ru₆O₁₉ is plotted against T^2 . The straight line shows the estimation of C_{ph} .

	θ(K)	$T_0(\mathbf{K})$	$T_{\rm A}({\rm K})$	$C(10^{14} \text{ K/erg})$	${\mathcal Y}_0$
Bi ₃ Ru ₃ O ₁₁	1.059	446	169	4.53	0.05
$La_4Ru_6O_{19}$	1.092	161	55.6	20.2	0.035

Table I. Characteristic parameters of the SCR theory for $Bi_3Ru_3O_{11}$ and $La_4Ru_6O_{19}$ obtained by the fittings to the data of C_{el}/T and $1/T_1T$

	$T_0(\mathbf{K})$	$T_{\rm A}({\rm K})$	\mathcal{Y}_0
V _{1.973} O ₃	390	4800	-0.0035
CeRu ₂ Si ₂	14.1	16	0.31
$Ce_{0.95}La{005}Ru_2Si_2$	14.7	14	0.1
$Ce_{0.925}La{0075}Ru_2Si_2$	14.2	11	0.05

Table II. Characteristic parameters of the SCR theory for $V_{1.973}O_3^{(8)}$ and $Ce_{1-x}La_xRu_2Si_2^{(12)}$



R₃Ru₃O₁₁ (R=La and Bi)

 $La_4Ru_6O_{19}$

Fig. 1 Tsuchida *et al*.



Fig.2 Tsuchida *et al*.



Fig.3 Tsuchida *et al*.



Fig.4 Tsuchida *et al*.



Fig.5 Tsuchida *et al*.



Fig.6 Tsuchida *et al*.



Fig.7 Tsuchida *et al*.



Fig.8 Tsuchida *et al*.