

Propagation of nonlinear waves in disordered media.

B.Spivak

Physics Department, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA

A.Zyuzin

A.F.Ioffe Institute, 194021 St.Petersburg, Russia

Abstract

We study propagation of stationary waves in disordered non-linear media described by the non-linear Schroedinger equation and show that for given boundary conditions and a given coherent wave incident on a sample the number of solutions of the equation increase exponentially with the sample size. We also discuss the ballistic case and the sensitivity of the solutions to a change of external parameters. Finally, we point out the similarity of this problem to the problem of spin glasses.

I. INTRODUCTION

In this article we consider propagation of stationary coherent wave described by a field $\phi(\mathbf{r})$ in a nonlinear elastically scattering media. Though we believe that our results are of general character, for the sake of concreteness we consider the situation where the wave is described by a nonlinear Schroedinger equation

$$\left\{ -\frac{1}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mathbf{r}^2} - \epsilon + u(\mathbf{r}) + \beta n(\mathbf{r}) \right\} \phi(\mathbf{r}) = 0 \quad (1)$$

Here $n(\mathbf{r}) = |\phi(\mathbf{r})|^2$ is the density, m is the wave mass, ϵ is the wave energy, β is a constant and $u(\mathbf{r})$ is a scattering potential which is a random function of the coordinates. Eq.1

appears, for example, in the theory of electromagnetic waves propagating in nonlinear media [1], in the theory of hydrodynamic turbulence [2], and in the theory of turbulent plasma [3].

We will assume white noise statistics of $u(\mathbf{r})$: $\langle u(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = 0$, $\langle u(\mathbf{r})u(\mathbf{r}_1) \rangle = \frac{\pi}{lm^2}\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_1)$. Here brackets $\langle \rangle$ correspond to averaging over realizations of $u(\mathbf{r})$ and l is the elastic mean free path ($l \gg k^{-1} = (2\epsilon m)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$). In the presence of the scattering potential $u(\mathbf{r})$ the spatial dependence of $n(\mathbf{r})$ exhibits random, sample specific fluctuations, which are called speckles. In the case of elastically scattering diffusive linear media this problem has been considered in [4,5]. Below we will be interested in statistics of $n(\mathbf{r})$ in the case of nonlinear diffusive media. In particular, we will show that for given boundary conditions the number of solutions of Eq.1 increase exponentially with the sample size. A brief summary of some of the results has been published in [6].

II. NONLINEAR SPECKLES.

Let us consider the case where a coherent wave $\phi_0(\mathbf{r}) = \sqrt{n_0}\exp(i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r})$ with momentum $\mathbf{k}$ is incident on a disordered sample of size $L \gg l$ (See the insert in Fig.1a).

The $\mathbf{r}$ dependence of the average density $\langle n(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$ can be described by the diffusion equation,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle &= -D \frac{\partial \langle n(\mathbf{r}) \rangle}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \\ \text{div} \langle \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

which is equivalent to calculation of the diagrams shown in Fig.2.a. Here $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r})$ is the current density. In the limit $k^{-1} \ll l \ll L$ the expression for the diffusion coefficient $D = \frac{lk}{3m}$ has a classical form. In the case of geometry shown in Fig.1 the total flux through the sample is zero and the average density $\langle n(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = n_0$ is spatially uniform.

The term $\beta|\phi(\mathbf{r})|^2$ in Eq.1 plays a role of an additional scattering potential. It will be shown that its contribution to the diffusion coefficient D can be neglected at small enough intensities of the beam incident on the sample, when

$$(|\beta n_0|)^2 \ll \frac{\epsilon k}{lm}. \tag{3}$$

At $\beta > 0$ the propagation of a uniform wave in an infinite medium describing by Eq.1 is unstable at arbitrarily small n_0 due to the effect of nonlinear self-focusing. The characteristic length on which the self-focusing takes place is of order $r_{(sf)} \sim \epsilon k / (\beta n_0)^2 m$ [1]. Thus the inequality Eq.3 is equivalent to $r_{(nl)} \gg l$. One can say that the regime Eq.3 corresponds to a system of randomly distributed weak concave and convex lenses.

The diffusion equation approximation completely neglects interference effects which lead to the existence of the speckles. To describe them one should solve Eq.1 before averaging over realizations of $u(\mathbf{r})$. It is convenient to expand the density

$$\beta n(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{D}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{1/3} \bar{u}_i n_i(\mathbf{r}) \quad (4)$$

over a complete set of orthogonal eigenstates $n_i(\mathbf{r})$ of diffusion equation ($\int d\mathbf{r} n_i^2(\mathbf{r}) = 1$).

$$D \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 \mathbf{r}} n_i(\mathbf{r}) = E_i n_i(\mathbf{r}) \quad (5)$$

where $E_i \sim \frac{D}{L^2} i^{2/3}$ are eigenvalues of Eq.5 and $i = 1, 2, \dots$ labels the eigenstates. We assume boundary conditions which correspond to zero current through a closed boundary and $n(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ at the open boundary.

Let us first substitute Eq.4 into the nonlinear term of Eq.1 and regard $\bar{u}_i$ as independent parameters. Then we get a linear equation for $\phi(\mathbf{r})$

$$\left\{ -\frac{1}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mathbf{r}^2} - \epsilon + u(\mathbf{r}) + \beta \frac{D}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{1/3} \bar{u}_i n_i(\mathbf{r}) \right\} \phi(\mathbf{r}) = 0 \quad (6)$$

Denoting a solution of Eq.6 at a given sequence of parameters $\{\bar{u}_i\} = (\bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_j, \dots)$ as $\phi(\mathbf{r}, \{u(\mathbf{r})\}, \{\bar{u}_m\})$ and constructing $n(\mathbf{r}, \{u(\mathbf{r})\}, \{\bar{u}_i\}) = |\phi(\mathbf{r}, \{u(\mathbf{r})\}, \{\bar{u}_i\})|^2$ we can write the self-consistency equations for $\{\bar{u}_i\}$

$$\frac{i^{2/3} \bar{u}_i}{\gamma} = F_i(\{\bar{u}_i\}). \quad (7)$$

Here

$$\gamma = \frac{3n_0\beta}{2\epsilon} \left(\frac{L}{l}\right)^{3/2} \quad (8)$$

and

$$F_i(\{\bar{u}_i\}) = \frac{k i^{1/3} l^{1/2}}{n_0 L} \int d\mathbf{r} n(\mathbf{r}, \{u(\mathbf{r})\}, \{\bar{u}_i\}) n_i(\mathbf{r}) \quad (9)$$

are dimensionless random functions of $\{\bar{u}_i\}$, the form of which depend on realizations of $u(\mathbf{r})$.

To investigate properties of solutions of Eq.7 we have to know statistical properties of random functions $F_i(\{\bar{u}_i\})$. We can infer these properties from values of different correlation functions of $F_i(\{\bar{u}_i\})$ obtained by averaging over realization of $u(\mathbf{r})$. It is important that the statistical analysis of the random functions $F_i(\{\bar{u}_i\})$ is equivalent to the analysis of linear speckles, which has been done in [4,5]. To characterize $F_i(\{\bar{u}_i\})$ we calculate the following correlation functions

$$\langle \delta F_i(\{\bar{u}_i\}) \delta F_j(\{\bar{u}_i\}) \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \quad (10)$$

$$\langle [F_i(\{\bar{u}_i + \Delta \bar{u}_i\}) - F_i(\{\bar{u}_i\})]^2 \rangle \sim (\Delta \bar{u}_i)^2 \quad at \quad \delta \bar{u}_i \sim 1. \quad (11)$$

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial \bar{u}_r} \times \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial \bar{u}_s} \right\rangle \sim ((r/s)^{1/3} + (s/r)^{1/3}) \frac{1}{(|i-j| + |r-s|)^{2/3}} \quad (12)$$

Here $\delta F_i = F_i - \langle F_i \rangle$ and $\langle F_i(\{\bar{u}_i\}) \rangle = const$ which is independent of $\{\bar{u}_k\}$. We present a derivation of Eqs.10-12 in the next section.

Eq.10 indicates that mesoscopic fluctuations of different functions F_i are *uncorrelated*. According to Eq.12 the correlation of derivatives of $F_i(\{\bar{u}_i\})$ over different $\bar{u}_r$ is small for $|r-s| > 1$. It will be shown in the next section that these facts are a consequence of the choice of $n_i(\mathbf{r})$ in Eq.4 as eigenfunctions of Eq.5. To ensure Eqs.10,11 we introduced the coefficients $i^{1/3} D / \sqrt{L}$ in Eq.4.

Thus we arrive at the following picture: $F_i(\{\bar{u}_i\})$ fluctuate randomly as functions of $\{\bar{u}_i\}$ near they average, which is independent of $\{\bar{u}_i\}$. Following to Eq.11 the characteristic period of the fluctuations is of order one. The fluctuations of different functions are uncorrelated and fluctuations of the same functions with respect to different $\bar{u}_i$ are uncorrelated as well.

Using this information about $F_i(\{\bar{u}_i\})$ we can estimate the number of solutions of Eq.7 (or Eq.1). If $\gamma \ll 1$ Eq.7 has a unique solution while at $\gamma \gg 1$ they have many solutions. Let us consider i^{th} equation in Eqs.7 and fix all variables $\bar{u}_j$ other than $\bar{u}_i$. Then, at $i^{2/3}\gamma^{-1} \gg 1$ the equation has a unique solution while at $i^{2/3}\gamma^{-1} \ll 1$ the number of the solutions is of order $\gamma i^{-2/3}$. In Fig.1a we show a qualitative "graphical" solution of Eq.7 which corresponds to intersection of two functions: $F_i(\dots, \bar{u}_i, \dots)$ and $\gamma^{-1}i^{2/3}\bar{u}_i$. At $\gamma > 1$ the solutions are distributed in the interval of order $\gamma i^{-2/3}$.

Therefore, to estimate number of solutions of Eqs.7 at $\gamma \gg 1$ we have to take into account only a subset of Eqs.7 with $i < I = \gamma^{3/2}$. Since both the amplitude of fluctuations and the periods in i^{th} direction of randomly rippled hyper surfaces $F_i(\{\bar{u}_i\})$ are of order unity, the number of solutions N of Eqs.1,7 is proportional to the volume of an I -dimensional hyperparallelepiped with sides, which are of order $\gamma i^{-2/3}$, $i < I$. As a result we have

$$N \sim \gamma^I \prod_1^I i^{-2/3} \sim \exp\left(\frac{2}{3}\gamma^{3/2}\right) \quad (13)$$

Thus the number of the solutions Eq.13 increases exponentially with the sample size L .

To illustrate Eq.13 we consider the case $I = 2$ ($\gamma \sim 1$). Then Eqs.7 can be viewed as two surfaces $z = F_1(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)$ and $z = F_2(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)$ which are intersected by two planes $z = \gamma^{-1}\bar{u}_1$ and $z = \gamma^{-1}2^{2/3}\bar{u}_2$ respectively. A result of these intersections is two systems of lines in the plane $\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2$, which are shown in Fig.1b. The solid ones correspond to intersection between $z = F_1(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)$ and $z = \gamma^{-1}\bar{u}_1$. They are located within a stripe in the $\bar{u}_1$ direction of width of order γ . The dashed lines correspond to the intersection of the surfaces $z = F_2(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)$ and $z = \gamma^{-1}2^{2/3}\bar{u}_2$. They are located within a stripe of the width $\gamma 2^{-2/3}$ in $\bar{u}_2$ direction. The intersection of the solid and the dashed lines in Fig.1b correspond to solutions of the system of Eqs.7. Following to Eq.10-12 the dashed and the solid lines in Fig.1b are uncorrelated. The typical distance between, say, solid lines is of order one. As a result, in this case, the number of solutions of Eqs.7 is of order the area of parallelogram with sides γ and $2^{-2/3}\gamma$, which gives us

$$N_{I=2} \sim \gamma \times 2^{-2/3}\gamma \quad (14)$$

Let us estimate now the corrections to the diffusion coefficients originating from scattering on the potential $\beta n(\mathbf{r})$. It will be shown in the next section that at $|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'| < L$ we have ($D = 3$)

$$\langle n(\mathbf{r})n(\mathbf{r}') \rangle = \frac{n_0^2}{Dmk|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}. \quad (15)$$

independently of values of the parameters $\{\bar{u}_i\}$. In the Born approximation the mean free path corresponding to scattering on the potential $\beta n(\mathbf{r})$ is:

$$l_{(nl)} = \frac{\epsilon k^2}{(\beta n_0)^2 m} \quad (16)$$

Thus the criteria Eq.3 is equivalent to $l_{(nl)} \gg l$.

In the opposite limit $l_{(nl)} \ll l$ the scattering mean free path is determined by the scattering on the potential $\beta n(\mathbf{r})$, and one can neglect the random potential $u(\mathbf{r})$ in Eq.1. In this case one can estimate the number of solutions of Eq.1 by substituting $l_{(nl)}$ instead of l into Eqs.8, or substituting into Eq.13

$$\gamma_{(nl)} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{(n_0 \beta)^4}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{Lm}{\epsilon k} \right)^{3/2} \quad (17)$$

instead of γ .

In the conclusion of the section we would like to discuss the condition $\gamma > 1$ for the existence of multiple solutions of Eq.1. In the absence of the nonlinear term a solution of Eq.1 corresponds to traveling of particles along diffusion trajectories. In the presence of the nonlinear term $\beta n(\mathbf{r})$ the amplitudes of probability to travel along a diffusive trajectory acquires an additional phase of order $\delta\chi_{(nl)} = \frac{\beta k}{2} \int ds \delta n(\mathbf{r}) \sim 1$, where the integration is taken along a diffusive trajectory. To estimate the value of the additional phase let us calculate the integral

$$\left\langle (\delta\chi_{(nl)})^2 \right\rangle = \left(\frac{\beta k}{2} \right)^2 \int ds ds' \langle \delta n(\mathbf{r}) \delta n(\mathbf{r}') \rangle \quad (18)$$

The correlation function of densities is given by Eq.15 and the estimate for Eq.18 is $(\beta k)^2 \frac{L^3}{l^2}$. Thus the criterium $\gamma > 1$ corresponds $\langle (\delta\chi_{(nl)})^2 \rangle > 1$. Another way to explain it is that at $\gamma > 1$ the sensitivity of the solutions of Eq.1 increases significantly as compared to its single particle value [6].

III. CALCULATION OF CORRELATION FUNCTIONS OF EQS.11-13

Following to the definition Eq.9 we get

$$\langle F_i(\{\bar{u}_i\})F_j(\{\bar{u}_i + \Delta\bar{u}_i\}) \rangle = \frac{i^{1/3}j^{1/3}lk^2}{L^2n_0^2} \int d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{r}' n_i(\mathbf{r})n_j(\mathbf{r}') \langle n(\mathbf{r}, \{\bar{u}_l\})n(\mathbf{r}_1, \{\bar{u}_l + \Delta\bar{u}_l\}) \rangle \quad (19)$$

In the approximation Eq.3 the value of $\langle n(\mathbf{r}, \{\bar{u}_l\})n(\mathbf{r}_1, \{\bar{u}_l + \Delta\bar{u}_l\}) \rangle$ is *independent* of $\{\bar{u}_l\}$ and has the same form as in the linear case [4,5]. Therefore one can use the standard diagram technique for averaging over $u(\mathbf{r})$ [10]. The diagrams describing the correlation functions $\langle n(\mathbf{r}, \{\bar{u}_l\})n(\mathbf{r}', \{\bar{u}_l + \Delta\bar{u}_l\}) \rangle$ are shown in Fig.2b,c. Alternatively one can solve the Langevin equation [4,5] valid at $|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'| \gg l$

$$\text{div} \delta \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}) = 0 \quad (20)$$

$$\delta \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}) = -D \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \delta n(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{J}^L(\mathbf{r}, \{u(\mathbf{r})\}, \{\bar{u}_i\}) \quad (21)$$

The correlation function of random Langevin forces $\mathbf{J}^L(\mathbf{r})$ is given by the diagram shown in Fig.2b. It can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J_i^L(\mathbf{r}, \{u(\mathbf{r})\}, \{\bar{u}_i\}) J_j^L(\mathbf{r}, \{u(\mathbf{r})\}, \{\bar{u}_i + \Delta\bar{u}_i\}) \rangle = \\ \frac{2\pi l}{3m^2} |\langle \phi(\mathbf{r}, \{\bar{u}_l\}) \phi^*(\mathbf{r}, \{\bar{u}_l + \Delta\bar{u}_l\}) \rangle|^2 \delta_{ij} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The expression for $\langle \phi(\mathbf{r}, \{\bar{u}_l\}) \phi^*(\mathbf{r}, \{\bar{u}_l + \Delta\bar{u}_l\}) \rangle$ is given by the ladder diagrams shown in Fig.2b, where the inner Green function correspond to $\{\bar{u}_i\}$, while the outer Green function correspond to $\{\bar{u}_m + \Delta\bar{u}_m\}$. Eq.22 is a generalization of corresponding equations introduced in [4,5]. Namely, in the case $\Delta\bar{u}_l = 0$ one has to substitute $\langle n \rangle^2 = n_0^2$ in Eq.22 instead of $|\langle \phi(\mathbf{r}, \{\bar{u}_l\}) \phi^*(\mathbf{r}, \{\bar{u}_l + \Delta\bar{u}_l\}) \rangle|^2$.

Using Eqs.20-22 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n(\mathbf{r}, \{\bar{u}_l\}) n(\mathbf{r}', \{\bar{u}_l + \Delta\bar{u}_l\}) \rangle = \\ \frac{2\pi l}{3m^2} \int d\mathbf{r}_1 \frac{d\Pi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_1)}{d\mathbf{r}_1} \frac{d\Pi(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}_1)}{d\mathbf{r}_1} |\langle \phi(\mathbf{r}, \{\bar{u}_l\}) \phi^*(\mathbf{r}, \{\bar{u}_l + \Delta\bar{u}_l\}) \rangle|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Here

$$\Pi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \sum_l \frac{n_l(\mathbf{r})n_l(\mathbf{r}')}{E_j} \quad (24)$$

is the Green function of Eq.5

At $\Delta\bar{u}_i = 0$, making the integral over $\mathbf{r}_1$ in Eq.23 by parts, using Eq.22 and taking into account the orthogonality of the functions $n_i(\mathbf{r})$ we get Eq.10. At $|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'| < L$ we also get Eq.15 from Eq.20-22.

The diagrams Fig.2.b for $\langle \phi(\mathbf{r}, \{\bar{u}_l\})\phi^*(\mathbf{r}, \{\bar{u}_l + \Delta\bar{u}_l\}) \rangle$ are equivalent to by the equation [8,9]

$$[D \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 \mathbf{r}} + i \frac{D}{\sqrt{L}} i^{1/3} \Delta\bar{u}_i n_i(\mathbf{r})] \langle \phi(\mathbf{r}, \{\bar{u}_l\})\phi^*(\mathbf{r}, \{\bar{u}_l + \Delta\bar{u}_l\}) \rangle = 0 \quad (25)$$

The existence the term proportional to $\Delta\bar{u}_i$ in Eq.25 reflects the fact that the inner lines in diagrams shown in Fig.2b correspond to the Green functions in the scattering potential characterized by $\{\bar{u}_i\}$ while the outer lined correspond to $\{\bar{u}_i + \Delta\bar{u}_i\}$. We would like to mention that as long as Eq.3 holds Eq.25 is independent of $\{\bar{u}_m\}$.

Solving Eq.25 by the perturbation theory with respect to $\Delta\bar{u}_l$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \phi(\mathbf{r}, \{\bar{u}_l\})\phi^*(\mathbf{r}, \{\bar{u}_l + \Delta\bar{u}_l\}) \rangle = \\ & n_0(1 + i \frac{D}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_i i^{1/3} \frac{n_i(\mathbf{r})}{E_i} \Delta\bar{u}_i - \frac{D}{L} i^{2i/3} \sum_{i,j} \int d\mathbf{r}' \Pi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \frac{\Delta\bar{u}_i n_i(\mathbf{r}') \Delta\bar{u}_j n_j(\mathbf{r}')}{E_l} + \dots) \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

We can neglect the second term in brackets in Eq.26 because it is of order $(i^{-1/3} \Delta\bar{u}_i)$ (and its contribution to Eq.22 is of order $i^{-2/3} (\Delta\bar{u}_i)^2$), while the contribution to Eq.22 from the third term in the brackets in Eq.26 is $(\Delta\bar{u}_i)^2$. To get the latter estimate we took into account that $\Pi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \sim (DL)^{-1}$ at $|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'| \sim L$. Substituting Eq.26 into Eq.19,22,23, we get Eqs.11,12.

IV. DISCUSSION

We would like to mention that the estimate Eq.14 was done for the case of a typical realization of the scattering potential. On the other hand, even at $\gamma < 1$ there are rear realizations of $u(\mathbf{r})$ which correspond to several solutions of Eq.1.

The results presented above hold for arbitrary sign of β . It is quite different from the situation in pure case ($u(\mathbf{r}) = 0$) [1] where at $\beta > 0$ the self-focusing take place.

At $\gamma \gg 1$ the solutions of Eq.1 exhibit an exponentially large sensitivity to changes of parameters of the system [6]. Consider, for example, the case where the angle of the wave incidence θ is changing and suppose that a solution of Eq.1 is following this change adiabatically. Then an exponentially small change

$$\Delta\theta \sim \exp(a\gamma^{3/2}) \quad (27)$$

will lead to a disappearance of the solution and the system will exhibit a jump in other solution.

A phenomenon which is similar to considered above may occur in disordered metals with interacting electrons and in disordered superconductors. For example, the system interacting electrons in disordered metals can be unstable with respect to creation of random magnetic moments. This would correspond to Finkel'shtain's scenario [11]. However, in this case to get a self consistency equation, which would be an analog of Eq.7 we have to integrate over electron energies up to the Fermi energy, which decreases the amplitude of mesoscopic fluctuations. As a result, the situation with many solutions may occur only in the D=2 case and the characteristic spatial scale of integration over $\mathbf{r}$ will be of the order of the electron localization length in the linear problem. Thus the problem of interacting electrons in disordered metals remains unsolved.

Finally, we would like to mention a similarity of the problem considered above with the problem of spin glasses. To illustrate this point let us consider a model when the coefficient $\beta(\mathbf{r})$ in Eq.1 is nonzero only at points $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_\alpha$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots$.

$$\beta(\mathbf{r}) = \beta_0 \sum_{\alpha} U(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_\alpha) \quad (28)$$

where $U(|\mathbf{r}|)$ is a short range function decaying on characteristic distance $R < 1/k$ and of a maximum height U_0 , and $\mathbf{r}_i$ are randomly distributed in space with a given density. Then Eq.1 can be rewritten only in terms of values of $\phi_\alpha = \phi(\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_\alpha)$

$$\phi_\alpha = \beta_0 U_0 R^3 \sum_{\alpha, \beta} G(\mathbf{r}_\alpha, \mathbf{r}_\beta) |\phi_\beta|^2 \phi_\beta \quad (29)$$

where

$$G(\mathbf{r}_\alpha, \mathbf{r}_\beta) \sim \frac{\exp(ik|\mathbf{r}_\alpha - \mathbf{r}_\beta| + i\delta(\mathbf{r}_\alpha, \mathbf{r}_\beta))}{|\mathbf{r}_\alpha - \mathbf{r}_\beta|^{1/2}} \quad |\mathbf{r}_\alpha - \mathbf{r}_\beta| \gg l \quad (30)$$

is a Green function of the linear Schroedinger equation and the phase $\delta(\mathbf{r}_\alpha, \mathbf{r}_\beta)$ is a random quantity at $|\mathbf{r}_\alpha - \mathbf{r}_\beta| \gg l$. The major difference between Eq.30 and the spin glass problem is that in the former case one is interested in the minimum of free energy while in the case Eq.30 the boundary conditions are given. Thus there are no thermodynamic criteria how to choose between multiple solutions of Eq.1.

REFERENCES

- [1] L.Landau, E.Lifshitz, "Electrodynamics of continuous media", 1968.
- [2] V.E.Zakharov, V.S.Lvov, G.Falkovich, "Kolmogorov spectra of turbulence" Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [3] B.B.Kadomzev, "Collective phenomena in plasma", Nauka, Moscow, 1976.
- [4] A.Zyuzin, B.Spivak, Sov.Phys.JETP.66,560,1987.
- [5] B.Spivak, A.Zyuzin, "Mesoscopic Fluctuations of Current Density in Disordered Conductors" In "Mesoscopic Phenomena in Solids" Ed. B.Altshuler, P.Lee, R.Webb, Modern Problems in Condensed Matter Sciences vol.30, 1991.
- [6] B.Spivak, A.Zyuzin, Phys.Rev. Lett.**84**, 1970, (2000).
- [7] P.A.Lee, A.D.Stone, Phys.Rev.Lett.55, 1622, 1985.
- [8] B.L.Altshuler, B.Spivak, JETP Lett.42, 447, 1986; B.D.Simons, B.L.Altshuler, Phys.Rev. **B 48**, 5422, 1993.
- [9] S.Feng, P.Lee, A.D.Stone, Phys.Rev.Lett.56,1560,1986.
- [10] A.Abrikosov, L.Gorkov, I.Dzyaloshinski, "Methods of quantum field theory in statistical physics" NY,1963.
- [11] A.M. Finkelshtein, Sov.Sci.Rev. A., Phys. **14**, 1, 1990.

FIGURES




FIG. 1. a) Graphical solution of Eq.16. The wavy line corresponds to $F_i(\dots \bar{u}_i \dots)$ and the straight line corresponds to $\gamma^{-1} i^{2/3} \bar{u}_i$. b) The solid lines correspond to the intersection $F_1(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)$ and $\gamma^{-1} \bar{u}_1$ while the dashed lines correspond to the intersection of $F_2(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)$ and $\gamma^{-1} 2^{2/3} \bar{u}_2$.




FIG. 2. a) Diagrams describing $\langle n(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$. Solid lines correspond to Green functions of Eq.1 with $\beta = 0$. Dashed lines correspond to $\pi\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')/lm^2$. b) and c) Diagrams describing Eq.24. The inner solid lines describe the Green functions which correspond to $\{\bar{u}_i\}$ while the outer solid lines correspond to $\{\bar{u}_i + \Delta\bar{u}_i\}$.