

Magnon Heat Transport in doped La_2CuO_4

C. Hess,^{1,2,*} B. Büchner,¹ U. Ammerahl,² L. Colonescu,² F. Heidrich-Meisner,³ W. Brenig,³ and A. Revcolevschi⁴

¹*2. Physikalisches Institut, RWTH-Aachen, 52056 Aachen, Germany*

²*II. Physikalisches Institut, Universität zu Köln, 50937 Köln, Germany*

³*Institut für Theoretische Physik, Technische Universität Braunschweig, 38106 Braunschweig, Germany*

⁴*Laboratoire de Physico-Chimie, Université Paris-Sud, 91405 Orsay, France*

(Dated: February 7, 2020)

We present results of the thermal conductivity of La_2CuO_4 and $\text{La}_{1.8}\text{Eu}_{0.2}\text{CuO}_4$ single-crystals which represent model systems for the two-dimensional spin-1/2 Heisenberg antiferromagnet on a square lattice. We find large anisotropies of the thermal conductivity, which are explained in terms of two-dimensional heat conduction by magnons within the CuO_2 planes. Non-magnetic Zn substituted for Cu gradually suppresses this magnon thermal conductivity κ_{mag} . A semiclassical analysis of κ_{mag} is shown to yield a magnon mean free path which scales linearly with the reciprocal concentration of Zn-ions.

Apart from their intimate relation to the physics of the copper-oxide high-temperature superconductors low dimensional quantum magnets are at the center of many novel phenomena. Among them are magnetic contributions to the thermal conductivity κ of an unprecedentedly large magnitude, which have been discovered recently in the quasi one-dimensional (1D) spin ladder compound $(\text{Sr}, \text{Ca}, \text{La})_{14}\text{Cu}_{24}\text{O}_{41}$ [1, 2]. Similar findings of large and quasi 1D magnetic heat conduction have been reported also for cuprate spin-chain compounds [3, 4]. In this context it has become a key issue whether thermal transport due to magnetic degrees of freedom in certain classes of spin models may be intrinsically quasi-*ballistic*, i.e., dissipationless, thereby leading to high magnetic thermal conductivities κ_{mag} [5, 6]. Of particular interest is the mean free path l_{mag} of the heat-current carrying magnetic excitations. Based on a kinetic approach it has been shown recently [1], that l_{mag} for the spin ladder compound is of the order of $3000 \text{ \AA}$. Direct microscopic evaluation of the mean free path is an open issue yet, with conflicting conclusions published [6].

In this letter we turn to two-dimensional (2D) quantum magnets by investigating the thermal conductivity of La_2CuO_4 which realizes the spin-1/2 Heisenberg-antiferromagnet on the square lattice. The magnetic structure consists of Cu^{2+} -ions in CuO_2 -planes extending along the crystallographic *a*- and *b*-directions. A strong antiferromagnetic intraplanar exchange coupling $J/k_B \approx 1550 \text{ K}$ [7] is present whereas the interplanar exchange $J_{\perp}$ is negligible ($J_{\perp}/J \approx 10^{-5}$) [8].

Our primary focus will be on a broad peak at high temperatures (T) in $\kappa(T)$ which we observe if measured by a thermal current parallel to the CuO_2 -planes, but which is absent for the perpendicular direction. This is consistent with previous results obtained in Ref. [9]. Several attempts to explain this peak have been made, e.g. scattering processes of acoustic phonons with soft optical phonons [10] or magnons [11]. It has been speculated also, that the high- T -maximum could be related to heat carried by magnetic excitations [9]. However, its origin

has never been elucidated unambiguously. Here, and employing the effects of doping by various types of impurities we provide clear evidence in favor of the anisotropic heat conduction to be due to 2D magnons indeed. We extract the mean free path from our data using an approach similar to that for the case of $(\text{Sr}, \text{Ca}, \text{La})_{14}\text{Cu}_{24}\text{O}_{41}$ [1]. It will be shown that l_{mag} does not only scale linearly with the reciprocal concentration of magnetic impurities which are generated by Zn-ions, but moreover, that it is roughly equal to the mean unidirectional distance between these ions.

We have measured κ of La_2CuO_4 and $\text{La}_{1.8}\text{Eu}_{0.2}\text{CuO}_4$ single crystals as well as $\text{La}_2\text{Cu}_{1-z}\text{Zn}_z\text{O}_4$ ($z = 0, 0.005, 0.008, 0.01, 0.02, 0.05$) polycrystals as a function of T . Stoichiometric oxygen contents were achieved by annealing in high vacuum ($p < 10^{-4}$ mbar) for 1-3 hours at 800°C . The preparation of the samples [12] and the experimental method [1] have been described elsewhere.

In the lower panel of Fig. 1 we present the thermal conductivity of single crystalline La_2CuO_4 if measured parallel (κ_{ab}) and perpendicular (κ_c) to the CuO_2 -planes as a function of T . The pronounced anisotropy of κ strongly resembles that of spin ladder compounds [1]: κ_c exhibits a T -dependence which is typical for insulating crystalline materials with pure phononic thermal conductivity κ_{ph} . In contrast to this, the low- T peak of κ_{ab} is followed by a minimum around 80 K and a strong increase of κ_{ab} which eventually develops into a broad peak around 300 K that clearly exceeds the low- T peak.

Several conventional mechanisms which may be invoked to explain this unusual T -dependence and the resulting anisotropy can be dismissed. First, we can exclude effects of radiative heat transport since the optical properties of La_2CuO_4 are almost isotropic in the relevant energy-range below $\hbar\nu \lesssim 0.1 \text{ eV}$ [13]. Second, electronic contributions to the thermal current can be excluded since the material is insulating. Therefore, phononic heat transport is expected to dominate. However, and third, a scenario solely based on thermal conduction by acoustic phonons is very unlikely since an in-




FIG. 1: Thermal conductivity of La_2CuO_4 (bottom) and $\text{La}_{1.8}\text{Eu}_{0.2}\text{CuO}_4$ (top). Full circles: κ_c . Open circles: κ_{ab} . Open squares: κ_{mag} . Solid line: fit according to Eq. 1. Dashed line: $\kappa_{ab,\text{ph}}$.

crease of scattering usually causes a negative slope of $\kappa(T)$ for intermediate and higher T , in contrast to our observation. Hence, either an unusual scattering process acts on the acoustic phonons or an additional contribution apart from the conventional phononic background must be present for κ_{ab} .

We now turn to the upper panel of Fig. 1 where $\kappa_{ab}(T)$ and $\kappa_c(T)$ of $\text{La}_{1.8}\text{Eu}_{0.2}\text{CuO}_4$ are shown. Both curves strongly resemble our findings for La_2CuO_4 , yet exhibiting obvious differences: the low T -peaks of κ_{ab} and κ_c are slightly larger and more sharply shaped than in the case of La_2CuO_4 . Furthermore, a step-like anomaly is present at $T_{LT} \approx 135$ K. Above T_{LT} κ_c remains almost constant and stays below the value for the undoped case, while κ_{ab} also exhibits a high- T maximum at $T \approx 270$ K which is even larger than in La_2CuO_4 .

The difference between κ_c of Eu-doped and of pure La_2CuO_4 can be attributed to a difference in phononic heat conduction. Upon doping La_2CuO_4 with Eu, enhanced scattering of phonons reduces κ_c for $T > T_{LT}$, where both compounds have the same structure. The anomaly at T_{LT} ($\text{La}_{1.8}\text{Eu}_{0.2}\text{CuO}_4$) signals the transition to a new structural phase for $T < T_{LT}$ where κ_{ph} is enhanced. The structural peculiarities of rare earth doped cuprates are well known [14]. Their influence on κ_{ph} will be discussed in detail in a forthcoming paper.

Despite the increase of scattering of phonons due to Eu-impurities in $\text{La}_{1.8}\text{Eu}_{0.2}\text{CuO}_4$ the high-temperature peak of κ_{ab} is of larger magnitude than in La_2CuO_4 . This excludes the peak to stem from the previously mentioned

heat transport by acoustic phonons. The high- T peaks in κ_{ab} of both compounds therefore must originate from a different heat transport channel. In principle, two different kinds of excitation could generate such an additional heat current. One possibility is heat transport by dispersive optical phonons which certainly are present in this material [15], the other one is thermal current carried by magnetic excitations.

In hole-doped $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ the high- T peak is completely suppressed [9], even at a very low Sr-content of $x \approx 0.01$ [16]. On the one hand a doping dependence of this kind is not to be expected in the case of a high- T peak originating from phonons, since the lattice impurities induced by the Sr-ions are similar to the Eu-impurities discussed above. On the other hand the strong frustration of antiferromagnetism upon hole-doping (cf. Ref. [12] and references therein) would provide for a satisfying explanation for the suppression of a peak of magnetic origin. Note in this context that Eu-doping leaves the CuO_2 -planes and therefore the magnetism almost unaffected. Hence we conclude, that the high- T peak of κ_{ab} originates from magnetic heat conduction. Since the magnetic exchange in La_2CuO_4 and $\text{La}_{1.8}\text{Eu}_{0.2}\text{CuO}_4$ is highly anisotropic, magnetic excitations propagate only within the CuO_2 -planes. This provides for a natural explanation of the observed anisotropy of κ . κ_{ab} therefore consists of a usual phonon background including a magnon contribution κ_{mag} while κ_c is purely phononic.

Upon applying a magnetic field of 8 T no significant changes of κ_{ab} were detected. This is, however, in consistency with a magnetic origin of the high- T peak since the corresponding Zeeman-energy is orders of magnitude smaller than the magnetic exchange coupling J .

In order to extract κ_{mag} from κ_{ab} we make use of the anisotropy of κ assuming that the phononic part $\kappa_{ab,\text{ph}}$ of κ_{ab} is roughly proportional to κ_c which is justified by elastic constants which are only weakly anisotropic [15]. Since the magnetic contributions roughly follow a T^2 -law (see below) they are expected to be negligible in the range of the low- T peak. Therefore, for La_2CuO_4 as well as for $\text{La}_{1.8}\text{Eu}_{0.2}\text{CuO}_4$ a reasonable estimate of $\kappa_{ab,\text{ph}}$ is achieved by scaling the corresponding data for κ_c such as to match its low- T peaks with that of κ_{ab} . In Fig. 1 the data thus obtained for $\kappa_{ab,\text{ph}}$ of both compounds are represented by dashed lines. κ_{mag} was extracted by subtracting $\kappa_{ab,\text{ph}}$ from κ_{ab} (open squares in Fig. 1). This procedure involves significant uncertainties only at low T where $\kappa_{\text{mag}} \ll \kappa_{ab,\text{ph}}$. At intermediate and higher T the relative uncertainties are smaller and rather concern the magnitude of $\kappa_{ab,\text{ph}}$ than its slope. Therefore we assume that in this T -range the difference between the extracted and the true κ_{mag} is roughly constant.

Following standard linearized Boltzmann-theory the 2D thermal conductivity of a single magnon dispersion branch (labelled by i) is given by $\kappa^i = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int v_{\mathbf{k}} l_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{d}{dT} n_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} d\mathbf{k}$, where $v_{\mathbf{k}}$, $l_{\mathbf{k}}$, $n_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ denote

Sample	l_{mag} (Å)	Fit interval (K)
S La_2CuO_4	558 ± 140	70–158
S $\text{La}_{1.8}\text{Eu}_{0.2}\text{CuO}_4$	1157 ± 60	54–131
P La_2CuO_4	815	70–140
P $\text{La}_2\text{Cu}_{0.995}\text{Zn}_{0.005}\text{O}_4$	515	70–158
P $\text{La}_2\text{Cu}_{0.992}\text{Zn}_{0.008}\text{O}_4$	435	82–164
P $\text{La}_2\text{Cu}_{0.99}\text{Zn}_{0.01}\text{O}_4$	266	100–173
P $\text{La}_2\text{Cu}_{0.98}\text{Zn}_{0.02}\text{O}_4$	153	118–200
P $\text{La}_2\text{Cu}_{0.95}\text{Zn}_{0.05}\text{O}_4$	49	141–223

TABLE I: Fit parameter of κ_{mag} of the single crystals (S) and the polycrystals (P). The relative errors for l_{mag} of the polycrystals amount to about 10%. In all cases the errors arise due to uncertainties in determining κ_{ph} and have been obtained by using different estimations for κ_{ph} .

velocity, mean free path, Bose-function and energy of a magnon, respectively. Note that κ_{mag}^i of a three-dimensional ensemble of planes, as realized in La_2CuO_4 , results from multiplication of κ^i with the number of planes per unit length, i.e., $\kappa_{\text{mag}}^i = \frac{2}{c} \kappa^i$, where $c = 13.2$ Å is the lattice constant of La_2CuO_4 perpendicular to the planes. Then the total κ_{mag} is given by summing up κ_{mag}^i of each magnon branch.

In order to calculate κ_{mag}^i we approximate the magnon dispersion relation $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ of the two branches $i = 1, 2$ with the 2D-isotropic expression $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \epsilon_k = \sqrt{\Delta_i^2 + (\hbar v_0 k)^2}$, which describes the dispersion observed experimentally [17, 18] for small values of k . Here, v_0 is the spin wave velocity while Δ_1 and Δ_2 denote the spin gaps of each magnon branch. For clarity, we define the characteristic temperature $\Theta_M = (\hbar v_0 \sqrt{\pi}) / (a k_B)$ where $a = 3.8$ Å is the lattice constant of the CuO_2 -planes [19]. Assuming a momentum independent mean free path, i.e., $l_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv l_{\text{mag}}$ we obtain for each magnon branch:

$$\kappa_{\text{mag}}^i = \frac{v_0 k_B l_{\text{mag}}}{2a^2 c} \frac{T^2}{\Theta_M^2} \int_{x_{0,i}}^{x_{\text{max}}} x^2 \sqrt{x^2 - x_{0,i}^2} \frac{dn(x)}{dx} dx. \quad (1)$$

Here, the integral is dimensionless but temperature dependent via $x_{0,i} = \Delta_i / (k_B T)$ and x_{max} . The upper boundary x_{max} , however, may be set to infinity without affecting the fit at temperatures $T \lesssim 300$ K. Since from neutron scattering experiments $v_0 \approx 1.287 \cdot 10^5$ m/s [7] as well as $\Delta_1 / k_B \approx 26$ K and $\Delta_2 / k_B \approx 58$ K [17] are well known quantities, l_{mag} is the only unknown parameter in Eq. 1. Assuming l_{mag} to be T -independent at low T , we can use Eq. 1 in order to check the T -dependence of our experimental κ_{mag} which for $T \gtrsim \Delta_{1,2}$ should roughly be $\kappa_{\text{mag}} \propto T^2$, as the integral is only weakly T -dependent in this T -range. Furthermore, l_{mag} can be extracted from the data. For $T \gtrsim 250$ K interactions between the magnons become important [20] which requires a renormalization of the spin wave parameters and there-




FIG. 2: Open circles: Thermal conductivity κ of $\text{La}_2\text{Cu}_{1-z}\text{Zn}_z\text{O}_4$ polycrystals ($z = 0, 0.005, 0.01, 0.05$) as a function of T . Solid lines: extrapolated κ_{ph} .

fore we restrict the application of Eq. 1 with constant l_{mag} to $T \lesssim 250$ K.

Fitting Eq. (1) to the experimental data we allow for an additive shift of the κ_{mag} -curve which yields an further free parameter apart from l_{mag} and accounts for the aforementioned uncertainties in the magnitude of κ_{mag} . For both compounds satisfactory fits were obtained at intermediate temperature ranges. These ranges are listed in Table I, together with the resulting values for l_{mag} . The fitting curves are represented by solid lines in Fig. 1. While the slight deviations between the fitted and experimental data towards low T are due to the uncertainties in $\kappa_{\text{ab,ph}}$ in this range, the deviations at high T can be understood in terms of the temperature dependence of l_{mag} due to enhanced magnon-magnon scattering.

The data are consistent with a constant l_{mag} for T both, within the fit interval and below, indicating that in this range T -dependent scattering processes like magnon-magnon scattering or even magnon-phonon scattering may be discarded. Therefore, relevant processes seem to be sample-boundary scattering or scattering at static magnetic defects. For $\text{La}_{1.8}\text{Eu}_{0.2}\text{CuO}_4$ and La_2CuO_4 we find $l_{\text{mag}} \approx 1160$ Å and $l_{\text{mag}} \approx 560$ Å, respectively. Since these values are far too small to correspond to the crystal dimensions, magnon-defect scattering is the most likely candidate. This conclusion is consistent with the fact that κ_{mag} and l_{mag} are quantitatively different for $\text{La}_{1.8}\text{Eu}_{0.2}\text{CuO}_4$ and La_2CuO_4 : since the magnetic properties of both compounds are expected to be identical in essence, κ_{mag} can arise only due to a difference in densities of the magnetic defects that restrict l_{mag} .

In order to check our analysis quantitatively it would be desirable to measure the density of static magnetic defects of our crystals. In lack of such methods we have performed measurements of κ on samples with a well de-




FIG. 3: Main panel: Magnon thermal conductivity κ_{mag} of $\text{La}_2\text{Cu}_{1-z}\text{Zn}_z\text{O}_4$ ($z = 0, 0.005, 0.008, 0.01, 0.02, 0.05$) as a function of T (open circles). Solid lines: fits according Eq. 1. Inset: l_{mag} as a function of $1/z$ in units of lattice constants a . Solid line: fit line through origin.

finer density of magnetic defects. Such defects can be induced in La_2CuO_4 by substituting a small amount of Cu^{2+} -ions by non-magnetic Zn^{2+} -ions. Representative results on our polycrystalline samples of $\text{La}_2\text{Cu}_{1-z}\text{Zn}_z\text{O}_4$ are presented in Fig. 2. As is expected for structural and magnetic defect-doping, the Zn-doping leads to a gradual suppression of both, the phonon as well as the magnon contribution to κ . Due to the polycrystalline nature of our samples anisotropic information on κ is averaged over. We therefore estimate the phonon contributions $\kappa_{\text{ph}}^{\text{poly}}$ by fitting κ right of its maximum by $\kappa_{\text{ph}} = \alpha/T + \beta$ and by extrapolating this fit towards high T (solid lines in Fig. 2). In turn, $\kappa_{\text{mag}}^{\text{poly}}$ on the polycrystals is obtained by $\kappa_{\text{mag}}^{\text{poly}} = \kappa - \kappa_{\text{ph}}$.

Note, that the measured $\kappa_{\text{mag}}^{\text{poly}}$ is smaller than the intrinsic κ_{mag} of these compounds by the factor of 2/3 due to averaging over all three components of the κ tensor [23]. As shown in Fig. 3, $\kappa_{\text{mag}} = (3/2)\kappa_{\text{mag}}^{\text{poly}}$ systematically decreases with increasing Zn-content as it is expected for static defects. Analyzing these data we proceed analogous to the undoped case by using Eq. 1. However, we neglect a slight reduction of the spin wave velocity [22] and changes of the spin gaps induced by the Zn-ions. These effects lead to corrections smaller than the experimental error. The fits are represented by the solid lines in Fig. 3, the resulting l_{mag} and the fit intervals are reproduced in Table I. For typical transport experiments it is expected that the mean free path of the quasi-particles is proportional to the reciprocal defect concentration, i.e. to $1/z$. We therefore plot l_{mag} as a function of $1/z$ in the inset of Fig. 3. The linear scaling between l_{mag} and $1/z$ is clearly confirmed. From a linear fit including the origin (solid line) we find that $l_{\text{mag}} \approx 0.74a/z$. Since $1/z$ is equal to the mean unidirec-

tional distance of Zn-ions, i.e. static magnetic defects, l_{mag} gives a direct measure of these distances [24]. Therefore, this result confirms the above quantitative analysis of κ_{mag} of the single crystals based on Eq. 1.

In conclusion, both, qualitatively as well as quantitatively our results strongly suggest, that the high T maxima observed in κ_{ab} of doped and undoped La_2CuO_4 arises due to magnon heat transport which is confined to the CuO_2 -planes. A semiclassical approximation for the heat conductivity used in this first systematic study of 2D thermal transport has lead to reasonable numbers for l_{mag} which is found to be roughly equal to the mean unidirectional distance between nonmagnetic impurities. This may lead to future use of magnetic heat transport as a tool to study the interactions of magnetic excitations with other quasiparticles like holes or phonons.

This work has been supported by the DFG through SP1073.

* christian.hess@physics.unige.ch

- [1] C. Hess *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 184305 (2001).
- [2] A. V. Sologubenko *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 2714 (2000).
- [3] A. V. Sologubenko *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **62**, R6108 (2000).
- [4] A. V. Sologubenko *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 054412 (2001).
- [5] X. Zotos, F. Naef, and P. Prelovšek, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 11029 (1997); A. Klümper and K. Sakai, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **35**, 2173 (2002).
- [6] J. V. Alvarez and C. Gros, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 156603 (2002); F. Heidrich-Meisner *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **66**, R140406 (2002); F. Heidrich-Meisner *et al.*, unpublished.
- [7] S. M. Hayden *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 3622 (1991).
- [8] T. Thio *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **38**, 905 (1988).
- [9] Y. Nakamura *et al.*, Physica C **185-189**, 1409 (1991).
- [10] J. L. Cohn, C. K. Lowe-Ma, and T. A. Vanderah, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 13134 (1995).
- [11] D. T. Morelli *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 804 (1989).
- [12] M. Hücker and B. Büchner, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 214408 (2002).
- [13] S. Uchida *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **43**, 7942 (1991).
- [14] B. Büchner *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 1841 (1994).
- [15] L. Pintschovius *et al.*, Physica C **185-189**, 156 (1991).
- [16] C. Hess *et al.* (to be published).
- [17] B. Keimer *et al.*, Z. Phys. B **91**, 373 (1993).
- [18] R. Coldea *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 5377 (2001).
- [19] We neglect the slight orthorhombicity of La_2CuO_4 .
- [20] B. Keimer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 7430 (1992).
- [21] The shift for La_2CuO_4 and $\text{La}_{1.8}\text{Eu}_{0.2}\text{CuO}_4$ amounts to 0.14 and -0.43 $\text{Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$, respectively. For $\text{La}_2\text{Cu}_{1-z}\text{Zn}_z\text{O}_4$ the shift amounts to -1.23, -0.91, -0.76, -0.66, -0.52, -0.31 (values in $\text{Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$) for $z = 0, 0.005, 0.008, 0.01, 0.02, 0.05$.
- [22] W. Brenig and A. P. Kampf, Phys. Rev. B **43**, 12914 (1991).
- [23] $\kappa_{\text{ph}}^{\text{poly}} = (2\kappa_{ab} + \kappa_c)/3 = (2\kappa_{\text{mag}} + 2\kappa_{ab,\text{ph}} + \kappa_c)/3$. Since $\kappa_{\text{ph}}^{\text{poly}} = (2\kappa_{ab,\text{ph}} + \kappa_c)/3$ we find $\kappa_{\text{mag}} = (3/2)\kappa_{\text{mag}}^{\text{poly}}$.
- [24] The extracted proportional constant might be reduced slightly due to the polycrystallinity of our samples.