Green's function probe of a static granular piling

Guillaume Reydellet, Eric Clément

Laboratoire des Milieux Désordonnés et Hétérogènes UMR7603 - Université Pierre et Marie Curie - Boîte 86 4, Place Jussieu, 75005 Paris

June 9, 2018

Abstract

We present an experiment which aim is to investigate the mechanical properties of a static granular assembly. The piling is an horizontal 3D granular layer confined in a box, we apply a localized extra force at the surface and the spatial distribution of stresses at the bottom is obtained (the mechanical Green's function). For different types of granular media, we observe a linear pressure response which profile shows one peak centered at the vertical of the point of application. The peak's width increases linearly when increasing the depth. This green function seems to be in -at leastqualitative agreement with predictions of elastic theory.

<u>PACS numbers</u>: 46.10+z,05.40.+j,83.70.Fn

Understanding the exact mechanical status of static or quasi- static granular assemblies is still an open and debated issue [1], [2]. So far, there is no consensus on how to express correctly the stress distributions in a granular piling under various boundary conditions. Traditional approaches of soil mechanics typically use elasto-plastic modeling for granular materials^[3] and constitutive relations are obtained empirically from standart triaxial tests. In this picture, for small deformations, an elastic-like behavior is assumed and a set of elliptic partial differential equations (P.D.E.) is then used to get the stress/strain distributions. For larger strains, the Coulomb plasticity theory is adapted to model granular flows, which involves hyperbolic (propagative) PDE's in regions experiencing yield [4]. At the granular scale, several recent experiments and simulations have evidenced the presence of a rather large distribution of contact forces [5] between the grains as well as force chains [6], [7] spanning a volume of 10 to 15 grains sizes. Hence it is clear that a rigorous passage from a microscopic to a macroscopic mechanical description that would include this mesoscopic disorder is an arduous task and a challenging problem of statistical physics. Consequently, these studies have triggered alternative theoretical approaches. One of them is based on a scalar stochastic modeling[8] for the contact force redistributions. In the large scale limit, this vision provides a diffusive-like picture (parabolic equation) for the stress transmission properties. Another approach incorporates the vectorial and propagative character of the contact forces between the grains[9],[11]. This picture, when extended to the continuum limit, predicts simple relations between the components of the stress tensor and implies hyperbolic (i.e. propagative) PDEs for the stress fields [9], [12]. A recent framework bridges the two last approaches [13].

Several reproducible experiments were recently performed on a sand-heap (see [14] and references therein) and on a granular column [15]. It was shown that an hyperbolic modeling (for example the OSL model[12]) is indeed able to reproduce some of the observed phenomenology. But it is worth noting that the parameters entering in these hyperbolic models are so far phenomenological constants, calculated a posteriori and therefore, do not provide us with really predictive statements. Furthermore, the agreement between the available experiments and the hyperbolic models does not rule out the pertinence of elliptic models [17],[18].

Here, we present an experiment probing the response of static granular assemblies to a local stress perturbation (a Green's function). This is probably the most basic experiment allowing a precise discrimination between the different approaches and which should reveal the real mechanical nature of static granular assemblies[2]. We operate on an horizontal 3D granular assembly confined in a box and the spatial distribution of stresses at the bottom is monitored which provides an important piece of evidence in order to inform this currently debated issue.

Already at the most basic level, measuring meaningful stresses in granular assemblies is a non trivial question. Generally, experimentalists measure stresses by calibration of devices that are deformed or displaced as a result of a local force distribution on the probe surface. They are confronted to three fundamental problems,

i) the response of the probe depends on the history of the preparation as evidenced on sand heap[14] (which might be a physically relevant issue),

ii) the probe surface has a limited number of contacts with the granular medium which is at the origin of an inherent fluctuations scale (which importance should decrease when the probe size increases),

iii) the physical characteristics of the probe itself may have an influence on the measurements.

In this last situation, large deformations of the device may change drastically the local force distribution creating arching effects around the probe. The stress probe we use here is made of a thin metallic membrane of thickness $e = 100\mu m$ welded on a cylinder. The deformation of the membrane (less than $1\mu m$) is monitored using a sensitive capacitive technique. To avoid the formation of a vault around the probe and break the history dependence when building the sand layer, the pile is slightly vibrated after each height increase and the sand is randomly poured by hand layer by layer. This procedure produces some fluctuations from one step to the other but in the average, we checked that, locally, the hydrostatic pressure relation $P = \rho g h$ is well recovered (with a precision of 5%, which is the typical uncertainty on the packing fraction determination). For all the experiments we describe here, we use this procedure to prepare the sand-pile.

Probing the response of a granular static piling to a localized perturbation is a priori a difficult issue. It is of common experience that it is quite easy to drill a hole in a sandy surface when pushing it weakly with the tip of a finger. Therefore, since here the perturbing stress must not create plastic reorganization of the grains, only weak perturbations must be applied. Consequently, the detection of the response signal is likely to be rapidly hidden within the noise when depth increases. The typical pressure we apply at the surface of the pile is achieved by a piston P (see fig.1) of mass M = 5q with a surface $A = 1cm^2$ $(5.10^2 Pa)$. In order to increase the signal/noise ratio, we use a lock-in detection technique. More precisely, a local stress modulation is achieved using a periodic magnetic field created by an electric current in a coil surrounding the piston (see C on fig1). In the piston, a permanent magnet is inserted and the coil current is driven by a low frequency generator (G). Therefore, the modulation of the magnetic field in the coil creates a force modulation on the piston. The signal of the stress probe P1 at the bottom of the piling is then directed to the lock-in amplifier L synchronized by the generator exciting the source. Note that a sensitive displacement probe P_2 monitors the piston position to check that no plastic yield occurs during the data collection. The relative horizontal position x between the piston and the probe P1 is varied. We operate in the low frequency limit such that we are basically probing the static properties of the granular piling. The applied extra modulated force is driven at $f = \omega/2\pi = 80Hz$ and we checked that the exact choice of this frequency modulation does not matter in this limit (between 10 and 120Hz). We also verified that within a reasonable time scale (several tenths of minutes), we do not observe slow variation of the response. Due to the finite sizes of the piston and of the probe, the signal hence obtained, is the convolution of the mechanical response function (the Green's function) by the width of the source and the width of the probe. We measured the intrinsic experimental width $W_o = 10mm$ and we found the convolution effects to be negligible as soon as h > 3cm (corresponding to 3 times the probe diameter).

Here, we report experiments on there granular media with different size d and shape.

We use $d \simeq 1mm$ "aquarium sand" and $d \simeq 300 \mu m$ "Fontainebleau sand". The grain shape is rather rough and the size polydispersity is around 50%. We also use monodisperse glass beads (diameter d = 1.5mm). We tested that, in the limit where no "sinking" of the piston inside the pile is observed, the response is linear in the value of the imposed stress. We also found that the value of the slope relating the applied force to the observed stress may depend strongly on granular configurations around the probe. This "realization dependence" causes difficulties to calibrate precisely the probe at 80Hz on a granular column. This is evidenced on the inset of fig.1 where we display the stress measurement for three different piles obtained in the same conditions. The response amplitude after detection by the lock-in amplifier at x = 0 is plotted as a function of the force modulation amplitude F. Note that the force values stem from a calibration on a static water column and not on the granular pile response at 80Hz. The frequency of the signal is small enough such that we have no significant phase shift between the force and the detected stress. Moving the point of application of the force, we change the horizontal distance xbetween the piston and the probe and plot the pressure profile $\sigma_{zz}(x)$ for a given depth h of sand.

The response function P(x) shows one single peak centered at the vertical of the point of application of the force, we did not observe the two separated bumps as predicted by hyperbolic models[10],[2], even when increasing the depth up to 10cm which corresponds to the limit of our detection possibilities. On Fig 2a, we display the response functions rescaled by an amount P^* so that they present the same area under the curve (i.e. constant applied force). Importantly, we checked that for three different probes situated at three difference places on the bottom plate, this procedure provides us with the same stress distribution. On fig.2a, we display three response functions P(x) obtained at different depths h for the d = 1mm sand. We find that for all granular media studied, the width at half amplitude w increases linearly when increasing the depth (whenever $h > W_0$). The slope is independent of the material used (see fig 2b). This is in qualitative agreement with the prediction of elastic theory. Here we provide a comparison with the only known exact solution in 3D obtained for an infinite half space as computed by Boussinesq and Cerruti last century [19]:

$$\sigma_{zz} = \frac{-3F}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{z^3}{(x^2 + z^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}}$$

where F is the applied force. On fig 3 we plot the response functions at different depths h such that the response P(x) is rescaled by z^2 and the horizontal axis is $x^* = x/h$. We see that for all the granular material tested, the curves are collapsing onto the same function. The response is clearly sharper than the elastic lorentzian prediction obtained for a semi-infinite medium. We get $w/h = 0.94 \pm 0.05$ instead of w/h = 1.13... (i.e. 20% sharper). One problem here is to account correctly for the bottom boundary condition which is not a trivial issue since many choices can be done and its requires an adapted elastic code. Nevertheless, we are aware of an analytical calculation performed on the same problem in 2D and in 3D by Claudin et al.[21]. Rough and smooth boundary conditions

are used. Boths calculation shows a clear sharpening of the response of about 20% in 2D and 6% in 3D when compared to the exact semi-infinite solution in 2D. Thus the issue is subtle and requires precise finite element elastic calculations. We leave the discussion for future investigations. Moreover, it is important to notice that the linear broadening is not consistent with any leading parabolic behavior [8] [13] on large scales where broadening increasing as a square root of depth would be expected. Therefore, our results clearly contradicts the claim of generic parabolic behavior extracted from recent experiments [20] done a quite specific granular assembly and obtained at a very small scale.

In conclusion, we present the first experimental determination of the horizontal stress distribution in response to a localized stress solicitation (i.e. the mechanical response function or Green's function) in a granular piling. The stress solicitations are made along the vertical axis and the spatial distribution of pressures are measured at the bottom of the pile. The different pilling tested were very disordered in terms of size, polydispersity and friction between the grains. The piling procedure we use avoids as much as possible, preparation memory effects. In such a case, the Green's function is consistent with predictions of elasticity since it does not exhibit the two side bumps as the hyperbolic modelling would. We also find a linear dependence of the half-height enlargement width depth. This last result rules out parabolic modelling of disordered granular assemblies (on a large scale, at least). Nevertheless, we do not find so far a complete quantitative agreement with the exact result of isotropic elasticity in an infinite half space. An open question is still to understand how and whether this difference could be captured when considering explicitly boundary conditions imposed by the bottom plate. This issue is left out for future report. On the other hand, it would be also quite interesting to know whether predictions of hyperbolic models would be drastically changed when including strong disorder in the theory. In further experiments, we will study specifically the role of disorder and texture in relation with their eventual influence on the mechanical Green' function.

We thank P.Claudin, J.-P. Bouchaud and Prof. R.P.Behringer for many fruitful interactions. We acknowledge the financial support of the grant PICS-CNRS #563.

References

- For recent contributions and discussions on this issue see: *Physics of Dry Granular Media*, ed. by H. J. Herrmann, J.-P. Hovi and S. Luding, Kluwer Acad. Publisher (1998); E.Clément, Current Opinion in Rheology and Interface Science 4, 294 (1999).
- [2] P. G. de Gennes, Rev. Mod. Phys. **71**, S374-S382 (1999).
- [3] J. Feda, Mechanics of Particular Materials : The Principles, Elsevier (1982).
- [4] R. M. Nedderman, Statics and Kinematics of Granular Materials, Cambridge University Press (1992).
- [5] D. M. Mueth, H. M. Jaeger, and S. R. Nagel, Phys. Rev. E 57, 3164 (1998).

- [6] F. Radjai, M. Jean, J. J. Moreau, and S. Roux, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 274 (1996).
- [7] D.Howell, R. P. Behringer and C. Veje, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 5241 (1999).
- [8] C. H. Liu et al., Science **269**, 513 (1995).
- [9] J.P.Bouchaud, M.E.Cates and P.Claudin, J.Phys. I (France) 5, 6389 (1995),
- [10] P.Claudin, M.E Cates, J.Wittmer, J.P Bouchaud, Phys.Rev.E, 57, 4441 (1998).
- [11] S. F. Edwards, and C. C. Mounfield, Physica A **226**, 1 (1996).
- [12] M.E Cates, J.Wittmer, J.P Bouchaud et P.Claudin, Phil.Trans.Roy.Soc.London.A, 356, 2535 (1998).
- [13] V.M. Kenkre, J.E.Scott, E.A.Pease and A.J.Hurd, Phys.Rev.E 57, R5842 (1998).
- [14] L.Vanel, D.Howell, D.Clark, R.P.Behringer and E.Clément Phys.Rev.E 60, R5040 (1999)
- [15] L.Vanel et E.Clément, Eur.Phys.J B, **11**, 525 (1999).
- [16] L.Vanel, P.Claudin, J.P. Bouchaud, M.E. Cates, E. Clément et J.Wittmer, Phys.Rev.Lett 84, 1439 (2000).
- [17] F.Cantelaube and J.D Goddard in Physics of Dry Granular Media, eds H.J.Herrmann, J.P. Hovi, S.Luding. NATO ASI series, Kluver, Amsterdam (1998).
- [18] S.B.Savage in Physics of Dry Granular Media, eds H.J.Herrmann, eds J.P. Hovi, S.Luding. NATO ASI series, Kluver, Amsterdam (1998).
- [19] K.L.Johnson, Contact Dynamics, Cambridge University Press (1985).
- [20] M. da Silva and J.Rajchenbach, Nature **406**, 708 (2000).
- [21] P.Claudin, Private communication.



Reydellet et al. Fig.1

Sketch of the experimental display : G low frequency generator, C electrical coil, P piston, P1 stress probe, P2 displacement probe, L lock-in amplifier (see text for a detailed description). Inset : test of the response linearity for three independent experiments on the same probe P2. The axes (stress versus applied force) are labeled in arbitrary units.



Reydellet et al. Fig.2

Horizontal stress distribution in response to a localized solicitation (Green's function). Fig. 2a : Green's function $P(x) = \sigma_{zz}(x)/P^*$ measured at three different depths for d = 1mm sand. See text for definition of the rescaling factor P^* . Fig2b : half amplitude width W of the response function as a function of depth h for three different granular materials (see legend). The straight line is the best linear fit : W = 0.94h.



Rescaled Green's function $h^2 P(x)$ as a function of the rescaled horizontal axis x/h, for different depths and different types of granular materials. Aquarium sand : $d = 300\mu m$ ($h = 30mm(\blacksquare), 62mm(\bullet), 79mm(\blacktriangle), 100mm(\blacktriangledown)$); Fontainebleau sand : d = 1mm ($h = 19mm(\Box), 48mm(\bigcirc), 68mm(\bigtriangleup), 97mm(\bigtriangledown)$); glass beads : d = 1mm ($h = 28mm(*), 48mm(\times)$). The straight line is the theoretical response of an elastic infinite half-space.