

Stretched exponential dynamics in a chain of coupled chaotic oscillators.

E. R. HUNT¹(*), P. M. GADE¹ AND NORMAND MOUSSEAU^{1,2}(**)

¹ *Department of Physics and Astronomy and CMSS, Ohio University, Athens, OH 45701, USA*

² *Département de physique, Université de Montréal, C.P. 6128, Succ. Centre-ville, Montréal (Québec) Canada H3C 3J7.*

PACS. 05.45.-a – Nonlinear dynamics and nonlinear dynamical systems.

PACS. 61.43.Fs – Glasses.

PACS. 05.45.Ra – Coupled map lattices.

Abstract. – We measure stretched exponential behavior, $\exp(-(t/\tau_0)^\beta)$, over many decades in a one-dimensional array of coupled chaotic electronic elements just above a crisis-induced intermittency transition. There is strong spatial heterogeneity and individual sites display a dynamics ranging from near power law ($\beta = 0$) to near exponential ($\beta = 1$) while the global dynamics, given by a spatial average, remains stretched exponential. These results can be reproduced quantitatively with a one-dimensional coupled-map lattice and thus appear to be system independent. In this model, local stretched exponential dynamics is achieved without frozen disorder and is a fundamental property of the coupled system. The heterogeneity of the experimental system can be reproduced by introducing quenched disorder in the model. This suggests that the stretched exponential dynamics can arise as a purely chaotic phenomenon.

Stretched exponential relaxation is almost ubiquitous in complex systems. It is found in glasses [1], spin glasses [2], polymers, high-dimensional cellular automata [3], random networks [4] coupled chaotic oscillators [5,6] and others [7]. For many of these problems, however, the microscopic origin of this dynamics is difficult to establish both experimentally and theoretically. Understanding the underlying causes for stretched exponential relaxation remains, therefore, a central goal in the study of the dynamics of complex systems [8–10], as witnessed by the flurry of theoretical, experimental and numerical results that have appeared on the subject in the last few years [10–13].

In the last 20 years, many physical processes have been understood in terms of nonlinear dynamics and chaos, these concepts often going beyond the reach of standard equilibrium statistical physics. Here, we present experimental results showing a stretched exponential dynamics, $\exp[-(t/\tau_0)^\beta]$, in a simple one-dimensional chaotic system comprised of a chain of chaotic electronic oscillators. In particular, we find that in the vicinity of the transition to spatiotemporal chaos, individual site dynamics shows this behavior over six orders of magnitude and that the β 's associated with individual site range from 0.8 (nearly exponential) to 0.2

(*) E-mail: hunt@helios.phy.ohiou.edu

(**) E-mail: normand.mousseau@umontreal.ca




Fig. 1 – Schematic diagram of a single diode-resonator and its coupling to its nearest neighbors in a one-dimensional arrangement.

(nearly power law) depending on the activity of the site. Furthermore, the global dynamics of the networks also shows a stretched-exponential dynamics with a β having an intermediate value. These results can be reproduced using a 1D coupled-map model which also displays a transition to spatio-temporal chaos. Close to that transition, the model shows uniform stretched exponential dynamics. We recover the heterogeneous dynamics of the experiment by introducing quenched disorder in the model. These results suggest that the stretched exponential dynamics can arise as a purely chaotic phenomenon.

We study the behavior of a one-dimensional chain of 256 coupled diode-resonators with periodic-boundary conditions. Figure 1 shows the schematic diagram of the basic blocks forming the one-dimensional array. A diode resonator is comprised of the series combination of an inductor (30 mHy) and a rectifier type pn junction diode (1N1004) and is driven by a sinusoidal source (100 kHz); it follows the period-doubling route to chaos as the ac drive is increased [16]. There are fairly large differences in the individual resonators; for example, the drive voltage for a given feature, such as the period-3 fixed point, varies by as much as 20 %. Diffusive coupling between the elements is provided by resistors as shown and periodic boundary conditions are used. This one-dimensional setup has been previously studied with unidirectional coupling [17] and under the conditions of stochastic resonance [18].

As the drive voltage is increased, the coupled system shows a kink-forming route to spatiotemporal chaos. At low drive the elements period double nearly synchronously with no or very little current in the coupling resistors. Increasing the drive, the system reaches a two-band chaotic state in which all elements are in the same band. Following this, coarse grained period-2 phase kinks (two-band structures in which neighboring elements are in opposite bands) begin to appear [17]. A kink tends to make the system less chaotic since there

is now appreciable power dissipated in the coupling resistors and the available phase space is reduced. With increasing drive voltage, the number of kinks increases until a regular or a near regular kink lattice is formed with a lattice constant function of the coupling resistors. At this point each element displays two-band chaos with the phase alternating around the chain. Further increasing the drive, the two chaotic bands merge into one, in an example of an attractor-merging crisis in a high-dimensional spatiotemporal system [19]. The critical drive voltage V_c is where the two attractors just touch. Well above V_c the system displays full spatiotemporal chaos. Just above V_c regions of the system still spend long periods of time trapped in the old two-band attractor before switching to the new single attractor for a time and then back again. In a single chaotic oscillator the intermittent switching between two attractors is an example of crisis-induced intermittency and the trap time displays exponentially distributed switching times [19]. As discussed below, crisis-induced intermittency also exists in coupled system but the trap time at that point is now dominated by stretched exponentials.

Figure 2 shows a 4096-cycle time series for the 256 elements. The two phases of the two-band attractor are represented as black and white, and only every other time step is displayed. Note the creation, annihilation and diffusion of the boundaries of the two-band attractor. With the coupling resistors used, 150 k Ω , the coarse-grained spatial periodicity of the lattice is about 4 sites.

In Fig. 3, we plot the distribution of trap times, i.e., how long a site remains in the two-band phase. This distribution is measured on a single site over 10^9 cycles, or 10 hours of experimental measurements. This distribution is very well approximated by a stretched exponential with an exponent $\beta = 0.40 \pm 0.05$ and $\tau_0 = 27 \pm 10$ drive cycles. In glasses and other systems, this behavior is associated with relaxation processes. These processes are described by a time autocorrelation function averaged over the entire system. As shown in the inset of Fig. 3, our system also shows a global stretched-exponential dynamics; the flattening of the auto-correlation function at longer time is due to the limited statistics [20].

We can address directly the question of heterogeneities in our setup. Figure 4 shows the activity associated with each site. Activity is defined as the number of times a site changes state normalized by the number of time steps. The activity is a measure of the local dynamics of the sites: very active sites typically show a dynamics close to that of the chaotic regime while the slow sites display a trap-time distribution more in line with the frozen regime. Mostly determined by the value of the local chaotic threshold, the variations in activity are essentially uncorrelated spatially as can be seen in Fig. 4. Spatial correlations, measured as a two-point function on the lattice, show an exponential decay, $\exp(-r/r_0)$, with $r_0 \simeq 6$ sites, in the intermittent phase, close to the basic spatial periodicity just below crisis.

The level of activity for a given site is also reflected in the overall dynamics of this site. Figure 5 shows the trap-time distribution for three sites with different level of activity. All three sites are consistent with stretched exponential with exponents $\beta = 0.8$ for the “hotter” site, $\beta = 0.4$ and $\beta = 0.3$, for the “cooler” sites. Faster sites can be equated with an effective higher driving voltage and a dynamics moving towards a pure exponential ($\beta = 1$); slower sites, on the other hand, display stretched exponential with lower exponent, and can even have a power-law correlation ($\beta = 0$), depending on the external driving. For a big enough system, we expect to find the whole range of β at any driving in this intermittent phase and see the distribution of exponent change with driving, with the value of the global β somewhere in between the two extremes contrary to what was proposed recently by Richert and Richert [15].

As is often the case for glasses, where the exponent β varies as a function of temperature, β here changes with the driving voltage. At low external driving, β is zero or nearly zero and the system is either totally frozen or showing a power-law distribution of trap times. As




Fig. 2 – Time series of the 256 diodes in the intermittent state, just above V_c . Since the system displays a fundamental period-two oscillation in time below V_c , we show the state of the diodes at even time-steps in a binary representation.

the driving is increased, β also increases relatively smoothly to $\beta = 1.0$, for the single site chosen, and to about 0.5, on average, before the full system become completely chaotic. The relaxation time scale, defined by τ_0 , follows β rather closely, roughly doubling in the driving range of 3 to 3.2. The overall time scale associated with the traps is therefore of the order of 100 drive cycles, with trap times reaching many times this value during the measurement.

Surprising results are obtained in the simulation of a simple one-dimensional coupled-map lattice. This model follows Kaneko [21] and Johnson *et al.* [17] and is composed of a chaotic




Fig. 3 – Distribution of trap times measured over a single site over a 10-hour period by interval of 1 ms. The raw data are shown to fit to a stretched exponential with $\beta = 0.4$. The apparent tail at longer time is due to the discrete nature of the measurement and could be redressed by more statistics. Inset: Time auto-correlation function averaged over all 256 sites for a run of 2048 timesteps of 64 drive cycles each. The data fits a stretched exponential with $\beta = 0.25$.

map, $f(x) = 1 - rx^2$, coupled to its nearest neighbors in a one-dimensional chain

$$f_i(t + \Delta t) = (1 - \alpha)f_i(t) + \frac{\alpha}{2} [f_{i-1}(t) + f_{i+1}(t)]; \quad (1)$$

α is the strength of the coupling between the logistic maps. The model, like the experimental set-up, shows an attractor-merging crisis with crisis-induced intermittency in the vicinity of the transition to spatiotemporal chaos. Below the transition each site is in a coarse-grained period-two state. The system also shows spatial periodicity with alternating groups of sites in a coarse-grade period-2 as described above for the experimental set-up. The size of these groups depends on α . We choose $\alpha = 0.25$ for a spatial wavelength of 4 sites, similar to the experiment. Just above the crisis the system gets trapped in the two-band attractor in local regions for a while before going chaotic again. While for an uncoupled system the times between switches have a long-time exponential distribution [19], Fig. 6 shows that the trap-time distribution for this model follows a stretched exponential with $\beta = 0.70 \pm 0.05$ over the full distribution, with all sites displaying the same distribution. The stretched exponential is therefore not caused by disorder but is a fundamental property of the coupled system. As can




Fig. 4 – Activity (fraction of the time steps where a site changes phase) as a function of site number for the 256 coupled diode-resonators.

be seen in Fig. 2 traps show a spatial extension that appears to stabilize them, biasing the distribution towards longer time. observed in single oscillators.

Disorder is necessary to induce the strong site to site variation seen in the experimental setup; it can be introduced in the model by selecting a site-varying r_i taken from a flat distribution of δ , $r_i \in [r - \delta, r + \delta]$. As in the experiment, the global dynamics is also well-described by a stretched exponential even though the various sites show a broad range in their relaxation dynamics. A fuller discussion of the simulation results will be presented elsewhere [22].

In conclusion, we have found stretched exponential dynamics in a one-dimensional chain of chaotic diode resonators near the transition to spatiotemporal chaos. While individual sites display a wide range of β 's, the global time autocorrelation function also shows this behavior [23]. As can be seen in Fig. 2 traps can be continuously created and destroyed. They are stabilized by their spatial extension, which biases the trap-time distribution towards longer times. Based on a simple one-dimensional coupled map model, we show that this behavior is not related to disorder. These results indicate that the stretched exponential dynamics can be purely chaotic in origin.

* * *

We acknowledge partial support from the NSF under grant number DMR-9805848 and the Natural and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NM) and the ONR (ERH). We thank Don Carter for the device used to measure trap times. NM is a Cottrell Scholar of Research Corporation.




Fig. 5 – Trap-time distribution for 3 diode-resonators with different activity, as defined in Fig. 4. The main figure shows a log-normal plot of the distribution for all sites. In inset, we show a log-log vs. log plot for the three sites; the curves were translated vertically for better display. From left to right, in the main panel (and bottom to top in the inset), the corresponding β is, respectively, 0.8, 0.4 and 0.3.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. D. Ediger, C. A. Angell, and S. R. Nagel, *J. Phys. Chem.* **100**, 13200 (1996); C. A. Angell, *J. Phys. Cond Mat.* **12**, 6463 (2000).
- [2] K. H. Fischer and J. A. Hertz, “Spin glasses”, Cambridge, 1991.
- [3] N. Mousseau, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **29**, 3021 (1996).
- [4] P. L. Krapivsky, S. Redner and F. Leyraz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 4629 (2000).
- [5] G. P. Tsironis and S. Aubry, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 5225 (1996).
- [6] K. Yeung Tsang and K. L. Ngai, *Phys. Rev. E* **54**, R3067 (1996); K. L. Ngai and K. Yeung Tsang, *ibid* **60**, 4511 (1999).
- [7] M. Donsker and S.R.S. Varadhan, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **28**, 525 (1975); *ibid* **32**, 721 (1979).
- [8] U. Tracht, M. Wihlhelm, A. Heuer, H. Feng, K. Schmidt-Rohr, and H. W. Spiess, *Phys. Rev.*




Fig. 6 – Spatially-averaged trap-time distribution for a 256-coupled-map lattice with $r = 1.890$ and $\alpha = 0.25$, run for 100 million iterations. Each site displays the same stretched exponential relaxation, with $\beta = 0.70 \pm 0.05$, and we show the spatial average for better statistics.

Lett. **81**, 2727 (1998).

- [9] E. Vidal Russel and N. E. Israeloff, Nature **408**, 695 (2000)
- [10] L. F. Cugliandolo and J. L. Iguain, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 3448 (2000).
- [11] S. C. Glotzer, N. Jan, T. Lookman, A. B. MacIsaac, and P. H. Poole, Phys. Rev. E **57**, 7350 (1998).
- [12] E. Rabani, J. D. Gezelter and B. J. Berne, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 3649 (1999).
- [13] E. R. Weeks, J. C. Crocker, A. C. Levitt, A. Schofield, and D. A. Weitz, Science **287**, 627 (2000).
- [14] M. F. Schlesinger and E. W. Montroll, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **81**, 1280 (1984); R. G. Palmer, D. L. Stein, E. Abrahams, and P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 958 (1984).
- [15] R. Richert and M. Richert, Phys. Rev. E **58**, 779 (1998).
- [16] P. S. Linsay, Phys. Rev. Lett. **47**, 1349 (1981); J. Testa, J. Pérez and C. Jeffries, *ibid* **48**, 714 (1982); R. W. Rollins and E. R. Hunt, *ibid* **49**, 1295 (1982).

- [17] G. A. Johnson, M. Löcher, and E. R. Hunt, *Physica D* **96**, 367 (1996).
- [18] M. Löcher, D. Cigna, E. R. Hunt, G. A. Johnson, F. Marchesoni, L. Gammaitoni, M. E. Inchiosa, A. R. Bulsara, *Chaos* **8**, 604 (1998); M. Löcher, D. Cigna, E. R. Hunt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 5212 (1998); M. Löcher, N. Chatterjee, F. Marchesoni, W. L. Ditto, and E. R. Hunt, *Phys. Rev. E* **61**, 4954 (2000).
- [19] R. W. Rollins and E. R. Hunt, *Phys. Rev. A* **29**, 3327 (1984); E. Ott, “Chaos in Dynamical Systems”, Cambridge, 1993.
- [20] The experimental setup does not allow us to accumulate as much statistics when averaging over all sites compared to single-site time averages.
- [21] K. Kaneko, *Theory and Applications of Coupled Maps Lattices*, Wiley and Sons, New York (1993).
- [22] P. M. Gade, N. Chatterjee, E. R. Hunt, and N. Mousseau, in preparation.
- [23] The overall behavior of our experiment and model demonstrate also a more complex picture than what is normally presented in the theory of glasses: the global stretched-exponential dynamics is generated not from a sum of simple exponentials with varying time scale but from stretched exponential distributions with β ranging from zero to one. This raises the possibility that the local dynamics in glasses could be more complex than what is described in the traditional heterogeneous model.