Superconductivity in $Na_x CoO_2 \cdot yH_2O$: Is Spin-Charge Separation Protecting a d_1+id_2 State ?

Debanand Sa¹, Manas Sardar² and G. Baskaran³,

^{1,3} The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, C. I. T. Campus, Chennai-600 113, India

²Materials Science Division, Indira Gandhi Centre for Atomic Research, Kalpakkam-603 102, India

Superconductivity in Na_xCoO₂·yH₂O is likely to be a p or d-wave; however, experiments are unable to pinpoint the symmetry. A simple estimate of pair breaking effects from an unavoidable 'Na⁺ vacancy disorder' in an ordered Na⁺ lattice, at an optimal $x_{opt} \approx 0.30$ is shown to destroy a Fermi liquid based p or d-wave superconductivity. However, a robustness of superconducting and normal states, seen in experiments is pointed out and argued to imply presence of a 'quantum protectorate', possibly a 'spin-charge decoupling' that protects a d₁+id₂ and not a p-state. A calculation of Knight shift and $\frac{1}{T_1}$ in the framework of RVB mean field theory and a fit to the data of Kobayashi *et al.* [9] is made.

PACS Numbers: 74.20.Mn, 74.20.Rp, 76.60.Cq, 76.60.-k

The recent discovery of superconductivity (SC) in $Na_x CoO_2 \cdot yH_2O$ [1] has generated renewed interest, due to the role of strong electronic correlations, in the cobalt oxide family. This compound has layered crystal structure where Co atoms form a two dimensional triangular lattice separated by Na⁺ ions and H₂O molecules. A number of experimental results [2–14] in these family of material are quite anomalous and remains unexplained.

A problem of current interest is the symmetry of the superconducting order parameter. In known examples, where electron correlation provides a superconductivity mechanism, the order parameter symmetry is unconventional, either d or p-wave. Nuclear spin resonance experiments, which can identify order parameter symmetry, has so far not been decisive on this issue for $Na_x CoO_2 \cdot yH_2O$. On the theoretical side, a single band t-J model introduced by one of us [18] allows, at small dopings, a spincharge decoupled normal state and a resonating valence bond (RVB) superconductivity with d_1+id_2 symmetry; and a triplet pairing at high dopings, arising from a ring exchange processes and a consequent ferromagnetism induced by dopant dynamics. Subsequent papers [19–21] have focussed on RVB theory of d-wave pairing and others [22–24] on triplet pairing invoking certain ferromagnetic tendency.

The one band t-J model physics introduced in reference [18] implies a distinct possibility of 'spin-charge decoupling' for a wide range of doping. An important consequence, as we have learned from our study of cuprates, is a remarkable possibility of a 'quantum protectorate' [26,27]. That is, an ability to protect superconducting and non-Fermi liquid normal states from *phonons* and disorder. This issue needs to be investigated in $Na_x CoO_2 \cdot yH_2O$. However, a quantum protectorate aspect is visible in some experiments: i) replacement of H_2O by D_2O , that alters the phonon frequency of ice layers substantially, in the experiments of Jin *et al.* [5] has no appreciable effect either on normal state resistivity or the superconducting T_c ii) survival of superconductivity in a narrow dome $(\frac{1}{4} < x < \frac{1}{3})$ [7], overcoming a possible strong competition from charge order [25], a charge localization tendency, iii) unavoidable disorder in the Na-H₂O layers [13,14], another charge localization tendency. A large ab-plane residual resistivity, $\rho_{ab} \sim 300 \mu\Omega$ cm is observed in a first single crystal ($T_c \sim 5$ K) measurement [5]; even if a fraction of it were intrinsic, a Fermi liquid based d or p-wave would not have survived. For example, in Sr₂RuO₄, a well established p-wave superconductor [28] even a small disorder corresponding to a residual resistance of $\rho_{ab} \approx 1.5 \mu\Omega$ cm is capable of suppressing a superconducting $T_c \approx 1.5$ K) to zero.

After summarizing known phenomenological evidence for p or d-wave superconductivity, we argue for the presence of an *unavoidable* Na^+ vacancy disorder in an ordered Na⁺ plane at optimal doping $x = x_{opt} \approx 0.30$. We quantify the disorder and show that it is strong enough to destroy a Fermi liquid based p or d-wave superconductivity. We then discuss how spin-charge decoupling is capable of protecting a d-wave and not a p-wave from the singular effects of disorder known from Anderson's theorem of dirty superconductors. A simple RVB mean field theory results for Knight shift and $\frac{1}{T_1}$ and comparison with experimental results of Kobayashi *et al.* [9] is

TABLE I. Summary of the Knight shift and the nuclear spin relaxation rate for $Na_x CoO_2 \cdot yH_2O$:

References	$\frac{1}{T_1}$ (T _c)	K% (T _c)	Coherence	Yoshida
	1		peak	function
Kobayashi	$61 \ {\rm sec}^{-1}$	3.1	Yes	Yes
et al.[9]				
Waki	1 sec^{-1}	1.8	Yes	No
et al.[10]				
Fujimoto	$60 \ {\rm sec}^{-1}$		No	
et al.[11]				
Ishida	$70 {\rm sec^{-1}}$		No	
et al.[12]				

made.

Various measurements have looked for unconventional superconductivity in $Na_{r}CoO_{2}$ ·yH₂O: uniform spin susceptibility χ_s , NMR/NQR, specific heat and resistivity. A summary of nuclear spin resonance results [9–12] are shown in table 1. Some of the marked differences in NMR/NQR results are likely to arise from sample quality. Uniform spin susceptibility measurements from all the groups [3–6,9,11,12] has the form [3]: $\chi_s \approx \chi_0(T) +$ $\frac{C}{(T+\Theta)}$. Here $\chi_0(T)$ is a nearly temperature independent but enhanced Pauli component (enhanced by a factor of 5-7 compared to free electron value); this component also exhibits a 'spin gap' like reduction by about 5-10% from 250 K to T_c . The Curie-Weiss component has a large variation between groups: single crystal measurements by two groups [5,6] show a small value of Curie constant $C \sim 0.05 \times 10^{-2}$ emu K/mole compared to $\sim 1.0 \times 10^{-2}$ emu K/mole given by other groups from powder samples [3,4,12]. It is likely, as suggested in reference [3,9] that the Curie-Weiss component may not be intrinsic at optimal doping in $Na_x CoO_2 \cdot yH_2O$.

Nuclear spin relaxation $\frac{1}{T_1T}$ scales with χ_s [12], for a range of temperatures above T_c . However, a Curie-Weiss enhancement in $\frac{1}{T_1T}$ seen below about 100 K is not seen by all groups. Notably, Kobayashi *et al.* [9] observe a $\frac{1}{T_1T} \approx 12.5 \text{ sec}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ in the range $T_c < T < 30 \text{ K}$ and no significant enhancement. This result also questions the intrinsic character of Curie-Weiss enhancement in $\frac{1}{T_1T}$.

Specific heat coefficient γ shows, in all experiments [3–6,15–17], an enhancement over the free electron value suggesting a mass enhancement by a factor about 7. One of the single crystal measurements of γ and χ_s [6] estimate a Wilson ratio $R = \frac{4\pi^2 k_B^2 \chi_s}{3(g\mu_B)^2 \gamma} \approx 1.53$. Accordingly they interpret the system as a heavy metal.

Putting measurements for $T > T_c$ together we may say that there is no particular preference between a p or a d-wave, even though many experimental groups suspect a p-wave.

The situation below T_c is unclear, with conflicting results for coherence peak and additional Yoshida-function like Knight shift. However, it is fair to point out that Kobayashi *et al.*'s result is intriguing and demands a further discussion; i) in addition to absence of Curie-Weiss enhancement above T_c , alluded to earlier, there is a clear coherence peak below T_c in $\frac{1}{T_1}$ and ii) one sees an additional Knight shift and a Yoshida function type behavior below T_c . Is it possible that Curie-Weiss contributions are extrinsic and Kobayashi *et al.*'s sample has less of it and therefore has managed bring out a coherence peak and non-trivial Knight shift ? It is important to investigate this issue further.

At the present stage it is difficult to conclude about possible nodal character of the order parameter from the power law behavior of $\frac{1}{T_1}$ [11] and specific heat [16,17]

below T_c , until we exclude extrinsic effects.

Putting measurements for $T < T_c$ together, we may say that perhaps there is a support for a gaplful d_1+id_2 or p_1+ip_2 pairing, unless the coherence peak and additional Knight shift measurements of Kobayashi *et al.* [9] are proved wrong.

Triplet superconductors such as, Sr_2RuO_4 , UGe₂ etc. are known to be ultrasensitive to non-magnetic (scalar) disorder. In what follows, we make an estimate of pairbreaking effects from two sources of disorder in $Na_xCoO_2\cdot yH_2O$ at optimal doping, within the context of Fermi liquid theory. We find, contrary to the experimental observation, that existing pair breaking effects should suppress superconducting T_c completely.

In the theory of dirty superconductors [29], the parameter $\alpha \equiv \frac{\hbar}{\tau k_B T_{c0}}$ plays an important role; here $\frac{1}{\tau}$ is the 'pair breaking' rate and $\frac{\hbar}{\tau}$ is the energy spread an eigen state (a Bloch state), close to the Fermi level, acquires on introduction of disorder in the system. When this energy spread is nearly equal to $k_B T_{c0}$, i.e., $\alpha \approx 1$ superconductivity disappears. This also roughly corresponds to the electron mean free path ℓ at the Fermi level becoming nearly equal to the coherence length ξ .

Sources of pair breaking are i) an irreducible Na⁺ vacant disorder at 'optimal doping', ii) low frequency charge order fluctuations and iii) dissipation from the low frequency polar modes of the H₂O layers. We first discuss the effect of disorder in Na^+ layer. As elaborated in [25] it is convenient to define a reference 'stoichiometric' compound $Na_{\frac{1}{2}}CoO_2 \cdot \frac{4}{3}H_2O$, where Na atoms are ordered; in an ideal ordered structure they fill one of the three sub lattices of a triangular lattice of preferred sites and have $a \sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ order. This is consistent with the large coherence length of 1000 \mathring{A} in the ordered Na⁺ layer seen in the structural study [14]. Experimentally optimal T_c ≈ 5 is reached at $x_{\text{opt}} = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{3}) \sim 0.3$; that is, a removal of $\delta x \equiv (\frac{1}{3} - x_{\text{opt}}) \approx \frac{1}{24}$ of Na atoms from the ordered Na⁺ layer. Assuming that these vacancies are disordered, we get an 'irreducible' vacancy disorder in an otherwise ordered lattice of Na⁺ ions. A corresponding missing 'dipole moments' exists in the $\rm H_2O$ layer as well.

At optimal doping, a fraction $2 \times \frac{1}{24}$ of vacant Na⁺ sites from the sandwitching Na⁺ layers above and below provide a random potential to the delocalized carriers in the CoO₂ planes. A change in potential at the nearest Co site, arising from a removal of one Na⁺ is $\approx \frac{e^2}{R\epsilon_{\text{total}}} \approx$ 80 meV; here $R \approx 5.3$ Å and $\epsilon_{\text{total}} = \epsilon_{\infty} + \epsilon_{H_2O} \approx 30$. In the above we have taken into account a large dielectric screening from the hydrogen bonded H₂O sheet [25]. The strength of the above scattering potential 80 meV is the same order of magnitude as the bandwidth 200 meV as estimated in reference [6]. This makes the mean free path of an electron in the above tight binding band of the order of the average vacancy distance $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta x}} \sim 4$ (in units of Co-Co distance). The above estimate of the mean free path is less than $\xi(\sim 100 \text{ Å})$, the coherence length in our superconductor. Thus we get the result that $\alpha < \alpha_{\text{critical}} \approx 1$, making a Fermi liquid based p or d-wave superconductivity unlikely. Since the Na⁺ vacancy disorder is strong enough to suppress a d or p-wave superconducting T_c, we do not discuss our estimate of additional pair breaking effects from charge order fluctuations and low frequency polar fluctuations of ice layers.

The fact that the superconductor has survived severe pair breaking effects of disorder discussed above and a robustness with respect to replacement of H₂O by D₂O [5] suggests to us a possible existence of a 'quantum protectorate'. If our 2D t-J modeling is correct, a spin-charge decoupled state is a likely consequence and it will become a natural quantum protectorate. Spin-charge decoupling, though suspected, has not been experimentally investigated so far in Na_xCoO₂·yH₂O, a strongly correlated 2D metal. The issue of quantum protectorate is well known in cuprates [26], another strongly correlated 2D metal, through the robustness of the non-Fermi liquid normal state and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave superconductivity against off-plane disorder and phonons.

Below we give a physical picture of spin-charge separated state as a quantum protectorate and how a singlet d-wave and not a triplet p-wave will be protected. First we recall how non-magnetic disorder affects pairing in a Fermi liquid: scattering of individual **k** states of electrons by scalar disorder interferes destructively the coherent scattering of $(\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{k})$ pair over the Fermi surface, whenever it is trying to establish a pair amplitude that changes sign along the Fermi surface, $\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Delta(\mathbf{k}) = 0$, as in the case of d or p-wave.

In a t-J model, the singlet stabilising collision between two electrons is a superexchange process that happens between two singly occupied sites (neutral spins), in our case between two adjacent spin-half moments Co^{4+} 's. The charge 2e condensation, namely superconductivity in RVB theory is viewed as taking place in two steps: i) pairing between neutral spins in the spin singlet channel and then condensation of holon or doublons. The quantum protectorate hypothesis essentially states that the effect of scalar disorder on spinon/holon/doublon (collective 'topological' excitations) is in some sense 'averaged out', and remain unaffected for small disorder. To this extent a local superexchange process that involves two neutral spins remains unaffected. Similarly a holon/doublon condensation that involves a spinless charge also remain unaffected. From this point of view, as long as the pairing is in spin-singlet channel it is compatible with the robust superexchange process. Thus singlet pairing such as an extended-s or d-wave can be protected by spin-charge decoupling.

Next important question is how a p-wave may not be protected by spin-charge decoupling. A possible p-wave pairing suggested in reference [18], goes beyond superexchange and invokes a ring exchange [30] involving a minimum of four sites and 5 electrons and a consequent ferromagnetic tendency given by an effective $J_{eff} \approx J - x|t| < 0$ (when the hopping matrix element t has a 'wrong' sign). This dopant induced dynamics involves charge transport. To this extent it is not a triplet pairing between two neutral spins. It is rather a pairing between two electrons in the triplet channel. Thus spin-charge decoupling is unable to protect a triplet state, that involves fragile electrons rather than the robust neutral spins or doublons.

Having offered some phenomenological and microscopic support for d_1+id_2 pairing, we have performed a simple RVB d_1+id_2 mean field theory calculation for $\frac{1}{T_1}$ and Knight shift and fit to the experimental results of Kobayashi *et al.* [9]. We point out that Bang *et al.* [31] have calculated the effects of impurities on $\frac{1}{T_1}$ in the d_1+id_2 phase. We refer the readers to reference [18–21] for details of RVB mean field theory. After a quantitative fit to Kobayashi *et al.*'s data, we get a value of hyperfine contact coupling to be 39.32×10^{-4} cm⁻¹. Using the same hyperfine coupling, we compute the temperature dependent nuclear spin relaxation rate and make quantitative comparison with the existing experimental data.

Nuclear spin (\mathbf{I}_0) relaxes by coupling with the local conduction electron spin density (\mathbf{S}_0) in a metal. The interaction Hamiltonian is given as, $H_{\text{hyp}} = A\mathbf{I}_0 \cdot \mathbf{S}_0$, where, A is the effective hyperfine coupling. The spin part of the Knight shift is,

$$K_s = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu_B}{\mu_N}\right) A \chi_s(q \to 0, \omega = 0),$$

where, $\chi_s(q,\omega)$ is the dynamic spin susceptibility. The temperature dependent order parameter in a RVB SC is computed self consistently and is incorporated in the susceptibility to get the temperature dependent Knight shift. We fix the chemical potential μ =0.075 eV to get 30% electron doping. We also take J=6.2 meV in order to get a T_c=4.5 K. Throughout the computation, we have chosen $t_{\rm eff}$ =0.03 eV and a cut-off energy scale to be 500 K. The ratio $2\Delta(T=0)/k_BT_c$ comes out to be approximately 3.5.

In Fig. 1 we show the fit of the computed temperature dependent Knight shift to the data of Kobayashi *et al.* [9] from which we extracted the value of the hyperfine coupling parameter, $A=39.32 \times 10^{-4}$ cm⁻¹.

In the process of fitting the data we subtracted a fairly large temperature independent background $(K_{orb}\%)$ of 2.55% from $K_{tot}\%$, where $K_{tot}(T) = K_{orb} + K_s(T)$. And $K_s(T = T_c) - K_s(T = 0)$ is nearly 0.6%. The absolute value of $K_{tot}\%$ in this case is nearly 3.15%. The value of A estimated as above compares well with high T_c cuprates [33] whereas $K_{tot}(T)$ and K_{orb} do not. In cuprates, K_{orb} was estimated to be 0.23% and $K_s(T = T_c) - K_s(T = 0) = 0.37\%$ which are much smaller compared to layered cobaltate.



FIG. 1. Fit of the computed Knight shift in a RVB SC with d_1+id_2 gap symmetry (solid lines) and a BCS SC with s-wave gap (dashed lines) to the data by Kobayashi *et al.* [9].



FIG. 2. Computed nuclear spin relaxation in a RVB SC with d_1+id_2 gap symmetry (solid lines)(multiplied by 0.65) and a BCS SC with s-wave gap (dashed lines) compared to the data by Kobayashi *et al.* [9].

The relaxation rate from the golden rule is given by,

$$\frac{1}{T_1} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |A|^2 \sum_{k,q} l^2(k,q) f_k(1 - f_{k+q}) \delta(E_{k+q} - E_k - \hbar\omega_0),$$

where, the coherence factor l^2 in a $d_1 + id_2$ superconductor is written as, $l^2(k,q) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{\epsilon_k \epsilon_k + q + |\Delta_k||\Delta_k + q|}{E_k E_{k+q}})$. Here, ω_0 is the nuclear Zeeman frequency which is extremely small on the scale of energy of interest. In computing the relaxation time for a RVB superconductor, we consider the same parameters as we used for computing the Knight shift, also the same temperature dependence of the SC order parameter. Further, the hyperfine coupling which we estimated by fitting our data with the Knight shift measurement has been used to compute the relaxation time.

Fig. 2 shows that the overall shape of the spectrum looks similar to the data of Kobayashi *et al.* [9] and Waki *et al.* [10] but with some differences. We find that the value of $\frac{1}{T_1T}$ at T_c by Kobayashi *et al.* [9] is about 0.65 times the computed value in the present formulation. The smallness of the coherence peak seen in the experiments is likely to be an effect of strong correlations in addition to disorder [31,32].

To conclude, it will be important to perform experiments to look for signals for a spin-charge decoupled state, a quantum protectorate and chiral d_1+id_2 superconductivity.

The authors would like to thank Prof. K. Yoshimura and Prof. Y. Kobayashi for providing NMR/NQR data.

- [1] K. Takada *et al.*, Nature **422**, 53 (2003).
- [2] I. Terasaki, Y Sasago and K. Uchinokura, Phys. Rev. B 56, R12685 (2003).
- [3] H. Sakurai, et al., cond-mat/0304503.
- [4] G. Cao *et al.*, cond-mat/0305503.
- [5] R. Jin et al., cond-mat/0306066.
- [6] F. C. Chou *et al.*, cond-mat/0306659.
- [7] R. E. Schaak et al., cond-mat/0305450.
- [8] Y. Wang et al., cond-mat/0305455.
- [9] Y. Kobayashi, M. Yokoi and M. Sato, cond-mat/0305649; cond-mat/0306264.
- [10] T. Waki et al., cond-mat/0306036.
- [11] T. Fujimoto *et al.*, cond-mat/0307127.
- [12] K. Ishida et al., cond-mat/0308506.
- [13] J. W. Lynn *et al.*, cond-mat/0307263.
- [14] J. D. Jorgensen et al., cond-mat/0307627.
- [15] B. G. Ueland et al., cond-mat/0307106.
- [16] B. Lorenz et al., cond-mat/0308143.
- [17] H. D. Yang et al., cond-mat/0308031.
- [18] G. Baskaran, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 097003 (2003).
- [19] B. Kumar and B. S. Shastry, cond-mat/0304210.
- [20] Q. H. Wang, D. H. Lee and P. A. Lee, cond-mat/0304377.
- [21] M. Ogata, cond-mat/0304405.
- [22] D. J. Singh, Phys. Rev. B 68, 020503 (2003).
- [23] A. Tanaka and X. Hu, cond-mat/0304409.
- [24] H. Ikeda, Y. Nishikawa and K. Yamada, condmat/0308472.
- [25] G. Baskaran, cond-mat/0306569.
- [26] P. W Anderson, Science **288**, 480 (2000).
- [27] R. B. Laughlin and D. Pines, PNAS, 97, 28 (2000).
- [28] A. P. Mackenzie and Y. Maeno, Rev. Mod. Phys. 75 657 (2003).
- [29] A. A. Abrikosov and L. P. Gorkov, Sov. Phys. JETP, 12, 1243 (1960).
- [30] P. W. Anderson, cond-mat/0108522.
- [31] Y. Bang, M. J. Graf and A. V. Balatsky, condmat/0307127.
- [32] Qiang Han and Z. D. Wang, cond-mat/0308160.
- [33] F. Mila and T. M. Rice, Physica C 157, 561 (1989).