Superconductivity in Magnetically Ordered CeTe_{1.82}

M. H. Jung¹, A. Alsmadi², H. C. Kim³, Yunkyu Bang⁴, K. H. Ahn⁴, K. Umeo⁵, A. H.

Lacerda¹, H. Nakotte², H. C. Ri³, and T. Takabatake ⁵

¹ National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos NM 87545, USA

² Department of Physics, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces NM 88003, USA

³ Material Science Laboratory, Korea Basic Science Institute, Taejeon 305-333, Korea

⁴ Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos NM 87545, USA

⁵ Department of Quantum Matter, ADSM, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8530, Japan

Abstract

We report the discovery of pressure-induced superconductivity in a semimetallic magnetic material CeTe_{1.82}. The superconducting transition temperature $T_{SC} = 2.7$ K (well below the magnetic ordering temperatures) under pressure (> 2 kbar) is remarkably high, considering the relatively low carrier density due to a charge-density-wave transition associated with lattice modulation. The coexisting magnetic structure of a mixed ferromagnetism and antiferromagnetism can provide a clue for this high T_{SC} . We discuss a theoretical model for its possible pairing symmetry and pairing mechanism.

Typeset using REVT_EX

The f-electron compounds never cease to surprise us with interesting ground states. Due to the local nature of f-obital wave function, these compounds display various manybody collective state(s) at low temperature – often competition/coexistance among them. Typically, the f-electron systems show a heavily renormalized quasiparticle (called heavy fermion) below a certain crossover temperature, and then many of them at lower temperatures show magnetism and/or superconductivity (SC) such as in CeCu₂Si₂, CeIn₃, CeRhIn₅, UNi₂Al₃, UGe₂, etc. [1,2]. CeTe_{1.82} studied here certainly belongs to this class of materials. However, due to Te and the crystal structure this compound also shares some of the feature of the layered transition-metal dichalcogenides and NbSe₃, which undergo a superconducting transition at low temperature ~ 1 K with the charge-density-wave (CDW) ordering at far higher temperature ~ 1000 K [3]. The precise role of CDW with respect to SC is unclear so far and their coherent properties now constitute a separate interesting branch of correlated electron systems [4]. The compound CeTe_{1.82} studied in this Letter shows all these collective states: CDW, magnetism, and SC in consecutively lowering temperature.

Here we report the first observation of pressure-induced SC in a semimetallic magnetic material CeTe_{1.82} with a relatively low density of states (DOS) [5]. At ambient pressure, CeTe_{2- δ}(0.13 $\leq \delta \leq$ 0.18) displays various collective ground states and exhibits highly anisotropic transport and magnetic properties. CeTe_{2- δ} crystallizes in layered tetragonal Cu₂Sb-type structure, where a metallic Te sheet is sandwiched by semiconducting CeTe double layers and is stacked along the *c* axis [6]. Because of this layered crystal structure and the Te vacancy, a CDW state is stabilized even at far above the room temperature. The presence of CDW gap ($T_{CDW} \sim 1000$ K) accompanying with a lattice distortion in the Te sheet is verified by electron-tunneling spectroscopy measurements [7]. At low temperatures, this compound undergoes two different magnetic orderings. The local magnetic moments of Ce ions develop a short-range ferromagnetic (SRF) ordering in the CeTe layer with a magnetoelastic origin below $T_{SRF} \sim 6$ K. As temperature is further lowered, the SRF CeTe layers develop a long-range ferromagnetic (FM) order in the layers and simultaneously a long-range antiferromagnetic (AFM) order in the spin sequence of down-up-up-down along the *c* axis below $T_N \sim 4.3$ K (see Ref. [8] and Fig. 1). Because of the two-dimensional motion of the carriers confined within the Te sheet sandwiched by the ferromagnetically coupled CeTe layers, the strong anisotropy is observed in the electrical resistivity with a ratio of $\rho_{\parallel c}/\rho_{\perp c} \sim 150$ at 2 K and the isothermal magnetization with a ratio of $M_{\parallel c}/M_{\perp c} \sim 7$ at 2 kG [6,8].

High-purity single crystals were grown with varying Te contents, i.e., $0.13 \leq \delta \leq 0.18$ in CeTe_{2- δ}. Electron-probe microanalysis reveals the deficiency in the Te content, δ , without any evidence of inhomogeneity to a resolution of 0.1%. This δ value is often observed in other rare-earth dichalcogenides such as LaTe_{1.9}, SmTe_{1.84}, and DySe_{1.85} [9], where the chalcogen vacancy goes into the Te sheet and stabilizes the structural modulation. The in-plane resistivity measurements were made on single-crystal platelets by the conventional a.c. four-terminal method as a function of temperature, magnetic field, and pressure. The pressure cell is of the piston-cylinder type constructed out of high-purity non-magnetic BeCu alloy suitable for the application of external magnetic fields. The pressure was determined to \pm 0.005 kbar from the electrical resistance of Manganin sensor. Bulk magnetization measurements as a function of temperature were performed by means of a SQUID magnetometer (Quantum Design, MPMS7) with similar pressure cells, in which 1:1 mixture of Flurinert FC70 and FC77 was used for a pressure transmitting medium.

Figure 2 displays a typical feature of $\rho(T)$ showing the superconducting transition in CeTe_{1.82} at P = 5 kbar, where $\rho(T)$ starts to drop drastically at $T_{SC} = 2.7$ K. The application of magnetic field suppresses the resistivity drop, as expected for a superconducting transition. From the onset of the superconducting transition, we have determined the superconducting phase diagram shown in the inset of Fig. 2. It is rather unusual that the upper critical field H_{c2} (~ 5 kG at $T \rightarrow 0$) is about an order smaller than $H_{c2}(0)$ (~ 100 kG at 20 kbar) of CeRhIn₅ [10] having a similar T_{SC} (~ 2.1 K). We consider the low DOS of CeTe_{1.82} as the primary reason for such a small H_{c2} . Then, the relatively high T_{SC} with a low DOS indicates a different pairing mechanism compared to CeRhIn₅ and other heavy fermion superconductors. A more conclusive evidence for SC is a diamagnetic signal below T_{SC} , and thus we measured the magnetization M(T) of CeTe_{1.82} for different pressures. Because the superconducting transition occurs just below the magnetic transition and the SC coexists with the magnetism below T_{SC} , a diamagnetic signal associated with SC is quite small to be easily detected. Hence, we have first plotted the difference $\Delta M (= M_{ZFC} - M_{FC})$ between the zero-field-cooled (M_{ZFC}) and field-cooled data (M_{FC}) in the inset of Fig. 3, showing a clear deviation from the linear-temperature-dependent background (M_{BG}) . This background is defined as an extrapolation of the magnetic hysteresis of M(T) below T_N . The main panel of Fig. 3 shows the magnetic susceptibility $4\pi\Delta M_{SC}/H$ measured at 6 kbar, where $\Delta M_{SC} = \Delta M - M_{BG}$, assuming the density of CeTe_{1.82} is about 10 g/cc. The diamagnetic component is observed just below 2.8 K, which coincides with T_{SC} determined by $\rho(T)$ measurements. These results support the presence of bulk SC in CeTe_{1.82}.

In Fig. 4, we draw the phase diagram in temperature-pressure space summarizing our measurements for $\operatorname{CeTe}_{1.82}$. $\rho(T)$ and M(T) at different pressures allow us to identify the short-range ferromagnetic ordering temperature T_{SRF} and the long-range ferro/antiferromagnetic ordering temperature T_N . The superconducting transition temperature T_{SC} is determined from $\rho(T)$. The applied pressure slightly enhances both T_{SRF} and T_N over the whole region of measured pressure. The SC suddenly appears in the narrow region below 2 kbar. The pressure-induced SC often occurs in heavy fermion metals in the vicinity of AFM or FM quantum criticality (QC: T_N or $T_C \to 0$ K) [2] and the normal state properties exhibit various deviations from Fermi-liquid metal, so called, non-Fermi liquid (NFL) behavior [11]. However, for $\operatorname{CeTe}_{1.82}$ there is no magnetic QC in our phase diagram and the superconducting phase exists completely inside the magnetic phase. Also, the transport and magnetic properties show no NFL behavior.

We examine possible theoretical scenarios for the SC in $CeTe_{1.82}$, focusing on pairing interactions and pairing symmetries. If the SC is mediated by magnetic fluctuations, the phase diagram in Fig. 4 appears consistent with a FM-induced SC; an AFM-induced SC tends to appear near the boundary between magnetic and non-magnetic phases [12]. In fact, considering the ferro/antiferro-magnetic ordering structure (Fig.1), in which the main conducting Te sheet is sandwiched between two FM CeTe double layers and this FM sandwich structure is alternating its polarization along the c axis, it is quite plausible that the carriers confined in the Te sheet interact by exchange of FM fluctuations and form superconducting pairs [12]. While the traditional idea for the FM-induced SC is a triplet and odd orbital pairing, recent theoretical studies suggest that singlet s-wave pairing is also possible inside a FM phase [12]. Although it remains an important issue for further experiments to determine the symmetry of the superconducting order parameter, the possibility of FM triplet pairing in $CeTe_{1.82}$ has a couple of problems because of its sensitivity to disorder. The sample which exhibits SC has Te vacancy of $\sim 10\%$, and most of this vacancy is believed to go into the Te sheets that are going to develop SC. Any triplet odd orbital pairing hardly survives in this much disorder. In addition, the pressure-induced SC is observed only for a single crystal with $\delta = 0.18$ in CeTe_{2- δ}. We have measured in-pressure resistivity of other single crystals with $\delta = 0.15$ and 0.13, and found almost identical magnetic properties but no superconducting transition. This indifference of SC to the magnetic properties and the extreme sensitivity to the Te vacancy suggests that the magnetism is unlikely to be a primary source of SC pairing mechanism.

From the sensitive dependence of SC to δ , one could speculate that the SC in CeTe_{1.82} is most likely to be associated with the crystal-lattice instability. The existence of CDW instability driven by the Fermi surface nesting is realized with a small periodic lattice distortion within the Te sheet, which is stabilized by a vacancy order in the Te sheets [7]. Related to this, an interesting pairing mechanism has been proposed by Castro Neto [4] in order to explain CDW-SC in transition-metal dichalcogenides (TMD). In this theory, the SC pairing occurs with the Dirac fermions formed after a gapless CDW ordering, which couple with acoustic phonons via piezoelectric coupling due to the inversion symmetry breaking by a six-fold CDW order. The main difference between TMD compounds and CeTe_{2- δ} is that CeTe_{2- δ} has *f*-orbital moments from Ce ions and these moments develop magnetic orderings at low temperature (6 K and 4.3 K). There are experiments indicating possible interplay between CDW and magnetic order in $\text{CeTe}_{2-\delta}$, but the details are still unclear [7]. Also the piezoelectric coupling is, in general, unlikely in metals [13]. Therefore, the application of the pairing theory for TMD to our case is not straightforward. However, on a general ground the CDW ordering and accompanying lattice modulation should create a new optical phonon mode, which then couples to electrons in the Te layers. Hence, we speculate that the primary pairing interaction is mediated by phonons forming a s-wave singlet SC.

As for the role of magnetism for SC, we can think of two effects: (1) In addition to the phonon pairing potential, the FM fluctuations in the FM phase can contribute to a s-wave singlet pairing [12]; (2) The tunneling data from Ref. [7] shows that the zero-bias conductance increases below T_{SRF} , indicating that DOS increases due to magnetic ordering. Finally as for the role of pressure, we think that the *c*-axis lattice distance is crucial to determine the actual T_{SC} as in TMD. Figure 4 shows that the SC, coexisting with magnetism, abruptly appears at $T_{SC} = 2.7$ K with as low pressure as 2 kbar. As indicated by the dotted line, we cannot rule out that the superconducting phase appears sharply below 2 kbar. Then the pressure might decrease the *c* lattice constant and this will increase the interlayer coupling to increase T_{SC} as in other layered superconductors.

To conclude, we report the superconducting transition at $T_{SC} = 2.7$ K in CeTe_{1.82} under pressure (P > 2 kbar). CeTe_{2- δ} displays various collective states at different temperatures; CDW (~ 1000 K), SRF (~ 6 K), *c*-axis AFM (~ 4.3 K), and finally SC transition for $\delta =$ 0.18. Combining available data and phase diagram, we conclude that the primary pairing mechanism is a phonon-mediated s-wave SC, enhanced by the FM fluctuations inside the magnetic ordering phase and the increased DOS due to the FM ordering. The unique magnetic structure of antiferromagnetically alternating FM CeTe layers cancels the internal fields on the Te sheets, which become superconducting. This fact negates the crucial negative effect of FM on SC. All these factors make CeTe_{1.82} a remarkably high $T_{SC} = 2.7$ K among *f*-electron systems. Finally, the pressure is required to increase the interlayer coupling and T_{SC} in the layered superconductors by decreasing the interlayer distance along the *c* axis.

We are grateful for helpful discussions with G. S. Boebinger, A. H. Castro Neto, H. Y.

Choi, Z. Fisk, S. I. Lee, A. J. Millis, J. L. Sarrao, J. D. Thompson, and C. M. Varma. We are indebted to J. Kamarad (Czech Acd. of Sciences) who made the pressure cell at NHMFL-Los Alamos. This work was supported by a grant from NSF (DMR-0094241). Work at NHMFL was performed under the auspices of the NSF, the State of Florida, and the US Department of Energy.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Steglich et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, 1892 (1979); J. D. Thompson et al., cond-mat/0012260; N. K. Sato et al., Nature 410, 340 (2001).
- [2] N. D. Mathur et al., Nature **394**, 39 (1998); S. S. Saxena et al., Nature **406**, 587 (2000).
- [3] A. M. Gabovich and A. I. Voitenko, Low Temp. Phys. 26, 305 (2000); A. M. Gabovich et al., Supercond. Sci. Technol. 14 R1 (2001).
- [4] A. H. Castro Neto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 4382 (2001).
- [5] The linear Sommerfeld coefficient γ ~ 50 mJ/K²mol estimated by specific heat measurement well reflects a lower density of states compared to other heavy fermion compounds. Also from the Hall coefficient measurement the carrier density is extremely low ~ 0.001 per formula unit, although this low value should reflect a large cancellation between hole-like and electron-like bands.
- [6] M. H. Jung et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **69**, 937 (2000).
- [7] M. H. Jung et al., Phys. Rev. B 63, 035101 (2001).
- [8] M. H. Jung et al., Phys. Rev. B 62, 11609 (2000).
- M. Grupe and W. Urland, J. Less-Common Met. **170**, 271 (1991); B. Foran, S. Lee, and
 M. C. Aronson, Chem. Mater. **5**, 974 (1993); S. M. Park, S. J. Park, and S. J. Kim, J.
 Solid State Chem. **140**, 300 (1998).
- [10] T. Muramatsu et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **70**, 3362 (2001).
- [11] G. R. Stewart, Rev. Mod. Phys. 73, 797 (2001); F. Steglich et al., Physica C 341, 691 (2000).
- [12] Z. Wang, W. Mao, and K. Bedell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 257001 (2001); N. I. Karchev et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 846 (2001); K. B. Blagoev, J. R. Engelbrecht, and K.S. Bedell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 133 (1999).

[13] C. M. Varma (private communication).



FIG. 1. The crystal and magnetic structure of CeTe₂ below T_N .



FIG. 2. Temperature dependence of the in-plane resistance R(T)/R(4K) normalized to 4 K value in pressure 5 kbar at c-axis fields 0, 1.5, 2 and 3 kG. The inset shows upper critical fields $H_{c2}(T)$ from the onset of superconducting transition, at which the resistance first deviates from the normal-state value.



FIG. 3. Temperature dependence of $4\pi\Delta M_{SC}/H$ (main panel), ΔM (open cicles in the inset), and M_{BG} (straight line in the inset) at 6 kbar, defined in the text: $\Delta M = M_{ZFC} - M_{FC}$ and $\Delta M_{SC} = \Delta M - M_{BG}$. Density of CeTe_{1.82} under pressure is assumed about 10 g/cc.



FIG. 4. Various critical temperatures as a function of applied pressure: the short-range ferromagnetic ordering temperature T_{SRF} , the long-range antiferromagnetic ordering temperature T_N , and the superconducting transition temperature T_{SC} . T_{SRF} and T_{SC} are determined from $\rho(T, P)$ data and T_N from M(T, P) data. The solid and dotted lines are guides for eyes.