The Local Structure of $La_{1.65}Eu_{0.2}Sr_{0.15}CuO_4$ determined by ^{63}Cu NMR Spectroscopy and Van Vleck Paramagnetism of Eu^{3+} Ions

B. Simovič, M. Hücker, P. C. Hammel, B. Büchner, U. Ammerahl, and A. Revcolevschi Condensed Matter and Thermal Physics, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545 Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973 II. Physikalisches Institut, RWTH-Aachen, 52056 Aachen, Germany Laboratoire de Physico-Chimie de l'Etat Solide, Université Paris-Sud, 91405, Orsay Cedex, France (Dated: November 5, 2018)

We investigate the local symmetry of the tilting of the CuO_6 octahedra in $La_{1.65}Eu_{0.2}Sr_{0.15}CuO_4$ by means of ^{63}Cu NMR spectroscopy and the Van Vleck susceptibility of the Eu^{3+} ions. The Cu NMR central line lineshape is sensitive to local structure through the coupling of the ^{63}Cu nuclear quadrupole moment to the local electric field gradient. The Eu^{3+} Van Vleck susceptibility, as a single ion effect, locally probes the symmetry of the crystal field at the Eu site. Both techniques independently provide clear evidence for a change of the local tilt symmetry at the first order structural transition from the orthorhombic to the low temperature tetragonal phase, in excellent agreement with the average structure obtained by diffraction techniques. We conclude that the symmetry of the average crystal structure accurately represents the symmetry of the octahedral tilt pattern on a local scale.

PACS numbers: 61.18.Fs, 74.60.-k, 74.72.Dn

Lanthanum cuprate La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO₄, the single layer high temperature superconductor, has been extensively studied over the past few years to understand the mechanism of superconductivity. Recently, rare earth codoped lanthanum cuprates $La_{2-x-v}R_vSr_xCuO_4$ (R = Eu or Nd) have attracted considerable attention because of the subtle interplay between charge stripes and superconductivity. In this family of compounds it is possible to tune the optimally doped La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO₄ from a superconducting to a magnetic phase where charge is spatially modulated^{1,2} by changing the tilt distortion of the CuO₂-layers. These modifications of the structure result if La³⁺ is partially substituted by smaller R³⁺ ions.^{3,4} The suppression of superconductivity observed in these doping experiments clearly shows an intimate connection between structure and electronic properties in the high temperature superconductors.^{3,4} Based on the structural data obtained from diffraction experiments it has been argued that both the symmetry and the magnitude of the tilt distortion of the CuO₂ layers are key factors determining the electronic properties in La_{2-x-v}R_vSr_xCuO₄^{4,5}. These neutron and x-ray diffraction techniques, sensitive to the average structure, show that rare earth co-doped lanthanum cuprates can exist in three structural phases dependent upon Sr²⁺ and R^{3+} doping and upon temperature: the high temperature tetragonal phase (HTT), the low temperature orthorhombic phase (LTO) and the low temperature tetragonal phase (LTT). All three phases can be described by different patterns of rotated CuO₆ octahedra. The CuO₂ plane is flat in the HTT phase and the transition to LTO (spacegroup Abma) consists of a tilt of the CuO₆ octahedra along the [110] direction using the notation for the HTT unit cell (I4/mmm); see Fig. 1. The tilt angle increases gradually as the temperature is lowered and the compound undergoes a first order structural transition to the LTT phase $(P4_2/ncm)$ via a discontinuous change of the tilt direction, which is then oriented alternately along [100] and [010] in adjacent CuO_2 planes.^{4,6}

Over the past few years local structure models which seem to contradict these findings have been published. Based on pair distribution function (PDF) analysis of neutron diffraction and x-ray absorption fine-structure (XAFS) it was concluded that, locally, the octahedra always tilt with the LTT symmetry.^{7,8} The long range LTO tilt pattern was interpreted as the superposition of two out of four equivalent short range LTT patterns with their tilt axes rotated by 90°, and the flat planes in the HTT phase as the result of a superposition of all four LTT variants. Furthermore, the amplitude of the octahedral tilt is thought not to change in this model. This is in sharp contrast to ¹⁵¹Eu Mössbauer spectroscopy which also probes the local structure and shows a temperature dependence of the local tilt angle in La_{1.83-x}Eu_{0.17}Sr_xCuO₄ which corresponds well with average structure results. 9,10,11

It is crucial to clarify this issue in order to gain better insight into the relation between charge stripes and structural ordering in lanthanum cuprates. In particular, evidence from XAFS for locally different Cu-O(1) and Cu-O(2) bond lengths in the LTO phase of $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ have been interpreted as indicating the coexistence of LTO and LTT like domains. ^{12,13,14,15} Note however that similar XAFS experiments performed on $\text{La}_{2-x-y}R_y\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ do not yield evidence for spatially varying bond lengths. ¹⁶ Also, no anomalies related to the LTO \rightarrow LTT transition or the presence of static stripe order could be detected with this technique. ¹⁶ Recently,

PDF results on $\rm La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ were discussed in terms of spatially modulated combinations of HTT, LTT, and LTO tilt patterns which are locally induced by dynamic charge stripes.¹⁷ But a detailed analysis of Debye-Waller factors and diffuse scattering in a neutron diffraction experiment performed on a $\rm La_{1.85}Sr_{0.15}CuO_4$ single crystal agrees with the average structure, and places low upper limits on the amplitude of any hidden local structural distortion, including those induced by stripe correlations.¹⁸

In this manuscript, we report $^{63}\mathrm{Cu}$ NMR and DC magnetic susceptibility measurements performed on a La_{1.65}Eu_{0.2}Sr_{0.15}CuO₄ single crystal. According to diffraction data La_{1.65}Eu_{0.2}Sr_{0.15}CuO₄ undergoes a second order transition from HTT to LTO at ~ 350 K and a first order transition from LTO to LTT at $T_{\rm LTT}=135$ K. It is known from $\mu \rm SR$ studies that its ground state is magnetic and a detailed investigation of the low frequency spin dynamics by NMR supports the existence of a glass forming stripe liquid below 30 K. 19

We will show that the combination of ⁶³Cu NMR and DC magnetic susceptibility enables us to probe the local structure around Cu²⁺ and Eu³⁺ ions as a function of temperature. The ⁶³Cu central line NMR spectrum reflects interaction of the ⁶³Cu nuclear quadrupole moment with the surrounding EFG, and is sensitive to the angle between the dominant component of the EFG tensor and the applied magnetic field $\mathbf{H_0}$. The magnetic susceptibility is dominated by the Van-Vleck paramagnetism $\chi(Eu)$ of the Eu³⁺ ions. Because $\chi(Eu)$ is a single ion effect, its anisotropy reflects the local symmetry of the crystal field at the Eu site. These two techniques, ⁶³Cu NMR and DC magnetic susceptibility, can discriminate between LTT and LTO and provide clear evidence that the tilt pattern displays, on a local scale, the symmetry of the macroscopic structure as determined by diffraction techniques⁶. This leads us to the conclusion that there is no need to distinguish between the symmetry of the local and average structure in this compound.

The La_{1.65}Eu_{0.2}Sr_{0.15}CuO₄ single crystal was grown by the traveling solvent floating zone (TSFZ) method.²⁰ Two pieces of $2 \times 2 \times 1 \,\mathrm{mm^3}$ and $5 \times 5 \times 4 \,\mathrm{mm^3}$ were cut from a centimeter size single crystal and oriented by Laue x-ray back reflection. The ⁶³Cu (I=3/2) NMR measurements were made on the central ($m_I=+\frac{1}{2}\leftrightarrow -\frac{1}{2}$) transition of the smaller crystal which is the same used in the ref¹⁹. The spectra were obtained by sweeping the static magnetic field $\mathbf{H_0}$ ||[100] at a fixed resonance frequency of 80 MHz. The DC magnetic susceptibility $\chi=M/H$ with H=1 T was measured for all three crystallographic directions of the LTO unit cell of the larger crystal using a Faraday balance. The susceptibility data for a La_{1.75}Eu_{0.17}Sr_{0.08}CuO₄ crystal are shown for comparison.

In Fig. 1 we schematically show the unit cell in the HTT phase. The local charge surrounding both ${\rm Cu^{2+}}$ and ${\rm Eu^{3+}}$ ions will be affected by the tilt of the ${\rm CuO_6}$ octahedra. Cu NMR is an excellent tool for investigating the local structure because atomic displacements lo-




FIG. 1: Left: unit cell of $La_{1.65}Eu_{0.2}Sr_{0.15}CuO_4$ in the HTT phase. A CuO_6 octahedron and a EuO_9 polyhedron are accentuated by dark grey oxygens. Right: projections $\parallel c$ to demonstrate the distortion (exaggerated) of the EuO_9 polyhedron in case of a HTT-, LTO-, and LTT-type CuO_6 octahedra tilt pattern. a and b denote the lattice constants of the LTO unit cell.

cally alter the charge distribution and hence the EFG. The EFG tensor is described by its three components; by convention we take $V_{\rm zz} \geq V_{\rm yy} \geq V_{\rm xx}$ where $V_{\alpha\alpha}$ is the second derivative of the local electrostatic potential. The quadrupole frequency ω_Q is proportional to $\mid V_{\rm zz} \mid$ and the asymmetry parameter is defined as: $\eta = \mid V_{\rm xx} - V_{\rm yy} \mid /V_{\rm zz}$ which is zero for a tetragonal symmetry. In the HTT phase, the average tilt angle is 0^o and as a result the main component $\mathbf{V}_{\rm zz}$ is parallel to the caxis. We determine from nuclear quadrupole resonance (NQR) that $\omega_Q = 36.4 \mathrm{MHz}$ for $^{63}\mathrm{Cu}$ and point charge calculations show that in the LTO phase η remains close to zero.

From a general point of view, the quadrupole coupling is a function of $\cos^2\xi$ where ξ defines the angle between \mathbf{V}_{zz} and $\mathbf{H_0}.$ In a 2^{nd} order perturbation expansion the quadrupole shift of the central line of a $^{63}\mathrm{Cu}$ (spin 3/2) nucleus is given by 21,22

$$\delta\omega = \frac{3\omega_Q^2}{16\omega_0} (1 - \cos^2 \xi)(1 - 9\cos^2 \xi)$$
 (1)

with ω_0 the Larmor frequency of the nuclear spin in a field $\mathbf{H_0}$. As the $\mathrm{CuO_6}$ octahedra start tilting in the LTO phase, the main axis of the EFG rotates through an angle ϕ with respect to the c-axis. The connection between the two angles ϕ and ξ is shown in Fig. 2; the value of ξ depends on both ϕ and the relative orientation of the applied field $\mathbf{H_0}$ and the tilt direction of the octahedra. According to the average structure, the $\mathrm{CuO_6}$ octahedra tilt along the diagonal in the LTO phase and alternately




FIG. 2: Schematic representation of the symmetry of the tilt of \mathbf{V}_{zz} at Cu site in LTO and LTT structures. The angle ϕ is the tilt angle of the EFG, $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{o}}$ the external magnetic field, and ξ the angle between \mathbf{V}_{zz} and $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{o}}$.

along the [100] and [010] directions in adjacent CuO₂ planes in the LTT phase. For this reason, if we apply $\mathbf{H_0}$ along the [100] or [010] directions, we expect to see a single central line in the Cu-NMR spectra of the LTO phase, and a symmetric splitting of this line in the LTT phase²³ reflecting the two different values of ξ in adjacent CuO₂ planes: $\pi/2$ and $\pi/2 - \phi$ (cf. Fig. 2). Fig. 3 shows ⁶³Cu NMR central transition swept field

Fig. 3 shows 63 Cu NMR central transition swept field spectra as a function of temperature for $\mathbf{H_0} \parallel [100]$. The sharp line at 70.8 kOe is due to the Cu metal of the coil containing the sample. We see at glance that the 63 Cu central line spectra split symmetrically at temperatures below the first order transition from LTO to LTT occurring at 135K. Comparison of swept field spectra obtained at different Larmor frequencies (80 and 94MHz) shows that the splitting scales as ω_0^{-1} , thereby confirming its quadrupole origin. From equation (1), we derive the magnitude of the splitting as a function of the tilt angle ϕ :

$$\Delta\omega = \frac{3\omega_Q^2}{16\omega_0} (10\sin^2\phi - 9\sin^4\phi) \tag{2}$$

We find $\Delta\omega\approx 1.25 \mathrm{MHz}$ from a fit of the Cu-spectra to two gaussians in the LTT phase (see Fig. 3) and from expression (2) we obtain $\phi\approx 12^{\circ}.^{24}$ In addition, the average of the split lines corresponds to an angle $\xi\approx 82^{\circ}.$ Since $\cos\xi=\cos\pi/4\sin\phi$ (cf. Fig. 2), we find $\phi\approx 11^{\circ}$ in the LTO phase. Therefore, the amplitude of the tilt angle does not change significantly at the first order structural transition.

Below 70K, the intensity of the $^{63}\mathrm{Cu}$ NMR signal drops sharply. In Fig. 4 we show the temperature dependence of the product $I(T)\cdot T,$ where I(T) is the integrated intensity of the central $^{63}\mathrm{Cu}$ NMR line. The spectra were obtained by monitoring the intensity of the spin echo versus the static field oriented along the direction [100] at constant delay $\Delta t = 10 \mu s$ between the spin echo excitation pulses.

The Cu signal intensity decreases because, for some Cu nuclei, the transverse magnetization generated by the radio-frequency excitation decays on a timescale shorter than the recovery time of the spectrometer, thereby pre-




FIG. 3: 63 Cu NMR spectra at the central transition as a function of temperature: the field $\mathbf{H_0}$ points along [100]. The spectra were obtained by sweeping the field at a fixed frequency equal to 80 MHz. The narrow line centered at 70.8 kOe comes from the Cu metal of the NMR coil.

venting us from observing the full spin echo signal.²⁵ This is a manifestation of a dramatic slowing of the fluctuations of the Cu 3d magnetic moments below 70K as charge and magnetic order develop.^{19,25} Since only a fraction of the Cu nuclei contribute to the full spin echo signal, we cannot make any statement about the quadrupole splitting at low temperature.

Further insight into the local structure of $La_{1.65}Eu_{0.2}Sr_{0.15}CuO_4$ is gained from analysis of its DC magnetic susceptibility χ . The dominant contribution to χ comes from the Van Vleck paramagnetism $\chi(Eu)$ of the Eu^{3+} ions, which is about one order of magnitude larger than the susceptibility of $La_{1.85}Sr_{0.15}CuO_4$ (cf. Fig. 5). The Van Vleck paramagnetism is a single-ion effect and therefore χ is a suitable tool to investigate the local symmetry of the O_9 oxygen environment surrounding the Eu^{3+} site highlighted in Fig. 1.

Following Hund's rules, the free Eu³⁺ ion with its $4f^7$ electronic configuration has a non-magnetic ground state




FIG. 4: $I \cdot T$ versus T where I is the integrated intensity of the central line of the Cu NMR swept field spectra obtained with $\mathbf{H_0}$ along [100] and frequency $\omega_0 = 80$ MHz. The solid line is a guide to the eye.

 7F_0 ($^{2{\rm S}+1}{\rm L_J}$). In an external magnetic field however the ground state is mixed with the first excited magnetic state, 7F_1 , thereby leading to a considerable magnetic contribution: the so called zeroth Van Vleck term. This term is constant at low temperatures 26,27,28 but for temperatures 7 50 K (cf. Fig. 5) the thermal population of the excited states $^7F_{J>0}$ leads to further Van Vleck as well as Curie terms, that cause the susceptibility to be temperature dependent. In a solid, the (2J+1)-fold degeneracy of the multiplets is lifted according to the symmetry of the crystal field and as a result, $\chi({\rm Eu})$ becomes anisotropic. 27,29,30

A glance at Fig. 5 shows that this is indeed the case in La_{1.65}Eu_{0.2}Sr_{0.15}CuO₄: a large anisotropy is observed for $H \parallel c$ axis vs. $H \parallel ab$ plane. More important is the in-plane anisotropy in the LTO phase, which clearly vanishes in the LTT phase. In order to observe this inplane anisotropy one must have a partially detwinned La_{1.65}Eu_{0.2}Sr_{0.15}CuO₄ crystal which can be achieved by application of weak uniaxial pressure. The crystal direction predominantly containing the shorter b-axis has the larger susceptibility, i.e., $\chi_b > \chi_a$ in the LTO phase, using the crystallographic a and b axes of the LTO unit cell with $a \parallel [110]$ and $b \parallel [\bar{1}10]$ (cf. Fig. 1).

The increase of the ab anisotropy with decreasing temperature, observed in the LTO phase in Fig. 5, is a consequence of the continuously increasing tilt angle as was also observed by $^{151}{\rm Eu}$ Mössbauer spectroscopy⁹. Close to $T_{\rm LTT}$ the ab anisotropy reaches a value of 1×10^{-4} emu/mol. For a sample with x=0.08 (see inset of Fig. 5) the maximum value increases to 3×10^{-4} emu/mol, which is approximately three times the total χ of pure La_{1.85}Sr_{0.15}CuO₄. The larger ab anisotropy for x=0.08 is consistent with the increase of the octahedral tilt angle as the Sr²⁺ content is re-




FIG. 5: Static magnetic susceptibility of $\text{La}_{1.65}\text{Eu}_{0.2}\text{Sr}_{0.15}\text{CuO}_4$ at H=1 Tesla for $H\parallel c$ and $H\parallel ab$ (open circles) and polycrystalline $\text{La}_{1.85}\text{Sr}_{0.15}\text{CuO}_4$ (full line). Inset: susceptibility of $\text{La}_{1.75}\text{Eu}_{0.17}\text{Sr}_{0.08}\text{CuO}_4$ for $H\parallel ab$

duced. The order of magnitude of the ab anisotropy in both crystals shows that it originates primarily from the Eu³⁺ Van-Vleck paramagnetism. The super exchange constant J in the CuO₂ planes is very large in these materials ($\sim 100 \text{ meV}$) hence the susceptibility of the planes is small, so unrealistically large distortions would be required to explain ab anisotropies of the observed magnitude as arising from changes of the Cu spin magnetism.

It is generally assumed that substituting La with smaller rare earth elements such as Nd or Eu induces strain which may possibly cause a local lattice instability of the LTO phase towards the LTT phase. From our susceptibility data we can clearly conclude that in the LTO phase of La_{1.65}Eu_{0.2}Sr_{0.15}CuO₄ the Eu³⁺ site is surrounded by an orthorhombically distorted oxygen environment. A more quantitative analysis of the ab anisotropy requires a more precise knowledge of the degree of twinning that is presently lacking. A quantitative analysis of the crystal field anisotropy between $H \parallel c$ and $H \parallel ab$ will be published elsewhere.

The right side of Fig. 1 shows projections along the unit cell c-axis to demonstrate the distortion of the EuO₉ polyhedron for the HTT, LTO and LTT local distortions. The measurements of χ were performed for $H \parallel a$ and $H \parallel b$. It is obvious from these projections that an ab anisotropy can occur only in the case of LTO-type octahedral tilts and is absent for LTT-type tilts. Furthermore, the ab anisotropy is zero for all of the other LTT variants obtained by 90° rotations about the c-axis the, and for

any combination of the short range LTT domains that are required to form the LTO and HTT phases in the local structure model first proposed in Ref 7. The present results, therefore, clearly demonstrate that in the LTO phase the ${\rm CuO_6}$ octahedra tilt in a LTO-type manner.

To summarize, we have presented investigations of the local structure of a $\rm La_{1.65}Eu_{0.2}Sr_{0.15}CuO_4$ single crystal by means of 63 Cu NMR and Van-Vleck susceptibility of $\rm Eu^{3+}$ ions as a function of temperature. Independently, both techniques provide clear evidence that the symmetry of the local tilt in the LTO and LTT phases

is different, in complete agreement with average structure results 6 . We thus conclude that the symmetry of the macroscopic structure accurately represents the tilt pattern of the ${\rm CuO_6}$ octahedra on a local scale.

The authors thank N.Curro, V. Kataev and H.-H. Klauss for valuable discussions. The work at Los Alamos National Laboratory was performed under the auspices of the US Department of Energy. The work of M.H. at Brookhaven was supported by the Material Science Division, US Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886.

- ¹ J. M. Tranquada et al., Nature **375**, 561 (1995).
- ² W. Wagener *et al.*, Physical Review B **55**, R14761 (1997).
- ³ M. K. Crawford *et al.*, Physical Review B **44**, 7749 (1991).
- ⁴ B. Büchner, M. Breuer, A. Freimuth, and A. P. Kampf, Physical Review Letters 73, 1841 (1994).
- ⁵ H. H. Klauss *et al.*, Physical Review Letters **85**, 4590 (2000).
- ⁶ J. D. Axe and M. K. Crawford, Journal of Low Temperature Physics 95, 271 (1994).
- ⁷ S. J. L. Billinge, G. H. Kwei, and H. Takagi, Physical Review Letters **72**, 2282 (1994).
- ⁸ D. Haskel, E. A. Stern, F. Dogan, and A. R. Moodenbaugh, Physical Review B (Condensed Matter) 61, 7055 (2000).
- ⁹ C. Friedrich, B. Büchner, M. M. AbdElmeguid, and H. Micklitz, Physical Review B 54, R800 (1996).
- D. Haskel, E. A. Stern, and H. Shechter, Physical Review B 57, 8034 (1998).
- ¹¹ H. Micklitz, M. M. AbdElmeguid, and B. Büchner, Physical Review B 57, 8036 (1998).
- A. Bianconi et al., Physical Review Letters 76, 3412 (1996).
- ¹³ A. Bianconi *et al.*, Physical Review B **54**, 12018 (1996).
- ¹⁴ A. Lanzara *et al.*, Physical Review B **55**, 9120 (1997).
- ¹⁵ D. Haskel *et al.*, Physical Review Letters **76**, 439 (1996).

- ¹⁶ T. Niemoeller *et al.*, Physica C **299**, 191 (1998).
- ¹⁷ E. S. Bozin *et al.*, Physical Review B (Condensed Matter) **59**, 4445 (1999).
- ¹⁸ M. Braden *et al.*, Physical Review B **63**, 140510/1 (2001).
- ¹⁹ B. Simovic et al., Cond Mat/0206497 (2002).
- ²⁰ U. Ammerahl (unpublished).
- ²¹ C. P. Slichter, Principle of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance, 3rd ed. (Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1990).
- ²² A. Abragam, Principles of Nuclear Magnetism (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1961).
- ²³ Note that this observation does not depend on the existence of twinned domains. Because $\cos \pi/4 = \sin \pi/4$, the quadrupole shift for a field $\mathbf{H_0}$ along [100] is invariant for in-plane rotation of $n\pi/2$ of the tilt direction
- ²⁴ Exact diagonalization gives $\phi \approx 11.5^{\circ}$
- ²⁵ N. J. Curro *et al.*, Physical Review Letters **85**, 642 (2000).
- ²⁶ J. H. Van Vleck, The Theory of Electric and Magnetic Susceptibilities (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1932).
- ²⁷ C. Rettori *et al.*, Physical Review B **54**, 1123 (1996).
- ²⁸ M. Tovar *et al.*, Physical Review B **39**, 2661 (1989).
- ²⁹ M. F. Hundley *et al.*, Physica C **158**, 102 (1989).
- ³⁰ A. T. Boothroyd, S. M. Doyle, D. M. Paul, and R. Osborn, Physical Review B 45, 10075 (1992).