

Pretransitional phenomena in dilute crystals with first-order phase transition

P. N. Timonin*

Physics Research Institute at Rostov State University 344090, Rostov - on - Don, Russia

(Dated: February 20, 2019)

Pretransitional phenomena at first-order phase transition in crystals diluted by 'neutral' impurities (analogue of nonmagnetic atoms in dilute magnets) are considered. It is shown that in case of asymmetric potential such dilution results in appearance of nonzero order parameter at all temperatures above transition point. Also field dependence of order parameter becomes non-analytical at the transition field of pure crystal, while smeared jumps of thermodynamic parameters and anomalous (non-exponential) relaxation appear near transition temperature of pure crystal.

PACS numbers: 64.60.-i, 05.70.Jk

In last decades the intensive theoretical and experimental studies of phase transitions in disordered crystals reveal the drastic influence which frozen impurities and defects may have on the transition. They can also give rise to a variety of pretransitional phenomena. Such phenomena were first considered by Griffiths¹ in the framework of dilute Ising model, which has empty sites (without spins) randomly scattered over lattice. He had shown that in such dilute magnet the transition is preceded by specific phase, where magnetization is non-analytical function of magnetic field.

While the bulk transition in this model takes place in the (infinite) percolation cluster of spins, the nature of pretransitional effects lies in the properties of finite magnetic clusters. Due to the short-range (nearest neighbor) interaction between spins all magnetic clusters are completely independent and give additive contributions to the thermodynamic parameters of the system. Immediately below the transition point of pure magnet large clusters begin to develop high thermodynamic barriers between the states with all spins up or down. With due reservations these processes can be called 'local phase transitions'. As there is a finite probability to find arbitrarily large magnetic clusters with arbitrarily high barriers this results in non-analytical field dependence of magnetization^{2,3,4}. Also same barriers give rise to anomalously slow nonexponential relaxation of the order parameter in this Griffiths' phase⁵.

Apparently, the existence of Griffiths' phase is not limited to the case of dilute Ising magnet. It should be also present in all crystalline solid solutions near generic second-order phase transition with short-range order parameter interaction, if it takes place in only one component of the solution. The other 'neutral' component(s) of the solution (impurities) is supposed to have no influence on the transition in the 'pure' component being an analogue of nonmagnetic impurities or empty sites in the dilute Ising model. Hereafter we refer to this generalization of the notion of dilute magnet as 'dilute crystal with a (second-order) transition' or just 'dilute crystal'.

While the Griffiths' anomalies near second-order phase transitions are thoroughly investigated, now nothing is known about the pretransitional phenomena near first-order transitions in such dilute crystals, in spite of the

attention paid to a smearing of these transitions by frozen disorder^{6,7,8}. But it is evident that some similar anomalies could exist in this case, as high barriers between ordered and disordered states of finite clusters of pure component also appear above the transition point of pure percolation cluster (if it exists).

We may also anticipate some novel features, which asymmetry proper to the large class of first-order transitions (such as in q -state Potts model at large q) would cause in dilute crystals. Thus, one can expect that non-zero average order parameter would exist at all temperatures above transition point. Indeed, the dilution results in appearance of finite pure clusters with asymmetric order parameter distribution and, therefore, with non-zero contribution to the average order parameter.

To make this point quite clear it is sufficient to consider the large compact clusters of pure component whose description is very simple. The other sparse clusters give the additive contributions to the average order parameter (and other thermodynamic quantities) and they are of the same sign. Let us consider the compact clusters with a number of sites much greater than that of neighboring impurities and diameter greater than order parameter correlation length. Such clusters can be described by the density of (inequilibrium) thermodynamic potential of infinite pure crystal. For one-component order parameter φ their order parameter distribution has the form

$$\rho_n(\varphi) = Z_n^{-1} \exp[-nf(\varphi)] \quad (1)$$

$$Z_n = \int d\varphi \exp[-nf(\varphi)]$$

Here n is number of sites in cluster and $f(\varphi)$ is the density of thermodynamic potential of pure crystal divided by temperature. We assume that $f(\varphi)$ has minimum at $\varphi = 0$ for $T > T_-$ and another minimum at $\varphi = \varphi_s$ when $T < T_+$, $T_- < T_0 < T_+$. Below some T_0 , $T_- < T_0 < T_+$, $f_s = f(\varphi_s)$ becomes less than $f_0 = f(0)$, so first-order transition into ordered phase take place at T_0 . Meanwhile at all temperatures we have non-zero order parameter average,

$$\langle \varphi \rangle_{T,n} \equiv \int d\varphi \varphi \rho_n(\varphi),$$

for finite compact cluster owing to potential asymmetry, $f(\varphi) \neq f(-\varphi)$. The relation to the bulk transition shows in $\langle \varphi \rangle_{T,n}$ only in the large n behavior - it goes to zero above T_0 and to $\varphi_s(T)$ below it as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For $n \gg 1$ we have

$$\langle \varphi \rangle_{T,n} = \frac{\varphi_s \vartheta(T_+ - T)}{1 + (\chi_0/\chi_s)^{1/2} e^{n\delta f}} - \frac{1}{3n} \frac{\chi_0^2 f'''(0)}{1 + (\chi_0/\chi_s)^{-1/2} e^{-n\delta f}} \quad (2)$$

Here $\delta f = f_s - f_0$, $\chi_0 = 1/f''(0)$, $\chi_s = 1/f''(\varphi_s)$. Using the numbers of compact clusters per site, $N(n)$, one can find the average order parameter

$$\langle \varphi \rangle = \sum_{n > n_0} N(n) \langle \varphi \rangle_{T,n} \quad (3)$$

Here sum is taken over sufficiently large $n > n_0$ for which Eq. (2) is valid,

$$n_0 = c \xi_{max}^d \gg 1,$$

ξ_{max} being the largest of correlation lengths of two phases (in lattice units) when $T_- < T < T_+$, $c \gg 1$ and d is space dimension. $N(n)$ is given by the general formulae⁹,

$$N(n) = \sum_s g(n, s) p^n (1-p)^s$$

where $1-p$ is the concentration of impurities, and $g(n, s)$ is the number of clusters with n sites and s neighboring impurities. The compactness of clusters considered can be accounted for by restriction of the sum to $s \ll n$. In the following we will use the lower estimate for $N(n)$,

$$N(n) \approx p^n (1-p)^{s_{\min}(n,d)} \quad (4)$$

$$s_{\min}(n, d) = e_d n^{(d-1)/d}, \quad e_d = \left[2\pi^{d/2} d^{d-1} / \Gamma(d/2) \right]^{1/d}$$

with $s_{\min}(n, d)$ being the area of d -dimensional sphere, which bounds the volume n . According to Ref. (10) Eq. (4) describe correctly the main features of large n behavior of $N(n)$ either for $p \rightarrow 1$ or $p \rightarrow 0$ except for a change of p to some $p' > p$ when $p \rightarrow 0$ (see Ref. (4)). Near T_0 when

$$|\delta f| \ll \min \left\{ \ln \left(\frac{1}{p} \right), e_d^{d/(d-1)} \ln^{d/(d-1)} \left(\frac{1}{1-p} \right) \right\}$$

we get from Eqs. (2) - (4)

$$\langle \varphi \rangle \approx \langle \varphi \rangle_{T,n_0} N_0,$$

$$N_0 \equiv \sum_{n > n_0} N(n)$$

Thus near transition point of pure crystal there is slightly smeared jump in compact clusters contribution to $\langle \varphi \rangle$ between

$$\langle \varphi \rangle = -\frac{\chi_0^2 f'''(0)}{3n_0} N_0$$

at $T > T_0$ and

$$\langle \varphi \rangle = \varphi_s N_0$$

at $T < T_0$. The temperature interval where this smeared jump exists is small,

$$\delta T \sim T_0 / n_0 \delta S,$$

where δS is entropy jump at the transition in pure crystal.

Apparently, smeared jumps near T_0 have also average susceptibility of compact pure clusters,

$$\langle \chi \rangle = \sum_{n > n_0} N(n) n \left[\langle \varphi^2 \rangle_{T,n} - \langle \varphi \rangle_{T,n}^2 \right]$$

$$\approx \sum_{n > n_0} N(n) \frac{\chi_0^{3/2} e^{n\delta f} + \chi_s^{3/2}}{\chi_0^{1/2} e^{n\delta f} + \chi_s^{1/2}},$$

which goes from $N_0 \chi_0$ to $N_0 \chi_s$.

The average entropy of large compact clusters,

$$\langle S \rangle = \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \sum_{n > n_0} N(n) T \ln Z_n,$$

also mimics the behavior of pure crystal entropy with prefactor N_0 .

We cannot describe in the same manner the (additive) contributions of the sparse clusters with $s \geq n$ to the thermodynamic parameters. Yet we may suppose that they undergo similar 'local transitions', but at temperatures lower than T_0 due to the lower average coordination number. Then continuous sequence of these transitions below T_0 will result in steep increase of $\langle \varphi \rangle$ and $\langle \chi \rangle$ and decrease of $\langle S \rangle$ at $T < T_0$ for all concentrations p while the bulk transition take place at lower temperature (less than pT_0 ¹¹) or vanishes altogether below percolation threshold.

Also in the temperature region where phases coexist, field dependence of the order parameter contributions from large compact clusters becomes nonanalytic near the field $h_c(T)$ at which transition takes place in pure crystal. This we can explicitly show for small fields. Introducing the conjugate field h via change $f(\varphi) \rightarrow f(\varphi) - h\varphi$ in Eq. (1) we get for small field

$$|h| \ll \varphi_s / \max(\chi_s, \chi_0)$$

at $T_- < T < T_+$

$$\langle \varphi(h) \rangle_{T,n} = \frac{\varphi_s}{1 + (\chi_0/\chi_s)^{1/2} e^{n(\delta f - h\varphi_s)}} + \frac{\chi_0 h - (3n)^{-1} \chi_0^2 f'''(0)}{1 + (\chi_0/\chi_s)^{-1/2} e^{-n(\delta f - h\varphi_s)}}.$$

In the complex h plane this expression has poles at

$$h_{n,m} = h_c + \frac{1}{2n\varphi_s} \ln \frac{\chi_0}{\chi_s} \pm i(2m+1) \frac{2\pi}{n\varphi_s}, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots$$

which are arbitrarily close to $h_c = \delta f / \varphi_s$ for large n . Hence the expansion of average order parameter

$$\langle \varphi(h) \rangle = \sum_{n > n_0} N(n) \langle \varphi(h) \rangle_{T,n}$$

in powers of $h - h_c$ would not converge. We can also expect that the contributions to $\langle \varphi(h) \rangle$ from sparse clusters would have the similar property at larger h as they have smaller φ_s and larger δf at the same T . So the field dependence of the average order parameter will be nonanalytical at all $h > h_c$.

We can also show that in the region where phases coexist large compact clusters have anomalously slow dynamics with broad spectrum of relaxation times. Here the most slow relaxation process is the decay of metastable state. In case of one-component nonconserved order parameter it normally proceeds via nucleation and growth of droplets of stable phase^{12,13}. As the nucleation barrier must be overcome the time it takes is

$$\tau_c = \tau_1 \exp \Delta,$$

$$\Delta = \max_l (dl^{d-1}\sigma - l^d |\delta f|) = \sigma l_c^{d-1},$$

Here σ is dimensionless interphase surface tension (divided by T and multiplied by the area of unit cell face), l_c is the critical droplet size,

$$l_c = (d-1)\sigma / |\delta f|$$

and τ_1 is the time inverse proportional to the droplet growth rate¹².

The decay time τ_c does not depend on system size, which is implicitly assumed to be much greater than l_c . Yet for finite clusters with size less than that of critical droplet, $n < n_c \equiv l_c^d$, the above relaxation process transforms into the sweeping of domain wall through a cluster. Then size-dependent barrier,

$$\Delta_n = n^{(d-1)/d} \sigma - n |\delta f| / 2, \quad (5)$$

should be overcome, which takes the time

$$\tau_n = \tau_1 \exp \Delta_n. \quad (6)$$

Far from T_0 most clusters exhibit the exponential long-time relaxation with single relaxation time τ_c . When $T \rightarrow T_0$, $l_c \rightarrow \infty$ and the large part of clusters have size-dependent relaxation times. Thus in dilute crystal near T_0 the broad spectrum of relaxation times emerges. To describe this quantitatively let us consider a contribution of compact clusters to a long-time asymptotics of average dynamic correlator

$$\delta \langle C(t) \rangle = \sum_{n_0 < n} N(n) C_n(t),$$

$$C_n(t) = \langle \varphi(t) \varphi(0) \rangle_{T,n} - \langle \varphi \rangle_{T,n}^2.$$

Here $C_n(t)$ describes relaxation in n -site compact cluster and according to above consideration we have for $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$C_n(t) = \begin{cases} A_n \exp(-t/\tau_c), & n > n_c \\ A_n \exp(-t/\tau_n), & n < n_c \end{cases}$$

Thus near T_0 when $n_c > n_0$

$$\delta \langle C(t) \rangle = \langle A \rangle \exp(-t/\tau_c) + B(t)$$

$$\langle A \rangle = \sum_{n_c < n} N(n) A_n$$

$$B(t) = \sum_{n_0 < n < n_c} N(n) A_n \exp(-t/\tau_n) \quad (7)$$

Assuming A_n to be a slow varying function of n (slower than $\exp(an)$), we can use the steepest descend method to find time dependence of $B(t)$ for large t . From Eqs. (4)-(7) it follows that at $t \gg \tau_c$ clusters with $n_* = (2/d)^d n_c$, having the largest barrier for a sweeping domain wall, give main contribution to $B(t)$,

$$B(t) \sim \exp(-t/\tau'_c), \quad t \gg \tau_c$$

$$\tau'_c = \tau_1 \exp \left[(\sigma/d) (2l_c/d)^{d-1} \right].$$

When

$$\tau_0 < t < \tau_c, \quad (8)$$

$$\tau_0 \equiv \tau_1 \sigma^{-1} \exp \left(\sigma n_0^{(d-1)/d} \right)$$

the main contribution to $B(t)$ give clusters of size $n_* = [\sigma^{-1} \ln(\sigma t / \tau_1)]^{d/(d-1)}$ and we get

$$B(t) \sim \left(\frac{\tau_1}{t} \right)^{\lambda(p)} \exp \left\{ \ln(p) [\sigma^{-1} \ln(\sigma t / \tau_1)]^{d/(d-1)} \right\} \quad (9)$$

$$\lambda(p) = -e_d \sigma^{-1} \ln(1-p).$$

Exponential term in (9) falls slower than pure exponent but faster than all powers of $1/t$. Yet for p close to 1 its variation can be small as compared to that of prefactor in all region (8) and this term should be dropped leaving only power-law relaxation. This could happen when

$$l_p \equiv \frac{\lambda(p) \sigma}{\ln(1/p)} = e_d \frac{\ln(1-p)}{\ln p} \gg 1$$

Then, not very close to T_0 , for $l_c \ll l_p$ we have power-law relaxation in the interval (8) described solely by prefactor in (9), while in the immediate vicinity of T_0 for $l_c \gg l_p$ this prefactor can be dropped in (9).

Thus near T_0 there appears time interval (8) with non-exponential relaxation which expands to infinity right at T_0 . Owing to the common relaxation mechanism, same Eq. (9) holds for long time asymptotic in original Griffiths' phase near second-order transition with one-component nonconserved order parameter. It was first derived in Ref. 5 without power-law prefactor since author used the estimate $N(n) \sim p^n$, which does not hold for p close to 1.

Sparse pure clusters with $s \geq n$ would also exhibit non-exponential relaxation of a similar origin. We cannot give an exact description of their contributions to the dynamic correlator. Yet at every temperature below T_0 a 'local transition' takes place in some specific sort of sparse pure clusters and their relaxation would dominate the infinite time asymptotic. So the above results for compact clusters describe the order parameter relaxation at $t \rightarrow \infty$ in close vicinity of T_0 only, while far below it relaxation of sparse clusters must be considered.

This qualitative consideration shows that phase coexistence region in dilute crystal with first-order phase transition has features rather similar to that of the original Griffiths' phase. Apparently, non-exponential relaxation and breaking of field dependence analyticity rely only on the presence of (size-dependent) barriers between phases, so they will also be present in case of first-order transition

with symmetric potential.

Meanwhile the appearance of nonzero order parameter at all T is specific to asymmetric potentials. The physical arguments leading to conclusion on this point seem to be relevant in the interpretation of the smearing of first-order transition by frozen disorder. Thus it seems that vanishing latent heat in random Potts models should not be interpreted as the change of transition into the second-order one^{7,8}. Indeed, we have seen that in dilute case order parameter never vanishes and there are also no instabilities associated with it. So we may suppose that randomness could only soften or utterly eliminate first-order transition but could not change its order.

It seems rather probable that main features of pretransitional phenomena considered here would be retained in more rigorous approach. So present qualitative results can be of some use in the interpretation of the specific features of first-order phase transitions in random media.

Acknowledgments

This work was made under support from INTAS, grant 2001-0826. I gratefully acknowledge helpful and illuminating discussions with V. P. Sakhnenko.

* Electronic address: timonin@aaanet.ru

¹ R. B. Griffiths, Phys. Rev. Lett. **23**, 17 (1969).

² A. B. Harris, Phys. Rev. B **12**, 203 (1975).

³ M. M. Bakri and D. Stauffer, Phys. Rev. B **14**, 4215 (1976).

⁴ M. Schwartz, Phys. Rev. B **18**, 2364 (1978).

⁵ A. J. Bray, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 720 (1988).

⁶ Y. Imry and M. Wortis, Phys. Rev. B **19**, 3580 (1979).

⁷ J. Cardy and J. Jacobsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 4063 (1997).

⁸ C. Chatelain, B. Berche, W. Janke, and P. Berche, cond-mat/0103377.

⁹ D. Stauffer and A. Aharony, *Introduction into percolation theory* (Taylor and Francis, 1992).

¹⁰ H. Kesten, *Percolation theory for mathematicians* (Birkhauser, 1982).

¹¹ R. B. Stinchcomb, in *Phase Transition and Critical Phenomena*, eds. C. Domb, J. L. Lebowitz (Academic Press, 1983).

¹² M. Grant and J. D. Gunton, Phys. Rev. B **32**, 7299 (1985).

¹³ A. J. Bray, Adv. in Physics **43**, 357 (1994).