Nonperiodic Flux to Voltage Conversion of an Arithmetic Series Array of dc SQUIDs

Ch. Häussler, J. Oppenländer*, and N. Schopohl

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Eberhard-Karls-Universität Tübingen, Auf der Morgenstelle 14, 72076 Tübingen, Germany

A theoretical study on the voltage response function $\langle V \rangle$ of a series array of dc SQUIDs is presented in which the elementary dc SQUID loops vary in size and, possibly, in orientation. Such series arrays of two-junction SQUIDs possess voltage response functions vs. external magnetic field **B** that differ substantially from those of corresponding regular series arrays with identical loop-areas, while maintaining a large voltage swing as well as a low noise level. Applications include the design of current amplifiers and *quantum interference filters*.

Recently series arrays of dc SQUIDs have been successfully exploited as current amplifiers with wide bandwidth, large dynamic range and low noise level¹. Using thin-film Nb-technology, amplifiers consisting out of up to 10^3 identical dc SQUID loops have been fabricated. Such serial devices are characterized by large voltage swings of several mV and current-to-voltage transfer functions of some V/mA so that a direct connection to a room temperature preamplifier is feasible.

At present only series arrays consisting out of *identical* dc SQUID loops have been described in the literature¹. The dc voltage response function $\langle V \rangle$ of such regular series arrays displays a Φ_0 -periodicity just as a single dc SQUID where $\Phi_0 = \frac{h}{2e}$ is the elementary flux quantum². However, this periodicity may represent a serious limitation for the modes of operation of such devices. To get a linear flux-to-voltage conversion a feedback circuit is used in most applications in which the dc SQUID acts as a null-flux detector. Furthermore special electronic devices and efficient background shielding is often required². Here theoretical studies on the voltage response of arithmetic and irregular series arrays of dc SQUID loops are presented, in which the individual loopareas are *not* all equal. For such devices the advantages of dc SQUID series arrays are preserved but limitations due to the periodicity of the voltage response can be circumvented.

The arrays under consideration consist of N two junction SQUID loops connected in series. The bias current I_b is fed into the array as indicated in Fig.(1). In general the areas of the N loops in a *generic* array differ in size and, possibly, in orientation. Let \mathbf{a}_n be the orientated area element of the n^{th} dc SQUID loop. The magnetic flux threading \mathbf{a}_n is then $\Phi_n = \langle \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{a}_n \rangle$, where **B** is the total magnetic field. Taking into account all inductive effects in the dc SQUID array the total magnetic field $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}^{(p)} + \mathbf{B}^{(s)} + \mathbf{B}^{(c)}$ is a superposition of the primary magnetic field $\mathbf{B}^{(p)}$ one wants to measure, the secondary magnetic field $\mathbf{B}^{(s)}$ induced by currents that flow in the dc SQUID array and, possibly, the magnetic compensation field $\mathbf{B}^{(c)}$ induced by compensation current(s) I_{comp} flowing through a set of suitable orientated compensation coils or wires.

As a special case a generic *planar* dc SQUID array is shown in Fig.(1). The primary magnetic flux is coupled into the individual dc SQUID loops by the signal or input current I_{inp} which flows through a common input flux bias line. In typical applications I_{inp} is the current provided by a pick-up loop or by other signal sources. In addition the flux in the individual dc SQUID loops may be modulated by the current I_{comp} flowing through a common compensation or control flux bias line.



FIG. 1. Schematic diagram of a generic planar series array of two-junction SQUIDs. \mathbf{a}_n denotes the orientated area element of the n^{th} dc SQUID loop. The Josephson junctions are indicated by crosses (x). The array is biased with the current I_b and produces an dc output voltage $\langle V \rangle$. The magnetic flux is coupled into the dc SQUID loops by I_{inp} flowing in a common input flux bias line and also by I_{comp} in a control flux bias line.

Let $M_n^{(c)}$ and $M_n^{(p)}$ denote mutual inductances of the currents I_{comp} and I_{inp} associated with the n^{th} loop with area-element \mathbf{a}_n and let \mathbf{L}_A be the inductance matrix describing all other inductive couplings in the circuit³. The total magnetic flux in the n^{th} loop is then given by $\Phi_n = M_n^{(p)} I_{inp} + M_n^{(c)} I_{comp} + \mathbf{L}_A \mathbf{I}$, where $\mathbf{I} = (I_1, \ldots, I_{2N})$ is the vector of the 2N currents I_n through the Josephson junctions. Since I_{comp} should be able to compensate the primary induced magnetic fluxes $M_n^{(p)} I_{inp}$ in all loops simultaneously the relation $M_n^{(c)} = \alpha M_n^{(p)}$ should hold for all n, where α is a constant.

Within the range of validity of the RCSJ-model⁴ the current I_m through the m^{th} array junction with gauge invariant phase difference φ_m , critical current $I_{c,m}$, normal resistance R_m , and capacitance C_m is given by

$$I_m = I_{c,m} \sin(\varphi_m) + \frac{\hbar}{2eR_m} \partial_t \varphi_m + \frac{\hbar C_m}{2e} \partial_t^2 \varphi_m. \quad (1)$$

For simplicity identical parameters for the junctions are

supposed in the following discussion: $I_{c,m} = I_c$, $R_m = R$ and $C_m = C$. The dimensionless parameter $\beta_C = \frac{2\pi I_c R^2 C}{\Phi_0}$ characterizes then the capacitance effect of the junctions within this model⁴.

In the overdamped regime, C = 0, and for vanishing inductive coupling in the array, $\mathbf{L}_A = 0$, an analytical expression for the dc voltage response function $\langle V \rangle$ of the dc SQUID series can be determined. Under conditions where a constant current $I_b > 2 I_c$ is biased, and assuming for simplicity a static magnetic field $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}^{(p)} + \mathbf{B}^{(c)}$, the output voltage $\langle V \rangle_n$ of the n^{th} dc SQUID in the array as a function of the bias current I_b and of the applied flux Φ_n is given by⁵

$$\langle V \rangle_n = I_c R \sqrt{\left(\frac{I_b}{2 I_c}\right)^2 - \left|\cos(\pi \frac{\Phi_n}{\Phi_0})\right|^2}.$$
 (2)

The voltage response $\langle V \rangle$ of a series array containing N dc SQUIDs is then given as the sum of the voltages $\langle V \rangle_n$:

$$\langle V \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \langle V \rangle_n \,. \tag{3}$$

Since the maximum voltage swing increases linearly with the number N of dc SQUIDs in the array the gain $\frac{\partial \langle V \rangle}{\partial I_{inp}}$ is proportional to N. However, the noise in the array increases only as $N^{\frac{1}{2}}$, because the noise voltages implied by the junctions in the individual SQUID-loops of the array are expected to add incoherently¹. These features make generic series arrays attractive for amplifiers and magnetometers.

Consider, as a special case, a regular array, consisting out of N identical dc SQUID loops so that $\mathbf{a}_n = \mathbf{a}_N$ with identical mutual inductances $M_n^{(c)} = M_N^{(c)}$ and $M_n^{(p)} =$ $M_N^{(p)}$ of the flux bias lines. As a result the flux threading each individual loop is then given by $\Phi_n = \Phi_N$. In this case the voltage response, $\langle V \rangle = N \langle V \rangle_N$, displays as a function of flux a Φ_0 -periodicity.

A voltage response function $\langle V \rangle$ with a much longer period may be obtained in an *arithmetic* dc SQUID series array where the orientated area elements increase in size according to the arithmetic relation

$$\mathbf{a}_n = \frac{n}{N} \, \mathbf{a}_N, \quad n = 1, \dots, N. \tag{4}$$

Provided the mutual inductances are chosen such that $M_n^{(c)} = \frac{|\mathbf{a}_n|}{|\mathbf{a}_N|} M_N^{(c)}$ and $M_n^{(p)} = \frac{|\mathbf{a}_n|}{|\mathbf{a}_N|} M_N^{(p)}$ the fluxes are determined by $\Phi_n = \frac{n}{N} \Phi_N$, where Φ_N is the flux through the largest area element \mathbf{a}_N . In this case the individual dc SQUIDs operate with different periodicity in flux. This implies that the voltage response $\langle V \rangle$ of the whole arithmetic array as a function of Φ_N is periodic with period $N \Phi_0$. This period is N times larger than for a regular array, consisting of N identical dc SQUID loops with size \mathbf{a}_N .

In Fig.(2) the voltage response for an arithmetic series array with N = 100 dc SQUIDs is shown. The bias current I_b was adjusted for maximum voltage swing slightly above $2 I_c$. In the depicted interval the voltage response shows pronounced antipeaks at $\Phi_N = 0$ and at $\Phi_N = N \Phi_0$. Between these two peaks the output voltage oscillates with a very small amplitude around an average voltage drop \overline{V} .



FIG. 2. Voltage response $\langle V \rangle$ according to Eq.(3) in units of $I_c R$ vs. external flux Φ_N through the largest area element \mathbf{a}_N for an arithmetic series array with N = 100 dc SQUIDs for bias current $I_b = 2.2 I_c$ and vanishing inductive coupling $(\beta_{L,max} = 0)$. The dc SQUID loops increase in size according to the linear relation $\mathbf{a}_n = \frac{n}{N} \mathbf{a}_N$. In the inset the theoretical curve (solid line, Eq.(3)) is compared with the analytical approximation (circles, Eq.(5)).

For a sufficiently high number of elements in the arithmetic array the flux-distribution over the dc SQUID loops is uniform between some Φ_{min} and Φ_{max} . Let $\kappa = \frac{\Phi_{min}}{\Phi_{max}}$ be the flux spread coefficient, $f = \pi \frac{\Phi_{max}}{\Phi_0}$ the frustration of the greatest loop in the array and let $\omega_0 = \sqrt{\left(\frac{I_b}{2I_c}\right)^2 - 1}$ be the characteristic frequency of a single dc SQUID for zero flux. If the frustration f is limited to the periodicity interval $|f| \leq \pi \frac{N}{2}$ the voltage response $\langle V \rangle$ as a function of f can be approximated by an integral:

$$\langle V \rangle \approx \frac{N I_c R}{\Phi_{max} - \Phi_{min}} \int_{\Phi_{min}}^{\Phi_{max}} \sqrt{\left(\frac{I_b}{2I_c}\right)^2 - \left|\cos(\pi \frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0})\right|^2} d\Phi$$
$$= N I_c R \frac{\omega_0}{(1-\kappa)} \frac{1}{f} \left[E\left(f,m\right) - E\left(\kappa f,m\right)\right], \tag{5}$$

where $m = -\omega_0^{-2}$ and E(f,m) denotes the elliptic integral of the second kind⁶. The voltage versus flux relation displayed in Fig.(2) shows an excellent agreement between the theoretical result Eq.(3) and its analytical approximation Eq.(5). For bias currents $I_b = 2.2 I_c$ there results an average voltage drop of $\overline{V} = 0.81 I_c R N$.

At first sight all the above results apply only in the overdamped junction regime and only for vanishing inductive couplings in the arithmetic dc SQUID array, i.e. for $\beta_C = 0$ and $\mathbf{L}_A = 0$. A dimensionless measure for the inductive effects in a single dc SQUID loop with loopinductance L is $\beta_L = \frac{L I_c}{\Phi_0}$. Dependent on β_L there exists an optimal size for any dc SQUID loop² which should coincide with the maximal loop size \mathbf{a}_{max} in a series array. The corresponding maximal $\beta_{L,max}$ is then a measure of the self- and mutual-inductive couplings among the currents flowing in the dc SQUID array.

Taking into account all inductive couplings \mathbf{L}_A in the arithmetic array our computer simulations of the full non-linear dynamics³ of the 2 N coupled Josephson phases φ_i reveal that expression Eq.(5) compares qualitatively well with the calculated voltage response functions. In particular the long periodicity of the voltage $\langle V \rangle$ vs. flux Φ_N relation is not affected by inductive effects. Qualitatively similar behavior was found for a parallel multi-junction interferometer⁷.

As far as the irregularity is concerned, we also find that in an arithmetic array $\langle V \rangle$ is very responsive to adding small random fluctuations to the size distributions of the area elements. In this case $\langle V \rangle$ becomes *nonperiodic* with a pronounced antipeak only around $\Phi_N = 0$. For fixed β_C , but different strengths of the magnetic coupling $\beta_{L,max}$, Fig.(3) shows the voltage response for an arithmetic planar series array with N = 100 dc SQUIDs where small random fluctuations were added to the loop size distribution Eq.(4).

For fixed β_C the global minimum of $\langle V \rangle$ at $\Phi_N = 0$ depends only slightly on the strength $\beta_{L,max}$ of the magnetic coupling whereas the voltage branch $\langle V \rangle \approx \overline{V}$ depends strongly on $\beta_{L,max}$, as can be seen in the inset of Fig.(3). For increasing $\beta_{L,max}$ the voltage swing and the maximum of the voltage transfer function $\frac{\partial \langle V \rangle}{\partial \Phi_{\gamma\gamma}}$ decrease as it is the case for conventional single dc \tilde{SQUIDs} when β_L increases⁸. If on the other hand $\beta_{L,max}$ is kept constant the global minimum of $\langle V \rangle$ at $\Phi_N = 0$ is an increasing function of β_C as a comparison of Fig.(2) and Fig.(3) reveals. In addition Fig.(3a) shows an enhancement of the branch $\langle V \rangle \approx \overline{V}$ for $\beta_C = 0.5$ in comparison to the underdamped case, since for single dc SQUIDs the effect of the capacitance β_C is to increase the voltage near integer values of the applied flux⁸. Although the total area $A_{tot} = \frac{N+1}{2} |\mathbf{a}_N|$ of an arithmetic array is smaller by a factor of 2 compared to a regular array with $A_{tot} = N |\mathbf{a}_N|$, this effect provides that the voltage transfer function of both arrays is comparable. This suggests a higher integration density for arithmetic array circuits on chip.

In summary, arithmetic series arrays of two-junction SQUIDs possess voltage response functions with a much longer period with respect to the applied magnetic field than regular series arrays, while maintaining a comparable transfer function and a low noise level. In particular if the loop-sizes or loop-orientations are distributed randomly the voltage response becomes nonperiodic with a single pronounced antipeak only around zero applied magnetic field. These features are preserved when all capacitive and inductive effects are taken into account. Therefore arithmetic or irregular series arrays of dc SQUIDs can be used as *quantum interference filters* for various applications, including, e.g., the relatively simple and extremely sensitive measurement of the *absolute* strength of magnetic fields.



FIG. 3. Voltage response $\langle V \rangle$ in units of $I_c R$ vs. external flux Φ_N for an arithmetic series array with N = 100for $I_b = 2.2 I_c$, $\beta_C = 0.5$, and $\beta_{L,max} = 0.3$. Small random fluctuations were added to the loop size distribution $\mathbf{a}_n = \frac{n}{N} \mathbf{a}_N$. The inset depicts $\langle V \rangle$ for various inductive couplings around the global minimum at $\Phi_N = 0$: a) $\beta_{L,max} = 0$, b) $\beta_{L,max} = 0.3$, and c) $\beta_{L,max} = 0.7$.

Acknowledgments: We thank R. P. Huebener, R. Kleiner and T. Träuble for useful discussions. Support by "Forschungsschwerpunktprogramm des Landes Baden-Württemberg" is gratefully acknowledged.

- ¹ F. Hirayama, N. Kasai, M. Koyanagi, IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercon. 9, 2923, (1999); R. P. Welty, J. M. Martinis, IEEE Tans. Appl. Supercon. 3, 2605, (1993); R. P. Welty, J. M. Martinis, IEEE Tans. Magn. 27, 2924, (1991).
- ² J. Clarke, in 'The New Superconducting Electronics', edited by H. Weinstock and R. W. Ralston, Kluwer Academic Publishers, (1993).
- ³ J. Oppenländer, Ch. Häussler, N. Schopohl, J. Appl. Phys. 86, 5775 (1999).
- ⁴ K. K. Likharev, in 'Dynamics of Josephson Junctions and Circuits', Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 2nd printing (1991).
- ⁵ A. Th. A. M. De Waele, R. De Bruyn Ouboter, Physica **41**, 225 (1969).
- ⁶ M. Abramowitz, A. Stegun (eds.), in 'Handbook of Mathematical Functions', Applied mathematics series of the National Bureau of Standards (1972).
- 7 Ch. Häussler, J. Oppenländer, and N. Schopohl, cond-

mat/0003487, (2000).
⁸ K. Enpuku, K. Sueoka, K. Yoshida, and F. Irie, J. Appl. Phys. 57,1691 (1985).