

Electron Double Refraction in Hybrid Systems with Rashba Spin-Orbit Coupling

V.Marigliano Ramaglia, V.Cataudella, G.De Filippis, C.A.Perroni, F.Ventriglia

INFM and Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche,

Università degli Studi di Napoli "Federico II"

Complesso Universitario Monte Sant'Angelo,

Via Cintia, 80126 Napoli, Italy

(November 2, 2018)

We study the scattering of an electron in a definite state of spin at an interface of an hybrid system with a Rashba spin-orbit coupling on one side. Out of the normal incidence the double refraction phenomenon appears, with one or two limit angles for the total reflection. We show that this double refraction gives rise to a spin-dependent conductance of a Quantum Point Contact separating a ferromagnet and a two dimensional electron gas. The birefringence allows the spin filtering with a single interface.

I. INTRODUCTION

The most popular spin-filter device,^{1,2} based on Rashba Effect,³ has been proposed by Datta and Das⁴ as the electronic analog of an electro-optic modulator. The idea is to design a structure in which the spin behaves as the polarization of the light. When the light traverses an electro-optic material, two perpendicular polarizations accumulate different phases shifts and, when the beam emerges into the analyzer, the two components interfere with each other. Polarizing the light at 45° in the plane (y, z) , orthogonal to the direction propagation x , the output power collected by the analyzer, that is oriented in the same way of the input filter, is given by

$$P_0 = \frac{1}{4} \left| \begin{array}{c} \overline{\quad} \\ 1 \quad 1 \\ \overline{\quad} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} e^{ik_1 L} \\ e^{ik_2 L} \end{array} \right|^2 = \cos^2 \frac{(k_1 - k_2) L}{2}. \quad (1)$$

A gate voltage controls the differential phase shift $(k_1 - k_2) L$ and allows for a modulation of the output.

In the spintronic analog the role of the electro-optic material is played by a strip of 2DEG (two dimensional electron gas) in which magnetized contacts inject and collect electrons with a specific spin orientation. The feasibility of spin injection at a ferromagnet–semiconductor interface has been experimentally demonstrated.⁵

An electrical field \mathcal{E} (in y direction) acts on the strip and the electrons move along x direction. We suppose that the motion happens in a nanostructure at low temperature so that the electronic phase coherence is maintained. The velocities of the charge carriers are of the order 10^8 m/sec or larger and a magnetic field (directed in $-z$ direction) appears in the rest reference frame of the charges. This kind of spin-orbit effect is known as Rashba effect. The Hamiltonian spin-orbit term has the form

$$H_{SO} = \frac{g|e|}{m^2 c^2} (\vec{p} \times \vec{\mathcal{E}}) \cdot \vec{s} = \frac{g|e|}{m^2 c^2} (\vec{s} \times \vec{p}) \cdot \vec{\mathcal{E}}, \quad (2)$$

where g is the giromagnetic ratio of the spin \vec{s} and m stands for the effective mass of the electron charge $-|e|$. Introducing the Pauli matrices $\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z$, we get

$$H_{so} = \frac{\eta}{\hbar} (\sigma_z p_x - \sigma_x p_z)$$

where $\eta = (g|e|\hbar^2/2m^2c^2)\mathcal{E}$.

The motion in x direction is considered taking momentum eigenvalues $p_z = 0$ and $p_x = \hbar k'$. In this subspace the spin component in z direction is a motion constant and the energy eigenvalues of spin up (+) and spin down (-) states are respectively:

$$E_{\pm} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} k'^2 \pm \eta k'. \quad (3)$$

For the InGaAs/InAlAs heterostructure the spin-orbit parameter η was estimated to be $\sim 3.9 \times 10^{-12}$ eV m.⁴ For positive values of the energy we introduce the vector k such that $E_{\pm} = \hbar^2 k^2 / 2m$. The two spin orientations have two different values of the momentum

$$k' = k_{\pm} = \sqrt{k^2 + \frac{m^2 \eta^2}{\hbar^4}} \mp \frac{m\eta}{\hbar^2}. \quad (4)$$

At high values of the energy ($E \gg m\eta^2/\hbar^2$) the two values of k' have a difference of $2m\eta/\hbar^2$. Preparing the electron in the state $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, when it traverses a distance L , the probability that it can be found again in this state is given by:

$$P = \frac{1}{4} \left| \begin{pmatrix} \text{---} & \text{---} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{ik_+L} \\ e^{ik_-L} \end{pmatrix} \right|^2 = \cos^2 \frac{2m\eta L}{\hbar^2}. \quad (5)$$

The Datta and Das ideas have inspired some investigation on spintronic devices that exhibit spin-valves effects.^{6,7} Recently it has been shown that an oscillatory spin-filtering due to a spin-dependent conductance can not be obtained by a single interface with the Rashba coupling on one side,^{8,9} unlikely what previously stated.¹⁰ This issue stems from the boundary conditions that guarantee the continuity of the probability current density perpendicular to the interface. These conditions imply the same transmission amplitudes for spin up and spin down^{8,9} and so the effect is absent. The aim of this paper is to show that the double refraction arising when the electron incidence is out of normal mixes the in and out spin states allowing for an oscillatory behavior of the conductance with respect to ingoing spin polarization.

II. SPIN SCATTERING AGAINST INTERFACE

In this section we will study an hybrid system with an H_{so} coupling described by the Hamiltonian:

$$H = \vec{p} \frac{1}{2m(x)} \vec{p} + \frac{\eta(x)}{\hbar} (\sigma_z p_x - \sigma_x p_z) - i\sigma_z \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \eta(x)}{\partial x} + U\delta(x). \quad (6)$$

The spatial variation of the spin-orbit coupling η and of the effective mass m on passing from one side of the interfaces to the other are taken into account in such a way to ensure Hamiltonian hermiticity. The kinetic energy and the spin-orbit H_{so} contain the momentum operator and have been symmetrized in Eq.(6).⁸

We assume that the parameters are piecewise constant

$$m(x) = m_F \vartheta(-x) + m_S \vartheta(x) \quad (7)$$

$$\eta(x) = \eta \vartheta(x),$$

where $\vartheta(x)$ is the step function. We have added a term $U\delta(x)$ to control the transparency of the interface. The spinor eigenstate of H, ψ is continuous while its derivative has a discontinuity fixed by the strength of the Dirac delta in $x = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(0+) &= \psi(0-) \\ \frac{\partial \psi(0+)}{\partial x} - \mu \frac{\partial \psi(0-)}{\partial x} &= (u - ik_0 \sigma_z) \psi(0) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $\mu = m_S/m_F$, $u = 2mU/\hbar^2$ and $k_0 = m\eta/\hbar^2$.

The free 2DEG with Rashba term occupying the whole $x - z$ plane has the spinors:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_+ &= \exp i(k_1 x + k_2 z) \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta \\ -\cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \\ \psi_- &= \exp i(k_1 x + k_2 z) \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

as energy eigenstates of the eigenvalues:

$$E_{\pm} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_S} (k_1^2 + k_2^2) \pm \eta \sqrt{k_1^2 + k_2^2}, \quad (10)$$

where $\hbar k_1$ and $\hbar k_2$ are, respectively, the eigenvalues of the momentum components p_x and p_z , and

$$\theta = \arctan \left[\frac{k_1}{k_2} + \sqrt{\frac{k_1^2}{k_2^2} + 1} \right]. \quad (11)$$

If $k' = \sqrt{k_1^2 + k_2^2}$ is the modulus of the momentum and ϕ gives the direction of the in-plane motion ($k_1/k_2 = \cot \phi$), then

$$\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\phi}{2} \quad (12)$$

and

$$E_{\pm} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_S} (k'^2 \pm 2k_0 k'). \quad (13)$$

We note that the two spinors ψ_+ and ψ_- are one the time reversed of the other.¹¹ The time reversal operator \hat{T}

$$\hat{T} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_2^* \\ -\psi_1^* \end{pmatrix}$$

commutes with H_{SO} : $[\hat{T}, H_{SO}] = 0$. The degeneracy with respect to k' is lifted but the Rashba term is not able to produce a spontaneous spin polarization of the electron states: any given energy value fixes two different values of the modulus k' , leaving undetermined the spin polarization. However we emphasize that the *direction* of the wave vector \vec{k}' fixes the spin polarization as the equation (12) shows. If we choose the in-plane motion direction then we put the electron in a definite spin polarization state. If \vec{k}' is along x direction then $\phi = 0$ and ψ_+, ψ_- are the up and down spins in z direction. Including the spin-orbit interaction in the Hamiltonian the double group is the new space group¹² and a space rotation of 4π is needed to achieve the same spinor.

Let be

$$E = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_F} k^2$$

the electron energy in the ferromagnet. When the electron goes into the 2DEG region its wave vector k' becomes

$$k' = \sqrt{\mu k^2 + k_0^2} \mp k_0 = k_{\pm}, \quad (14)$$

where the index \pm refers to the two branches with the same energy E

$$E_{\pm} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_S} \left(k_{\pm}^2 \pm 2k_0 k_{\pm} \right).$$

The hybrid system is invariant for translation along z direction and the component p_z of the momentum is conserved. If α is the angle of \vec{k}_+ with the x axis, β and γ the corresponding angles of \vec{k}_- and \vec{k} , respectively, the momentum conservation implies that

$$k_+ \sin \alpha = k_- \sin \beta = k \sin \gamma. \quad (15)$$

The figure 1 shows the output angles α and β . Only when the incidence is normal, i.e. $\gamma = 0$, the electron moves in the 2DEG in the same direction with $\alpha = \beta = 0$. In all other cases, i.e. $\gamma > 0$, the outgoing states $+$ and $-$ go along two different directions. This phenomenon is analogous to the double refraction that appears in biaxial crystals.¹³ Again the spin of the electrons behaves as the polarization of the light. We remember that the birefringence arises when the characteristics of electromagnetic propagation depend on the directions of propagation and polarization of the wave.

The mode $+$ has the limit angle

$$\gamma_0 = \arcsin \frac{k_+}{k}, \quad (16)$$

so that for $\gamma > \gamma_0$ this mode is totally reflected: it vanishes exponentially for $x > 0$. Here and in the following we take $0 < \mu < 1$ because the effective mass in the 2DEG is less than in the ferromagnet. When $k/k_0 < 2/(1 - \mu)$ the mode $-$ is always transmitted up to grazing incidence at $\gamma = \pi/2$. Increasing the kinetic energy with respect to spin-orbit coupling, when $k/k_0 > 2/(1 - \mu)$, a second limit angle appears:

$$\gamma_1 = \arcsin \frac{k_-}{k} > \gamma_0 \quad (17)$$

and, for $\gamma > \gamma_1$, we have the total reflection (both the modes vanish for $x > 0$). When the strength of spin-orbit coupling goes to zero, γ_0 and γ_1 tend to the common limit $\arcsin \sqrt{\mu}$.

Lighter the effective mass within the 2DEG is, nearer to normal incidence the propagation directions α and β into Rashba region $x > 0$ are. The figure 2 shows the limit angles as a function of k/k_0 .

The incoming spinor

$$\psi_i = \exp(ik(x \cos \gamma + z \sin \gamma)) \begin{pmatrix} \cos \delta \\ \sin \delta \end{pmatrix} \quad (18)$$

is reflected at the interface $x = 0$ as

$$\psi_r = \exp(ik(-x \cos \gamma + z \sin \gamma)) \begin{pmatrix} r_+ \\ r_- \end{pmatrix} \quad (19)$$

and transmitted at $x > 0$ in both the modes $+$ and $-$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_t = & t_+ \exp(ik_+(x \cos \alpha + z \sin \alpha)) \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha/2 \\ \sin \alpha/2 \end{pmatrix} + \\ & t_- \exp(ik_-(x \cos \beta + z \sin \beta)) \begin{pmatrix} -\sin \beta/2 \\ \cos \beta/2 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where δ fixes the spin polarization of electron within the ferromagnet. When $\gamma = 0$ a spin up (along z direction) goes in the mode $+$, while the spin down propagates in the mode $-$ at $x > 0$. In this case Zülike *et al.*⁸ and Molenkamp *et al.*⁹ have shown that $t_+ = t_-$ and the interface is not able to filter the spin. We note that out of the normal incidence with $\gamma > 0$ the scattering changes the spin polarization. The transmitted amplitudes t_+, t_- and the reflected ones r_+, r_- are determined by the boundary conditions (8) as functions of k, k_0, u, δ and γ . Solving the system

$$t_+ \cos \frac{\alpha}{2} - t_- \sin \frac{\beta}{2} = \cos \delta + r_+$$

$$\begin{aligned}
t_+ \sin \frac{\alpha}{2} + t_- \cos \frac{\beta}{2} &= \sin \delta + r_- \\
k_+ t_+ \cos \alpha \cos \frac{\alpha}{2} - k_- t_- \cos \beta \sin \frac{\beta}{2} - \mu k \cos \gamma (\cos \delta - r_+) &= \\
- (k_0 + iu) (\cos \delta + r_+) & \quad (21) \\
k_+ t_+ \cos \alpha \sin \frac{\alpha}{2} + k_- t_- \cos \beta \cos \frac{\beta}{2} - \mu k \cos \gamma (\sin \delta - r_-) &= \\
(k_0 - iu) (\sin \delta + r_-) .
\end{aligned}$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned}
r_+ &= (C_+ A_{--} \cos \alpha/2 - C_- A_{+-} \sin \beta/2)/D \\
r_- &= (C_- A_{++} \cos \beta/2 - C_+ A_{-+} \sin \alpha/2)/D \\
t_+ &= [(\cos \delta + r_+) \cos \beta/2 + (\sin \delta + r_-) \sin \beta/2] / \cos \frac{\alpha - \beta}{2} \\
t_- &= [(\sin \delta + r_-) \cos \alpha/2 - (\cos \delta + r_+) \sin \alpha/2] / \cos \frac{\alpha - \beta}{2}
\end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{++} &= k_+ \cos \alpha + \mu k \cos \gamma + k_0 + iu \\
A_{+-} &= k_+ \cos \alpha + \mu k \cos \gamma - k_0 + iu \\
A_{-+} &= -k_- \cos \beta - \mu k \cos \gamma - k_0 - iu \\
A_{--} &= k_- \cos \beta + \mu k \cos \gamma - k_0 + iu \\
C_+ &= (-k_+ \cos \alpha + \mu k \cos \gamma - k_0 - iu) \cos \delta \cos \frac{\beta}{2} + \\
&\quad (-k_+ \cos \alpha + \mu k \cos \gamma + k_0 - iu) \sin \delta \sin \frac{\beta}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$C_- = (k_- \cos \beta - \mu k \cos \gamma + k_0 + iu) \cos \delta \sin \frac{\alpha}{2} + (-k_- \cos \beta + \mu k \cos \gamma + k_0 - iu) \sin \delta \cos \frac{\alpha}{2}$$

$$D = A_{++}A_{--} \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \cos \frac{\alpha}{2} - A_{+-}A_{-+} \sin \frac{\beta}{2} \sin \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

We note that when $\gamma > \gamma_0$ then

$$\sin \alpha = \frac{k}{k_+} \sin \gamma > 1,$$

whose solution in α is

$$\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2} + i\alpha' ; \quad \sin \alpha = \cosh \alpha' ; \quad \cos \alpha = -i \sinh \alpha'.$$

The mode $+$ becomes a vanishing wave along x axis:

$$t_+ \exp(-k_+ x \sinh \alpha') \exp(ik_+ z \cosh \alpha') \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\pi/4 + i\alpha'/2) \\ \sin(\pi/4 + i\alpha'/2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

When $\gamma > \gamma_1$, $\beta = \pi/2 + i\beta'$ and both the modes are damped within the 2DEG: the incident wave is totally reflected.

At normal incidence

$$\gamma = \alpha = \beta = 0$$

and

$$t_+ = \frac{2\mu k \cos \delta}{k_+ + k_0 + iu + \mu k}$$

$$t_- = \frac{2\mu k \sin \delta}{k_- - k_0 + iu + \mu k}.$$

Since

$$k_+ + k_0 = k_- - k_0 = \sqrt{\mu k^2 + k_0^2} \tag{23}$$

the transmitted spinor is:

$$\psi_t = \frac{2\mu k}{\sqrt{\mu k^2 + k_0^2 i u} + \mu k} \left(e^{ik_+ x} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \delta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + e^{ik_- x} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sin \delta \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

and the interference between the modes $+$ and $-$ at the interface in $x = 0$ is lost. If $\delta = \pi/4$, projecting ψ_t on the input spinor, we get

$$\left| \psi_t^\dagger \times \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \right|^2 \propto \cos^2 \frac{k_+ - k_-}{2} x$$

that is the Datta and Das⁴ modulation factor. However an analyzer, i.e. a second interface, is needed to have a spin dependent transmission.

The square moduli of the transmitted amplitudes $|t_\pm(\delta)|^2$ are shown in fig.3 when γ is between 0 and $\pi/2$. We see how $|t_\pm(0)|^2$ and $|t_\pm(\pi/2)|^2$, and $|t_\pm(\pi/4)|^2$ and $|t_\pm(3\pi/4)|^2$ too, start from the same value for $\gamma = 0$ but are different when the incidence angle increases towards $\pi/2$. The derivatives of $|t_\pm(\delta)|^2$ jumps at γ_0 and then at γ_1 , when the character of the mode propagation changes. The traversing of the interface changes the spin polarization when $\gamma > 0$.

We get the transmission coefficient T from the probability current density

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{j} &= \Re \left\{ \psi^\dagger \vec{p} \psi \right\} & ; x < 0 \\ \vec{j} &= \Re \left\{ \psi^\dagger (\vec{p} + \hbar k_0 \cdot \hat{y} \times \vec{\sigma}) \psi \right\} & ; x > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

whose x -component is

$$j_{xr} = \hbar k \cos \gamma \left(1 - |r_+|^2 - |r_-|^2 \right) / m_F \quad (25)$$

for $x < 0$ and

$$j_{xl} = \left[\hbar (k_+ + k_0) \cos \alpha \cdot |t_+|^2 + (k_- - k_0) \cos \beta \cdot |t_-|^2 \right] / m_S$$

for $x > 0$.

The boundary conditions (8) assure the continuity of j_x as can be verified by a straightforward calculation of eqs.(25). When $\gamma < \gamma_0$ both the modes propagate in $x > 0$, the only – mode remains when $\gamma_0 < \gamma < \gamma_1$.

The transmission coefficient is the ratio of j_{xr} with the incident flux $j_i = \hbar k \cos \gamma / m_F$, $T = j_{xr} / j_i$, while the reflection coefficient is $R = (j_i - j_{xr}) / j_i$:

$$\begin{aligned} T(\delta, \gamma) &= \left((k_+ + k_0) \cos \alpha \cdot |t_+|^2 \vartheta(\gamma_0 - \gamma) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. (k_- - k_0) \cos \beta \cdot |t_-|^2 \vartheta(\gamma_1 - \gamma) \right) / \mu k \cos \gamma \\ R(\delta, \gamma) &= |r_+|^2 + |r_-|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

and when γ overcomes γ_1 , $T(\delta, \gamma) = 0$ and $R(\delta, \gamma) = 1$. The flux is conserved because in all the cases

$$T(\delta, \gamma) + R(\delta, \gamma) = 1.$$

The transmission coefficient as a function of γ has a first higher step up to γ_0 followed by a lower step that ends in γ_1 . The fig.4 shows how the shapes and the heights of the two steps vary with the spin polarization angle δ . At low values of μ , that is the electrons in 2DEG are light, the propagation in the $x > 0$ region happens at angles nearer to the normal incidence. At equal masses ($\mu = 1$) the passage is allowed up to grazing incidence and the steps appear more squared. We note that the second step tends to disappear around $\delta = \pi/4$ and has the maximum height around $\delta = 3\pi/4$. The fig.5 refers to the case of an higher Fermi wave vector k . Obviously when $k/k_0 \rightarrow \infty$, $T = 1$ for γ from 0 to $\pi/2$ but the second step is again visible for k greater then k_0 of two magnitude orders.

III. QUANTUM POINT CONTACT CONDUCTANCE

The previously described double refraction can affect the conductance of a ballistic quantum point contact.

Let a constriction of width W separate the ferromagnet and the 2DEG that behave as two perfect reservoirs at the Fermi energy:

$$E_F = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{m_F} = E_{\pm} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_S} (k'^2 \pm 2k_0 k').$$

The electron motion within the hybrid system is assumed to be ballistic; that is the electronic mean free path is much longer than the size W of the point contact. The Landauer-Büttiker formalism applies^{14,15}. The conductance G at zero temperature is given by

$$G = \frac{e^2}{h} \sum_i T_i, \quad (27)$$

where T_i are the transmission coefficients for all the open channels i between the two reservoirs at the energy E_F . In our case the index i represents the incidence angle γ .

A sketch of the point contact can be found in fig.6a). The 2D Fermi circle in k -space appears in fig.6b) and only the states on its edge can carry current at zero temperature. As we have shown before, the current is transported through the point contact by the states belonging to the arch from $-\gamma_1$ to γ_1 on the Fermi circle.

Quantum mechanically, the current through the point contact is equipartitioned among the 1D sub-bands, or transverse modes, in the constriction. The gap along k_z axis between two consecutive sub-bands can be estimated of the order of π/W (this is exactly the result for a square well lateral confining potential of width W). The number of states contained in the element of arch $d\gamma$ is then $kd\gamma/(\pi/W)$. The equation (27) implies that hybrid system conductance G is

$$G = \frac{e^2}{h} \int_{-\gamma_1}^{\gamma_1} T(\delta, \gamma) \frac{kW d\gamma}{\pi} = \frac{e^2 kW}{h} \mathcal{G}(\delta) \quad (28)$$

with

$$\mathcal{G}(\delta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\gamma_1}^{\gamma_1} T(\delta, \gamma) d\gamma. \quad (29)$$

An exhaustive discussion about this approach can be found in references 15 and 16. We note that the restriction to the normal incidence $\gamma = 0$ gives

$$\mathcal{G}(\delta) = \frac{T(\delta, 0)}{\pi}$$

that is the Sharvin resistance formula¹⁷ used by Grundler¹⁰ but that is independent on the spin polarization angle δ .

The fig.7 shows $\mathcal{G}(\delta)$ for δ between 0 and π . The oscillatory behavior of the conductance allows the spin filtering with a single interface. This effect is a direct consequence of the double refraction at the interface that changes the spin state when the electron enters the region where the spin-orbit Rashba coupling works. At normal incidence the electron pass into 2DEG conserving the spin state. When a lateral confining potential is imposed to the electron gas the Q1DEG has sub-bands for which the free electron property (23) is no more valid, although the time reversal symmetry leaves the degeneracy of states with opposite value of k_1 . The case of a parabolic confining potential has been studied by Governale *et al.*¹⁸ that estimate the deformation of sub-bands and the lateral spin density. The ballistic spin-transport properties of a quasi-one-dimensional wire with a spin-orbit Rashba interaction in a finite piece of it have been studied with a numerical tight binding model by Mirales *et al.*¹¹ They find a spin-conductance modulation.

An estimation of the strength of Rashba interaction on the conductance is given by the ratio:

$$\Delta\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G} = \frac{\mathcal{G}(3\pi/4) - \mathcal{G}(\pi/4)}{\mathcal{G}(0)}$$

reported in Fig.8. That is roughly the maximum relative variation of the conductance against k/k_0 . We note that for $k/k_0 = 100$, $\Delta\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}$ is of the order of ten per cent. We think that such a value could be detected experimentally in a quantum point contact.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper transmission across a ferromagnet/2DEG has been studied. An electron in a definite state of spin undergoes a double refraction traversing the interface analogously to what happens to the polarized light impinging the surface of a biaxial crystal. We have shown that the correct boundary conditions give rise to a spin-dependent transmission coefficient

and that the normal incidence is a special case for which the dependence on spin is lost. The spin filtering occurs when the electron hits the interface in a direction out of the normal. The conductance of a point contact at the interface in ballistic transport regime within the Landauer-Büttiker formalism has been calculated. We have shown that the conductance has an oscillatory behavior with the polarization angle of the spin.

We gratefully acknowledge M.Governale for helpful suggestions about the correct way to impose the boundary conditions at the interface.

-
- ¹ M.Ziese,M.J.Thornton (Eds.) *Spin Electronics* (Springer-Verlag Berlin,Heidelberg 2001)
- ² S.Das Sarma, J.Fabian, X.Hu, I.Zutic, Solid State Communication **119**, 207 (2001)
- ³ Y.A.Bychkov and E.I.Rashba, J. Phys. C **17**, 6039 (1984)
- ⁴ S. Datta and B. Das, Appl. Phys. Lett. **56**, 665 (1990)
- ⁵ P.R.Hammar, B.R-Bennet, M.J.Yang, and M.Johnson, Phys.Rev.Lett. **83**, 203 (1999)
- ⁶ S.Gardelis, G.C. Smith, C.H.Barnes, E.H.Linfield, and D.A.Ritchie Phys.Rev.B **60**, 7764 (1999)
- ⁷ Takaaki Koga,Junsaku Nitta,Hideaki Takayanagi and Supriyo Datta Phys.Rev.Lett **88** , (2002)
- ⁸ U.Zülicke and S.Schroll Phys.Rev.Lett. **88**, 29701 (2002)
- ⁹ Laurens W.Molenkamp, Georg Schimdt, Gerrit E.W.Bauer Phys.Rev.B **64**, 121202(R) (2001)
- ¹⁰ Dirk Grundler Phys.Rev.Lett, **86**, 1058 (2001)
- ¹¹ Francisco Mirales and George Kiczenow Phys. Rev.B **64**, 24426 (2001)
- ¹² O.Madelung *Introduction to Solid State Theory*, (Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York 1978)
- ¹³ John M. Stone *Radiation and Optics* (McGraw Hill Book Company, Inc. New York San Francisco

Toronto London 1963)

¹⁴ M.Büttiker, Phys.Rev.Lett.**57**, 1761 (1986)

¹⁵ C.W:Beenakker and H. van Houten, Solid State Physics vol.**44**, 1-228 (1991)

¹⁶ David K.Ferry and Stephen M,Goodnick *Transport in Nanostructures* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1997)

¹⁷ Yu. V. Sharvin, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **48**, 984 (1965) [Sov. Phys. JEPT **21**, 655 (1965)]

¹⁸ M.Governale and U.Zülicke cond-mat/0201664, May 7 2002

Figure Captions

Fig:1 The vectors \vec{k}_+ , \vec{k}_- , \vec{k} in k -space and the angles α, β and γ that they form with x direction normal to the interface. The two circles are the lines at the constant energy $\hbar^2 k^2 / 2m_F$.

Fig.2 The limit angles γ_0 of $+$ mode (dashed line) and γ_1 of $-$ mode (full line) for the indicated values of mass ratio μ as functions of k/k_0 . For γ above γ_1 the total reflection occurs.

Fig.3 The squared moduli of the transmitted amplitudes for two couples of orthogonal spin polarizations. The cusps sign the passage through the limit angles.

Fig.4 The two steps of the transmission coefficient T . The second step tends to disappear for $\delta = \pi/4$ and to have the same height of the first when $\delta = 3\pi/4$.

Fig.5 The steps of T at the higher value of $k/k_0 = 100$. In the limit $k/k_0 \rightarrow \infty$, $T = 1$ for $0 < \gamma < \pi/2$.

Fig6. a)The sketch of the point contact

b) The Fermi circle in k -space. The thick arch indicates the states that carry current into the point contact.

Fig.7 The conductance \mathcal{G} as a function of the polarization spin angle δ .

Fig:8 The relative variation of the conductance $\Delta\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}$ against k/k_0 .