

Remarks on massless neutral boson, fractionalization of flux quantum and simplest vortices in two-gap superconductors

Egor Babaev*

Institute for Theoretical Physics, Uppsala University Box 803, S-75108 Uppsala, Sweden

In the recent paper cond-mat/0111192 we found vortices carrying fractional flux quantum and neutral vortices in two-gap superconductors. In this brief paper we make some remarks on the physical roots of the presence of massless neutral boson and fractionalization of magnetic flux quantum in two-gap superconductor (two-flavour Abelian Higgs model). We illustrate this discussion using a different from cond-mat/0111192 formalism. Besides that we outline details of the properties of simplest topological defect in this system - a vortex where the phase of one of the condensates does not change around the core while the phase of the second condensate changes 2π around the core. The results should be relevant for the newly discovered two-band superconductor MgB_2 .

The two-gap superconductivity appears being a very interesting phenomenon [1]- [3] both from experimental and theoretical points of view. From experimental point of view it should be realized in a variety of materials such as transition metals [4], $2H - NbSe_2$ [6] or newly discovered superconductor with particularly high critical temperature MgB_2 [5]. Also, the two-gap superconductivity was argued to occur in liquid metallic hydrogen and deuterium [7].

From the theoretical point of view, at first glance, an introduction into a Ginzburg-Landau (GL) functional an additional charged scalar field is a trivial extension of the studied in great detail single-gap model. However a close look reveals that actually, what appears being somewhat counter-intuitive the two-gap Ginzburg-Landau model is structurally very deep and rich and in its basic properties in many aspects has little in common with the ordinary Ginzburg-Landau model.

A general two-gap superconductor can be described by a two-flavour (denoted by $\alpha = 1, 2$) Ginzburg-Landau functional:

$$F = \int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{2m_1} |(\nabla + ie\mathbf{A}) \Psi_1|^2 + \frac{1}{2m_2} |(\nabla + ie\mathbf{A}) \Psi_2|^2 + V(|\Psi_{1,2}|^2) + \eta[\Psi_1^* \Psi_2 + \Psi_2^* \Psi_1] + \frac{\mathbf{B}^2}{2} \right] \quad (1)$$

where $\Psi_\alpha = |\Psi_\alpha|e^{i\phi_\alpha}$ and $V(|\Psi_{1,2}|^2) = -b_\alpha|\Psi_\alpha|^2 + \frac{c_\alpha}{2}|\Psi_\alpha|^4$. The term $\eta[\Psi_1^* \Psi_2 + \Psi_2^* \Psi_1] = 2\eta|\Psi_1\Psi_2|\cos(\phi_1 - \phi_2)$ describes interband Josephson effect [3].

Much insight into two gap superconductivity can be gained by the equivalence mapping between the two-gap GL model and a version of Faddeev nonlinear $O(3)$ -sigma model in interaction with a vector field [8] which is an extension of the model [9] which earlier was found being relevant in particle physics [11,12] (see also a remark [13]). Based on equivalence mapping in [8] it was shown that two-band superconductors allow knotted solitons charac-

terized by a nontrivial Hopf invariant. The existence of self-stabilized finite-length defects is one of the unique features of two-gap superconductor which, as it was found later has only a counterpart in ferromagnetic triplet superconductors [13].

Here, in order to illustrate basic properties of the model (1) we consider the simplest case when $\eta \rightarrow 0$. In the London limit [10] in a simply-connected space the model consists of decoupled massless neutral $O(2)$ and charged $O(2)$ Bose fields. In [10] we identified several types of vortices allowed in the London limit - in particular fractional flux quantum vortices and composite one-flux-quantum vortices (see also remark [14]). In this paper we use a different formalism to discuss the physical meaning of the appearance of massless boson in this system and fractionalization of flux quantum.

From (1) follows the equation for supercurrent

$$\mathbf{J} = \frac{ie}{2m_1} [\Psi_1^* \nabla \Psi_1 - \Psi_1 \nabla \Psi_1^*] + \frac{ie}{2m_2} [\Psi_2^* \nabla \Psi_2 - \Psi_2 \nabla \Psi_2^*] + e^2 \mathbf{A} \left[\frac{\Psi_1^2}{m_1} + \frac{\Psi_2^2}{m_2} \right] \quad (2)$$

Insight into the physical roots of the presence in the system of a neutral massless boson can be gained by considering simplest topological defects in this system. Such a defect corresponds to the situation when only phase ϕ_1 changes 2π around the core while the phase of the second condensate remains constant. In that case we have from (2):

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{J} \left[e^2 \left(\frac{\Psi_1^2}{m_1} + \frac{\Psi_2^2}{m_2} \right) \right]^{-1} + \frac{1}{e} \frac{\frac{\Psi_1^2}{m_1}}{\frac{\Psi_1^2}{m_1} + \frac{\Psi_2^2}{m_2}} \nabla \phi_1 \quad (3)$$

From this expression it follows [10] that this vortex carries the following fractional magnetic flux

*Email: egor@teorfys.uu.se <http://www.teorfys.uu.se/people/egor/>

$$\Phi = \oint \vec{A} dl = \frac{\frac{\Psi_1^2}{m_1}}{\frac{\Psi_1^2}{m_1} + \frac{\Psi_2^2}{m_2}} \Phi_0, \quad (4)$$

where $\Phi_0 = \frac{2\pi}{e}$ is standard flux quantum. Thus in this fundamental property the two-gap superconductor is principally different from the ordinary one-gap system [10]. Below we make remarks on what are the physical roots of this flux fractionalization.

Let us make some connections with the results in [10] using more traditional notations than that used in [10]. Further exploring the solution for the vortex ($\Delta\phi_1 = 2\pi, \Delta\phi_2 = 0$) we can write the vector potential as

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{e}_z}{|r|} |\mathbf{A}(r)| \quad (5)$$

where r measures distance from the core and \mathbf{e}_z is a unit vector pointing along the core. The magnetic field is then given by

$$|\mathbf{B}| = \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} (r |\mathbf{A}|) \quad (6)$$

The equation (2) can then be rewritten as

$$-\frac{d}{dr} \left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} (r |\mathbf{A}|) \right] + \frac{\Psi_1^2}{m_1} \left[|\mathbf{A}| e^2 - \frac{e}{r} \right] + \frac{\Psi_2^2}{m_2} |\mathbf{A}| e^2 = 0$$

For such a vortex the solution for vector potential is

$$|\mathbf{A}| = \frac{\frac{\Psi_1^2}{m_1}}{\frac{\Psi_1^2}{m_1} + \frac{\Psi_2^2}{m_2}} \frac{1}{er} - \frac{\frac{\Psi_1^2}{m_1}}{\sqrt{\frac{\Psi_1^2}{m_1} + \frac{\Psi_2^2}{m_2}}} K_1 \left(e \sqrt{\frac{\Psi_1^2}{m_1} + \frac{\Psi_2^2}{m_2}} r \right) \quad (7)$$

Indeed the magnetic field vanishes exponentially from the vortex core at the characteristic length scale of magnetic field penetration length $\lambda = \left[e \sqrt{\frac{\Psi_1^2}{m_1} + \frac{\Psi_2^2}{m_2}} \right]^{-1}$ [10]:

$$|\mathbf{B}| = e \frac{\Psi_1^2}{m_1} K_0 \left(e \sqrt{\frac{\Psi_1^2}{m_1} + \frac{\Psi_2^2}{m_2}} r \right) \quad (8)$$

Also in contrast to the Abrikosov vortex [15], besides fractionalization of magnetic flux quantum our vortex also features neutral vorticity. This, in particular, can be seen by substituting the solution (7) into (1). At the length scales larger than magnetic field penetration length from the vortex core it gives the following expression for the energy density:

$$F = \frac{1}{2m_1} \left| \left(\nabla + i \frac{\Psi_1^2}{m_1} \left[\sqrt{\frac{\Psi_1^2}{m_1} + \frac{\Psi_2^2}{m_2}} \right]^{-1} \frac{1}{r} \right) \Psi_1 \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2m_2} \left| \left(i \frac{\Psi_1^2}{m_1} \left[\sqrt{\frac{\Psi_1^2}{m_1} + \frac{\Psi_2^2}{m_2}} \right]^{-1} \frac{1}{r} \right) \Psi_2 \right|^2 \quad (9)$$

Thus the energy per unit length of the vortex ($\Delta\phi_1 = 2\pi, \Delta\phi_2 = 0$) is divergent. This is due to the fact that

such a topological configuration necessarily induces in two-gap system a neutral superflow [10]. Indeed the expression (9) is equivalent to the energy density in a *neutral* system with a vortex in in a *neutral* phase field ϕ_1 with effective stiffness $\frac{\Psi_1^2}{m_1} \frac{\Psi_2^2}{m_2} \left[\frac{\Psi_1^2}{m_1} + \frac{\Psi_2^2}{m_2} \right]^{-1}$:

$$F = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Psi_1^2}{m_1} \frac{\Psi_2^2}{m_2} \left[\frac{\Psi_1^2}{m_1} + \frac{\Psi_2^2}{m_2} \right]^{-1} |\nabla e^{i\phi_1}|^2 \quad (10)$$

Let us remark that this procedure is equivalent to that used in [10] with the only difference that in [10] first the variables were separated into a neutral and a charged fields (the London limit of the general procedure [8]), and then there were found general solutions for vortices $S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ while in the presentation in this paper first the solution found in [10] is substituted in (1) and then the divergent part associated with neutral vorticity extracted from both kinetic terms in (1).

It displays in a transparent way the roots of the presence in the system of a massless neutral boson: a topologically nontrivial configuration ($\Delta\phi_1 = 2\pi, \Delta\phi_2 = 0$), besides current in the field “1” also necessarily induces current in the component “2”. Albeit in such a configuration there are no gradients of ϕ_2 however the two condensates are not independent but are connected by the vector potential. Admixture of oppositely directed superflow of the component “2” which necessarily accompanies such a vortex in two-gap system, leads to situation when two superflows partially compensate the induced by each other magnetic field which leads to the existence of the effective neutral superflow in the system. Moreover it is exactly the fact that the two currents partially compensate induced by each other magnetic field, leads to the first discussed in [10] the basic property of the two-component Abelian Higgs model: the fractionalization of flux quantum. We also remark that the vortex solutions ($\Delta\phi_1 = 2\pi, \Delta\phi_2 = 0$) in this model, albeit being topologically stable, however can not form as a energetically preferred state in external field. In external field the system will form more complex composite vortices described in [10]. From (1) it is seen that when we can not neglect the term $2\eta |\Psi_1 \Psi_2| \cos(\phi_1 - \phi_2)$ we deal with sine-Gordon vortices. We also emphasize that, besides two-band superconductors, the model (1) is relevant for bi-layer system (superconductor-insulator-superconductor compound). In such a situation one can put $\eta = 0$ while two layers will still be coupled by vector potential which leads to the discussed here flux fractionalization and neutral superfluidity. Implications of these effects for phase diagram of a planar two-band superconductor are discussed in [16]

In conclusion: earlier it was shown that the model (1) is dual to Faddeev model which consists of a unit vector field with $O(3)$ symmetry and a massive vector field which are coupled by Faddeev term. In the London limit

these variables decouple. But our analyses [10] shows that in a non-simply-connected space (zero of the order parameter in the vortex core makes the space being non-simply-connected) even in the London limit these fields remains topologically coupled. Which results in the fractionalization of flux quantum. So in the London limit in a non-simply-connected space the system may be viewed as topologically coupled neutral and charged $O(2)$ bosons. In this brief note we made some explanatory remarks on the physical roots of this effect.

The author is grateful to D. Gorokhov, Prof. G.E. Volovik, K. Zarembo, V. Cheianov, Prof. S. Girvin, D.F. Agterberg for discussions and/or comments on this topic. It is also a great pleasure to thank Prof. Ludwig D. Faddeev, Prof. Antti J. Niemi, for many general discussions of the model (1). This work has been supported by grant STINT IG2001-062 and the Swedish Royal Academy of Science.

-
- [1] H. Suhl, B.T. Matthias, L.R. Walker, Phys.Rev.Lett.**3**, 552 (1959)
 - [2] J. Kondo Progr. Teor. Phys. **29** 1 (1963); D.R. Tilley Proc. Phys. Soc **84** 573 (1964);
 - [3] A.J. Leggett, Prog. of Theor.Phys. **36**, 901 (1966)
 - [4] see e.g. L. Shen *et. al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **14** 1025 (1965); G. Binnig *et. al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **45**, 1352 (1980)
 - [5] see e.g. F. Bouquet *et. al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **87** 047001 (2001) P. Szabo *et. al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 137005 (2001)
 - [6] T. Yokoya *et. al.* Science **294** 2518 (2001)
 - [7] K. Mouloupoulos and N. W. Ashcroft Phys. Rev. **B 59** 12309 (1999) J. Oliva and N. W. Ashcroft Phys. Rev. **B 30** 1326 (1984). N. W. Ashcroft J. Phys. **A129** 12 (2000).
 - [8] E. Babaev, L.D. Faddeev, A.J. Niemi Phys. Rev. B **65**, 100512 (2002); cond-mat/0106152
 - [9] L. Faddeev, *Quantisation of Solitons*, preprint IAS Print-75-QS70, 1975; and in *Einstein and Several Contemporary Tendencies in the Field Theory of Elementary Particles* in Relativity, Quanta and Cosmology vol. 1, M. Pantaleo, F. De Finis (eds.), Johnson Reprint, 1979 see also A.F. Vakulenko, L.V. Kapitanski, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk USSR* **248** 810 (1979)
 - [10] E. Babaev cond-mat/0111192
 - [11] L. Faddeev, A.J. Niemi, Nature **387** (1997) 58; Phys. Rev. Lett. **82** (1999) 1624; Phys. Lett. B **525** 195 (2002).
 - [12] Y. M. Cho, H. W. Lee, D. G. Pak Phys.Lett. B **525** 347 (2002) ; W. S. Bae , Y.M. Cho, S. W. Kimm Phys.Rev. D **65** 025005 (2002). Y. M. Cho, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 252001 (2001)
 - [13] Similar model has been found to be relevant for spin-triplet superconductors E. Babaev cond-mat/0106360 Phys. Rev. Lett. in print.
 - [14] For a review of different examples of flux fractionalization in systems other than two-band superconductors see G. E. Volovik, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **97**, 2431 (2000)
 - [15] A.A. Abrikosov Sov. Phys. JETP **5** 1174 (1957) this concept has been applied in high energy physics in H. B. Nielsen and P. Olesen, Nucl. Phys. B **61**, 45 (1973).
 - [16] E. Babaev cond-mat/0201547