

Pudalov et al. Reply. In the recent Letter [1], we reported *direct* measurements of the renormalized spin susceptibility χ^* in Si-MOS samples, and showed that χ^* increases gradually as the electron density n decreases. It remains finite at the critical density n_c of the apparent metal-insulator transition in 2D (2D MIT). In the preceding Comment [2], Kravchenko, Shashkin, and Dolgoplov (KSD) claim that our results: (i) are consistent with their *indirect* data [3], and (ii) support their idea that χ^* tends to diverge at a “sample-independent electron density n_χ , which coincides with the critical density n_c ” for the 2D MIT in the sample studied in Ref. [3]. We disagree with their claims as explained below.

The manner in which the three sets of data are plotted in Fig. 1 of Ref. [2] obscures the important systematic difference in the density dependences of $\mu_B B_c \equiv \pi \hbar^2 n \mu_B / (g^* m^*)$, where $g^* m^* \propto \chi^*$ [1]. Our data alone are replotted in Fig. 1 in the same units. The inset shows that B_c depends on n almost linearly for $n > 5 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}$. At lower densities, $n \leq 2 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}$, there are clear deviations from the KSD-conjecture $B_c \propto (n - n_\chi)$ [2], depicted as the dash-dotted line. Our data remain *finite* at the density $n_\chi = 8 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}$ where χ^* is thought [2] to diverge. The upper estimate $g^* m^* / 2m_b \approx 7$ at $n = 0.77 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}$ can be obtained from the phase of SdH oscillations for sample Si5; for bigger $g^* m^*$ values, the phase would change by π in contrast to our observations [5]. Thus, we find a significant difference between our data and that of Ref. [2]. Clearly, the search for possible critical behavior of a nonlinear function $1/\chi^*(n)$ requires more careful consideration, for even the critical range of n is unknown. Such analysis has been performed by us in Ref. [5]: we concluded that the divergency of χ^* is unlikely at $n > 0.5 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}$.

By extrapolating $1/\chi^* \rightarrow 0$, KSD made a conclusion of a spontaneous complete spin polarization of mobile electrons (the “ferromagnetic instability”) at $n = 0.8 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}$. The absence of any traces of such instability in our SdH data at $n = n_c (= 1 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}$ for Si6-14) was attributed by KSD to a stronger disorder in our samples. However, the SdH data for sample Si5, which is less disordered than any samples studied by KSD, clearly demonstrate the absence of a ferromagnetic transition at $n = 0.77 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}$ and allow to estimate the spontaneous component of polarization at this n to be less than 15% (Fig. 3 of Ref. [5]).

The difference between our results and that of KSD might be due to the following reasons: in Ref. [1], $\chi^*(n)$ is determined from Shubnikov-de Haas (SdH) oscillations in weak crossed magnetic fields from the difference in the numbers of spin-up and spin-down electrons. This approach based solely on Landau quantization provides *direct* results, which hold for arbitrary interaction strength. In contrast, the data of Ref. [3] are indirect and based on a conjecture that the magnetoresistance (MR) in strong in-plane fields ($g\mu_B B_{\parallel} \lesssim E_F$) scales as $1/\chi^*$. We have

shown [4] that the MR, in contrast to the SdH data, depends not only on n , but also on the history-dependent disorder in a sample, and differs from sample to sample. The effect of disorder on the MR becomes especially strong at low densities and high resistivities $\rho \sim h/e^2$. Thus, attributing $R(B_{\parallel})$ solely to the spin polarization of mobile electrons is dangerous at best.

The KSD concern about applicability of the Lifshits-Kosevitch (LK) formula to strongly interacting systems has been addressed in Refs. [6]. It was shown that the LK formula with renormalized g^* and m^* holds for an arbitrary interaction strength provided the system remains Fermi-liquid and the amplitude of oscillations is small.




FIG. 1. $\mu_B B_c = \pi \hbar^2 n \mu_B / (g^* m^*) = 0.63 n (2m_b / g^* m^*) \text{ meV} 10^{-11} \text{cm}^2$ plotted vs n using direct $g^* m^*(n)$ -data [1,5]. Solid line is a guide to the eye. Vertical arrows depict $n = n_c$ for samples Si6-14 and Si5. Dash-dotted line represents the KSD conjecture [2].

To summarize (i) there is a systematic disagreement at low densities between our *direct* results on χ^* and *indirect* data by KSD; (ii) both χ^* and the period of SdH oscillations show absence of a complete spin polarization down to $n = 0.77 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}$; this rules out the spontaneous ferromagnetic transition at $n = 0.8 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}$ suggested in Refs. [2,3].

Authors acknowledge support by NSF, FWF Austria, INTAS, NATO, and RFBR.

V. M. Pudalov, M. Gershenson, H. Kojima, N. Busch, E. M. Dizhur, G. Brunthaler, A. Prinz, and G. Bauer.

-
- [1] V. M. Pudalov et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. **88** 196404 (2002).
 - [2] S. V. Kravchenko et al. cond-mat/0106056.
 - [3] A. A. Shashkin et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 086801 (2001).
 - [4] V. M. Pudalov et al. cond-mat/0201001.
 - [5] V. M. Pudalov et al. cond-mat/0110160.

- [6] See e.g.: Yu. A. Bychkov, L. P. Gor'kov, JETP **14**, 1132 (1962). S. Engelsberg, G. Simpson, Phys. Rev. B **2**, 1657 (1970). K. Miyake, C. M. Varma, Sol.State Commun. **85**, 335 (1993), and references therein.