

Anomalous Larmour Frequency Dependence of Proton Spin-Lattice Relaxation Time (T_1) in the Ferroelectric Glycine Phosphite

R. Kannan ^{*}, K. P. Ramesh[†] and J. Ramakrishna [‡]

Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore-560012, India
(8 July 2000)

We report here the results of ^1H NMR spin-lattice relaxation time (T_1) studies in Glycine phosphite which is a ferroelectric below 224 K. The experiments have been carried out in the temperature range from 200 K to 419 K and at two Larmour frequencies of 11.40MHz and 23.56 MHz. We have noticed a Larmour frequency dependence on the high temperature side of the T_1 minimum. A model is proposed based on the BPP theory to explain the observation.

70.76, S.12

I. INTRODUCTION

Glycine phosphite (abbreviated as GPI) is one of the many inorganic addition compounds of amino acid group. Most of these addition compounds show interesting physical properties. Some well known ferroelectric addition compounds formed with the amino acid Glycine ($^+\text{NH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{COO}^-$) are tri glycine sulphate (TGS), selenate (TGSe), tetrafluoroberrylate (TGFBer) and diglycine nitrate (DGN) [1-4]. Another interesting group of amino acid addition compounds, which is formed by the α amino acid betaine ($(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{N}^+\text{CH}_2\text{COO}^-$) includes among others, betaine phosphate (BP) which is an antiferroelectric, betaine phosphite (BPI) and betaine arsenate (BA) which are ferroelectrics and betaine calciumchloride dihydrate (BCCD) which exhibits a number of phases including incommensurate ones [5-8].

GPI (also the other inorganic addition compounds mentioned above) belongs to the family of hydrogen bonded crystals. At room temperature it is monoclinic with space group $\text{P}2_1/\text{a}$ ⁹. The structure consists of a layered arrangement of planes containing infinite $[\text{H}(\text{HPO}_3)]_n^-$ chains, alternating with planes built by the organic cations, both layers developing parallel to the **bc** plane. The chains of HPO_3 tetrahedra which are connected by strong hydrogen bonds are directed along the **c**-axis.

GPI undergoes a ferroelectric phase transition at about 224 K ¹⁰. The spontaneous polarisation appears along the **b** direction which is perpendicular to the hydrogen-bonded chains of the phosphite anions. This is not so in the case of BPI or caesium dihydrogen phosphate where the hydrogen-bonded chains are parallel to the ferroelectric axis ^{11,12}. However, in ferroelectric potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KDP), the hydrogen bonds are perpendicular to the ferroelectric axis.

Dielectric, infrared, Raman, EPR and DSC measurements have indicated that the ferroelectric phase transition in GPI is second order and of order-disorder type ^{13,14,10}. Tritt *et.al.* ¹⁵ have performed proton NMR spin-lattice relaxation time measurements at 90 MHz to understand the role played by glycinium cations in the fer-

roelectric transition in GPI. The main feature in the variation of spin-lattice relaxation time (T_1) with temperature is the T_1 minimum observed at 294 K.

We have taken up the ^1H NMR spin-lattice relaxation time measurements in GPI as a part of our investigation to study proton dynamics in the "Orientational glass" state exhibited by the mixed systems of glycine phosphite and betaine phosphate (abbreviated as BP). Surprisingly, the spin-lattice relaxation time versus $1000/T$ (K^{-1}) plot in GPI has exhibited a frequency dispersion in the high temperature side of the T_1 minimum. We present here our experimental results and a model to explain the observed T_1 dispersion.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Polycrystalline samples of GPI were grown at 308 K from aqueous solution containing glycine and phosphorous acid in the ratio 1:1 by slow evaporation. The samples were purified by recrystallisation. The d-spacings obtained using the powder X-ray diffraction technique agree well with the published crystal structure data ⁹. The crystal structure as mentioned earlier, is monoclinic with space group $\text{P}2_1/\text{a}$ and the unit cell dimensions are $a = 0.9792\text{nm}$, $b = 0.84787\text{nm}$, $c = 0.7411\text{nm}$, $\beta = 100.43^\circ$ and $Z = 4$.

For our experiments, the polycrystalline sample was powdered and sealed in a glass tube after evacuation. ^1H NMR spin-lattice relaxation time were measured at two Larmor frequencies of 11.40MHz and 23.56MHz using a home made pulsed NMR spectrometer working in the range 3-30MHz. A $\pi - \tau - \frac{\pi}{2}$ pulse sequence was employed for spin-lattice relaxation time measurements. The recovery of magnetization followed a single exponential in the temperature range studied. Above 419K the sample melts. The melting temperature observed matched with that obtained from DSC experiments. The temperature of the sample was controlled using a nitrogen gas flow arrangement and measured using a Pt-100 sensor.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fig:1 shows a plot of spin-lattice relaxation time (T_1) with $1000/T$ at the two Larmour frequencies of 11.40 MHz and 23.56 MHz. T_1 passes through a minimum as the temperature is decreased. The minimum in T_1 is at $T = 262\text{K}$ ($1000/T = 3.82\text{ K}^{-1}$) and has a value of 10.8 mS at 11.40 MHz. At 23.56 MHz the T_1 minimum occurs at 276 K ($1000/T = 3.62\text{ K}^{-1}$) and has a value of 21.7 mS. An interesting feature seen in Fig:1 is the Larmour frequency dependence of T_1 at temperatures above the T_1 minimum till the highest temperature (419 K). The T_1 increases with decreasing temperature below the T_1 minimum till the lowest temperature studied. The T_1 dispersion on the low temperature side of the T_1 minimum is as expected from the BPP theory¹⁶.

In the earlier ^1H NMR spin-lattice relaxation work in the same system by Tritt *et.al.*¹⁵ at a single Larmour frequency of 90 MHz, the spin-lattice relaxation behaviour has been explained by assuming that the reorientation of the NH_3^+ relaxes all the protons via spin-diffusion in the entire temperature range from 195K to 420 K. However, the model used by Tritt *et.al.* could not explain our T_1 results, especially the T_1 dispersion observed on the high temperature side of the T_1 minimum. The expression for the proton spin-lattice relaxation rate used by Tritt *et.al.*¹⁵ is given as,

$$\frac{1}{T_{1\text{NH}_3}} = \frac{3}{8}D \left(\frac{\tau_c}{1 + \omega_0^2\tau_c^2} + \frac{4\tau_c}{1 + 4\omega_0^2\tau_c^2} \right), \quad (1)$$

where $\tau_c = \tau_{c0} \exp\left(\frac{E_c}{kT}\right)$ and $D = \frac{9}{20} \left(\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi}\right)^2 \gamma^4 \hbar^2 r^{-6}$. The factor $\frac{3}{8}$ in the Eqn:1 arises from spin -diffusion and in this particular case there are three relaxing protons belonging to the NH_3^+ group, relaxing the total number of eight protons in the molecule. τ_c is the correlation time of the NH_3^+ group reorientation which is assumed to follow the Arrhenius behaviour, E_c is the activation energy and D is the relaxation constant for the NH_3^+ group reorientation. The Tritt model predicts a Larmour frequency independent behaviour for T_1 on the high temperature side of T_1 minimum. The T_1 minimum due to reorientation of the NH_3^+ group alone without spin-diffusion will be 5.5 mS and 11.4 mS at the two frequencies of our study and these are half of the values that have been observed. This can occur if there are inequivalent reorienting groups in the system contributing to relaxation^{17,18}. It is known that if there are N_a number of “a” type groups and N_b number of “b” type groups, then the relaxation rate due to these inequivalent groups is given as,

$$\frac{1}{T_1} = \left(N_a \frac{1}{T_{1a}} + N_b \frac{1}{T_{1b}} \right) \frac{1}{N_a + N_b} \quad (2)$$

We have fitted our data at 11.40 MHz and 23.56 MHz to Eqn:2 and the best fit T_1 values do not agree with the observed values for both the cases of $N_a = N_b$ and

$N_a \neq N_b$. We have also considered the possibility of inequivalence with spin-diffusion but in vein. These failed attempts to fit the data to inequivalent glycinium cations agree with the observations from the vibrational studies in the paraelectric state of GPI¹³.




FIG. 1. ^1H NMR spin-lattice relaxation time in GPI as a function of temperature. Open circles correspond to 11.40 MHz and solid circles correspond to 23.56 MHz. Lines denote the theoretical fit to the corresponding data.

We have modified the Tritt’s model to explain our observations (Fig:1) in the following way. We propose that in addition to the reorientation of the NH_3^+ group, the flip motion of the glycine group ($\text{NH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{COOH}$) about the long axis of the GPI molecule may also contribute to the relaxation of the protons particularly at higher temperatures. The relaxation will be dominated by the NH_3^+ group reorientation at lower temperatures and at higher temperatures where $\omega\tau_{\text{NH}_3} \ll 1$, the 120° flip of the $\text{NH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{COOH}$ group could contribute significantly to the relaxation. The 120° flip in GPI is supported by the second moment experiments carried out by Tritt *et.al.*¹⁵. Similar observations where the flip of the entire molecule contributing to the relaxation have been reported earlier in the literature^{20,21}. For example in the case of thiourea $\text{CS}(\text{NH}_2)_2$ the high temperature T_1 minimum has been attributed to the flip motion of NH_2 ²¹. We give below the expression for the proton NMR

spin-lattice relaxation rate due to the combined effect of NH_3^+ reorientation and 120° flip of $\text{NH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{COOH}$ group along with spin-diffusion.

$$\frac{1}{T_1} = \frac{3}{8}D \left(\frac{\tau_c}{1 + \omega_0^2\tau_c^2} + \frac{4\tau_c}{1 + 4\omega_0^2\tau_c^2} \right) + \frac{2}{8}F \left(\frac{\tau_i}{1 + \omega_0^2\tau_i^2} + \frac{4\tau_i}{1 + 4\omega_0^2\tau_i^2} \right) \quad (3)$$

where τ_c and τ_i are the correlation times for the NH_3^+ group reorientation and $\text{NH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{COOH}$ group flip motion respectively. Both the correlation times are assumed to follow the Arrhenius behaviour. D and F represent the relaxation constants for the NH_3^+ group reorientation and $\text{NH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{COOH}$ group flip motion respectively. The factors $\frac{3}{8}$ and $\frac{2}{8}$ come from spin-diffusion. The constant D is given as in Eqn:1 and F is given by ²¹,

$$F = \frac{27}{40} \left(\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \right)^2 \frac{\gamma^4 \hbar^2}{R^6} \sin^2 \alpha \quad (4)$$

where α is the flip angle, R is the inter-proton distance between the protons attached to the CH_2 group and the NH_3 group.




FIG. 2. Simulated T_1 vs $1000/T$ plot at 11.40 MHz using Equation:3. Diamonds and line represent simulated T_1 data for 120° flip motion of glycine molecule and NH_3 group reorientation, respectively. Circles represent the data due to the combined motion of flip and reorientation.

We have done a least square fitting of the T_1 vs $1000/T$ data to Eqn: 3 and the best fit is shown in Fig:1 and the best fit parameters obtained at 11.40 MHz and 23.56 MHz are given in the table I. The correlation times obtained for the reorientation and flip motion compare well with those reported in the literature ²⁰. The activation energy of 26.8 kJ/mol for the NH_3^+ group reorientation (which agrees with that of Tritt *et.al.*) is relatively high compared to that in the other glycine compounds like TGS, TGSe etc ^{19,22,23}. Tritt *et.al.*¹⁵ have attributed this high value to the relatively strong hydrogen bonds in GPI compared to the other glycine systems. The high value of 45.6 kJ/mol for the activation energy of the flip of $\text{NH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{COOH}$ group is also to be expected and therefore this motion comes into play only at higher temperatures. Similar values of activation energy for the flip motion have been reported in other systems like thiourea, hydraziniumnitrate etc ^{21,20}. The value of inter-proton distance in the NH_3^+ group calculated using the relaxation constant D is 1.69 Å and it matches well with the value of 1.70 Å obtained from neutron diffraction experiments in amino acids ²⁴. We have not observed any distinct T_1 minimum due to the flip motion. But a careful look at the spin-lattice relaxation behaviour (Fig:1) in the higher temperature region at 11.40 MHz, shows a weak indication around 370K. As the flip motion is a high activation energy motion, the motional frequencies may not be very high and it is expected to show up significantly only at lower Larmour frequencies. Fig:2 shows the simulated T_1 vs $1000/T$ curve using the motional parameters from the table at 11.40 MHz for reorientational motion, flip motion and the combined motion of reorientation and flip. The arrow indicates the approximate temperature at which T_1 minimum is expected due to the flip motion. As the Larmour frequency increases the T_1 minimum from the flip motion shifts to higher temperatures. The data of Tritt *et.al.* was obtained at a higher Larmour frequency of 90 MHz where the flip motion of the glycine group as a whole is not very effective and hence could be explained on the basis of NH_3^+ reorientation alone in the range of temperature studied. $\omega_0\tau_i$ at 11.40 MHz and 23.56 MHz do not satisfy the condition $\omega_0\tau_i \ll 1$ at higher temperatures and this explains the T_1 dispersion in the data at higher temperatures.

It would be interesting to compare the behaviour of T_1 near T_c in GPI ($T_c = 224$ K) and other glycine compounds which exhibit ferroelectric transition. In triglycine sulphate (TGS, $T_c = 320$ K), selenate (TGSe, $T_c = 295$ K), tetrafluoroberyllate (TGFB, $T_c = 343$ K), partially deuterated TGS ($T_c = 333$ K) and potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KDP, $T_c = 123$ K) the transition from the paraelectric phase to the ferroelectric phase has been found to be accompanied by a rather sudden decrease in the proton spin-lattice relaxation time ^{19,25}. KDP is compared here due to its similarity with GPI in the direction of spontaneous polarisation. In the TG systems a sudden change in T_1 near the transition temperature indicates a direct involvement of protons in the

ferroelectric transition. In GPI also a large isotopic effect (98 K) on T_c , points towards the essential role of the interphosphite hydrogen bonds in the phase transition mechanism. However the T_1 varies smoothly with temperature near the ferroelectric transition temperature of 224 K¹⁵ indicating that the dynamics of the protons in the hydrogen bonds are fast for the NMR T_1 scale. This fast proton jump between the interphosphite hydrogen bond also could be the reason for a lower ferroelectric transition temperature in GPI compared to the other ferroelectrics mentioned above²⁶. Vibrational spectroscopic studies show that normal $\text{H}_2\text{PO}_3^{1-}$ anions appear in the paraelectric phase and the ferroelectric phase, confirming that the protons in the interphosphite hydrogen bonds are dynamically disordered in the time scale of vibrational spectroscopy¹³. These studies also suggest that the dynamical disorder of interphosphite hydrogen bonds is coupled to the motions of glycinium cations. The above statement is well supported by the splitting of some glycinium bands in the ferroelectric phase where the interphosphite hydrogen bonds settle to one of the positions in the time scale of vibrational spectroscopy. As stated above the motion of these protons appears to be too fast ($\omega_0\tau_c \ll 1$) to be observed by the NMR T_1 measurements.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have observed a Larmour frequency dependence in the spin-lattice relaxation time behaviour in GPI on the high temperature side of the T_1 minimum. Presence of inequivalent glycinium cations could not explain our observed results and an attempt has been made to explain this by invoking the 120° flip motion of $\text{NH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{COOH}$ group about its long axis, in addition to the NH_3^+ reorientation. The motional parameters obtained match well with those obtained in the earlier studies. No significant change in T_1 behaviour could be observed at T_c .

We are grateful to Prof. H. L. Bhat and Ms. Deepthy. A. Pillai for providing us the samples of Glycine phosphite used in these investigations.

- ⁷ H. J. Rother, J. Albers and A. Klöpperpieper, *Ferroelectrics*, **54**, 107 (1984)
- ⁸ S. Haussühl, *Solid State Commun*, **50**, 63 (1984)
- ⁹ M. Th. Averbuch-Pouchot, *Acta Cryst. C* **49**, 815 (1993)
- ¹⁰ S. Dacko, Z. Czapla, J. Baran and M. Drozd, *Physics Letters A*, **223**, 217 (1996)
- ¹¹ J. Albers, A. Klöpperpieper, H. Rother and S. Hansuhl, *Ferroelectrics*, **81**, 27 (1988)
- ¹² Y. Uesu and J. Kobayashi, *Phys.stat.sol. (a)*, **34**, 475 (1976)
- ¹³ Baran. J, bator. G, Jakubas. R and Śledź. M, *J.Phys.:Condens. Matter*, **8**, 10658 (1996)
- ¹⁴ Morawski. P, Gramza. M, Goslar. J, Hilczer. W, Szczepańska. L, and Hoffman. S. K, *Ferroelectric Lett*, **23**, (1998)
- ¹⁵ J. Tritt-Goc, N. Piślewski, L. Szczepańska and R. Goc, *Solid State Commun*, **108**, 189 (1998)
- ¹⁶ Bloembergen. N., Purcell. E. M. and Pound. V., *Phys.Rev.*, **73**, 679 (1948)
- ¹⁷ Venu. K and Sastry. V. S. S, *Z. Naturforsch.*, **48a**, 731 (1993)
- ¹⁸ Niemila. L. K. E and Heinila. J. E., *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **82**, 182 (1981)
- ¹⁹ Blinc. R, Lahanjar. G, Pintar. M and Zupančič. I, *J.Chem.Phys*, **44**, 1784 (1966)
- ²⁰ K. Ganesan, Ph.D thesis (unpublished), Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore-560012 (1984),
- ²¹ D. E. O'Reilly, E. M. Peterson and Z. M. El Saffar, *J.Chem.Phys*, **54**(3), 1304 (1971)
- ²² Piślewski. N and Grocescu. R, *Bul. L'academie Polonaise Sciences*, **XX**, 1027 (1972)
- ²³ Śósarek. G, Idziak. S, Piślewski. N and Stankowski. J., *Phys.Stat.Sol. (b)*, **110**, 233 (1982)
- ²⁴ Koetzle, T. F. and Lehmann, M. S., *The Hydrogen Bond-Recent Developments in Theory and Experiments* (Edited by P. Schuster *et.al.* 459, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1976.
- ²⁵ R. Blinc, *Advances in Magnetic Resonance*, **3**, 141-204 (1968)
- ²⁶ B. A. Strukov and A. P. Levanyuk, *Ferroelectric Phenomena in Crystals*, Springer-1997

TABLE I. Motional parameters of GPI obtained using Equation:3

τ_{c0}	$5.2 \times 10^{-14} \pm 3.6 \times 10^{-14}$ sec
τ_{i0}	$1.9 \times 10^{-15} \pm 0.72 \times 10^{-15}$ sec
E_c	26.8 ± 1.8 kJ/mol
E_i	46 ± 0.16 kJ/mol
D	$1.25 \times 10^{10} \text{ s}^{-2}$
F	$0.04 \times 10^{10} \text{ s}^{-2}$
α	$120 \pm 7^\circ$

¹ B. T. Matthias, C. E. Miller and J. P. Remeika, *Phys.Rev.B*, **104**, 849 (1956)

² S. Hoshino, T. Mitsui, F. Jona and R. Pepinsky, *Phys.Rev.B*, **107**, 1255 (1957)

³ R. Pepinsky, Y. Okaya and F. Jona, *Bull.Am.Phys.Sol*, **2**, 220 (1957)

⁴ R. Pepinsky, K. Vedam, S. Hoshino and Y. Okaya, *Phys.Rev*, **111**, 430 (1958)

⁵ J. Albers, *Ferroelectrics*, **78**, 3 (1988)

⁶ G. Schaack, *Ferroelectrics*, **104**, 147 (1990)