

# Formation and mobility of oxygen vacancies in $\text{RuSr}_2\text{GdCu}_2\text{O}_8$

F. Cordero<sup>1</sup>, M. Ferretti<sup>2</sup>, M.R. Cimberle<sup>3</sup>, R. Masini<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *CNR, Area della Ricerca di Roma - Tor Vergata, Istituto di Acustica "O.M. Corbino",  
Via del Fosso del Cavaliere 100, I-00133 Roma, and INFN - Unità Roma 1, Roma, Italy*

<sup>2</sup> *LAMIA-INFN and Dipartimento di Chimica e Chimica Industriale, Via Dodecaneso 31, 16146 Genova, Italy*

<sup>3</sup> *CNR-IMEM sezione di Genova c/o Dipartimento di Fisica, Via Dodecaneso 33, 16146 Genova, Italy*

Oxygen vacancies are introduced in the  $\text{RuO}_2$  and possibly  $\text{CuO}_2$  planes of  $\text{RuSr}_2\text{GdCu}_2\text{O}_8$  by annealing in vacuum above 600 K. The diffusive jumps of the O vacancies are accompanied by a reorientation of the local distortion, and are probed by measuring the elastic energy loss and modulus versus temperature at 1-10 kHz. An intense acoustic absorption peak develops near 670 K at 1 kHz and finally stabilizes after heating up to 920 K in vacuum. The analysis of the peak shows a barrier for the O diffusion of  $\simeq 0.14$  eV, and a slowing down of Curie-Weiss type, with  $T_c = 400 - 470$  K, due to the interaction among the O vacancies.

A secondary peak is attributed to O vacancies trapped at defects in the  $\text{RuO}_2$  planes, or to vacancies in the  $\text{CuO}_2$  planes. No sign of structural phase transformation is found up to 920 K.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The ruthenocuprates are a relatively new class of high- $T_c$  superconductors,<sup>1</sup> which is attracting much interest for the apparent coexistence of ferromagnetism and superconductivity.<sup>2</sup> In spite of a considerable experimental activity on these compounds, and notably on  $\text{RuSr}_2\text{GdCu}_2\text{O}_8$  (Ru-1212), there are still several obscure points regarding their preparation and characterization, and it turns out that nominally identical samples may or may not be superconducting, depending on subtle details of the preparation process.<sup>3</sup> The O stoichiometry is considered as a possible source of non-uniform properties from sample to sample, but there is a great variety of results regarding the influence of the preparation on the O stoichiometry. Some studies find that the annealing at high temperature in vacuum or inert atmosphere causes considerable O deficiency,<sup>1,4</sup> while others find no influence at all<sup>5,6</sup> even up to 800 °C.<sup>7</sup> It has then proposed that the prolonged annealings affect the cation ordering,<sup>8</sup> the grain boundaries,<sup>3</sup> or the microstructure.<sup>9</sup> It seems that granularity has an important role,<sup>6</sup> since the near coincidence of the lattice parameters  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c/3$  results in the formation of small domains with  $c$  in any of the three almost equivalent directions. Therefore, some authors attribute the improvement in superconducting properties following long high-temperature anneals to increases in domain size, rather than change in cation composition or O content<sup>10</sup>

In the present work we address the issue whether O vacancies may be present in Ru-1212 by anelastic spectroscopy measurements. Such a technique is particularly suited, since, similarly to the well known case of  $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ , an O vacancy in the  $\text{RuO}_2$  or  $\text{CuO}_2$  plane of Ru-1212 creates an anisotropic strain that reorients by 90° after a jump; therefore, the diffusive motion of the O vacancies gives rise to a well detectable anelastic relaxation effect.

## II. EXPERIMENTAL

Polycrystalline samples of composition  $\text{RuSr}_2\text{GdCu}_2\text{O}_8$  have been synthesized by solid state reaction of high purity stoichiometric powders of  $\text{RuO}_2$ ,  $\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{CuO}$  and  $\text{SrCO}_3$ , following a well established procedure described in previous works.<sup>11,12</sup> The final step resulted in the formation of a sintered ingot that was cut into bars. Some samples have been characterized structurally by X-ray powder diffraction and by magnetic susceptibility in a Quantum Design SQUID magnetometer. The superconducting transitions presented the two step behaviour typical of granular high- $T_c$  superconductors, with intragrain onset at  $T = 45$  K and the intergrain one at  $T = 19$  K. The anelastic measurements were made on a bar of  $49.5 \times 4.8 \times 0.58$  mm<sup>3</sup>.

The complex Young's modulus  $E(\omega) = E' + iE''$ , was measured as a function of temperature by suspending the bar on thin thermocouple wires and electrostatically exciting its flexural modes. The frequencies  $\omega_i/2\pi$  of the first three odd flexural modes are in the ratios 1 : 5.4 : 13.3 with  $\omega_1/2\pi \sim 0.8$  kHz, and are related to the real part of the Young's modulus through  $\omega_i = \alpha_i \sqrt{E'/\rho}$ , where  $\alpha_i$  is a geometrical factor and  $\rho$  the mass density. The elastic energy loss coefficient, or the reciprocal of the mechanical quality factor,<sup>13</sup> is  $Q^{-1}(\omega, T) = E''/E' = S''/S'$ , where  $S = S' + iS'' = E^{-1}$  is the elastic compliance; the  $Q^{-1}$  was measured from the decay of the free oscillations or from the width of the resonance peak. An elementary relaxation process, like the hopping of an O atom or vacancy with rate  $\tau^{-1}$ , contributes to the imaginary part of the compliance with<sup>13</sup>

$$\delta S''(\omega, T) = \frac{cv_0 (\lambda^{(2)} - \lambda^{(1)})^2}{2k_B T} \frac{\omega\tau}{1 + (\omega\tau)^2}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\lambda^{(i)}$  is the elastic dipole of the defect in configuration  $i$ :  $c\lambda^{(i)}$  is the anelastic strain due to a homogeneous distribution of defects with molar concentration  $c$ , all in the  $i$ -th configuration. Considering the relaxation from O atoms or vacancies in the  $\text{RuO}_2$  or  $\text{CuO}_2$  planes, and at first neglecting the small rotation of the  $\text{RuO}_6$  octahedra about their  $c$  axis,<sup>6,10</sup> there are only two orientations  $i = 1, 2$  of the elastic dipole, depending whether the O atom or vacancy ( $V_O$ ) has the nearest neighboring Ru/Cu atoms along the  $y$  or  $x$  direction (corresponding to the O(1) and O(5) sites in YBCO<sup>15</sup>). An analysis of the effect of the strains  $\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2$  or  $\varepsilon_6$  on the environment of the O atoms shows that the rotation of the octahedra about the  $c$  axis does not lead to further splitting of the O site symmetries, and we remain with two types of O positions with nearest neighbor Ru approximately along  $x$  or  $y$ . Analogously to the case of YBCO,<sup>14</sup> if we call  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_{xx}^{(1)}$  and  $\lambda_2 = \lambda_{yy}^{(1)}$ , then  $\lambda_{xx}^{(2)} = \lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_{yy}^{(2)} = \lambda_1$ , while  $\lambda_{zz}^{(1)} = \lambda_{zz}^{(2)}$  and  $\lambda_{\mu\nu}^{(i)} = 0$  for  $\mu \neq \nu$ . The anelastic strain associated with an O jump is therefore  $\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2$ , and causes relaxation of the  $\delta(S_{11} - S_{12})$  compliance, with  $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$  entering into eq. (1); the factor 1/2 in that expression is appropriate for the case of a  $\langle 100 \rangle$  orthorhombic elastic dipole in a tetragonal crystal.<sup>13</sup> The above expression is peaked at  $\omega\tau = 1$ , and since the measurements are made at the resonance frequencies  $\omega_i$  as a function of temperature, one finds peaks at the temperatures  $T_i$  such that  $\omega_i\tau(T_i) = 1$ . Therefore, measurements at different frequencies provide the temperature dependence of the rate  $\tau^{-1}(T)$ , which generally follows the Arrhenius law:

$$\tau^{-1}(T) = \tau_0^{-1} \exp(-W/k_B T). \quad (2)$$

Assuming that  $E'$  and  $S'$  are almost constant over the temperature range in which the peak is observed, eq. (1) describes also the peaks in  $E''(\omega, T)$  and  $Q^{-1}(\omega, T)$ :

$$Q^{-1} \simeq \Delta \frac{\omega\tau}{1 + (\omega\tau)^2}, \quad (3)$$

where the relaxation strength

$$\Delta(T) = \frac{\delta S''(0, T)}{S''} = \frac{cv_0 (\lambda^{(2)} - \lambda^{(1)})^2}{S' k_B T} \quad (4)$$

corresponds to the static elastic susceptibility. A process characterized by a spectrum of relaxation times may be described by the Fuoss-Kirkwood distribution of relaxation rates,<sup>13</sup> yielding for the frequency dispersion factor  $[1 + (i\omega\tau)^\alpha]^{-1}$  instead of  $[1 + i\omega\tau]^{-1}$ ; the imaginary part of the dispersion to be inserted into eqs. (1) and (3) therefore becomes

$$\frac{\omega\tau}{1 + (\omega\tau)^2} \rightarrow \frac{(\omega\tau)^\alpha \sin(\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha)}{1 + (\omega\tau)^{2\alpha} + 2(\omega\tau)^\alpha \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha)}. \quad (5)$$

### III. RESULTS

Figure 1 presents three measurement runs in vacuum up to 660, 850 and 920 K; the lower panel contains the elastic energy loss coefficient, while the upper panel contains the relative change of the Young's modulus, where  $E_0 = E'(T=0)$  refers to the as prepared state. During the first heating the dissipation is low, and starts increasing above 600 K (curve 1). This increase is due to the formation of O vacancies, as confirmed by heating the same sample in a UHV system equipped with a residual gas analyzer; the trace of the O<sub>2</sub> partial pressure started increasing at 600 K, indicating that this is the temperature of the onset of massive O loss. During the subsequent heating (curve 2 at 2–3 K/min) a  $Q^{-1}(T)$  peak develops and becomes stable after heating above ~850 K. In fact, the  $Q^{-1}(T)$  curve measured on cooling (curve 3, open circles) is retraced during the subsequent runs: curve 4 on heating is slightly higher, but curve 5 on cooling (crosses) perfectly coincides with curve 3. This means that no further loss of O occurs, at least up to 920 K in vacuum. This fact again is confirmed by outgassing the same sample in the UHV system, and noting that the O outgassing rate at 900 K drops by two orders of magnitude within 10 min. The amount of O loss has been estimated from the mass variation after oxygenation and outgassing treatments, and ranges between 2.2 and 3% per formula unit after outgassing at 900-1000 K for less than 1 h.

From the perfect coincidence of the  $Q^{-1}(T)$  curves 3 and 5 measured on cooling, also very close to curve 4 on heating, we conclude that curves 3–5 represent a situation very close to equilibrium and their analysis is meaningful. The  $E'(T)$  curves reflect the behavior of the acoustic losses, with a negative step in correspondence to the absorption peak.<sup>13</sup> A transformation to a more symmetric structure where the rotation angle of the RuO<sub>6</sub>

octahedra about their  $c$  axes goes to zero may be expected at high temperature,<sup>6</sup> but there is no trace of it from room temperature to 930 K. Such a transformation should appear in the  $E'(T)$  curves as a jump, dip or change of slope.

## IV. DISCUSSION

The formation of O vacancies in the RuO<sub>2</sub> and possibly CuO<sub>2</sub> planes in Ru-1212 should have analogies with the partial filling of the CuO<sub>x</sub> planes in YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6+x</sub>. From the structural point of view the CuO<sub>2</sub> planes of Ru-1212 correspond to the CuO<sub>2</sub> planes of YBCO, which remain stoichiometric, while the RuO<sub>2</sub> planes of Ru-1212 correspond to the CuO<sub>x</sub> planes of YBCO.<sup>6</sup> Based on this structural analogy, we assume that the O vacancies form mainly in the RuO<sub>2</sub> planes; this also appears plausible by considering that the valence of Ru displays a wide range of values in various compounds.

### A. Oxygen hopping in YBCO

The case of YBCO is complicated, since only half filling of the available O sites can be achieved, with the formation of parallel Cu-O chains in the ortho-I phase. A complex  $x-T$  phase diagram results, which can be reproduced, for example, introducing three different short range O-O interaction energies (so called ASYNNNI model).<sup>15,16</sup> The diffusive jumps of the O atoms in the CuO<sub>x</sub> planes in the ortho-I phase have been studied by anelastic relaxation,<sup>17–19,14</sup> finding that hopping occurs over a barrier of ~1.1 eV; it has also been shown that there are actually two additional distinct hopping rates, with smaller activation energies, for O atoms belonging to chains in the ortho-II and tetragonal phases<sup>20,21</sup> and for isolated O atoms.<sup>20,22</sup> In spite of the numerous investigations, the anelastic spectrum due to O hopping in YBCO has not been quantitatively explained in terms of the microscopic parameters, like the elastic dipole associated to an O atom and the short-range O-O interaction energies, e.g. of the ASYNNNI model. Still, it has been shown that the relaxation strength (the static elastic susceptibility) exhibits a Curie-Weiss enhancement and the relaxation rate a critical slowing near the orthorhombic/tetragonal phase transformation,<sup>14</sup> corresponding to the ordering of O in the O(1) sublattice. The anisotropy of the elastic dipole in YBCO is found to be  $|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| \simeq 0.02$  from the dependence of the cell parameters  $a$  and  $b$  on the O content both in the orthorhombic and tetragonal phases.<sup>23</sup> The same information can hardly be obtained from anelastic measurements on ceramic samples, due to the heavy corrections necessary for the angular averaging of the elastic constants and the porosity of the samples.<sup>24</sup>

## B. O vacancies and related defects in Ru-1212

The case of Ru-1212 should be simpler, since the  $\text{RuO}_2$  planes remain close to the full stoichiometry even after prolonged outgassing; this can be described in terms of a deficiency  $\delta$  in  $\text{RuO}_{2-\delta}$  with  $\delta < 0.03$ , as deduced from the mass variation after oxygenation/outgassing treatments. This means that one can consider the dynamics of isolated or at most paired O vacancies with small concentration  $c = \delta$ , instead of more complex chain structures. One can therefore treat the O vacancy exactly like an O atom in a nearly empty  $\text{CuO}_x$  plane, and with an elastic dipole  $\lambda^V = -\lambda^O$ .

A complication arises from the fact that the main peak at  $\sim 670$  K (1 kHz) is accompanied by a shoulder at  $\sim 530$  K, indicating that there are actually two distinct relaxation processes associated with  $V_O$  in Ru-1212. There are various explanations for two distinct relaxation processes associated with  $V_O$ . One is that  $V_O$  may form stable pairs with a binding energy  $-E_b$ ; in that case, the reorientation of the vacancy pair would require its temporary dissociation and the corresponding relaxation rate should have an activation energy increased by  $E_b$  over the barrier for hopping of a simple  $V_O$ . The corresponding anelastic relaxation peak would appear at higher temperature, analogously to the case of the diluted interstitial O atoms and O pairs in  $\text{La}_2\text{CuO}_{4+\delta}$ .<sup>25</sup> The problem with this interpretation is that the peak at lower temperature in Fig. 1, which should be due to isolated  $V_O$ , has definitely a smaller intensity than the peak at higher temperature. At these low concentrations,  $\delta < 0.03$ , one would expect on the contrary that the isolated  $V_O$  predominate over the  $V_O$  pairs, rendering this explanation unlikely.

Another possibility is that the peak at lower temperature is associated with the complex of a  $V_O$  with a defect, for example a Cu atom substituting a Ru atom ( $\text{Cu}_{\text{Ru}}$ ). The fact that the temperature of the  $\text{Cu}_{\text{Ru}}-V_O$  relaxation is lower than that of  $V_O$  may be understood in terms of a  $\text{Cu}_{\text{Ru}}$  environment providing a lower barrier for O hopping, in accordance with the observation that the barrier of O hopping in the  $\text{CuO}_x$  planes of YBCO is 1.1 eV, lower than the barrier associated with the main peak in Ru-1212 (see later on).

Still another possible explanation of the two peaks is that  $V_O$  can form also in the  $\text{CuO}_2$  planes of Ru-1212, but at concentrations lower than in the  $\text{RuO}_2$  planes. In this case the peak at lower temperature would be due to the minority of faster  $V_O$  in the  $\text{CuO}_2$  planes. In what follows we will focus on the main peak.

## C. Fit of the relaxation curves and Curie-Weiss response

Attempts to fit the main peak in terms of Eqs. (1-5) yield a mean relaxation rate with  $\tau_0^{-1} \simeq 4 \times 10^{17} \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $E = 1.9$  eV, with a broadening parameter  $\alpha = 0.7$ ; such

a value for  $\tau_0^{-1}$  is too high for an O vacancy or any defect. In addition, it is impossible to reproduce the marked decrease of the peak intensity with increasing temperature (see the curve at higher frequency in Fig. 2). These features suggest a Curie-Weiss like enhancement of the relaxation strength, like in YBCO,<sup>14</sup> due to the long range elastic interactions among the  $V_O$ . The effect of the long range elastic interaction among elastic dipoles has been treated in connection with the O atoms in YBCO<sup>14</sup> and also the interstitial O atoms in bcc metals.<sup>26,27</sup> The interaction causes a tendency to cooperative alignment of the dipoles and results in a factor  $(1 - T_c/T)^{-1}$  that multiplies both the relaxation strength  $\Delta$  and time  $\tau$ , where  $T_c \propto c(1 - c)$  is the temperature below which the elastic dipoles at concentration  $c$  start aligning in a same direction. Note that the elastic dipoles can describe equivalently O atoms or vacancies, with opposite sign, and in the present situation it is natural to refer to vacancies. The relaxation process is then described by

$$dS'' = S' \tilde{\Delta} \frac{\omega \tilde{\tau}}{1 + (\omega \tilde{\tau})^2} \quad (6)$$

$$\tilde{\Delta} = \frac{cv_0(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2}{S' k_B (T - T_c)}, \quad \tau' = \frac{\tau}{1 - T_c/T}.$$

The divergence of the relaxation time on approaching  $T_c$  from above results in a  $\tau^{-1}(T)$  that is steeper than Eq. (2), and therefore in a higher apparent energy barrier  $W$  and prefactor  $\tau_0^{-1}$ , as presently observed. The divergence in the relaxation strength results in a peak intensity increasing more than as  $1/T$  with decreasing  $T$ .

Figure 2 presents a fit with Eq. (6), without relying on the usual approximation that the elastic compliance  $S'$  or modulus  $E'$  and therefore also the resonance frequencies  $\omega_i \propto \sqrt{E'}$  are constant. Therefore, instead of  $Q^{-1}$ , Fig. 2 reports  $S''(\omega, T)/S_0 = Q^{-1}(\omega, T) S'(\omega, T)/S_0$  with  $S_0 = S'(\omega, 0)$  and  $S'(\omega, T)/S_0 = [\omega_i(T)/\omega_i(0)]^{-1/2}$ . This correction for the temperature dependence of the frequency and elastic compliance improves slightly the fit. The dashed curve is obtained with  $W = 1.33$  eV,  $\tau_0 = 1 \times 10^{-14}$  s,  $T_c = 460$  K for the main peak and  $W = 1.4$  eV,  $\tau_0 = 2 \times 10^{-17}$  s,  $\alpha = 0.63$  for the smaller peak. The fit is not perfect, but the main process can be described with very reasonable parameters; in addition, there is no need of introducing an additional broadening, since the theoretical curve has already the correct width. The introduction of broadening, e.g. through the Fuoss-Kirkwood distribution with Eq. (5), introduces the additional parameter  $\alpha$  and allows slightly better fits to be obtained, like the continuous curve with  $W = 1.46$  eV,  $\tau_0 = 1.1 \times 10^{-15}$  s,  $T_c = 470$  K,  $\alpha = 0.82$  for the main peak and  $W = 1.10$  eV,  $\tau_0 = 2 \times 10^{-14}$  s,  $\alpha = 0.7$  for the smaller peak. It appears, however, that the introduction of a broadening is not the main ingredient for improving the accordance of the data with the Curie-Weiss expression, Eq. (6); possibly the mean field treatment<sup>26</sup> of the

interactions among the elastic dipoles is not adequate, or some changes of the electronic or structural properties of Ru-1212 make the barrier for O hopping temperature dependent, over the wide range of temperature (500-900 K) where the peak is observed. Still, from the fits shown in Fig. 2 and others not reported here, it appears that the main peak is due to hopping of the O vacancies with an activation energy of 1.3–1.4 eV; in addition, there is considerable critical slowing of the hopping dynamics and enhancement of the elastic susceptibility on approaching an ordering temperature  $T_c = 400 - 470$  K. The ordering would consist in the preferential occupation of sites of one type, namely with the nearest neighbor Ru atoms along either  $x$  or  $y$ , and would result in a slightly orthorhombic cell. It would be similar to the tetragonal-to-orthorhombic transformation in YBCO, where O occupies preferentially the O(1) instead of the O(5) sites, but not necessarily with the formation of chains of vacancies.

The minor relaxation at lower temperature is almost completely masked by the main relaxation process, and the fit does not yield reliable values of the parameters.

#### D. Relaxation strength and comparison with YBCO

A reliable estimate of the shape factor  $|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|$  of the elastic dipole tensor associated with an O vacancy cannot be done at present. In fact, Eq. (1) refers to a single crystal excited on pure  $\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2$  mode, while a ceramic sample requires averaging of the elastic constants over the solid angle and corrections due to porosity.<sup>24</sup> The same is true for YBCO, where the shape factor can be estimated from the structural data at different O contents ( $|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| = 0.19$  in Ref. 23 or 0.25 from the data in Ref. 28). A comparison between Ru-1212 and YBCO in the ortho-I phase<sup>14,17–20</sup> shows that the peaks due to O hopping have comparable intensities in both materials. This may at first indicate that Ru-1212 has much larger  $|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|$  than YBCO, since in the present case the concentration of elastic dipoles is  $< 0.03$  (the concentration of vacancies), while in  $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{\sim 6.9}$  the molar concentration of mobile O atoms or vacancies is  $\sim 1$ . It should be considered, however, that in the case of orthorhombic YBCO, the O atoms jump between sites that are energetically inequivalent, and this reduces the relaxation strength by a factor<sup>29</sup>  $4n_x n_y$ , where  $n_x$  and  $n_y$  are the equilibrium fractions of occupied sites of type  $x$  or  $y$ ; in the ortho-I phase it is  $n_x \ll n_y$  and therefore  $n_x n_y \ll 1$ . The relatively small amplitude of the peak in the ortho-I phase of YBCO should therefore be attributed to this effect; indeed, the relaxation strength reaches values as high as 1 near the tetragonal-to-orthorhombic transition of YBCO,<sup>14</sup> where  $n_x \simeq n_y \simeq 1/2$ .

An estimate of the order of magnitude of  $|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|$  in Ru-1212 can be made as follows: the polycrystalline average of the reciprocal Young's modulus,  $E^{-1}$ , assuming

uniform stress from grain to grain of a tetragonal crystal (a different approximation would be that of uniform strain), is<sup>13,30</sup>

$$\langle E^{-1} \rangle = \frac{1}{5} (2S_{11} + S_{33}) + \frac{1}{9} (2S_{44} + S_{66} + 4S_{13} + 2S_{12}), \quad (7)$$

of which only  $\delta(S_{11} - S_{12})$  relaxes according to Eq. 1; therefore<sup>31</sup>  $\delta \langle E^{-1} \rangle = \frac{4}{45} \delta(S_{11} - S_{12})$  and, assuming that the porosity affects in the same way  $\delta \langle E^{-1} \rangle$  and  $\langle E^{-1} \rangle$ , the relaxation strength becomes (including the Curie-Weiss correction)

$$\Delta = \frac{\delta E^{-1}}{E^{-1}} = \frac{2}{45E^{-1}} \frac{cv_0}{k_B(T - T_c)} (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2. \quad (8)$$

The effective Young's modulus of our sample was  $E \sim 40$  GPa (the low value is due to a porosity of nearly 50%),  $v_0 = 170 \text{ \AA}^3$  and assuming  $c = 0.02$ , and  $\Delta = 0.022$  at 680 K from the fit of Fig. 2 with  $T_c = 460$  K, we find  $|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| \simeq 0.10$ . There are several sources of error in such an estimate, from the polycrystalline average to the  $V_O$  concentration, but 0.1 is a very reasonable value for the shape factor of the  $V_O$ ; it is 4–5 times larger than the value estimated for YBCO, but 5–10 times smaller than the shape factor of interstitial O, N and C atoms in bcc metals.<sup>13</sup> It can be concluded that the high-temperature relaxation processes in Ru-1212 can consistently be interpreted in terms of hopping of  $V_O$ , with a shape factor somewhat larger than in YBCO.

#### V. CONCLUSION

It has been shown that annealing Ru-1212 in vacuum above 600 K introduces O vacancies in the  $\text{RuO}_2$  and/or  $\text{CuO}_2$  planes. The structural analogy with the cuprates of the YBCO family suggests that most of the vacancies are formed in the  $\text{RuO}_2$  planes. The diffusive motion of these vacancies gives rise to an intense anelastic relaxation process, measured as a peak of the elastic energy loss coefficient around 670 K at 1 kHz. The concentration of the O vacancies has been estimated as  $c = 0.02 - 0.03$  from the mass variation after treatments up to 1000 K in vacuum or  $\text{O}_2$  atmosphere. The analysis of the peak shows that the barrier for the O diffusion is of  $\simeq 0.14$  eV, and the long range elastic interaction among the O vacancies causes an enhancement of the relaxation strength and time of Curie-Weiss type, with  $T_c = 400 - 470$  K.

A minor peak at  $\sim 530$  K might be due to O vacancies trapped at defects, like Cu substituting Ru in the  $\text{RuO}_2$  planes, or to vacancies in the  $\text{CuO}_2$  planes. No sign of structural phase transformation appears in the elastic modulus and absorption curves versus temperature up to 930 K.

- <sup>1</sup> L. Bauernfeind, W. Widder and H.F. Braun, *Physica C* **254**, 151 (1995).
- <sup>2</sup> A. Fainstein, E. Winkler, A. Butera and J. Tallon, *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, R12597 (1999).
- <sup>3</sup> B. Lorenz, R.L. Meng, J. Cmaidalka, Y.S. Wang, J. Lenzi, Y.Y. Xue and C.W. Chu, *Physica C* **363**, 251 (2001).
- <sup>4</sup> M. Matvejeff, V.P.S. Awana, L.-Y. Jang, R.S. Liu, H. Yamauchi and M. Karppinen, *cond-mat/0211100*.
- <sup>5</sup> D.J. Pringle, J.L. Tallon, B.G. Walker and H.J. Trodahl, *Phys. Rev. B* **59**, R11679 (1999).
- <sup>6</sup> O. Chmaissem, J.D. Jorgensen, H. Shaked, P. Dollar and J.L. Tallon, *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, 6401 (2000).
- <sup>7</sup> R.W. Henn, H. Friedrich, V.P.S. Awana and E. Gmelin, *Physica C* **341-348**, 457 (2000).
- <sup>8</sup> P.W. Klamut, B. Dabrowski, M. Maxwell, J. Mais, O. Chmaissem, R. Kruk, R. Kmiec and C.W. Kimball, *Physica C* **341-348**, 455 (2000).
- <sup>9</sup> J.L. Tallon, J.W. Loram, G.V.M. Williams and C. Bernhard, *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, R6471 (2000).
- <sup>10</sup> A.C. McLaughlin, W. Zhou, J.P. Attfield, A.N. Fitch and J.L. Tallon, *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 7512 (1999).
- <sup>11</sup> C. Artini, M.M. Carnasciali, G.A. Costa, M. Ferretti, M.R. Cimberle, M. Putti, R. Masini, *Physica C* **377**, 431 (2002).
- <sup>12</sup> C. Artini, M.R. Cimberle, G.A. Costa, M.M. Carnasciali, M. Ferretti, and R. Masini, in *Ruthenate and ruthenocuprate materials: theory and experiments*, ed. by C. Noce, A. Vecchione, M. Cuoco and A. Romano, (Springer, LNP Series, 2002).
- <sup>13</sup> A.S. Nowick and B.S. Berry, *Anelastic Relaxation in Crystalline Solids*. (Academic Press, New York, 1972).
- <sup>14</sup> F. Brenscheidt, D. Seidel and H. Wipf, *J. Alloys and Compounds* **211/212**, 264 (1994).
- <sup>15</sup> D. de Fontaine, G. Ceder and M. Asta, *Nature* **343**, 544 (1990).
- <sup>16</sup> D. Mønster, P.-A. Lindgård and N.H. Andersen, *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 224520 (2001).
- <sup>17</sup> X.M. Xie, T.G. Chen and Z.L. Wu, *Phys. Rev. B* **40**, 4549 (1989).
- <sup>18</sup> J.R. Cost and J.T. Stanley, *J. Mater. Res.* **6**, 232 (1991).
- <sup>19</sup> D. Seidel, A. Hornes and H. Wipf, *Europhys. Lett.* **18**, 307 (1992).
- <sup>20</sup> G. Cannelli, R. Cantelli, F. Cordero and F. Trequattrini, *Supercond. Sci. Tech.* **5**, 247 (1992).
- <sup>21</sup> G. Cannelli, R. Cantelli, F. Cordero, M. Ferretti and F. Trequattrini, *Solid State Commun.* **77**, 429 (1991).
- <sup>22</sup> G. Cannelli, R. Cantelli, F. Cordero, N. Piraccini, F. Trequattrini and M. Ferretti, *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 16679 (1994).
- <sup>23</sup> F. Brenscheidt, K. Foos and H. Wipf, *Europhys. Lett.* **30**, 275 (1997).
- <sup>24</sup> Y. Shindo, H. Ledbetter and H. Nozaki, *J. Mater. Res.* **10**, 7 (1995).
- <sup>25</sup> F. Cordero, C.R. Grandini and R. Cantelli, *Physica C* **305**, 251 (1998).
- <sup>26</sup> S. Dattagupta, R. Balakrishnan and R. Ranganathan, *J. Phys. F: Met. Phys.* **12**, 1345 (1982).
- <sup>27</sup> G. Haneczok, M. Weller and J. Diehl, *phys. stat. sol. (b)* **172**, 557 (1992).
- <sup>28</sup> H. Casalta, P. Schleger, P. Harris, B. Lebech, N.H. Andersen, R. Liang, P. Dosanjh and W.N. Hardy, *Physica C* **258**, 321 (1996).
- <sup>29</sup> F. Cordero, *Phys. Rev. B* **47**, 7674 (1993).
- <sup>30</sup> Yu.I. Sirotin and M.P. Shaskolskaya, *Fundamentals of crystal physics*. (Mir Publishers, Moscow, 1982).
- <sup>31</sup> A.S. Nowick and W.R. Heller, *Adv. Phys.* **14**, 101 (1965).

## VI. FIGURES

FIG. 1. Anelastic spectrum of Ru-1212 measured at 0.8 kHz during three heating and cooling cycles, in the sequence indicated by the numbers. Lower panel: elastic energy loss coefficient; upper panel: relative variation of the Young's modulus.

FIG. 2. Elastic energy loss of Ru-1212 measured during the last cooling cycle (curve 5 of Fig. 1), measured at two frequencies. The lines are fits as described in the text.



